

A NEW REFINEMENT ON THE HARDY-HILBERT  
THEOREM FOR DOUBLE SERIES  
AND ITS APPLICATIONS

Gao Mingzhe<sup>1</sup>, Lokenath Debnath<sup>2</sup> §

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Normal College

Jishou University

Jishou Hunan 416000, P.R. CHINA

e-mail: mingzhegao@163. com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics

University of Texas – Pan American

1201 W. University Drive, Edinburg, Texas 78539, USA

e-mail: debnathl@panam. edu

**Abstract:** In this paper, it is shown that a new refinement on the Hardy-Hilbert inequality for double series can be established by introducing a proper weight function of the form  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} - \theta_r$ , (with  $\theta_r > 0$ ,  $r = p, q$ ) and by means of the positive definiteness of Gram matrix and Euler-Maclaurin summation formula. In particular for case  $p = 2$ , a sharp result of Hubert Theorem for double series is obtained. As applications, a new extension and a new improvement on Hardy-Littlewood inequality are attained.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 26D15, 46C99, 15A03, 15A63

**Key Words:** Hardy-Hilbert inequality, double series, weight function, inner product, Gram matrix

---

Received: November 4, 2003

© 2004, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be two sequences of non-negative real numbers,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  and  $p > 1$ . If  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p < +\infty$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^q < +\infty$ , then the Hardy-Hilbert inequality (see [1]) may be written in the form

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1)$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p}$  in (1) is best possible. The equality contained in (1) holds if and only if  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  is a zero-sequence. Recently, the inequality (1) is generalized or improved in some papers (see [1], [2] and [8], etc.). The purpose of this paper is to give a new refinement of (1) which is different from the previous results obtained. At same time, its important applications are given. For convenience, we need to introduce the following notations:

$$(a^r, b^s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^r b_n^s, \quad s_r(\alpha, x) = (\alpha^{r/2}, x) \|\alpha\|_r^{-r/2},$$

$$\|x\|_r = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_n^r \right)^{1/r}, \quad \|x\|_2 = \|x\|.$$

## 2. Lemmas and Their Proofs

In order to verify our assertions, we need to build the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$ , ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  and  $p > 1$ . If  $0 < \|a\|_p < +\infty$  and  $0 < \|b\|_q < +\infty$ , then*

$$(a, b) \leq \|a\|_p \|b\|_q (1-r)^m, \quad (2)$$

where  $r = (s_p(a, c) - s_q(b, c))^2$ ,  $m = \min\{1/p, 1/q\}$ ,  $\|c\| = 1$  and  $(a^{p/2}, c)(b^{q/2}, c) > 0$ . And:

(i) *In the case  $p \neq q$ , the equality in (2) holds if  $a^{p/2}$  and  $b^{q/2}$  are linearly dependent.*

(ii) *In the case  $p = q$ , the equality in (2) holds if  $a$  and  $b$  are linearly dependent, or the vector  $c$  is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ , and  $(a, c)(b, c) = 0$  but the vector  $c$  is not simultaneously orthogonal to  $a$  and  $b$ .*

*Proof.* At first, we consider the case  $p \neq q$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $p > q > 1$ . Since  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , we have  $p > 2$ . Let  $R = p/2$ ,  $Q = p/(p - 2)$ . Then  $1/R + 1/Q = 1$ . By Hölder inequality we obtain

$$(a, b) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k b_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k b_k^{q/p}) b_k^{1-q/p} \leq \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k b_k^{q/p})^R \right)^{\frac{1}{R}} \times \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (b_k^{1-q/p})^Q \right)^{\frac{1}{Q}} = (a^{p/2}, b^{q/2})^{2/p} \|b\|_q^{q(1-2/p)}. \tag{3}$$

The equality in (3) holds if and only if  $a^{p/2}$  and  $b^{q/2}$  is linearly dependent. In fact, the equality in (3) holds if and only if to any  $k$ , there exists  $c_1$  ( $c_1 \neq 0$ ) such that  $(a_k b_k^{q/p})^R = c_1 (b_k^{1-q/p})^Q$ . It is easy to deduce that  $a_k^{p/2} = c_1 b_k^{q/2}$ .

In our previous papers (see [5], [7]), with the help of the positive definiteness of Gram matrix, it is established an important inequality of the form

$$(\alpha, \beta)^2 \leq \|\alpha\|^2 \|\beta\|^2 - (\|\alpha\|x - \|\beta\|y)^2, \tag{4}$$

where  $x = (\beta, \gamma)$ ,  $y = (\alpha, \gamma)$  with  $\|\gamma\| = 1$  and  $xy \geq 0$  (by the way, this condition should be added in the paper [5]). And the equality in (4) holds if and only if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are linearly dependent, or the vector  $\gamma$  is a linear combination of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and  $xy = 0$  but  $x$  and  $y$  are not simultaneously equal to zero.

If  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in (4) are replaced by  $a^{p/2}$ ,  $b^{q/2}$  and  $c$  respectively, then we get

$$(a^{p/2}, b^{q/2})^2 \leq \|a\|_p^p \|b\|_q^q (1 - r), \tag{5}$$

where  $r = (s_p(a, c) - s_q(b, c))^2$ . Substituting (5) into (3), we obtain after simplifications

$$(a, b) \leq \|a\|_p \|b\|_q (1 - r)^{1/p}. \tag{6}$$

And the equality in (6) holds if and if  $a^{p/2}$  and  $b^{q/2}$  is linearly dependent.

Notice that the symmetry of  $p$  and  $q$ . The inequality (2) follows from (6).

Secondly, consider the case  $p = 2$ . Basing on (4), we obtain at once:

$$(a, b) \leq \|a\| \|b\| (1 - \tilde{r})^{1/2}, \tag{7}$$

where  $\tilde{r} = ((a, c)\|a\|^{-1} - (b, c)\|b\|^{-1})^2$ ,  $\|c\| = 1$  and  $(a, c)(b, c) > 0$ . And the equality in (7) holds if and only if  $a$  and  $b$  are linearly dependent, or  $c$  is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ , and  $(a, c)(b, c) = 0$  but  $(a, c)$  and  $(b, c)$  are not simultaneously equal to zero. The proof of the lemma is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $r > 1$  and  $n \in N_0$ . Define a function  $H$  by

$$H(r, n) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2n+1}} \frac{1}{1+t} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/r} dt.$$

Then

$$H(r, n) > \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{2(n+1)} \left( \frac{1}{1-1/r} + \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \right). \tag{8}$$

*Proof.* Applying integration by parts to  $H(r, n)$ , we have

$$H(r, n) = \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{2(1-1/r)(n+1)} + \frac{1}{1-1/r} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2n+1}} \frac{t^{1-1/r}}{(1+t)^2} dt. \tag{9}$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2n+1}} \frac{t^{1-1/r}}{(1+t)^2} dt &> \int_0^{\frac{1}{2n+1}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n+1}\right)^{-2} t^{1-1/r} dt \\ &= \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{4(1-1/r)(2-1/r)(n+1)^2} > \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{8(n+1)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

It follows from (9) and (10) that the inequality (8) is valid. □

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\phi \downarrow 0$  ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ). Then

$$\int_0^\infty \rho(t)\phi(t) dt > -\frac{1}{8}\phi(0), \tag{11}$$

where  $\rho(t) = t - [t] - 1/2$ .

The proof has been given by Zhao (see [7]) and we omit it.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $r > 0$ ,  $n \in N_0$ . Define the function  $\theta$  by

$$\theta(r, n) = H(r, n) - \frac{1}{2}f(0) - \int_0^\infty \rho(t)f'(t) dt, \tag{12}$$

where the function  $f$  is defined by

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{t+n+1} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2t+1}\right)^{1/r}, \quad t \in [0, +\infty). \tag{13}$$

Then  $\theta(r, n) > \frac{(r+1)(2n+1)^{1/r}}{4r(r-1)(n+1)} > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\phi(t) = -f'(t)$ , where  $f(t)$  is defined by (13). It is easy to verify that  $\phi(t) \downarrow 0$  ( $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ) and

$$\phi(0) = \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{8(n+1)} \left( \frac{2}{r} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right).$$

By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(r, n) &= H(r, n) - \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{2(n+1)} + \int_0^\infty \rho(t)\phi(t) dt \\ &> \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{2(n+1)} \left\{ \frac{1}{1-1/r} + \frac{1}{4(n+1)} \right\} - \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{2(n+1)} - \frac{1}{8}\phi(0) \\ &= \frac{(2n+1)^{1/r}}{2(n+1)} \left( \frac{1}{r-1} - \frac{1}{2r} \right) = \frac{(r+1)(2n+1)^{1/r}}{4r(r-1)(n+1)} > 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Theorem and its Corollaries

For sake of convenience, we introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta(q, n) \right) a_n^p, \quad B = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta(p, n) \right) b_n^p, \\ C &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta(p, n) \right) a_n^q, \\ R &= \left( \frac{a_0^{p/2}}{\sqrt{A}} - \frac{b_0^{q/2}}{\sqrt{B}} \right)^2, \quad \theta_r = \frac{(r+1)(2n+1)^{1/r}}{4r(r-1)(n+1)}, \quad r = p, q, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where  $\theta(r, n)$  is the function defined by (12).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a_0, b_0 > 0$  and  $a_n, b_n \geq 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $0 < \|a\|_p < +\infty, 0 < \|b\|_q < +\infty, 1/p + 1/q = 1$  and  $p > q > 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} &< \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_q \right) a_n^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\times \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_p \right) b_n^q \right)^{1/q} (1 - R/p), \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where  $\theta_r$  ( $r = p, q$ ) and  $R$  are defined by (14).

*Proof.* Let us define two functions by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{a_m}{(m+n+1)^{1/p}} \left( \frac{2m+1}{2n+1} \right)^{1/pq}, \\ \beta &= \frac{b_m}{(m+n+1)^{1/q}} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2m+1} \right)^{1/pq}.\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Applying the inequality (2) to estimate the right hand side of (15) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha \beta \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^q \right)^{1/q} (1 - \tilde{R})^{1/p} \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(q, n) a_n^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(p, n) b_n^q \right)^{1/q} (1 - \tilde{R})^{1/p},\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

where the weight function  $\omega$  is defined by

$$\omega(r, n) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+n+1} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2m+1} \right)^{1/r}, \quad r = p, q,$$

and  $\tilde{R}$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R} &= \left\{ \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{p/2\gamma} \right) \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^p \right)^{-1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^{q/2\gamma} \right) \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^q \right)^{-1/2} \right\}^2,\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

where  $\gamma$  is a variable unit-vector.

Consider the function  $f(t)$  defined by (13). According to the paper [8], we have

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^m f(k) = \int_n^m f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} (f(m) - f(n)) + \int_n^m \rho(t) f'(t) dt,$$

where  $\rho(t) = t - [t] - 1/2$ .

Let  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $n = 0$ . Then we obtain the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula of the form:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f(k) = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2}f(0) + \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t)f'(t) dt, \tag{19}$$

We may apply the formula (19) to compute the weight function  $\omega$  in (17).

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(r, n) &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{t+n+1} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2t+1}\right)^{1/r} dt + \frac{1}{2}f(0) + \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t)f'(t) dt = \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+t} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/r} dt - \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2n+1}} \frac{1}{1+t} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/r} dx - \frac{1}{2}f(0) - \int_0^{\infty} \rho(t)f'(t) dt \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta(r, n), \quad r = p, q, \tag{20} \end{aligned}$$

where the function  $\theta(r, n)$  is defined by (12). Hence we get

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^p = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega(q, n)a_n^p = A, \tag{21}$$

and similarly, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^q = B, \tag{22}$$

where  $A$  and  $B$  are defined by (14).

Let us choose a unit-vector  $\gamma$  such that

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} 1, & m = n = 0; \\ 0, & m, n \in N_0 \text{ but } m, n \text{ are not simultaneously equal to zero.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $\|\gamma\|^2 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma^2 = 1$ . It is easy to deduce that

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{p/2} \gamma = a_0^{p/2}, \text{ and } \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta^{q/2} \gamma = b_0^{p/2}. \tag{23}$$

Substituting (21), (22) and (23) into (18),  $\tilde{R}$  is reduced to  $R$ , where  $R$  is defined by (14). The inequality (17) therefore may be written in form

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} \leq A^{1/p} B^{1/q} (1-R)^{1/p}. \tag{24}$$

Since  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are linearly independent, it is impossible to take equality in (24). Notice that  $0 < R < 1$  and  $0 < 1/p < 1$ . Hence we have

$$(1-R)^{1/p} < 1 - R/p. \tag{25}$$

By Lemma 4,  $\theta(r, n) > \theta$ , so  $A < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_q\right) a_n^p$ , and  $B < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_p\right) b_n^q$ .

Consequently, it follows from (24) and (25) that the inequality (15) keeps valid, and the proof of the theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $a_0 > 0, a_n > 0, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $0 < \|a\|_p < +\infty, 0 < \|b\|_q < +\infty, 1/p + 1/q = 1$  and  $p \geq q > 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} &< \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_q\right) a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_p\right) b_n^q \right\}^{1/q} (1 - \tilde{r}/p), \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where  $\theta_r$  ( $r = p, q$ ) is defined by (14), and  $\tilde{r}$  is defined by

$$\tilde{r} = \left\{ (a_0^p/A)^{1/2} - (b_0^q/B)^{1/2} \right\}^2, \tag{27}$$

and  $A, C$  are defined by (14).

**Corollary 2.** *If  $0 < \|a\| < +\infty$  and  $0 < \|b\| < +\infty$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} &< \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\pi - \theta) a_n^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\pi - \theta) b_n^2 \right)^{1/2} (1 - s/2), \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where  $\theta = 2 \arctan \frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{(2n+1)^{1/2}}{2(n+1)} - \frac{(n+2)(2n+1)^{1/2}\xi}{12(n+1)^2} > 0$  ( $0 < \xi < 1$ ) and  $s$  is defined by

$$s = \left\{ a_0 \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\pi - \theta) a_n^2 \right)^{-1/2} - b_0 \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\pi - \theta) b_n^2 \right)^{-1/2} \right\}^2.$$



*Proof.* We need only to give the expression of  $\theta$ .  
 Let us consider the function  $f$  defined by

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{t + n + 1} \left( \frac{2n + 1}{2t + 1} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let  $\omega$  is a weight function defined by

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m + n + 1} \left( \frac{2n + 1}{2m + 1} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We may apply the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula to  $\omega$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(n) &= \int_0^{\infty} f(t) dt + \frac{1}{2}f(0) - \frac{\xi}{12}f'(0) \\ &= \pi - \left\{ 2 \arctan \frac{1}{2n + 1} - \frac{(2n + 1)^{1/2}}{2(n + 1)} - \frac{(n + 2)(2n + 1)^{1/2}\xi}{12(n + 1)^2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < \xi < 1$  and

$$\theta = \left\{ 2 \arctan \frac{1}{2n + 1} - \frac{(2n + 1)^{1/2}}{2(n + 1)} - \frac{(n + 2)(2n + 1)^{1/2}\xi}{12(n + 1)^2} \right\} = \theta(2, n).$$

It follows from Lemma 4 that

$$\theta(2, n) > \frac{3(2n + 1)^{1/2}}{8(n + 1)} > 0.$$

Hence the inequality (28) keeps valid. □

**Remark.** The vector  $\gamma$  is a variable unit-vector. It may be chosen properly in accordance with our requirements (cf. [5], [7], [6], etc.). Hence the inequality (17) has generality.

### 4. Applications

Let  $f(x) \in L^2(0, 1)$  and  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x$ . Define a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  by

$$a_n = \int_0^1 x^n f(x) dx, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hardy-Littlewood (see [1]) proved that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \pi \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx, \quad (29)$$

where  $\pi$  is the best constant that the inequality (29) keeps valid. The following extension and improvement of (29) will be obtained by means of Corollary 1.

**Theorem 2.** *Under the assumptions just describe, if  $1/p + 1/q = 1$  and  $p \geq q > 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^2 &< \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_q \right) a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\times \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_q \right) b_n^q \right\}^{1/q} (1 - \tilde{r}/p) \int_0^1 f^2(t) dt, \quad (30) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_r$  ( $r = p, q$ ) and  $\tilde{r}$  are defined by (14) and (27) respectively.

*Proof.* By our assumptions, we may write  $a_n^2$  in the form:

$$a_n^2 = \int_0^1 a_n x^n f(x) dx.$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Corollary 1 to estimate the right-hand side of (30) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^2 &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 a_n x^n f(x) dx \right)^2 \\ &= \left\{ \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \right) f(x) dx \right\}^2 \leq \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \right)^2 dx \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_0^1 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_m a_n x^{m+n} dx \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx \\
 &= \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m a_n}{m+n+1} \right) \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx \\
 &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_q \right) a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi/p} - \theta_p \right) a_n^q \right\}^{1/q} \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \times (1 - \tilde{r}/p) \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx, \quad (31)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_r$  ( $r = p, q$ ) and  $\tilde{r}$  is defined by (14) and (27) respectively. Since  $f(x) \neq 0$ , for all  $x$ ,  $a_n \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Consequently, it is impossible to take equality in (31). It follows that the inequality (30) is valid and the theorem is therefore proved.  $\square$

In particular, for case  $p = 2$ , we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.** *Let the assumptions in Theorem 2 hold. Then*

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right)^2 < \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\pi - \theta) a_n^2 \right\} \int_0^1 f^2(x) dx, \quad (32)$$

where  $\theta = 2 \arctan \frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{(2n+1)^{1/2}}{2(n+1)} - \frac{(n+2)(2n+1)^{1/2}\xi}{12(n+1)^2} > 0$  ( $0 < \xi < 1$ ).

*Proof.* By Corollary 2,  $\theta = \theta(2, n) > 0$ .

Obviously, the inequality (30) is an extension of (29), and the inequality (32) is an improvement of (29).  $\square$

### 5. Acknowledgements

Authors would like to express their thanks to Professor L. C. Hsu for his encouragement.

### References

- [1] Yang Bicheng, On a strengthened version of the more accurate Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, *Ada Mathematica Sinica*, Beijing, China, **42**, No. 6 (1999), 1103-1110,
- [2] Yang Bicheng, L. Dabnath, On a new generalization of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, **233** (1999), 484-497.
- [3] Zhao Dejun, On a refinement of Hilbert's double series theorem, *Math. in Practice and Theory*, Beijing, China **1** (1993), 85-91.
- [4] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1952).
- [5] Gao Mingzhe, On Heisenberg's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, **234** (1999), 727-734.
- [6] Gao Mingzhe, On the Hilbert inequality, *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, **18**, No. 4 (1999), 1117-1122.
- [7] Gao Mingzhe, Tan Li, Lokenath Debnath, Some improvements on Hilbert's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, **229** (1999), 682-689.
- [8] Gao Mingzhe, Wei Shangrong, He Leping, On the Hilbert inequality with weights, *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, **21**, No. 1 (2002), 257-263.
- [9] Zhang Nanyue, Euler-Maclaurin summation formula and its application, *Math. in Practice and Theory*, Beijing, China, **1** (1985), 30-38.