

**THE BIRKHOFF-KELLOGG THEOREM  
CONCERNING 0-EPI MAPS**

In-Sook Kim

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Sungkyunkwan University  
Suwon 440-746, KOREA  
e-mail: iskim@math.skku.ac.kr

**Abstract:** Considering fundamental properties of 0-epi maps in a topological vector space setting, we give a perturbation theorem for 0-epi maps and a generalization of the Birkhoff-Kellogg Theorem concerning 0-epi maps.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 46A16, 47H09, 47J10

**Key Words:** 0-epi maps,  $(0, k)$ -epi maps,  $k$ -set contractions, perturbations, Birkhoff-Kellogg Theorem, admissible topological vector spaces

**1. Introduction**

The concept of a 0-epi map was introduced by M. Furi, M. Martelli, and A. Vignoli [4]. Since then the theory of 0-epi maps has developed by many researchers (see [5], [7], [16]). 0-epi maps have analogous properties to degree theory, such as existence, normalization, homotopy invariance, and boundary dependence. It turns out that under suitable conditions the 0-epi maps are precisely those maps with nonzero degree (see [6], [18]). Moreover, 0-epi maps can be used to study the solvability of boundary value problems and a spectral theory for nonlinear operators (see [3], [4], [15]).

In this regard, it is natural to investigate the theory of 0-epi maps in a more general situation. The purpose of this paper is twofold. Firstly, we establish some results on 0-epi maps in a topological vector space setting, including a perturbation theorem for 0-epi maps, which were initiated by M. Furi, M. Martelli, and A. Vignoli [4]. Secondly, we give a generalization of the Birkhoff-Kellogg Theorem concerning 0-epi maps due to M. Furi and A. Vignoli [5].

Throughout this paper we assume that  $E, F$  are Hausdorff topological vector spaces and  $\Omega$  is a nonempty bounded open subset of  $E$  unless otherwise specified.

The closure and the boundary of  $\Omega$  in  $E$  are denoted by  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $\partial\Omega$ , respectively.

A continuous map  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is said to be *compact* if its range  $f(\overline{\Omega})$  is contained in a compact subset of  $F$ . A continuous map  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is said to be *proper* if  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact for every compact subset  $K$  of  $F$ .

A continuous map  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is said to be *0-epi* if:

- (1)  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ ; and
- (2) for any compact map  $h : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  with  $h(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , the equation  $f(x) = h(x)$  has a solution in  $\Omega$ .

A continuous map  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is called *p-epi* if the map  $f - p$  defined by  $(f - p)(x) := f(x) - p$  for  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  is 0-epi.

A nonempty subset  $X$  of a topological vector space  $E$  is said to be *admissible* provided that for every compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and for every neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $E$ , there exists a continuous map  $h : K \rightarrow X$  such that  $x - h(x) \in V$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $h(K)$  is contained in a finite dimensional subspace of  $E$ . If  $X = E$ , then the topological vector space  $E$  is called *admissible* (see [9], [11]).

It is well-known that every nonempty convex subset of a locally convex topological vector space is admissible. The spaces  $L^p(0, 1)$  for  $0 < p < 1$  and  $S(0, 1)$  are admissible topological vector spaces (see [12], [13]). Here  $S(0, 1)$  is the space of measurable real-valued functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  with the metric given by

$$d(f, g) = \int_0^1 \frac{|f(t) - g(t)|}{1 + |f(t) - g(t)|} dt \quad \text{for } f, g \in S(0, 1).$$

## 2. A Perturbation Theorem for 0-epi Maps

We begin with the following fixed point theorem which is a particular form of [8, Satz 4.2.5].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X$  be an admissible closed convex set in a Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a compact map, then  $f$  has a fixed point.*

In a topological vector space setting we consider some basic properties of 0-epi maps which originate from M. Furi, M. Martelli, and A. Vignoli [4].

**Lemma 2.** *For Hausdorff topological vector spaces  $E, F$  and a nonempty bounded open subset  $\Omega$  of  $E$ , the class of  $p$ -epi maps has the following properties:*

- (a) (Existence) *If  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is  $p$ -epi, then the equation  $f(x) = p$  has a solution in  $\Omega$ .*
- (b) (Normalization) *Let  $E$  be an admissible topological vector space. Then the inclusion map  $i : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow E$  is  $p$ -epi if and only if  $p \in \Omega$ .*
- (c) (Homotopy invariance) *Suppose  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is a 0-epi map such that one of the following conditions holds:*
  - (1)  *$f$  is proper.*
  - (2)  *$\overline{\Omega}$  is normal.*

*Let  $H : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow F$  be a compact homotopy such that  $H(x, 0) = 0$  for each  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . If  $f(x) + H(x, t) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then the map  $f(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 1) : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is 0-epi.*

- (d) (Localization) *Let  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  be a 0-epi map such that  $f^{-1}(0)$  is contained in an open set  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega$ . Then the restriction of  $f$  to  $\overline{\Omega}_1$ ,  $f|_{\overline{\Omega}_1} : \overline{\Omega}_1 \rightarrow F$ , is 0-epi.*
- (e) (Boundary dependence) *If  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is a 0-epi map and  $g : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is a compact map such that  $g(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , then  $f + g : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is 0-epi.*

*Proof.* Statements (a) and (e) follow directly from the definition of  $p$ -epi maps.

(b) If  $i$  is  $p$ -epi, the existence property (a) implies that  $p \in \Omega$ . Conversely, it is sufficient to show that  $i$  is 0-epi if  $0 \in \Omega$ . Let  $h : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow E$  be a compact map such that  $h(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Extend  $h$  to a compact map  $\hat{h} : E \rightarrow E$  by setting  $\hat{h}(x) = 0$  for each  $x \notin \Omega$ . Since  $0 \in \Omega$ , the equation  $i(x) = h(x)$  has a solution in  $\Omega$  if and only if the map  $\hat{h} : E \rightarrow E$  has a fixed point. Since  $\hat{h}$  is a compact map defined on an admissible Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$ , we conclude by Lemma 1 that  $\hat{h}$  has a fixed point. Hence, the inclusion map  $i$  is 0-epi.

(c) Let  $k : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  be a compact map such that  $k(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Consider the set

$$S := \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : f(x) + H(x, t) = k(x) \text{ for some } t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

- (1) Suppose  $f$  is proper. Then  $S$  is a closed set which is contained in some compact set because  $H$  and  $k$  are compact and  $f$  is proper. Since  $S$  is compact in a completely regular topological space  $E$  and  $S \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$ , there is a continuous function  $\varphi : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in S$  and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Now observe the equation

$$f(x) = k(x) - H(x, \varphi(x)).$$

A map  $h : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  defined by  $h(x) := k(x) - H(x, \varphi(x))$  for  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  is compact and vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$ . Since  $f$  is 0-epi, the above equation  $f(x) = h(x)$  has a solution  $x_0$  in  $\Omega$ . Clearly, we have  $x_0 \in S$  which implies  $\varphi(x_0) = 1$  and hence  $f(x_0) + H(x_0, 1) = k(x_0)$ . Thus, the map  $f(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 1)$  is 0-epi.

- (2) Suppose  $\overline{\Omega}$  is normal. Note that the set  $S$  is closed in  $\overline{\Omega}$  because the maps  $H$  and  $k$  are continuous and  $[0, 1]$  is compact. Since  $\overline{\Omega}$  is normal and  $S \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$ , there exists a continuous function  $\varphi : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in S$  and  $\varphi(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . An analogous argument to the first part (1) of the proof establishes the rest of this proof.

(d) Let  $h : \overline{\Omega}_1 \rightarrow F$  be a compact map that vanishes on  $\partial\Omega_1$ . Extend  $h$  to a compact map  $\hat{h} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  by putting  $\hat{h}(x) = 0$  if  $x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_1$ . Since  $f$  is 0-epi, the equation  $f(x) = \hat{h}(x)$  has a solution  $x_0$  in  $\Omega$ . The relation  $f^{-1}(0) \subset \Omega_1$  implies that  $x_0 \in \Omega_1$  and  $f(x_0) = h(x_0)$ . Therefore, the map  $f|_{\overline{\Omega}_1}$  is 0-epi. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** (1) In the admissible topological vector space  $L^p(0, 1)$  for  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $\Omega = \{f \in L^p(0, 1) : \int_0^1 |f(t)|^p dt < 1\}$  is a bounded open set which contains the origin 0. The normalization property implies that the inclusion map  $i : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow L^p(0, 1)$  is 0-epi.

(2) If  $\Omega$  is a subset of a metrizable topological vector space  $E$ , then  $\overline{\Omega}$  is normal. For example, every closed subset of the metrizable topological vector space  $S(0, 1)$  is normal.

Now we present the following two results in a metrizable topological vector space setting which extend [4, Theorem 1.1] and [4, Theorem 1.2].

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be metrizable topological vector spaces. Let  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  be a proper 0-epi map. If  $C$  is the connected component of  $F \setminus f(\partial\Omega)$  which contains the origin 0, then  $C \subset f(\Omega)$ . This means that  $f(\Omega)$  contains a neighborhood of 0.*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is proper, the set  $f(\partial\Omega)$  is closed. Hence  $C$  is path connected and open. Notice that in a topological vector space the connected component and the path connected component coincide (see e.g. [2, Theorem 5.19]). For each  $p \in C$ , let  $\varphi : [0, 1] \rightarrow C$  be a continuous map such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(1) = p$ . Consider a compact homotopy  $H : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow F$  defined by

$$H(x, t) := -\varphi(t) \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1].$$

From  $f(\partial\Omega) \cap C = \emptyset$  and  $\varphi([0, 1]) \subset C$  it follows that  $f(x) + H(x, t) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . By the homotopy invariance property of Lemma 2, the map  $f(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 1) : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is 0-epi, which implies that  $f(x_0) = p$  for some  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and hence  $p \in f(\Omega)$ . We conclude that  $C \subset f(\Omega)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be metrizable topological vector spaces such that  $F$  is admissible. Let  $F$  be an admissible topological vector space and  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  a proper, injective, continuous map. Then  $f(\Omega)$  is open if and only if  $f$  is  $p$ -epi for every  $p \in f(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $p \in f(\Omega)$  and  $f$  is  $p$ -epi. In view of Lemma 4,  $(f - p)(\Omega)$  contains a neighborhood of 0 and hence  $f(\Omega)$  is open. Conversely, suppose  $f(\Omega)$  is open. Since  $f$  is injective and proper, it is obvious that  $f$  is invertible on its image and  $f^{-1}$  is continuous. Recall that any proper operator  $f$  in metric spaces maps closed sets into closed sets (see e.g. [17]). It suffices to show that if  $0 \in f(\Omega)$ , then  $f$  is 0-epi. Consider a compact map  $h : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  such that  $h(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and define a map  $\psi : F \rightarrow F$  by

$$\psi(y) := \begin{cases} h(f^{-1}(y)) & \text{for } y \in \overline{f(\Omega)}, \\ 0 & \text{for } y \notin f(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\partial f(\Omega) = f(\partial\Omega)$  and  $\psi(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in \partial f(\Omega)$ . Since  $\psi$  is compact and  $F$  is admissible, Lemma 1 implies that there exists a point  $y_0 \in F$  such that  $y_0 = \psi(y_0)$ . From  $0 \in f(\Omega)$  it follows that  $y_0 \in f(\Omega)$  and  $y_0 = h(f^{-1}(y_0))$ . Hence  $x_0 := f^{-1}(y_0)$  is a solution of the equation  $f(x) = h(x)$ . Thus,  $f$  is 0-epi. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following result is a generalization of [4, Theorem 1.3].

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Hausdorff topological vector spaces. Let  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  be a 0-epi map and  $Q \subset F$  a star-shaped set with respect to the origin such that  $Q \cap f(\partial\Omega) = \emptyset$ . Assume that one of the following conditions holds:*

(1)  $f$  is proper.

(2)  $\overline{\Omega}$  is normal.

*Then the equation  $f(x) = h(x)$  has a solution in  $\Omega$  for any compact map  $h : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  such that  $h(\partial\Omega) \subset Q$ . In particular,  $Q \subset f(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $h : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  be any compact map such that  $h(\partial\Omega) \subset Q$ . For every  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , since  $th(x) \in Q$  and  $Q \cap f(\partial\Omega) = \emptyset$ , we have  $f(x) \neq th(x)$ . By the homotopy invariance property,  $f - h$  is 0-epi. The existence property implies that there exists a point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $f(x_0) = h(x_0)$ . Thus, the first part is proved. Next let  $p \in Q$  be an arbitrary element. By taking  $h$  as the constant map  $h(x) = p$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , the first part shows that  $p \in f(\Omega)$ . Consequently, we have  $Q \subset f(\Omega)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We give the following perturbation theorem for 0-epi maps in topological vector spaces. For the case of normed spaces, we refer to [4, Theorem 1.5] or [7, Theorem 4.2.9].

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Hausdorff topological vector spaces and  $\Omega$  a nonempty bounded open subset of  $E$ . Suppose  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is a proper 0-epi map and  $H : \overline{\Omega} \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow F$  is a compact map such that  $H(x, 0) = 0$  for each  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Then there exists a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f(\cdot) - H(\cdot, t)$  is 0-epi for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ .*

*Proof.* According to the homotopy invariance property of Lemma 2, it suffices to show that there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $f(x) \neq H(x, t)$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and for all  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Assume to the contrary that there exists a net  $\{(x_\iota, t_\iota)\}$  in  $\partial\Omega \times [-1, 1]$  such that  $t_\iota \rightarrow 0$  and  $f(x_\iota) = H(x_\iota, t_\iota)$  for each  $\iota$ . Since  $H$  is compact and  $f$  is proper, the net  $\{x_\iota\}$  is contained in a compact set. Without loss of generality we may suppose that the net  $\{x_\iota\}$  converges to some point  $x_0$  in  $\partial\Omega$ . From the continuity of  $f$  and  $H$  it follows that  $f(x_0) = H(x_0, 0) = 0$  which contradicts the fact that  $0 \notin f(\partial\Omega)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. A Generalized Birkhoff-Kellogg Theorem

In this section we give a generalized Birkhoff-Kellogg Theorem concerning 0-epi maps, based on the fundamental properties of 0-epi maps stated in Section 2.

For our aim we need a modification of [5, Lemma 1] (see also [7, Lemma 4.2.11]). A set  $K$  in a topological vector space  $F$  is said to be *radially bounded* if the intersection of  $K$  with each straight line through the origin is bounded (see [14]).

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $E$  and  $F$  be Hausdorff topological vector spaces and  $\Omega$  be a nonempty bounded open subset of  $E$ . Let  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  be a 0-epi map and  $p \in F$  a nonzero vector such that  $f$  is proper or  $\overline{\Omega}$  is normal. If  $f(\overline{\Omega})$  is radially bounded, then there exist a real number  $\mu_0 > 0$  and a vector  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $f(x_0) = \mu_0 p$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f(\overline{\Omega})$  is radially bounded, there exists a real number  $\mu > 0$  such that  $f(x) - \mu p \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . By the existence property of Lemma 2, the map  $f - \mu p$  is not 0-epi. Consider a compact homotopy  $H : \overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow F$  defined by

$$H(x, t) := -t\mu p \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, 1].$$

Since  $f$  is 0-epi and  $f(\cdot) + H(\cdot, 1)$  is not 0-epi, the homotopy invariance property of Lemma 2 implies that there are a vector  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and a real number  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that  $f(x_0) = t_0 \mu p$ . From  $0 \notin f(\partial\Omega)$  it follows that  $t_0 \neq 0$ . Setting  $\mu_0 = t_0 \mu$ , the proof is completed.  $\square$

Now we can prove a generalization of the Birkhoff-Kellogg Theorem concerning 0-epi maps which was initiated by M. Furi and A. Vignoli (see [5, Theorem 1] or [7, Theorem 4.2.12]).

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a nonempty bounded open subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$  and  $F$  an infinite-dimensional normed space. Let  $f : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  be a 0-epi map such that one of the following properties holds:*

- (1)  $f$  is proper.
- (2)  $\overline{\Omega}$  is normal.

Suppose  $g : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow F$  is a compact map that satisfies the following boundary condition:

$$\|g(x)\| \geq k > 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ and for some } k > 0.$$

If  $f(\Omega)$  is bounded, then there exist a real number  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and a vector  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $f(x_0) = \lambda_0 g(x_0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r : F \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow S(0, 1)$  be the radial projection given by

$$r(y) := y/\|y\| \quad \text{for } y \in F \setminus \{0\},$$

where  $S(0, 1)$  is the boundary of the open unit ball  $B(0, 1)$  of  $F$ . Since  $g$  is compact,  $r$  is continuous and  $\dim F = \infty$ , we see that the set  $r(\overline{g(\Omega)})$  is compact and  $S(0, 1)$  is not compact (see [10, Theorem 2.5-5]). Hence we can choose a vector  $p \in F$  such that

$$\|p\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad -p \notin r(\overline{g(\Omega)}).$$

Now we will claim that there is a sufficiently large real number  $\lambda > 0$  such that the map  $f - \lambda g$  is not 0-epi. Observe that  $f - \lambda g$  is proper whenever  $f$  is proper. Indeed, if it is not the case, by Lemma 8, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist an  $x_n \in \partial\Omega$  and a real number  $t_n > 0$  such that  $f(x_n) - ng(x_n) = t_n p$ , that is,  $\frac{f(x_n)}{n} - g(x_n) = (\frac{t_n}{n})p$ . Since  $\{f(x_n)\}$  is bounded, we have  $\frac{f(x_n)}{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that the sequence  $\{g(x_n)\}$  converges to some element  $z \in \overline{g(\partial\Omega)}$  because  $g$  is compact. From the boundary condition of  $g$  it follows that there is a real number  $\beta > 0$  such that  $-\beta p = z$ , which implies that  $-p = \frac{z}{\|\beta p\|} = r(z) \in r(\overline{g(\partial\Omega)})$ . This contradicts our choice of  $p$ .

Since  $f$  is 0-epi and  $f - \lambda g$  is not 0-epi, as in the proof of Lemma 8, the homotopy invariance property of Lemma 2 implies that there exist a real number  $\lambda_0 > 0$  and a vector  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $f(x_0) = \lambda_0 g(x_0)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following result is just the well-known Birkhoff-Kellogg Theorem when  $\Omega$  is the open unit ball of a normed space (see [1]).

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of an infinite-dimensional normed space  $E$  with  $0 \in \Omega$ . If  $g : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow E$  is a compact map such that  $\|g(x)\| \geq k > 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and for some  $k > 0$ , then there exist a real number  $\mu_0 > 0$  and a vector  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $g(x_0) = \mu_0 x_0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $E$  is a metrizable admissible topological vector space,  $\overline{\Omega}$  is normal, and  $0 \in \Omega$ . The normalization property of Lemma 2 implies that the inclusion map  $i : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow E$  is 0-epi. Apply Theorem 9 with  $f = i$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grant No. R05-2002-000-00320-0 from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

## References

- [1] G.D. Birkhoff, O.D. Kellogg, Invariant points in function space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23** (1922), 96-115.
- [2] F.H. Croom, *Principles of Topology*, Saunders College Publishing, Orlando (1989).
- [3] W. Feng, A new spectral theory for nonlinear operators and its applications, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, **2** (1997), 163-183.
- [4] M. Furi, M. Martelli, A. Vignoli, On the solvability of nonlinear operator equations in normed spaces, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **124** (1980), 321-343.
- [5] M. Furi, A. Vignoli, Unbounded nontrivial branches of eigenfunctions for nonlinear equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **6** (1982), 1267-1270.
- [6] E. Giorgieri, M. Văth, A characterization of 0-epi maps with a degree, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **187** (2001), 183-199.
- [7] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac, T.M. Rassias, *Topics in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, World Scientific, Singapore (1997).
- [8] T. Jerofsky, *Zur Fixpunkttheorie mengenwertiger Abbildungen*, Dissertation A, TU Dresden (1983).
- [9] V. Klee, Leray-Schauder theory without local convexity, *Math. Ann.*, **141** (1960), 286-296.
- [10] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*, Wiley, New York (1989).
- [11] M. Landsberg, T. Riedrich, Über positive Eigenwerte kompakter Abbildungen in topologischen Vektorräumen, *Math. Ann.*, **163** (1966), 50-61.
- [12] T. Riedrich, Die Räume  $L^p(0, 1)$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) sind zulässig, *Wiss. Z. Techn. Univ. Dresden*, **12** (1963), 1149-1152.
- [13] T. Riedrich, Der Raum  $S(0, 1)$  ist zulässig, *Wiss. Z. Techn. Univ. Dresden*, **13** (1964), 1-6.
- [14] T. Riedrich, Das Birkhoff-Kellogg Theorem für lokal radial beschränkte Räume, *Math. Ann.*, **166** (1966), 264-276.

- [15] P. Santucci, M. Väth, Grasping the phantom – a new approach to nonlinear spectral theory, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, **180** (2001), 255-284.
- [16] E.U. Tarafdar, H.B. Thompson, On the solvability of nonlinear noncompact operator equations, *J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. A*, **43** (1987), 103-126.
- [17] M. Väth, Fixed point theorems and fixed point index for countably condensing maps, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, **13** (1999), 341-363.
- [18] M. Väth, On the connection of degree theory and 0-epi map, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **257** (2001), 223-237.