

THE RUDIN-CARLESON THEOREM FOR
NON-HOMOGENEOUS DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Angelica Malaspina

Dept. of Mathematics

University of Basilicata

C/da Macchia Romana, Potenza, 85100, ITALY

e-mail: malaspina@pzm.math.unibas.it

Abstract: Let Ω be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n such that its boundary is a Lyapunov hypersurface Σ and $\mathbb{R}^n - \bar{\Omega}$ is connected. It is proved that if S is a closed subset of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue zero measure on Σ , and if f and \tilde{f} are continuous non-homogeneous differential forms on S , then there exists a non-homogeneous differential form U on Ω which is self-conjugate in Ω and such that U and its adjoint form extend f and \tilde{f} respectively. This result holds for any $n \geq 2$ and it generalizes the classical Rudin-Carleson theorem.

AMS Subject Classification: 58A10, 31B25, 46N20

Key Words: Rudin-Carleson theorem, differential forms

1. Introduction

W. Rudin (1956, [8]) and L. Carleson (1957, [2]) independently proved the following theorem related to the theory of holomorphic functions of one complex variable:

Theorem 1.1. *If S is a closed set of Lebesgue zero measure on the unit circle $\partial U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and if f is a continuous function on S , then there exists a continuous function F on $\bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$ which is holomorphic on the unit open disk U and such that $F(z) = f(z)$ for all z in S .*

The aim of this paper is to prove a general Rudin-Carleson theorem for non-homogeneous differential forms in \mathbb{R}^n which is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

The next section summarizes some results on k -forms and k -measures which we shall use. In Section 3, we prove a general Rudin-Carleson result in \mathbb{R}^n which is obtained by using the approach of Bishop [1]. In the last Section the Rudin-Carleson theorem for non-homogeneous differential forms is proved.

2. Definitions and Notation

In this section we recall some definitions on k -forms and k -measures which we shall need in the sequel. Let $W^r \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an oriented r -dimensional differential simple manifold of class p . A *differential form of degree k* (briefly a *k -form*) on W^r is a function defined on W^r which values are in the k -covectors space Σ_k on \mathbb{R}^n . A k -form is represented in an admissible coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) as

$$u = \frac{1}{k!} u_{s_1 \dots s_k} dx^{s_1} \dots dx^{s_k},$$

where $u_{s_1 \dots s_k}$ are the components of a k -covector, i.e. the components of a skew-symmetric covariant tensor.

$C_k^q(W^r)$ denotes the space of k -forms which components are continuously differentiable up to the order q in a coordinate system of class C^{q+1} (and then in every coordinate system of class C^{q+1}). $L_k^p(W^r)$ denotes the space of k -forms which components are L^p real functions in a coordinate system of class C^1 (and then in every coordinate system of class C^1).

Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^n ; if $u \in C_k^1(\Omega)$, the *differential* of u is the following $(k+1)$ -form

$$du = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} u_{s_1 \dots s_k} dx^j dx^{s_1} \dots dx^{s_k}.$$

If $u \in C_k(\Omega)$ the *adjoint* of u is the $(n-k)$ -form defined as

$$*u = \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \delta_{s_1 \dots s_k i_1 \dots i_{n-k}}^{1 \dots n} u_{s_1 \dots s_k} dx^{i_1} \dots dx^{i_{n-k}}.$$

We remark that $**u = (-1)^{k(n-k)}u$. If $u \in C_k^1(\Omega)$ the following $(k - 1)$ -form

$$\delta u = (-1)^{n(k+1)+1} * d * u$$

defines the *co-differential* of u . If $u \in C_k^2(\Omega)$, the *Laplacian* of u is

$$\Delta_2 u = -(d\delta + \delta d)u.$$

A k -form $u \in C_k^2(\Omega)$ is *harmonic* (i.e. $\Delta_2 u = 0$) if, and only if, all the coefficients $u_{s_1 \dots s_k}$ of u are harmonic functions.

We consider the linear space $\Sigma_{(n)} = \Sigma_0 \oplus \dots \oplus \Sigma_n$ obtained by the direct sum of k -covector spaces Σ_k ($k = 0, \dots, n$). By a *non-homogeneous differential form* we mean a member U of $\Sigma_{(n)}$: $U = \sum_{k=0}^n u_k$, where u_k are k -forms. By $C^q(\Omega)$ we denote the space $C_0^q(\Omega) \oplus \dots \oplus C_n^q(\Omega)$ of non homogeneous differential forms of class C^q in Ω . Likewise to the k -forms, if $U \in C^1(\Omega)$ we define the *differential*, the *adjoint*, the *co-differential*, the *Laplacian* of U respectively as

$$dU = \sum_{h=0}^{n-1} du_h, \quad *U = \sum_{h=0}^n *u_h, \quad \delta U = \sum_{h=1}^n \delta u_h, \quad \Delta_2 U = \sum_{h=0}^n \Delta_2 u_h.$$

A non-homogeneous differential form $U \in C^1(\Omega)$ is called *self-conjugate* (Cialdea [3]) in Ω if $dU = \delta U$ in Ω , i.e. if

$$\delta u_1 = 0, \quad du_k = \delta u_{k+2}, \quad (k = 0, \dots, n - 2) \quad du_{n-1} = 0.$$

Note that if U is self-conjugate then U is harmonic, and therefore all the coefficients of u_k are harmonic functions.

Let us consider now some interesting examples. For $n = 2$, if $U = u_0 + u_2$, where $u_0 \equiv u$ is a scalar and $u_2 = v dx dy$ is a 2-form, we have that U is self-conjugate if, and only if, the function $f(z) = u + iv$ is holomorphic.

For $n = 3$, if $U = u_0 + u_2$, where $u_0 \equiv u$, and $u_2 = v_1 dx^2 dx^3 + v_2 dx^3 dx^1 + v_3 dx^1 dx^2$, U is self-conjugate if, and only if, (u, v_1, v_2, v_3) is solution of the *Moisil-Theodorescu system* (Moisil et al [6]):

$$\begin{cases} \text{grad } u = \text{curl } (v_1, v_2, v_3), \\ \text{div } (v_1, v_2, v_3) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

For $n = 4$, if $U = u_0 + u_2 + u_4$, where $u_0 = f_0$,

$$u_2 = f_1(dx^1 dx^2 - dx^3 dx^4) + f_2(dx^1 dx^3 - dx^4 dx^2) + f_3(dx^1 dx^4 - dx^2 dx^3),$$

$$u_4 = f_0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 dx^4$$

is self-conjugate if, and only if, (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) is solution of the *Fueter system* (Fueter [5] and Sudbery [10]):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_3} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_4} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_3} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_4} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_2} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_4} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial x_1} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

For any $n \geq 2$, if $U = u_1$, where $u_1 = v_i dx^i$, U is self-conjugate if, and only if, (v_1, \dots, v_n) is a *harmonic vector*, i.e. it is solution of the following system (Sudbery [10] and Stein et al [11]):

$$\begin{cases} \text{curl} (v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0, \\ \text{div} (v_1, \dots, v_n) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

More generally, if $U = \omega_k$, ω_k being a differential form of degree k , U is self-conjugate if, and only if, ω_k is a harmonic form, i.e.

$$d\omega_k = 0, \quad \delta\omega_k = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

We give now the concept of a k -measure which was introduced by Fichera [4]. A k -measure μ is the object determined (in a fixed coordinate system (t^1, \dots, t^r)) by the coefficients $\mu_{s_1 \dots s_k}(A)$, where $\mu_{s_1 \dots s_k}$ is a measure on the family $\{A\}$ of all the Borel sets of W^r , and $\mu_{s_1 \dots s_k}(A)$ depends skew-symmetrically on the indices s_1, \dots, s_k . Moreover, if $\tilde{\mu}_{i_1 \dots i_k}(A)$ are the components of the k -measure μ in the coordinate system (τ^1, \dots, τ^r) , we have for each Borel set $A \in \{A\}$

$$\tilde{\mu}_{i_1 \dots i_k}(A) = \int_A \left[\det \frac{\partial(\tau^1, \dots, \tau^r)}{\partial(t^1, \dots, t^r)} \right] \frac{\partial t^{s_1}}{\partial \tau^{i_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial t^{s_k}}{\partial \tau^{i_k}} d\mu_{s_1 \dots s_k}.$$

The space of all the k -measures on W^r is denoted by $M_k(W^r)$.

If $u \in C_k(W^r)$ and $v \in C_h(W^r)$, the *exterior product* between u and v is defined as the following $(k + h)$ -form:

$$u \wedge v = \frac{1}{k!h!} u_{s_1 \dots s_k} v_{i_1 \dots i_h} dx^{s_1} \dots dx^{s_k} dx^{i_1} \dots dx^{i_h}.$$

If $u \in C_k(W^r)$ and $\mu \in M_{r-k}(W^r)$, we set

$$\int_{W^r} u \wedge \mu = \frac{1}{k!(r-k)!} \delta_{1 \dots n}^{s_1 \dots s_n} \int_{W^r} u_{s_1 \dots s_k} d\mu_{s_{k+1} \dots s_n}$$

By definition, $\mu \wedge u = (-1)^{k(r-k)}(u \wedge \mu)$.

We remember that if $\mu \in M_k(W^r)$, there exist a k -form $g \in L^1_k(W^r)$ and a singular k -measure $\mu^* \in M_k(W^r)$ such that

$$\mu_{s_1 \dots s_k}(A) = \mu^*_{s_1 \dots s_k}(A) + \int_A g_{s_1 \dots s_k} dx,$$

where $x(A)$ is the r -dimensional Lebesgue measure on W^r . We say that μ is *absolutely continuous* if $\mu^* = 0$.

We introduce now a *non-homogeneous measure*. Obviously this is generalization of the concept of non-homogeneous differential form. In fact, by a non-homogeneous measure α we mean $\sum_{k=0}^r \alpha^k$, where α^k are k -measures. $M(W^r)$ denotes the direct sum $M_0(W^r) \oplus \dots \oplus M_r(W^r)$.

If W^r is a compact oriented r -dimensional differential simple manifold, we can define in $C_k(W^r)$ the following norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{h=1}^l \sum_{s_1 \dots s_k} \max_{C_h} |u_{s_1 \dots s_k}|,$$

where C_1, \dots, C_l are closed sets covering W^r . If $U \in C(W^r)$, the norm of U is the sum of the component norms of U .

We give now a theorem which is the extension of the classical Riesz representation theorem related to the non-homogeneous differential forms:

Theorem 2.1. *If $\Lambda(U)$ is a continuous linear functional on $C(W^r)$, there exists one and only one measure $\mu \in M(W^r)$ such that $\Lambda(U) = \int U \wedge \mu$.*

Proof. This result follows immediately from Fichera [4, 1.VI]. Note that $\int U \wedge \mu$ is the integral of U with respect to the non-homogeneous measure μ . It is defined as

$$\int_{W^r} u_0 \wedge \mu^r + \dots + u_k \wedge \mu^{r-k} + \dots + u_r \wedge \mu^0$$

if $U = u_0 + \dots + u_r$ and $\mu = \mu^0 + \dots + \mu^r$. \square

3. Preliminary Results

In this section, Ω is a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n , and Σ is its boundary $\partial\Omega$. We denote by $[C(\Sigma)]^2$ the cartesian product $C(\Sigma) \times C(\Sigma)$. If $(f, g) \in [C(\Sigma)]^2$, we define $\|(f, g)\| = \|f\| + \|g\|$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let D be a linear closed subspace of $[C(\Sigma)]^2$. Let S be a closed subset of Σ such that if $(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \in D^\perp$ then $(\mu, \tilde{\mu})|_S = 0$. If $(f, \tilde{f}) \in [C(S)]^2$ is such that $\|(f, \tilde{f})\| \leq r < 1$, then there exists $(F, \tilde{F}) \in D$ such that $\|(F, \tilde{F})\| \leq 1$ and $(F|_S, \tilde{F}|_S) = (f, \tilde{f})$.*

Proof. Let C_r be the space of all the D elements which have the norm less than $r \leq 1$. We consider the restriction mapping Ψ of D into $[C(S)]^2$. We suppose *ab absurdo* that $(f, \tilde{f}) \notin \overline{\Psi(C_r)}$; thus there exists a continuous linear functional A on $[C(S)]^2$ such that $A[(f, \tilde{f})] > 1$ and $|A(g, \tilde{g})| < 1, \forall (g, \tilde{g}) \in \overline{\Psi(C_r)}$. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists $(\nu, \tilde{\nu}) \in [M(S)]^2$ such that

$$\int_S g \wedge \nu + \tilde{g} \wedge \tilde{\nu} = A[(g, \tilde{g})], \quad \forall (g, \tilde{g}) \in [C(S)]^2.$$

We define the continuous linear functional B as the composition of A and Ψ . Furthermore $\|B\| \leq \frac{1}{r}$. It follows from the classical Hahn-Banach theorem that there exists a continuous linear functional \tilde{B} on $[C(\Sigma)]^2$ which extends B and $\|\tilde{B}\| = \|B\|$. From Theorem 2.1 there exists $(\vartheta, \tilde{\vartheta}) \in [C(\Sigma)]^2$ such that

$$\int_\Sigma g \wedge \vartheta + \tilde{g} \wedge \tilde{\vartheta} = \tilde{B}[(g, \tilde{g})], \quad \forall (g, \tilde{g}) \in [C(\Sigma)]^2$$

and $\|\tilde{B}\| = \|(\vartheta, \tilde{\vartheta})\|$. We can extend $(\nu, \tilde{\nu})$ on Σ setting $(\delta, \tilde{\delta})(C) = (\nu, \tilde{\nu})(C \cap S)$ for every subset C of Σ and $(\delta, \tilde{\delta}) \equiv 0$ outwards of S . Thus, the measure $(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) = (\delta - \vartheta, \tilde{\delta} - \tilde{\vartheta})$ is in D^\perp ; in fact for each $(h, \tilde{h}) \in [C(\Sigma)]^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Sigma h \wedge \mu + \tilde{h} \wedge \tilde{\mu} &= \int_\Sigma h \wedge \delta + \tilde{h} \wedge \tilde{\delta} - \int_\Sigma h \wedge \vartheta + \tilde{h} \wedge \tilde{\vartheta} = \\ &= \int_S [h|_S \wedge \nu + \tilde{h}|_S \wedge \tilde{\nu}] - \tilde{B}(h, \tilde{h}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(\mu, \tilde{\mu})|_S = 0$, but for $(f, \tilde{f}) \in [C(S)]^2$ we obtain a contradiction:

$$\int_S f \wedge \mu + \tilde{f} \wedge \tilde{\mu} > 1 - \int_S f \wedge \vartheta + \tilde{f} \wedge \tilde{\vartheta} \geq 1 - \sup_{\|(f, \tilde{f})\| \leq r} \left| \int f \wedge \vartheta + \tilde{f} \wedge \tilde{\vartheta} \right| \geq 1 - \|(f, \tilde{f})\| \|(\vartheta, \tilde{\vartheta})\| = 1 - \|(f, \tilde{f})\| \|B\| \geq 0.$$

This shows that $(f, \tilde{f}) \in \overline{\Psi(C_r)}$. Hence, there exists $(F_1, \tilde{F}_1) \in D$, where $F_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} F_{1,k}$ and $\tilde{F}_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \tilde{F}_{1,k}$, such that $\|(F_1, \tilde{F}_1)\| \leq r$ and the absolute value of the difference of all the coefficients of $f - F_1$ and $\tilde{f} - \tilde{F}_1$ is $\leq \frac{1-r}{2^{n+1}l}$ (note that n is fixed) on S . So $\|f - F_1\| = \sum_{h=1}^l \sum_{s_1 \dots s_k} \max_{C_h \cap S} |((f_k)_{s_1 \dots s_k} - (F_{1,k})_{s_1 \dots s_k})| \leq \frac{1-r}{4}$, where C_1, \dots, C_l are the closed sets covering Σ . Likewise

$$\|\tilde{f} - \tilde{F}_1\| = \sum_{h=1}^l \sum_{s_1 \dots s_k} \max_{C_h \cap S} |((\tilde{f}_k)_{s_1 \dots s_k} - (\tilde{F}_{1,k})_{s_1 \dots s_k})| \leq \frac{1-r}{4},$$

then $\|(f - F_1, \tilde{f} - \tilde{F}_1)\| \leq \frac{1-r}{2}$.

We set $(h, \tilde{h}) = (f - F_1, \tilde{f} - \tilde{F}_1) \in [C(S)]^2$, it follows from the result just proved that there exists $(F_2, \tilde{F}_2) \in D$ such that $\|(F_2, \tilde{F}_2)\| \leq \frac{1-r}{2}$ and the absolute value of the difference of all the coefficients of $(f - F_1 - F_2)$ and $(\tilde{f} - \tilde{F}_1 - \tilde{F}_2)$ is $\leq \frac{1-r}{2^{n+1}l} \frac{1}{2^2}$ on S . Therefore $\|(f - F_1 - F_2, \tilde{f} - \tilde{F}_1 - \tilde{F}_2)\| \leq \frac{1-r}{2} \frac{1}{2^2}$.

By induction, we find a sequence $\{(F_m, \tilde{F}_m)\} \in D$ such that

$$\|(F_1, \tilde{F}_1)\| \leq r, \quad \|(F_m, \tilde{F}_m)\| \leq \frac{1-r}{2^{(m-1)}},$$

$m \geq 2$ and the absolute value of the difference of all the coefficients of $[f - \sum_{j=1}^m F_j]$ and $[\tilde{f} - \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j]$ is $\leq \frac{1-r}{l2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{2^m}$ on S . So $\|(f - \sum_{j=1}^m F_j, \tilde{f} - \sum_{j=1}^m \tilde{F}_j)\| \leq \frac{1-r}{2} \frac{1}{2^m}$. Then the series $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (F_m, \tilde{F}_m)$ converges, and we say $(F, \tilde{F}) \in [C(\Sigma)]^2$ its sum. Since D is a closed subspace, $(F, \tilde{F}) \in D$ and

$$\|(F, \tilde{F})\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|(F_m, \tilde{F}_m)\| < r + (1-r) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} = 1.$$

It is evident that $(F|_S, \tilde{F}|_S) = (f, \tilde{f})$. □

From the previous result the next theorem follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let D be a linear closed subspace of $[C(\Sigma)]^2$. Let S be a closed subset of Σ such that if $(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \in D^\perp$ then $(\mu, \tilde{\mu})|_S = 0$. If $(f, \tilde{f}) \in [C(S)]^2$ and γ is a positive continuous function on Σ such that $\|(f, \tilde{f})\| \leq \gamma$ on S , then there exists $(F, \tilde{F}) \in D$ such that $(F|_S, \tilde{F}|_S) = (f, \tilde{f})$.*

Proof. Let $E = \{(g, \tilde{g}) \in [C(\Sigma)]^2 / \gamma(g, \tilde{g}) \in D\} \subset [C(\Sigma)]^2$. The orthogonal of E consists of $(\gamma\nu, \gamma\tilde{\nu}) \in [M(\Sigma)]^2$ such that $(\nu, \tilde{\nu}) \in D^\perp$. We observe that if $(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \in E^\perp$ then $(\mu, \tilde{\mu})|_S = 0$. So, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists $(G, \tilde{G}) \in E$ such that $G|_S = \frac{f}{\gamma}$ and $\tilde{G}|_S = \frac{\tilde{f}}{\gamma}$. Then, there exists $(F, \tilde{F}) \in D$ such that $(\gamma G, \gamma\tilde{G}) = (F, \tilde{F})$. Therefore (F, \tilde{F}) extends (f, \tilde{f}) . \square

We remark that this theorem could also be deduced from a general result Saab [9, Corollary 3].

4. The Rudin-Carleson Theorem in \mathbb{R}^n

From now on, Ω is assumed to be a bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n such that its boundary $\partial\Omega$ is a Lyapunov hypersurface Σ (i.e. Σ has a uniformly Hölder continuous normal field of some exponent $\lambda \in (0, 1]$) and such that $\mathbb{R}^n - \overline{\Omega}$ is connected.

At first let us prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *If $\alpha = \alpha^0 + \dots + \alpha^{n-1}$, $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}^n + \dots + \tilde{\alpha}^1 \in M(\Sigma)$ are such that*

$$\int_{\Sigma} [\alpha \wedge *U + U \wedge \tilde{\alpha}] = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

for every non-homogeneous differential form $U = \sum_{j=0}^n u_j \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ which is self-conjugate in Ω . Then α and $\tilde{\alpha}$ are absolutely continuous (i.e. all the components of α and $\tilde{\alpha}$ are absolutely continuous k -measures).

Proof. We set $U = u_{k-1} + u_{k+1}$ ($k = 1, \dots, n-1$) with

$$u_{k-1} = -\delta\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k}, \quad u_{k+1} = d\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k}, \quad (4.2)$$

where $\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k}$ is the k -form $\omega_h dx^{i_1} \dots dx^{i_k}$ and ω_h are harmonic polynomials of degree h . U is self-conjugate, in fact

$$du_{k-1} = -d\delta\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k} = \delta d\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k} = \delta u_{k+1}$$

and

$$du_{k+1} = d^2\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k} = 0, \quad \delta u_{k-1} = \delta^2\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k} = 0,$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. Substituting (4.2) in (4.1) we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma} [\alpha^k \wedge *d\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k} - \alpha^{k-2} \wedge *\delta\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k} - \delta\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k} \wedge \tilde{\alpha}^k + d\omega_h^{i_1 \dots i_k} \wedge \tilde{\alpha}^{k+2}] = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$. Equality (4.3) are the conditions of Cialdea [3, Theorem X] which assure the absolute continuity of α and $\tilde{\alpha}$. \square

We observe that the previous result in the case $n = 2$ gives the classical Brothers Riesz theorem [7].

We show now the Rudin-Carleson theorem for non-homogeneous differential forms:

Theorem 4.2. *If S is a closed subset of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue zero measure on Σ and if f and \tilde{f} are continuous non-homogeneous differential forms on S , then there exists a non-homogeneous differential form U on Ω which is self-conjugate in Ω and such that U and its adjoint form $*U$ extend f and \tilde{f} , respectively.*

Proof. Let

$$D = \{(U, *U)|_{\Sigma} / U \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega}), dU = \delta U \text{ in } \Omega\} \tag{4.4}$$

be the subspace of $[C_0(\Sigma) \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n-1}(\Sigma)]^2$. Its orthogonal is

$$D^{\perp} = \left\{ (\mu, \tilde{\mu}) / \int_{\Sigma} [\mu \wedge *U + U \wedge \tilde{\mu}] = 0, \quad \forall (U, *U) \in D \right\}. \tag{4.5}$$

$D^{\perp} \subseteq [M_0(\Sigma) \oplus \dots \oplus M_{n-1}(\Sigma)]^2$. Hence, if $(\mu, \tilde{\mu}) \in D^{\perp}$, from Theorem 4.1, μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ are absolute continuous (that is, all the components of every k -measure of μ and $\tilde{\mu}$ are absolutely continuous). Moreover, if S is a closed subset of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue zero measure on Σ , we have that $(\mu, \tilde{\mu})|_S = 0$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that there exists $(F, *F) \in D$ such that $F|_S = f$ and $*F|_S = \tilde{f}$, therefore there exists $U \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ self-conjugate in Ω for that holds the thesis. \square

Let us consider now some applications. For $n = 2$, we get the Rudin-Carleson theorem in the real form. It is enough to take D as the subspace of the restrictions to Σ of all the conjugate functions u and v in Ω , i.e. the functions u and v satisfying the *Cauchy-Riemann equations*: $u_x = v_y, u_y = -v_x$.

For $n = 3$, we achieve the following theorem providing an interesting property of solutions of the Moisil-Theodorescu system (2.1):

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f_0 \in C_0(S), f_1 \in C_1(S), f_2 \in C_2(S)$, where S is a closed of 2-dimensional Lebesgue zero measure on $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$, there exists a non-homogeneous form $U = u_0 + u_2 \in C^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, where $u_0 \equiv u$ and*

$u_2 = v_1 dx^2 dx^3 + v_2 dx^3 dx^1 + v_3 dx^1 dx^2$ such that (u, v_1, v_2, v_3) is solution of (2.1) and such that $u_0|_S = f_0$, $u_2|_S = f_2$ and $*u_2|_S = f_1$.

Proof. It is enough to take $D = \{(U, *U)|_\Sigma / U = u_0 + u_2 \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega}), du_0 = \delta u_2, du_2 = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\}$. \square

For $n = 4$, let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^4 . We say that a form $U = u_0 + u_2 + u_4 \in C^1(\Omega)$ satisfies the Fueter system if there exists $(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) \in [C^1(\Omega)]^4$ such that $u_0 = f_0$, $u_2 = f_1(dx^1 dx^2 - dx^3 dx^4) + f_2(dx^1 dx^3 - dx^4 dx^2) + f_3(dx^1 dx^4 - dx^2 dx^3)$, $u_4 = f_0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3 dx^4$ and if (f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3) is the solution of (2.2). Evidently, a form satisfying the Fueter system is self-conjugate, but generally speaking, the converse is not true. However, the following result holds true:

Theorem 4.4. *A form $U = u_0 + u_2 + u_4$ of class C^1 on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ such that $u_0 = *u_4$ and $u_2 = -*u_2$ is self-conjugate in Ω if, and only if, U satisfies the Fueter system in Ω .*

Proof. Let $U = u_0 + u_2 + u_4 \in C^1(\Omega)$ be a self-conjugate form in Ω where

$$u_0 = g_0, \quad u_2 = \frac{1}{2} u_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad u_4 = h_0 dx^1 \dots dx^4.$$

By hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} *u_4 &= h_0 = u_0 = g_0, \\ *u_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (u_{12} dx^3 dx^4 - u_{13} dx^2 dx^4 + u_{14} dx^2 dx^3 + u_{23} dx^1 dx^4 \\ &\quad - u_{24} dx^1 dx^3 + u_{34} dx^1 dx^2) = -u_2, \end{aligned}$$

we have that

$$u_{12} = -u_{34}, \quad u_{13} = u_{24}, \quad u_{14} = -u_{23}.$$

Thus, substituting in u_2 we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (u_{12} (dx^1 dx^2 - dx^3 dx^4) + u_{13} (dx^1 dx^2 - dx^4 dx^2) \\ &\quad + u_{14} (dx^1 dx^4 - dx^2 dx^3)) = g_1 (dx^1 dx^2 - dx^3 dx^4) \\ &\quad + g_2 (dx^1 dx^3 - dx^4 dx^2) + g_3 (dx^1 dx^4 - dx^2 dx^3). \end{aligned}$$

The converse is obvious. \square

The following theorem shows a property of (2.2) solution:

Theorem 4.5. *If $f = f_0 + f_2$ and $\tilde{f} = f_0 - f_2$ are continuous non-homogeneous differential forms on a closed S of 3-dimensional Lebesgue zero measure on $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$, there exists a non-homogeneous form $W \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ which satisfies (2.2) and such that W and $*W$ extend f and \tilde{f} , respectively.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that there exists $U = u_0 + u_2 + u_4 \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ self-conjugate in Ω such that $u_0|_S = f_0$, $u_2|_S = f_2$, $*u_2|_S = -f_2$, $*u_4|_S = f_0$.

Let $W = w_0 + w_2 + w_4$ be the form defined as

$$w_0 = \frac{1}{2}(u_0 + *u_4), \quad w_2 = \frac{1}{2}(u_2 - *u_2), \quad w_4 = \frac{1}{2}(u_0 + *u_4).$$

$W \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ is such that $*w_4 = w_0$ and $*w_2 = -w_2$ and it is self-conjugate in Ω , in fact

$$\begin{aligned} dw_0 &= \frac{1}{2}d(u_0 + *u_4) = \frac{1}{2}(du_0 + d*u_4) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta u_2 - **d*u_4) = \\ &\frac{1}{2}(\delta u_2 + *\delta u_4) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta u_2 + *du_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\delta u_2 - \delta *u_2) = \delta\left(\frac{1}{2}(u_2 - *u_2)\right) = \delta w_2; \\ dw_2 &= -d*w_2 = (-1)^{1+3(4-3)}**d*w_2 = -*\delta w_2 = -*dw_0 = \\ &(-1)^{1-4(4+1)+1}\delta*w_0 = \delta*w_0 = \delta w_4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from Theorem 4.4 that W satisfies the Fueter system. Moreover, W extends f and $*W$ extends \tilde{f} . □

To conclude, we show the following theorem which gives a similar property of harmonic vector (2.3):

Theorem 4.6. *For any $n \geq 2$, let $f_1 \in C_1(S)$, $f_{n-1} \in C_{n-1}(S)$, where S is a closed subset of $(n-1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue zero measure on Σ . There exists a 1-form $u_1 = w_h dx^h \in C_1^\infty(\Omega) \cap C_1^0(\bar{\Omega})$ whose components satisfy (2.3) and such that $u_1|_S = f_1$, $*u_1|_S = f_{n-1}$.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.2 when $f = f_1$ and $\tilde{f} = f_{n-1}$. Thus, there exists $U = u_1 = w_h dx^h$ self-conjugate, i.e. $du_1 = 0$, $\delta u_1 = 0$, such that $u_1|_S = f_1$, $*u_1|_S = f_{n-1}$. But $du_1 = 0$ and $\delta u_1 = 0$ are equivalent to (2.3), as one can easily verify. □

A similar result can be proved for harmonic forms (2.4).

References

- [1] E. Bishop, A general Rudin-Carleson theorem, *Proc. Amer. Soc.*, **13** (1960), 140-143.
- [2] L. Carleson, Representations of continuous functions, *Math.*, **66** (1957), 447-451.
- [3] A. Cialdea, The brothers Riesz theorem for conjugate differential forms in \mathbb{R}^n , *Appl. Anal.*, **65** (1997), 69-94.
- [4] G. Fichera, Spazi lineari di k -misure e di forme differenziali, In: *Proc. of Intern. Symposium on Linear Spaces*, Jerusalem 1960, Israel Ac. of Sciences and Humanities, Pergamon Press (1961), 175-226.
- [5] R. Fueter, Die Funktionentheorie der Differentialgleichungen $\Delta u = 0$ und $\Delta\Delta u = 0$ mit vier reellen Variablen, *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **7** (1934-35), 307-330.
- [6] G. C. Moisil, N. Theodorescu, Fonctions holomorphes dans l'espace, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, **V** (1931), 142-159.
- [7] F. Riesz and M. Riesz, Über Randwerte einer analytischen Funktion, In: *Quatrième Congrès des mathématiciens scandinaves*, Stockholm (1916), 27-44.
- [8] W. Rudin, Boundary values of continuous analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Soc.*, **7** (1956), 808-811.
- [9] P. Saab, A general Rudin-Carleson theorem for vector valued functions, *General topology and modern analysis* (1980), 485-490.
- [10] A. Sudbery, Quaternionic analysis, *Math. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **85** (1979), 199-225.
- [11] E. M. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1971).