

TREES IN PROJECTIVE SPACES: NORMAL  
BUNDLES AND EXTREMAL HYPERPLANE SECTIONS

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

**Abstract:** Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a tree, i.e. a connected union of finitely many lines with only ordinary nodes as singularities and  $p_a(X) = 0$ . Here we study the normal bundle of  $X$  and the possible extremal behaviour of a transversal hyperplane section of  $X$  in terms of the combinatorial structure of the lines of  $X$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14H99, 14N05

**Key Words:** reducible curve, line in tree, normal bundle, restricted tangent bundle

1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a reduced projective curve. We will say that  $X$  is an *abstract tree* if  $X$  is connected, with only ordinary nodes as singularities and  $p_a(X) = 0$ . Hence every irreducible component of  $X$  is smooth and rational. Let  $X$  be an abstract tree and  $d$  the number of its irreducible components. We will say that  $X$  has degree  $d$ .  $X$  is smooth if and only if  $d = 1$ . If  $d \geq 2$  the connectedness of  $X$  implies the existence of an ordering  $X_1, \dots, X_d$  of the irreducible components of  $X$  such that all subcurves  $X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq d$ , are connected. Any

such ordering will be called a *compatible ordering* of  $X$ . Hence for every integer  $i \in \{2, \dots, d\}$  there is an integer  $j(i) \in \{1, \dots, i-1\}$  such that  $X_i \cup X_{j(i)} \neq \emptyset$ . Since each point of  $X$  is contained in at most two irreducible components of  $X$ , the integer  $j(i)$  is uniquely determined. Hence, setting  $\tau(i) = j(i)$  for  $2 \leq i \leq d$  we define a function  $\tau : \{2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d-1\}$  such that  $\tau(i) < i$  for all  $i$ . The function  $\tau$  may depend from the choice of the compatible ordering. The function  $\tau$  will be called a type of  $X$ . The set  $\mathcal{A}(d, \tau)$  of all degree  $d$  abstract trees with a compatible ordering whose associated function is  $\tau$  is parametrized by an irreducible variety. If  $\mathcal{A}(d, \tau) \cap \mathcal{A}(d, \tau') \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(d, \tau) = \mathcal{A}(d, \tau')$  and hence the ambiguity coming from the choice of a compatible ordering will not create any trouble. Let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a reduced projective curve. We will say that  $Y$  is a *tree* if each irreducible component of  $Y$  is a line and  $Y$  is an abstract tree. Let  $\mathcal{B}(n, d, \tau)$  be the set of all degree  $d$  trees of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  with  $\tau$  as associated function for some compatible ordering of the set of lines of  $Y$ .  $\mathcal{B}(n, d, \tau)$  is parametrized by a smooth and irreducible quasi-projective variety. Fix any  $Y \in \mathcal{B}(n, d, \tau)$  and let  $N_Y$  or  $N_{Y, \mathbf{P}^n}$  its normal bundle. Since  $Y$  has only ordinary nodes as singularities,  $N_Y$  is a rank  $n-1$  vector bundle on  $Y$ . Let  $T$  be an irreducible component of  $Y$  and  $b(T)$  the number of irreducible components of  $Y$  different from  $T$  and intersecting  $T$ . We have  $\deg(N_Y|T) = n-1 + b(T)$  and  $N_Y|T$  is obtained from  $N_T \cong \mathcal{O}_T(1)^{\oplus(n-1)}$  making  $b(T)$  positive elementary transformations (see [1]). Since  $T$  is smooth and rational, each vector bundle on  $T$  is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles. Hence there are integers  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1}$  such that  $a_{n-1} \geq 1$ ,  $a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} = n-1 + b(T)$  and  $N_Y|T \cong \mathcal{O}_T(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(a_{n-1})$ . A *phantom tree in  $\mathbf{P}^n$*  is a triple  $(X, L, V)$ , where  $X$  is an abstract tree,  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ ,  $\deg(L|T) = 1$  for every irreducible component  $T$  of  $X$ ,  $V \subseteq H^0(X, L)$  spans  $L$  and the morphism  $\phi_{L, V} : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(V^*)$  induced by  $V$  is generically injective and everywhere an immersion, i.e. its differential is everywhere invertible. For any integer  $n \geq \dim(V) - 1$  we will say that the phantom tree  $(X, L, V)$  is a phantom tree of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  spanning a  $(\dim(V) - 1)$  linear subspace of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . For all  $d$  and  $\tau$  let  $\mathcal{F}(n, d, \tau)$  be the set of all degree  $d$  phantom trees in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  with type  $\tau$ . Fix any embedding  $j : \mathbf{P}(V^*) \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$  as a linear subspace and set  $\phi : j \circ \phi_{L, V}$ . Since  $\phi$  is a local embedding, the normal sheaf  $N_\phi$  to  $\phi$  is a rank  $n-1$  vector bundle. Let  $T$  be an irreducible component of  $Y$  and  $b(T)$  the number of irreducible components of  $Y$  different from  $T$  and intersecting  $T$ . We have  $\deg(N_Y|T) = n-1 + b(T)$  and  $N_Y|T$  is obtained from  $N_T \cong \mathcal{O}_T(1)^{\oplus(n-1)}$  making  $b(T)$  positive elementary transformations and there are integers  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_{n-1}$  such that  $a_{n-1} \geq 1$ ,  $a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} = n-1 + b(T)$  and  $N_Y|T \cong \mathcal{O}_T(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(a_{n-1})$ . If  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_d$  for some compatible ordering and  $\tau$  an associated type the

integer  $b(T)$  may be computed in the following way. If  $d = 1$ , then  $b(T) = 0$ . Assume  $d \geq 2$ ; we have  $b(X_d) = 1$ ,  $b(X_1) = \text{card}(\{j \in \{2, \dots, d\} : \tau(j) = 1\})$ , for every  $i$  with  $2 \leq i < d$   $b(X_i) = 1 + \text{card}(\{j \in \{i + 1, \dots, d\} : \tau(j) = i\})$ . The integers  $(b(X_1), \dots, b(X_d))$  or  $b(\tau(1)), \dots, b(\tau(d))$  will be called the normal sequence of  $\tau$ .

In Section 2 we will prove the following existence result.

**Theorem 1.** *Fix integers  $n \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 1$  and a type  $\tau$ . Let  $(b_1, \dots, b_d)$  be the normal sequence of  $\tau$ . Fix integers  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ , such that  $a_{i,1} \geq \dots \geq a_{i,n-1} \geq 1$  and  $a_{i,1} + \dots + a_{i,n-1} = n - 1 + b_i$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then there exists  $(X, V, L) \in \mathcal{F}(n, d, \tau)$  such that, calling  $\phi$  the associated unramified birational morphism and  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_d$  an admissible ordering with  $\tau$  as type, we have  $N_\phi|_{X_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(a_{i,1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(a_{i,n-1})$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .*

Theorem 1 cannot be extended to the case of trees in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  (see Proposition 1 and Example 1). We may at least prove that the general splitting type may be realized by embedded trees (see Proposition 2). The study of the restricted tangent bundle  $T\mathbf{P}^n|_X$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{B}(n, d, \tau)$ , is very easy (see Proposition 9). In Section 3 and Section 4 we will classify types of trees in  $\mathbf{P}^3$  with some extremal behaviour from the point of view of the postulation or the postulation of a transversal hyperplane section.

### 2. Preliminaries and Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_d$  be a degree  $d$  tree of type  $\tau$ . A line  $X_i$  of  $X$  will be called *final* if either  $d = 1$  or  $X_i$  intersects exactly another line, i.e.  $b_i = 1$ : Notice that  $X_d$  is a final line.  $X$  is called *a bamboo* if either  $d = 1$  or  $X_i \cup X_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $|i - j| \leq 1$ . Hence  $X$  is a bamboo if and only if either  $d = 1$  or  $X$  has exactly two final lines. For any compatible ordering of any degree  $d \geq 2$  bamboo the associated type  $\tau$  is unique; indeed,  $\tau(i) = i - 1$  for every  $i \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ . Any bamboo of degree  $d \geq 2$  has exactly two compatible orderings of its irreducible components.

**Remark 1.** Set  $T := \mathbf{P}^1$  and fix  $P \in T$ . Let  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_T(c_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(c_r)$  and  $G \cong \mathcal{O}_T(c_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(c_r)$  be two rank  $r$  vector bundles on  $T$ .  $E$  is obtained from  $G$  making a positive elementary transformation if and only if there is an integer  $i$  such that  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $c_j = d_j$  if  $j \neq i$  and  $c_i = d_i + 1$ . Furthermore, if this condition is satisfied then  $E$  may be obtained from  $G$  making a positive elementary transformation supported by  $P$ .

**Remark 2.** Let  $T$  be a final line of a phantom tree of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Since  $b(T) = 1$ , we have  $N_\phi|T \cong \mathcal{O}_T(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(1)$ .

**Remark 3.** Let  $M \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a codimension  $t > 0$  linear subspace. Then  $N_{M, \mathbf{P}^n} \cong \mathcal{O}_M(1)^{\oplus t}$  and for every locally complete intersection curve  $C \subseteq M$  we have  $N_{C, \mathbf{P}^n} \cong N_{C, M} \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(1)^{\oplus t}$ . Let  $(X, L, V)$  a phantom tree for  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and  $\phi$  the associated immersion. Assume  $t := n - \dim(V) + 1 > 0$ . Then  $N_\phi$  has  $L^{\oplus t}$  as a direct factor.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since the cases  $d = 1$  and  $d = 2$  are trivial (use respectively a line and a reducible plane conic), we may assume  $d \geq 3$  and use induction on the integer  $d$ . Set  $\eta := \tau\{2, \dots, d-1\}$ . By the inductive assumption there is  $(Y, W, R) \in \mathcal{F}(n, d-1, \eta)$  satisfying Theorem 1, say  $Y = Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_{d-1}$ . We have  $b(\eta(i)) = b(\tau(i))$  if  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  and  $i \neq \tau(d)$ . We have  $b(\eta(\tau(d))) = b(\tau(\tau(d))) - 1$ . By the inductive assumption there is  $Y \in \mathcal{F}(n, d-1, \eta)$  satisfying the thesis of Theorem 1 with respect to the integers  $a'_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  with  $a'_{i,j} = a_{i,j}$  if either  $i \neq \tau(d)$  or  $j \neq 1$ ,  $a'_{\tau(d),1} = a_{\tau(d),1} - 1$ ; here we use the convention that if  $a_{\tau(d),1} = a_{\tau(d),2}$ , then we reorder the integers  $a'_{\tau(d),1}, \dots, a'_{\tau(d),n-1}$  in non-decreasing order. By Remark 2 any phantom tree  $Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_{d-1}$  with an immersion  $\psi$  with  $N_\phi|Y_i$  with the prescribed splitting type  $a'_{i,1} \geq \cdots \geq a'_{i,n-1}$ . Fix  $P \in D := Y_{\tau(d)}$  which is a smooth point of  $Y$  and set  $X = Y \cup X_d$  with  $X_d \cap Y = \{P\}$  and  $X_d$  intersecting quasi-transversally  $Y$  at  $P$ . Choose as  $\phi$  an extension of  $\psi$  with  $\beta$  as associated direction. Every normal vector in  $T\mathbf{P}^n$  to the line  $\psi(D)$  at  $\psi(D)$  is induced by a plane  $A$  containing  $\psi(P)$  and, conversely, each such plane gives a unique such normal vector. Thus every positive elementary transformation of  $N_\phi|D$  is associated to a plane  $B$  through  $\phi(D)$ , i.e. to a tangent direction  $\beta$  to  $\psi(D)$  at  $\phi(P)$ , i.e. to the unique line  $R$  with  $\psi(P) \in R \in B$ . Let  $u : X_d \rightarrow R$  be an isomorphism with  $u(P) = \psi(P)$ . Since  $R \neq T$ , we see that the map  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  defined by  $\phi|Y = \psi$  and  $\phi|X_d = u$  is unramified and generically injective. By construction  $N_\phi|Y_{\tau(d)}$  is obtained from  $N_\psi|Y_{\tau(d)}$  making a positive elementary transformation supported by  $P$  and associated to the given normal vector. By Remark 1  $N_\phi|X_{\tau(d)}$  is as required for the statement Theorem 1. By Remark 2  $N_\phi|X_d \cong \mathcal{O}_T(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(1)$ , as required for the statement Theorem 1.  $\square$

Now we will show that Theorem 1 is not true for embedded trees, a counterexample being bamboos of any degree  $d \geq 3$ .

**Proposition 1.** Fix integers  $n \geq 3$  and  $d \geq 2$  and a degree  $d$  bamboo  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ . Let  $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_d$  be a compatible ordering of the lines of  $X$ . Then:

- (a)  $N_X|X_i \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(1)^{\oplus(n-2)}$  if  $i = 1$  or  $i = d$ ;
- (b)  $N_X|X_i \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(1)^{\oplus(n-3)}$  if  $1 < i < d$ .

*Proof.* Part (a) follows from Remark 2. Assume  $1 < i < d$  and set  $Y := X_{i-1} \cup X_i \cup X_{i+1}$ . Thus  $Y$  is a degree 3 bamboo and hence it spans a 3-dimensional linear subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . We have  $N_{Y,\mathbf{P}^n} \cong N_{Y,M} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(1)^{\oplus(n-3)}$  by Remark 3. We have  $N_X|X_i \cong N_{Y,\mathbf{P}^n}$  because  $X$  and  $Y$  coincide in a neighborhood of  $X_i$ . Hence we reduce part (b) to the case  $n = 3$  and  $d = 3$  and hence  $i = 2$ . In this case  $X$  is contained in a smooth quadric surface  $S$  as a divisor of type  $(2, 1)$ , the line  $X_2$  being of type  $(0, 1)$  on  $S$ . Hence  $N_{X,S}|X_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(2)$  and  $N_{S,\mathbf{P}^3} \cong \mathcal{O}_S(2)$ . Restricting to  $X_2$  the normal bundle exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N_{X,S} \rightarrow N_{X,\mathbf{P}^3} \rightarrow N_{S,\mathbf{P}^3}|X \rightarrow 0, \tag{1}$$

we obtain that  $N_{X,\mathbf{P}^3}|X_2$  is an extension of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_2}(2)$  by itself. Since  $X_2 \cong \mathbf{P}^1$ , this extension splits. □

**Example 1.** Fix integers  $n \geq 3$  and  $d \geq 3$ . Let  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_d$  be a compatible ordering of a bamboo. Fix an index  $i$  with  $1 < i < d$  and set  $T := X_i$ . There are two isomorphism classes of vector bundles on  $T$  obtained from  $\mathcal{O}_T(1)^{\oplus(n-1)}$  making two positive elementary transformations:  $\mathcal{O}_T(2)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(1)^{\oplus(n-3)}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_T(3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(1)^{\oplus(n-2)}$ . By Theorem 1 both isomorphism classes arise as restrictions to  $T$  of the normal bundle of a phantom bamboo in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . By Proposition 1 the second isomorphism class does not arise as  $N_X|T$  for some embedding of  $T$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ .

**Remark 4.** Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , be a degree  $d$  bamboo and  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_d$  a compatible ordering of its lines. It is easy to check by induction on  $d$  that  $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{\oplus d}$  and that each line bundle on  $X$  is uniquely determined by the degree of its restriction to each  $X_i$ . Every vector bundle on  $X$  is a direct sum of line bundles (see [3], Proposition 3.1).

**Proposition 2.** Fix integers  $n \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 1$  and a type  $\tau$ . Let  $(b_1, \dots, b_d)$  be the normal sequence of  $\tau$ . Fix integers  $a_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ , such that  $a_{i,1} \geq \dots \geq a_{i,n-1} \geq 1$ ,  $a_{i,n-1} \geq a_{i,1} - 1$  and  $a_{i,1} + \dots + a_{i,n-1} = n - 1 + b_i$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Then there exists  $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_d \in \mathcal{B}(n, d, \tau)$  such that  $N_X|X_i \cong \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(a_{i,1}) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(a_{i,n-1})$  for all  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq d$ .

*Proof.* Just follow the proof of Theorem 1, taking as  $R$  a general line through  $\phi(P)$ . Thus  $R \cap \phi(D) = \{P\}$  and the injectivity of  $\psi$  (obtained by the inductive assumption) implies the injectivity of  $\phi$ . □

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a reduced and connected curve and  $N_Y$  its normal sheaf. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $Y$  is contained in a hyperplane of  $\mathbf{P}^n$ ;
- (b)  $N_Y$  has  $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  as a direct factor;
- (c) there is a morphism of sheaves  $f : N_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  which is non-zero at the general point of each irreducible component of  $Y$ ;
- (d) there is a morphism  $f : N_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  such that  $\text{Coker}(f)$  has finite support.

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  is a line bundle, (c) and (d) are equivalent. Obviously (b) implies (c). If  $Y$  is contained in a hyperplane  $H$ , then  $N_Y \cong N_{Y,H} \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  and hence (b) holds. Assume (d). Consider the Euler sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow T\mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow 0. \quad (2)$$

Since  $Y$  is reduced, it has only finitely many singular points and hence the natural map  $u : T\mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow N_Y$  is surjective outside finitely many points. Restricting (2) to  $Y$  we obtain a map  $f : \mathcal{O}_Y(1)^{\oplus(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$  which is surjective outside finitely many points. Since  $Y$  is reduced and connected,  $f$  is induced by  $n + 1$  constants  $a_0, \dots, a_n$ , not all zero. Choose homogeneous coordinates  $z_0, \dots, z_n$  on  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . The map  $\gamma : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1)^{\oplus(n+1)}$  in (2) is defined by  $\gamma(x) = (xz_0, \dots, xz_n)$ . Since  $Y$  is connected and  $u \circ (\gamma|_Y) \equiv 0$ ,  $Y$  is contained in the hyperplane  $\{a_0z_0 + \dots + a_nz_n = 0\}$ . Hence (d) implies (a).  $\square$

### 3. Postulation

Let  $T \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a closed subscheme. We will say that  $T$  has *maximal rank* if for all integers  $t \geq 0$  the restriction map  $\rho_{X,n,t} : H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(t)) \rightarrow H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(t))$  has maximal rank, i.e. it is injective or surjective. If  $\dim(T) \leq 1$  and there is an integer  $k$  such that  $h^1(T, \mathcal{O}_T(k-1)) = 0$  and  $H^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_T(k)) = 0$  then  $H^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_T(t)) = 0$  for all  $t > k$  (Castelnuovo-Mumford Lemma). Hence if we also assume  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_T(k-1)) = 0$ , then the scheme  $T$  has maximal rank.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  be a degree 5 tree. Then:*

- (a) for every integer  $t \geq 3$  the restriction map  $\rho_{X,3,t}$  is surjective;

- (b)  $X$  has maximal rank if and only if  $X$  is not contained in a smooth quadric surface;
- (c)  $X$  is contained in a smooth quadric surface if and only if  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{I}_X(2)) = 0$ ;
- (d) if  $X$  is a bamboo, then it has maximal rank;
- (e) define  $\tau_1 : \{2, 3, 4\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$  by  $\tau_1(i) = 1$  for all  $i$ ; if  $X$  is not a bamboo, then  $X \in \mathcal{B}(3, 5, \tau_1)$ ; there are  $Y, Z \in \mathcal{B}(3, 5, \tau_1)$  such that  $Y$  has maximal rank, while  $Z$  has not maximal rank.

*Proof.* Let  $X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_5$  a good ordering of the lines of  $X$  and  $H$  the plane spanned by  $X_1 \cup X_2$ . Since  $X$  is a tree,  $H$  contains no line  $X_i$  with  $i > 2$ . Hence  $X_3 \cup X_4 \cup X_5$  is the residual scheme of  $X$  with respect to the Cartier divisor  $H$  of  $\mathbf{P}^3$ . Since  $X$  is connected,  $X_3 \cap H = X_3 \cap (X_1 \cup X_2)$ . Hence the scheme  $X \cap H$  is the union of  $X_1 \cup X_2$  and a zero-dimensional scheme of length at most two. Thus  $\rho_{X \cap H, 2, 3}$  is surjective. It is easy to check that  $\rho_{X_3 \cup X_4 \cup X_5, 3, 3}$  is surjective. Hence  $\rho_{X, 3, 3}$  is surjective by Horace Lemma. By Castelnuovo-Mumford Lemma  $\rho_{X, 3, x}$  is surjective for every  $x > 3$ , proving part (a). We have  $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2)) = 11$  (Riemann-Roch) and  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(2)) = 10$ . Hence  $\rho_{X, 3, 2}$  is not surjective. Hence if  $\rho_{X, 3, 2}$  is not injective, then  $X$  has not maximal rank. If  $\rho_{X, 3, 2}$  is injective,  $X$  has maximal rank by part (a). Any plane contains at most two lines of a tree. Every line of an irreducible quadric cone contains the vertex of the cone and hence any quadric cone contains at most two lines of a tree. Hence if a quadric surface  $S$  contains a degree 5 tree, then  $S$  is smooth and  $T$  is a divisor of type (4, 1) or of type (1, 4) on  $T$ . No such divisor is a bamboo, but there are divisors of type (4, 1) and divisors of type (1, 4) which are trees. Any type for degree 5 abstract trees is either the type of a bamboo or the type  $\tau_1$  or the type  $\tau_2$  defined by  $\tau_2(2) = 1, \tau_2(3) = \tau_2(4) = \tau_2(5) = 2$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}(3, 5, \tau_1) = \mathcal{A}(3, 5, \tau_2)$ , we have  $\mathcal{B}(3, 5, \tau_1) = \mathcal{B}(3, 5, \tau_2)$ . Hence all the assertions of the Proposition are true.  $\square$

The proof of part (a) of Proposition 4 gives the following result (hint: use induction on the integer  $n$  and Horace Lemma with respect to a hyperplane).

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be a non-degenerate degree  $n + 2$  tree. For every integer  $t \geq 3$  the restriction map  $\rho_{X, 3, t}$  is surjective.*

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n, n \geq 4$ , be a degree  $n + 2$  tree. If there is a linear subspace  $M$  of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  such that  $\dim(M) = 3$  and  $M$  contains at least 5 lines of  $X$ , then  $X$  has not maximal rank.*

*Proof.* Call  $T$  (resp.  $Y$ ) the union of the lines of  $X$  contained (resp. not contained) in  $M$ . For every integer  $x$  we have the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(x) \oplus \mathcal{O}_T(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y \cap T}(x) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3)$$

Since  $p_a(X) = 0$ , every connected component  $T$  has arithmetic genus zero. Call  $c$  the number of the connected components of  $T$ . Since  $p_a(X) = 0$ , we easily see from (3) that the restriction map  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2)) \rightarrow H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(2))$  is surjective. Hence if  $\rho_{X,n,2}$  is surjective, then  $\rho_{T,3,2}$  is surjective. Since  $h^0(M, \mathcal{O}_M(2)) = 10 < 2(\deg(T)) + c = h^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(2))$  (Riemann-Roch),  $\rho_{T,3,2}$  is not surjective and hence  $\rho_{X,n,2}$  is not surjective. Since  $n \geq 4$ , we have  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(2)) = (n+2)(n+1)/2 > 2(n+2) + 1 = h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2))$ . Thus  $X$  has not maximal rank.  $\square$

It seems to be very long and not interesting to list all non-degenerate degree  $n+2$  trees  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , which have not maximal rank. We only state the following example.

**Example 2.** Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^4$  be a degree 6 bamboo such that no 5 lines of it are contained in a hyperplane. Choose a compatible ordering  $X_1, \dots, X_6$  of the lines of  $X$  and call  $H$  the hyperplane spanned by  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$ . First assume  $X_4 \subset H$ . Call  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) the plane spanned by  $X_1 \cup X_2$  (resp.  $X_3 \cup X_4$ ). The scheme-theoretic intersection  $X \cap H$  is the disjoint union of  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_4$  and the point  $X_6 \cap H$ , while  $X_5 \cup X_6$  is the residual scheme of  $X$  with respect to  $H$ . Hence applying Horace Lemma with respect to  $H$  and Riemann-Roch to the scheme  $X \cap H$  we see that  $\rho_{X,4,2}$  is surjective (i.e. by Proposition 5,  $X$  has maximal rank) if and only if  $X_6 \cap H \notin A \cup B$ . Now assume that  $X_4$  is not contained in  $H$  but  $X_5$  is contained in  $H$ . Since  $X$  is a bamboo and no 5 lines of  $X$  are contained in  $H$ , then  $X \cap H = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_5$ . Since  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3 \cup X_5$  is not contained in a quadric surface of  $H$ , using Horace Lemma we obtain that  $X$  has maximal rank. Similarly, if  $H$  contains  $X_6$  but neither  $X_4$  nor  $X_5$ , then  $X$  has maximal rank. Now assume that  $H$  contains neither  $X_4$  nor  $X_5$  nor  $X_6$ : Since  $X$  is a bamboo, the scheme  $X \cap H$  is the disjoint union of  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$  and the lenght two scheme  $H \cap (X_5 \cup X_6)$ . Using the exact sequence (3) with  $T := X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$  we obtain the surjectivity of the restriction map  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2)) \rightarrow H^0(X \cap H, \mathcal{O}_{X \cap H}(2))$ . Hence using Horace Lemma we see that  $\rho_{X,4,2}$  is surjective (i.e.  $X$  has maximal rank) if and only if  $X \cap H$  is contained in a unique quadric surface. This is the case for most (but not for all)  $X_5 \cup X_6$ .



### 4. Hyperplane Sections

**Proposition 6.** Fix an integer  $d \geq 5$ , say  $d = 3a + b$  with  $0 \leq b \leq 2$ . Let  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  be a degree  $d$  bamboo and  $D$  a line not contained in  $X$ . Then  $\text{length}(X \cap D) \leq 2a + b$  (scheme-theoretic intersection). Conversely, for every integer  $x$  with  $4 \leq x \leq 2a + b$  there is a line  $L \subset$  and a degree  $d$  bamboo such that  $L$  intersects  $Y$  transversally at exactly  $x$  points; if  $x \geq 5$  and  $a \geq 3$ , we may even find  $(Y, L)$  so that for every line  $R \neq L, R \subseteq Y$ , we have  $\text{length}(R \cap Y) < x$ .

*Proof.* Fix a compatible ordering  $X_1, \dots, X_d$  of the lines of  $X$ . For every  $1 \leq i < d$  the lines  $X_i$  and  $X_{i+1}$  are coplanar by the very definition of bamboo. If  $\text{length}(D \cap (X_i \cup X_{i+1})) \geq 2$ , then  $D$  is contained in the plane  $\langle X_i \cup X_{i+1} \rangle$  spanned by  $X_i \cup X_{i+1}$  and  $\text{length}(D \cap (X_i \cup X_{i+1})) = 2$ . Furthermore, if  $i \leq d - 2$  we have  $D \cap X_{i+2} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\text{length}(X \cap D) \leq 2a + b$ . Starting from  $i = 2$  the same trick ( $L$  intersecting  $X_1, X_2, X_4, X_5$ , but not  $X_3 \cup X_5 \cup \dots$  and so on) show the existence of the pair  $(Y, L)$ . The last assertion can be checked because if  $L$  intersects 3 disjoint lines  $A, A', A''$  of  $Y$ , then it lies on the unique smooth quadric surface containing  $A \cup A' \cup A''$ , while if  $L$  intersects two lines  $A, B$  with  $A \neq B$  and  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $L \subset \langle A \cup B \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.** Fix integers  $d \geq 5$  and  $t > 2d/3$  and a zero-dimensional scheme  $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^2$  such that  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{I}_Z(t)) \neq 0$ . By [2], Remarks (i)(1) at p. 116, there is a line  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^2$  such that  $\text{length}(D \cap Z) \geq t + 1$ .

Fix an integer  $d \geq 4$  and define  $\tau_0 : \{2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$ ,  $\tau_1 : \{2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 : \{2, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$  by the relations  $\tau_0(i) = i - 1$  for every  $i$ ,  $\tau_1(i) = 1$  for every  $i$ ,  $\tau_2(2) = 1$  and  $\tau_2(j) = 2$  for every  $j$  with  $3 \leq j \leq d$ . Hence  $\tau_0$  is the type of a degree  $d$  bamboo, while  $\mathcal{A}(d, \tau_1) = \mathcal{A}(d, \tau_2)$  (exchange  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ ).

**Remark 6.** Let  $\tau$  be a type of degree  $d \geq 2$  abstract trees and  $X \in \mathcal{A}(d, \tau)$  with associated compatible ordering  $X_1, \dots, X_d$  of the irreducible components of  $X$ . Let  $\beta(X)$  be the maximal number of mutually disjoint irreducible components of  $X$ . Set  $\beta(\tau) := \beta(X)$ . The integer  $\beta(\tau)$  is well-defined because it depends only on  $\tau$ , not on the choice of  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{A}(d, \tau) = \mathcal{A}(d, \eta)$ , then  $\beta(\tau) = \beta(\eta)$ . We have  $\beta(\tau_0) = [(d + 1)/2]$  and  $\beta(\tau_1) = \beta(\tau_2) = d - 1$ . Use that for any compatible ordering  $X_1, \dots, X_d$  of the irreducible components of  $X$  the curves  $X_1$  and  $X_1 \cup X_2$  are connected, to check the following assertions:

- (a)  $\mathcal{A}(d, \tau) = \mathcal{A}(d, \tau_1)$  if and only if either  $\tau = \tau_1$  or  $\tau = \tau_2$ ;
- (b)  $\beta(\tau) \leq d - 1$ ;

(c)  $\beta(\tau) = d - 1$  if and only if either  $\tau = \tau_1$  or  $\tau = \tau_2$ .

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau)$ ,  $d \geq 5$ , be a tree such that there are infinitely many lines  $D_i \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ ,  $i \in I$ , with each  $D_i$  not an irreducible component of  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau) = \mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau_1)$ ,  $X$  is contained in a smooth quadric surface  $S$  as a divisor of type  $(d - 1, 1)$  or  $(1, d - 1)$  and  $D_i \subset S$  for every  $i \in I$ .*

*Proof.* First assume that there is at least 3 mutually disjoint lines among the lines  $D_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , say  $D$ ,  $D'$  and  $D''$ . There is a unique quadric surface  $S$  containing  $D \cup D' \cup D''$  and  $S$  is smooth. By Bezout Theorem every irreducible component of  $X$  is contained in  $S$ . Since  $X$  is connected and  $p_a(X) = 0$ ,  $X$  is a divisor of type  $(1, d - 1)$  or a divisor of type  $(d - 1, 1)$  on  $S$  and we conclude in this case. If no such 3 mutually disjoint lines do not exist, then there is an infinite subset  $J$  of  $I$  such that  $D_i \cap D_j \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i, j \in J$ . It is well-known and easy to check that this implies that one of the following cases occur:

(a) there is a plane  $M$  such that  $D_i \subset M$  for every  $i \in J$ ;

(b) there is  $P \in \mathbf{P}^3$  such that  $P \in D_i$  for every  $i \in J$ .

Assume that we are in case (a). Then  $X \subset M$  by Bezout Theorem, contradicting the inequality  $d > 2$ . Assume that we are in case (b). In a surface with vertex  $P$  different from a plane any two lines,  $A$ ,  $B$ , meet only at  $P$  and any line meeting both  $A$  and  $B$  either contains  $P$  or it is in the plane  $\langle A \cup B \rangle$ . Since  $d \geq 4$  and  $X$  has only ordinary nodes as singularities, even case (b) is impossible, proving the existence of the 3 mutually disjoint lines  $D$ ,  $D'$ ,  $D''$ .  $\square$

In the same way we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau)$ ,  $d \geq 5$ , such that there are 3 disjoint lines  $D_i \subset \mathbf{P}^3$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , with each  $D_i$  not an irreducible component of  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau) = \mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau_1)$ ,  $X$  is contained in a smooth quadric surface  $S$  as a divisor of type  $(d - 1, 1)$  or  $(1, d - 1)$  and  $S$  is the only quadric surface containing  $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$ .*

**Remark 7.** Fix  $X \in \mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau)$ ,  $d \geq 5$ . Any line  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^3$  not in  $X$  is contained in a pencil of planes and the general such plane does not contain any line of  $X$ . If  $D$  intersects quasi-transversally  $X$ , i.e. if  $D$  does not contain any singular point of  $X$ , then the general plane in this pencil is transversal to  $X$ . For any smooth quadric surface  $S$  there is a two-dimensional irreducible family of planes of  $\mathbf{P}^3$  containing one of the lines of type  $(1, 0)$  of  $S$ . By Remark 5 the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  is contained in a quadric surface;
- (b)  $X$  is contained in a smooth quadric surface;
- (c) there is a two-dimensional irreducible family  $\Psi$  of planes of  $\mathbf{P}^3$  such that a general  $M \in \Psi$  does not contains any line of  $X$  and  $h^0(M, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap M, M}(d - 3)) \neq 0$ ;
- (d) there is a two-dimensional irreducible family  $\Psi$  of planes of  $\mathbf{P}^3$  such that a general  $M \in \Psi$  is transversal to  $X$  and  $h^0(M, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap M, M}(d - 3)) \neq 0$ .

Furthermore, if any of the previous equivalent conditions is satisfied, then  $\mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau) = \mathcal{B}(3, d, \tau_1)$ .

### 5. Restricted Tangent Bundle

Fix integers  $n \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 1$ . Let  $(X, L, V)$  be a degree  $d$  phantom tree of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  the associated unramified morphism. The rank  $n$  vector bundle  $\phi^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  will be called the *restricted tangent bundle* of  $\phi$  or or  $(X, L, V)$  or, just, of  $X$ . For every irreducible component  $D$  of  $X$  the vector bundle  $\phi^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)|_D \cong (\phi|_D)^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  has splitting type  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Hence  $(\phi|_D)^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  has a unique degree 2 line subbundle. Assume  $d \geq 2$  and fix irreducible components  $D, R$  of  $X$  such that  $D \neq R$  and  $D \cap R \neq \emptyset$ . We will say that  $(\phi|_D)^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  and  $(\phi|_R)^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  *glue together* if the degree two line subbundle of  $(\phi|_D)^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  and  $(\phi|_R)^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  induce a degree 4 line subbundle of  $D \cup R$ , i.e. if and only if  $h^0(D \cup R, \phi^*(T\mathbf{P}^n(-2))|_{D \cup R}) \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 9.** *Fix integers  $n \geq 2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . Let  $(X, L, V)$  be a degree  $d$  phantom tree of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  and  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  the associated unramified morphism. Then:*

- (a) *for all irreducible components  $D, R$  of  $X$  such that  $D \neq R$  and  $D \cap R \neq \emptyset$  the vector bundles  $(\phi|_D)^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  and  $(\phi|_R)^*(T\mathbf{P}^n)$  does not glue together;*
- (b)  *$h^0(X, \phi^*(T\mathbf{P}^n(-2))) = 0$  and  $\phi^*(T\mathbf{P}^n(-1))$  is spanned by its global sections.*

*Proof.* Let  $A, B \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  be lines such that  $A \neq B$  and  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Set  $M := \langle A \cup B \rangle$ . We have  $T\mathbf{P}^n|_{A \cup B} \cong TM|_{A \cup B} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{A \cup B}(1)$ . Hence to prove part (a) it is sufficient to prove that the two degree 2 line subbundles of  $TM|_A$  and  $TM|_B$  does not glue together to a degree 4 line subbundle of

$TM|_{A \cup B}$ , i.e,  $h^0(A \cup B, TM(-2)|_{A \cup B}) = 0$  Set  $\{P\} := A \cap B$ . Assume  $h^0(A \cup B, TM(-2)|_{A \cup B}) \neq 0$  and take  $\sigma_B \in H^0(A \cup B, TM(-2)|_{A \cup B})$  with  $\sigma_B \neq 0$ . Thus  $\sigma_B$  is unique up to a non-zero multiplicative constant and it induces a uniquely determined degree zero line subbundle  $L_B$  of  $TM(-2)|_{A \cup B}$ . Now we fix  $A$  and  $\{P\}$  and vary  $B$  among all lines  $\neq A$  and passing through  $P$ . All reducible conics are projectively equivalent and hence for any such line  $B'$  we obtain a line subbundle  $L'_B$ . These rank one subbundles glue together to a degree zero line subbundle of  $TM(-2)$ . Since  $h^0(M, TM(-2)) = 0$  (e.g. by the Euler Sequence (2)), we obtained a contradiction and prove part (a). By part (a) we obtain  $h^0(X, \phi^*(T\mathbf{P}^n(-2))) = 0$ . The vector bundle  $\phi^*(T\mathbf{P}^n(-1))$  is spanned by its global sections because  $T\mathbf{P}^n(-1)$  is spanned by its global sections.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MURST and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

- [1] R. Hartshorne, A. Hirschowitz, Smoothing algebraic space curves, In: *Algebraic Geometry - Sitges 1983*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1124**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1984), 98-131.
- [2] Ph. Ellia and Ch. Peskine, Groupes de points de  $\mathbf{P}^2$ : caractere et position uniforme, In: *Algebraic Geometry - Proceedings, L'Aquila 1988*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1417**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1990), 111-117.
- [3] M. Teixidor, Bigas, Brill-Noether theory for stable vector bundles, *Duke Math. J.*, **62**, No. 2 (1991), 385-400.