

INTERSECTION PRESERVING AND GLOBAL
EXPANSIONS OF IDEALS IN *BCK*-ALGEBRAS

Young Bae Jun¹, Hee Sik Kim²§

¹Department of Mathematical Education
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju 660-701, KOREA
e-mail: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

²Department of Mathematics
Han-Yang University
Seoul 133-791, KOREA
e-mail: heekim@hanyang.ac.kr

Abstract: We introduce the notions of intersection preserving and global expansion of ideals in commutative *BCK*-algebras. We show that the homomorphic image and the inverse image of σ -primary ideal are the also σ -primary.

AMS Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25

Key Words: intersection preserving, global expansion of ideals, σ -primary ideal, *BCK*-algebras

1. Introduction

The notion of *BCK*-algebras was proposed by Imai and Iséki in 1966. In the same year, Iséki introduced the notion of *BCI*-algebras which is a generalization of *BCK*-algebras. For the general development of *BCK/BCI*-algebras, the ideal theory plays an important role. The first author [2] introduced the

Received: January 2, 2004

© 2004, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

notion of expansions of subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI -algebras, and the notion of σ -primary ideals in BCK -algebras. He also defined the notion of residual division, and investigated related properties. As a continuation of [2], in this paper, we introduce the notion of intersection preserving and global expansions of ideals in commutative BCK -algebras, and then we show that the homomorphic image and inverse image of σ -primary ideal are also σ -primary.

2. Preliminaries

We give herein the basic notions on BCK -algebras. For further information, we refer the reader to the book [3]. By a BCK -algebra we mean an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ satisfying the axioms:

- $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0)$,
- $(\forall x, y \in X) ((x * (x * y)) * y = 0)$,
- $(\forall x \in X) (x * x = 0)$,
- $(\forall x \in X) (0 * x = 0)$,
- $(\forall x, y \in X) (x * y = y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y)$.

A BCK -algebra X is said to be *commutative* if it satisfies the equality:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (x * (x * y) = y * (y * x)).$$

A nonempty subset A of a BCK -algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies:

- $0 \in A$,
- $(\forall x \in X)(\forall y \in A) (x * y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A)$.

Denote by $\mathbb{I}(X)$ the set of all ideals of a BCK -algebra X . Note that if x is an element of an ideal I of a BCK -algebra X and $y \leq x$, then $y \in I$. A proper ideal P of a commutative BCK -algebra X is said to be *prime* if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (x \wedge y \in P \Rightarrow x \in P \text{ or } y \in P),$$

where $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$. Equivalently, P is prime if and only if it satisfies:

$$(\forall I, J \in \mathbb{I}(X)) (I \cap J \subseteq P \Rightarrow I \subseteq P \text{ or } J \subseteq P).$$

Maximal ideals of a BCK -algebra have the usual meaning. Every maximal ideal of a commutative BCK -algebra is prime (see [1, Proposition 3.5]).

3. Intersection preserving and global expansions

In what follows let X denote a commutative BCK -algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1. (see [2]) An *expansion of ideals* (in X) is defined to be a function $\sigma : \mathbb{I}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}(X)$ such that

- (e1) $(\forall G \in \mathbb{I}(X)) (G \subseteq \sigma(G))$.
- (e2) $(\forall G, H \in \mathbb{I}(X)) (G \subseteq H \Rightarrow \sigma(G) \subseteq \sigma(H))$.

Definition 3.2. (see [2]) Let σ be an expansion of ideals. Then an ideal G of X is said to be σ -*primary* if it satisfies:

$$(\forall a, b \in X) (a \wedge b \in G, a \notin G \Rightarrow b \in \sigma(G)).$$

Note that every prime ideal of X is σ -primary (see [2]).

Definition 3.3. Let σ be an expansion of ideals. Then:

- (i) σ is said to be *intersection preserving* if it satisfies:

$$(\forall I, J \in \mathbb{I}(X)) (\sigma(I \cap J) = \sigma(I) \cap \sigma(J)),$$

- (ii) σ is said to be *global* if for each homomorphism $f : Y \rightarrow X$ of BCK -algebras, the following holds:

$$(\forall I \in \mathbb{I}(X)) (\sigma(f^{-1}(I)) = f^{-1}(\sigma(I))).$$

Example 3.4. (1) The expansion of ideals $\sigma_0 : \mathbb{I}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}(X)$ defined by $\sigma_0(G) = G$ for all $G \in \mathbb{I}(X)$ is both intersection preserving and global.

- (2) For each $I \in \mathbb{I}(X)$ and $a \in X$, the set

$$a^{-1}I := \{x \in X \mid a \wedge x \in I\}$$

is an ideal of X containing I , and if I and J are ideals of X such that $I \subseteq J$ then $a^{-1}I \subseteq a^{-1}J$ (see [1]). Hence the function

$$\sigma_a : \mathbb{I}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}(X), I \mapsto a^{-1}I$$

is an expansion of ideals. Moreover, for every $I, J \in \mathbb{I}(X)$ we have

$$\sigma_a(I \cap J) = a^{-1}(I \cap J) = a^{-1}I \cap a^{-1}J = \sigma_a(I) \cap \sigma_a(J).$$

Thus σ_a is intersection preserving.

For each ideal I of X , let

$$\mathfrak{M}(I) = \bigcap \{M \mid I \subseteq M, M \text{ is a maximal ideal of } X\}.$$

Then \mathfrak{M} is an expansion of ideals in X .

Theorem 3.5. \mathfrak{M} is intersection preserving.

Proof. For every $I, J \in \mathbb{I}(X)$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \{H \mid I \cap J \subseteq H, H \text{ is a maximal ideal of } X\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_2 = \{H \mid I \subseteq H \text{ or } J \subseteq H, H \text{ is a maximal ideal of } X\}.$$

Then $\bigcap \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathfrak{M}(I \cap J)$ and $\bigcap \mathcal{H}_2 = \mathfrak{M}(I) \cap \mathfrak{M}(J)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{H}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1$. If $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$ then $I \cap J \subseteq H$. But H is maximal, and so H is prime. It follows that $I \subseteq H$ or $J \subseteq H$, that is, $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_2$ and $\mathfrak{M}(I \cap J) = \mathfrak{M}(I) \cap \mathfrak{M}(J)$. This completes the proof. \square

We have herein the following question: Is \mathfrak{M} global? But we conjecture that \mathfrak{M} is not global. So, finding an example is our task in future.

Theorem 3.6. Let σ be an expansion of ideals which is intersection preserving. If Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n are σ -primary ideals of X and $P = \sigma(Q_i)$ for all i , then $Q = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i$ is σ -primary.

Proof. Let $a, b \in X$ be such that $a \wedge b \in Q$ and $a \notin Q$. Then $a \notin Q_k$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. But $a \wedge b \in Q \subseteq Q_k$ and Q_k is σ -primary, which imply that $b \in \sigma(Q_k)$. Now

$$\sigma(Q) = \sigma\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n Q_i\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \sigma(Q_i) = P = \sigma(Q_k),$$

and so $b \in \sigma(Q)$. Therefore Q is σ -primary. \square

Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a homomorphism of BCK-algebras. Note that if I is an ideal of X , then $f^{-1}(I)$ is an ideal of Y , and that if f is surjective and J is an ideal of Y then $f(J)$ is an ideal of X .

Theorem 3.7. Let σ be a global expansion of ideals and let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a homomorphism of commutative BCK-algebras. If I is a σ -primary ideal of X , then $f^{-1}(I)$ is a σ -primary ideal of Y .

Proof. Let $a, b \in Y$ be such that $a \wedge b \in f^{-1}(I)$ and $a \notin f^{-1}(I)$. Then

$$f(a) \wedge f(b) = f(a \wedge b) \in f(f^{-1}(I)) \subseteq I$$

and $f(a) \notin I$. Since I is σ -primary, it follows that $f(b) \in \sigma(I)$ so that $b \in f^{-1}(\sigma(I)) = \sigma(f^{-1}(I))$. Hence $f^{-1}(I)$ is σ -primary. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a homomorphism of BCK-algebras. If J is an ideal of Y containing the kernel of f , then $f^{-1}(f(J)) = J$.*

Proof. Clearly $J \subseteq f^{-1}(f(J))$. Now let $y \in f^{-1}(f(J))$. Then $f(y) \in f(J)$, and so there exists $x \in J$ such that $f(y) = f(x)$. Hence $f(y*x) = f(y)*f(x) = 0$, which implies that $y*x \in \text{Ker } f \subseteq J$. Since J is an ideal containing x , it follows that $y \in J$ so that $f^{-1}(f(J)) \subseteq J$. Therefore $f^{-1}(f(J)) = J$. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let $f : Y \rightarrow X$ be a surjective homomorphism of commutative BCK-algebras and let J be an ideal of Y containing $\text{Ker } f$. Then J is σ -primary if and only if $f(J)$ is a σ -primary ideal of X , where σ is a global expansion of ideals.*

Proof. Sufficiency follows from Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.8. Suppose that J is σ -primary. Let $a, b \in X$ be such that $a \wedge b \in f(J)$ and $a \notin f(J)$. Since f is surjective, we have $f(x) = a$ and $f(y) = b$ for some $x, y \in Y$. Then

$$f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y) = a \wedge b \in f(J),$$

which implies $x \wedge y \in f^{-1}(f(J)) = J$. Now $f(x) = a \notin f(J)$ implies $x \notin J$. Since J is σ -primary, it follows that $y \in \sigma(J)$ so that $b = f(y) \in f(\sigma(J))$. Using Lemma 3.8 and the fact that σ is global, we get

$$\sigma(J) = \sigma(f^{-1}(f(J))) = f^{-1}(\sigma(f(J))),$$

and so $f(\sigma(J)) = f(f^{-1}(\sigma(f(J)))) = \sigma(f(J))$ by the surjectivity of f . Therefore $f(J)$ is σ -primary. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] M. Aslam, A.B. Thaheem, On ideals of BCK-algebras, *Demonstratio Math.*, **27**, No. 3-4 (1994), 635–640.
- [2] Y.B. Jun, Expansions of subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras, *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, Submitted.

- [3] J. Meng, Y.B. Jun, *BCK-Algebras*, Kyungmoon Sa Co., Korea (1994).

