

FIXED POINTS AND GAME THEORY

Ghiocel Mot<sup>1</sup>, Adrian Petruşel<sup>2</sup> §

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
Aurel Vlaicu University Arad  
Revoluţiei Street 81, Arad 310130, ROMANIA  
e-mail: ghiocelmot@yahoo.com

<sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics  
Babeş-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca  
Kogălniceanu 1, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, ROMANIA  
e-mail: petrusel@math.ubbcluj.ro

**Abstract:** The purpose of this paper is to report some topological properties of the fixed point set of multivalued operators. Existence of Nash equilibrium point for two-person games and a topological property of the Nash equilibrium point set are also obtained.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25

**Key Words:** fixed point, selection, absolute retract, Nash equilibrium point

1. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the family of all metric spaces. Let  $X \in \mathcal{M}$ . The space  $X$  is called an absolute retract for metric spaces, briefly  $X \in AR(\mathcal{M})$  if for any  $Y \in \mathcal{M}$  and any nonempty closed subset  $Y_0$  of  $Y$ , every continuous function  $f_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow X$  has a continuous extension  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  over  $Y$ .

If  $E$  is a Banach space then a nonempty subset  $X$  of  $E$  is said to be a retract of  $E$  if there exists a continuous mapping  $r : E \rightarrow X$ , such that  $r(x) = x$ , for each  $x \in X$ .

---

Received: May 31, 2004

© 2004, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

It is known that  $X$  is a retract of a Banach space if and only if  $X$  is an absolute retract and it is closed. Also, any absolute retract is arcwise connected and the continuous image of an absolute retract is arcwise connected.

If  $(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a complete  $\sigma$ -finite nonatomic measure space and  $E$  is a Banach space, let us denote by  $L^1(T, E)$  the Banach space of all measurable functions  $u : T \rightarrow E$  which are Bochner  $\mu$ -integrable. Recall that a set  $K \subset L^1(T, E)$  is said to be decomposable if for all  $u, v \in K$  and each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$u\chi_A + v\chi_{T \setminus A} \in K, \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi_A$  stands for the characteristic function of the set  $A$ .

Let  $X$  be a metric space. Throughout this paper we use the following notations and symbols:

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{Z \mid Z \subset X\}, \quad P(X) = \{Z \in \mathcal{P}(X) \mid Z \text{ nonempty}\},$$

$$P_p(X) = \{Z \in P(X) \mid Z \text{ has the property "p"}\},$$

where "p" could be:  $cl$  = closed,  $b$  = bounded,  $cp$  = compact, or in normed spaces  $cv$  = convex,  $dec$  = decomposable, etc.

The following (generalized) functionals are used in the main section of the paper.

### The Gap Functional

$$(1) \quad D : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\},$$

$$D(A, B) = \begin{cases} \inf\{d(a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, & A \neq \emptyset \neq B, \\ 0, & A = \emptyset = B, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### Pompeiu-Hausdorff Generalized Functional

$$(2) \quad H : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\},$$

$$H(A, B) = \begin{cases} \max\{\sup_{a \in A} D(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} D(A, b)\}, & A \neq \emptyset \neq B, \\ 0, & A = \emptyset = B, \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $A$  is a nonempty subset of a metric space  $X$  then  $V(A, \epsilon) := \{x \in E \mid D(x, A) \leq \epsilon\}$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$ .

It is well-known that the pair  $(P_{b,cl}(X), H)$  is a complete metric space, provided  $X$  is a complete space. Several basic notions are considered in the following definitions.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Then  $F : X \rightarrow P(E)$  is called:

a) lower semi-continuous (briefly l.s.c.) if for each closed  $C \subset E$  the set  $\{x \in X \mid F(x) \subset C\}$  is closed.

b) upper semi-continuous (briefly u.s.c.) if for each closed  $C \subset E$  the set  $\{x \in X \mid F(x) \cap C\}$  is closed.

c) continuous if is l.s.c. and u.s.c.

d)  $H$ -u.s.c. if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $F(B(x_0; \delta)) \subset V(F(x_0); \epsilon)$ .

e)  $a$ -Lipschitz multi-function if  $a \geq 0$  and  $H(F(x), F(y)) \leq ad(x, y)$ , for each  $x, y \in X$ . The number  $a$  is called the Lipschitz constant of  $F$ .

f) multi-valued  $a$ -contraction if  $a \in [0, 1[$  and  $H(F(x), F(y)) \leq ad(x, y)$ , for each  $x, y \in X$ .

g) multi-valued Reich type operator if there exist three positive numbers  $a, b, c$  with  $a + b + c \in [0, 1[$  such that  $H(F(x), F(y)) \leq ad(x, y) + bD(x, F(x)) + cD(y, F(y))$ , for each  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $X, Y$  be two nonempty sets.

i) Let  $F : X \rightarrow P(Y)$  be a multi-valued operator. A single-valued operator  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a selection for  $F$  if and only if  $f(x) \in F(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ .

ii) If  $F : X \rightarrow P(X)$ , then  $x^*$  is a fixed point for  $F$  if and only if  $x^* \in F(x^*)$ . Denote by  $\text{Fix } F$  the fixed point set for  $F$ .

iii) Let  $F : X \rightarrow P(Y)$  be a multi-valued operator. Then the graphic of  $F$  is  $\text{Graf } F := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y \in F(x)\}$ .

## 2. Main Results

Let us start by recalling a classical result.

**Theorem 2.1.** (Michael' Selection Theorem) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $E$  be a Banach space and  $F : X \rightarrow P_{cl,cv}(E)$  be l.s.c. on  $X$ . Then there exists  $f : X \rightarrow E$  a continuous selection of  $F$ .*

A "decomposable" version of Michael's Selection Theorem for l.s.c. multi-functions with convex values is the following.

**Theorem 2.2.** (see Fryszkowski [4], Bressan-Colombo [2]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a separable metric space,  $(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a complete  $\sigma$ -finite nonatomic measure space,  $E$  a separable Banach space and let  $F : X \rightarrow P_{cl,dec}(L^1(T, E))$  be a l.s.c. multi-valued operator. Then  $F$  has a continuous selection.*

For the  $H$ -u.s.c. case we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** (Bressan-Colombo [2]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a separable metric space,  $(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a complete  $\sigma$ -finite nonatomic measure space and let  $F : X \rightarrow P_{dec}(L^1(T, E))$  be a  $H$ -u.s.c. multi-valued operator. If either  $X$  or  $L^1(T, E)$  is separable, then for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a continuous function  $f_\epsilon : X \rightarrow L^1(T, E)$  such that  $\text{Graph } f_\epsilon \subseteq V(\text{Graph } F, \epsilon)$  and  $f_\epsilon(X) \in P_{dec}(F(X))$ .*

An important result regarding a topological property of the fixed point set was established by B. Ricceri (see [10]), as follows.

**Theorem 2.4.** (see B. Ricceri [10]) *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $X$  be a nonempty, closed, convex subset of  $E$ . Suppose  $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl,cv}(X)$  is a multi-valued contraction. Then  $\text{Fix } T$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces.*

A decomposable version of the previous result was proved by Bressan-Cellina-Fryszkowski (see [3]).

**Theorem 2.5.** (see Bressan-Cellina-Fryszkowski [3]) *Let  $(X, d)$  be a separable metric space,  $(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a complete  $\sigma$ -finite nonatomic measure space,  $E$  be a separable Banach and let  $F : X \times L^1(T, E) \rightarrow P_{b,cl,dec}(L^1(T, E))$  be a continuous multi-valued operator satisfying the following condition: there exists  $\alpha \in [0, 1[$  such that  $H(F(\lambda, u), F(\lambda, v)) \leq \alpha \|u - v\|$ , for all  $u, v \in L^1(T, E)$  and for all  $\lambda \in X$ . Then each set  $\text{Fix}_\lambda F = \{u \mid u \in F(\lambda, u)\}$  is an absolute retract. Moreover a retraction can be chosen that depends continuously on  $\lambda$ .*

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $F : L^1(T, E) \rightarrow P_{b,cl,dec}(L^1(T, E))$  be a multi-valued  $\alpha$ -contraction. Then  $\text{Fix } F$  is an absolute retract for metric spaces.*

For a multi-valued Reich-type operator with convex values, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.7.** (see Petruḡel [8]) *Let  $E$  be a Banach space,  $X \in P_{cl,cv}(E)$  and  $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl,cv}(X)$  be a l.s.c. multi-valued Reich-type operator. Then  $\text{Fix } T \in AR(\mathcal{M})$ .*

*Sketch of the Proof.* Let us remark first that  $\text{Fix } T \in P_{cl}(X)$ . Let  $K$  be a paracompact topological space,  $A \in P_{cl}(K)$  and  $\psi : A \rightarrow \text{Fix } T$  a continuous mapping. Using Theorem 2 from B. Ricceri [10] (taking  $G(t) = X$ , for each  $t \in K$ ) it follows the existence of a continuous function  $\varphi_0 : K \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi_0|_A = \psi$ . We next consider  $q \in ]1, (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)^{-1}[$ . By induction on  $n$  we can

prove that there exists a sequence  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of continuous functions from  $K$  to  $X$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\varphi_n|_A = \psi$
- (ii)  $\varphi_n(t) \in T(\varphi_{n-1}(t))$ , for all  $t \in K$
- (iii)  $\|\varphi_n(t) - \varphi_{n-1}(t)\| \leq [(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)q]^{n-1} \|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_0(t)\|$ , for all  $t \in K$ .

Consider next the open covering of  $K$  defined by the formula:  $(\{t \in K \mid \|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_0(t)\| < \lambda\})_{\lambda > 0}$ . Moreover, because of (iii) and the fact that  $X$  is complete, the sequence  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on each of the following set  $K_\lambda = \{t \in K \mid \|\varphi_1(t) - \varphi_0(t)\| < \lambda\}$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ). Let  $\varphi : K \rightarrow X$  be the pointwise limit of  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Obviously  $\varphi$  is continuous and  $\varphi|_A = \psi$ . Moreover, a simple computation ensures that  $\varphi(t) \in T(\varphi(t))$  for all  $t \in K$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

An important abstract notion is.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $F : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  be l.s.c. and  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$  be an arbitrary family of metric spaces. We say that  $F$  has the selection property with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$  when for any  $Y \in \mathcal{U}$ , any pair of continuous functions  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $r : Y \rightarrow ]0, \infty[$  such that:

$$G(y) = \overline{F(f(y)) \cap B(f(y), r(y))} \neq \emptyset, \text{ for each } y \in Y,$$

and any nonempty closed set  $Z \subset Y$ , every continuous selection  $g_0$  of  $G|_Z$  admits a continuous extension  $g$  over  $Y$  fulfilling  $g(y) \in G(y)$ , for all  $y \in Y$ . When  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{X}$  we say that  $G$  has the selection property (briefly  $G \in SP(X)$ ).

Some examples illustrating this notion are as follows.

**Example 2.9.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space  $E$  and  $F : X \rightarrow P_{cl,cv}(X)$  be l.s.c. From Michael's Selection Theorem it follows that  $F \in SP(X)$ .

**Example 2.10.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty closed decomposable subset of  $L^1(T, E)$  and let  $F : X \rightarrow P_{cl,dec}(X)$  be l.s.c. From Theorem 2.2. it follows that  $F$  has the selection property with respect to the family of all separable metric spaces.

Using this abstract setting the following results was proved.

**Theorem 2.11.** (see Górniewicz-Marano [7]) *Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$  and let  $X$  be a complete absolute abstract. Suppose that  $F : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  is a multi-valued contraction having the selection property with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $\text{Fix } F$  is a nonempty absolute retract.*

**Remark 2.12.** Theorem 2.11 contains as particular cases both Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.6.

By a similar approach to Theorem 2.7 we have the following generalization of the previous theorem.

**Theorem 2.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$  and  $X$  be a complete absolute retract. Suppose that  $F : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  is a Reich type multi-function such that having the selection property with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then the fixed point set  $\text{Fix } F$  is a nonempty absolute retract.*

An extension of Definition 2.8. was given by Marano (see [6]), as follows.

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $X, W$  be metric spaces,  $F : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(W)$  be l.s.c. and  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$  be an arbitrary family of metric spaces. We say that  $F$  has the selection property with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$  when for any  $Y \in \mathcal{U}$ , any triple of continuous functions  $f : Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $h : Y \rightarrow Z$  and  $r : Y \rightarrow ]0, \infty[$  such that:  $G(y) = \overline{F(f(y))} \cap B(h(y), r(y)) \neq \emptyset$ , for each  $y \in Y$  and any nonempty closed set  $Z \subset Y$ , every continuous selection  $g_0$  of  $G|_Z$  admits a continuous extension  $g$  over  $Y$  fulfilling  $g(y) \in G(y)$ , for all  $y \in Y$ .

An important property of the fixed point set for the composition of two multi-functions is the following.

**Theorem 2.15.** (see Marano [6]) *Let  $X, Y$  be complete absolute retracts and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{[0, 1]\}$ . Suppose that  $F_1 : Y \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  and  $F_2 : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(Y)$  have the following properties:*

- i)  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Lipschitz multi-functions, with Lipschitz constants  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  respectively.
- ii)  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  have the selection property with respect  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- iii)  $a_1 a_2 < 1$ .

*Then the fixed point set of the composition multi-function  $F = F_1 \circ F_2$  is nonempty and arcwise connected.*

Basically, the approach in the above proof is the following:

Using the fact that  $F_1 : Y \rightarrow P_{cl,cv}(X)$  and  $F_2 : X \rightarrow P_{cl,cv}(Y)$  are  $a_1$ , respectively  $a_2$  Lipschitz multivalued operators and  $a_1 a_2 \in ]0, 1[$ , it is proved that the across cartesian product operator  $T : X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$ , defined by  $T(x, y) := F_1(y) \times F_2(x)$ , for each  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$  is a multivalued contraction with closed values. Also,  $T$  has the selection property with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$ . From Górniewicz-Marano's result (Theorem 2.11 above) we obtain that the fixed point set of  $T$  is a nonempty absolute retract.

Then, it can easily see that  $\text{Fix}(F_1 \circ F_2)$  is the continuous image of  $\text{Fix } T$  via the projection  $p_1 : E_1 \times E_2 \rightarrow E_1$  onto the first coordinate.

Taking into account the above, we must conclude that an important tool in nonlinear problems solved by fixed point techniques is:

**Theorem 2.16.** (see Marano [6]) *Let  $X, Y$  be complete absolute retracts and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{[0, 1]\}$ . Suppose that  $F_1 : Y \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  and  $F_2 : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(Y)$  have the following properties:*

- i)  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are Lipschitz multi-functions, with Lipschitz constants  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  respectively.
- ii)  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  have the selection property with respect  $\mathcal{U}$ .
- iii)  $a_1 a_2 < 1$ .

*Then the fixed point set of the across cartesian product operator  $T : X \times Y \rightarrow P_{cl}(X \times Y)$ , defined by  $T(x, y) := F_1(y) \times F_2(x)$  is a nonempty absolute retract.*

### 3. An Application to the Game Theory

Let us first recall the notion of  $n$ -person game, as follows. Denote by  $X_i$  the set of all strategies of the  $i$  player, where  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then,  $X := \prod X_i$  is the set of all strategy vectors. Each  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X$  induces an outcome.

Players preferences are described using the preference multi-function  $\tilde{U}_i : X \multimap X$ , defined by  $\tilde{U}_i(x) := \{y \in X | y \text{ is preferred to } x\}$ .

We also define, the good reply multi-function.

Denote

$$x_{-i} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \in X_{-i},$$

where  $X_{-i} := \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^n X_k$ . and  $x|y_i := (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \in X$ . Then, by definition,  $y_i$  is a *good reply* for the player  $i$  with respect to the strategy vector  $x$  if  $x|y_i \in \tilde{U}_i(x)$ .

In this setting, the good reply multi-function for the player  $i$  is  $U_i : X_{-i} \multimap X_i$  defined by  $U_i(x_{-i}) := \{y_i \in X_i | x|y_i \in \tilde{U}_i(x)\}$ .

A game in strategic form or an abstract economy is the pair  $(X_i, U_i)_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}}$ .

For example, if we consider  $p_i : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , the pay-off function of the  $i$  player, then the good reply multi-function can be expressed by:

$$U_i(x_{-i}) := \{y_i \in X_i | F_i(x|y_i) \geq F_i(x|z_i), \text{ for each } z_i \in X_i\}.$$

By definition,  $x^* \in X$  is a Nash equilibrium point for an abstract economy if  $x_i^* \in U_i(x_{-i}^*)$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

Let us consider now a 2-person game (or an abstract economy with neighborhood effects) given by  $(X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2)$ , where  $X_1, X_2$  denote the set of strate-

gies of the player 1, respectively player 2, and  $U_1 : X_2 \multimap X_1$ ,  $U_2 : X_1 \multimap X_2$  are the good reply multi-functions for each player.

By definition,  $(x_1^*, x_2^*)$  is a Nash equilibrium point if  $x_1^* \in U_1(x_2^*)$  and  $x_2^* \in U_2(x_1^*)$ .

The following theorem is an existence result for a Nash equilibrium point.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a nonempty paracompact and convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space  $E_1$  and  $Y$  a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $E_2$ . Let  $U_1 : Y \rightarrow P_{cl,cv}(X)$  be lower semi-continuous and  $U_2 : X \rightarrow P(Y)$  defined by  $U_2(x) := \text{conv } U(x)$ , for each  $x \in X$ , where  $U : X \rightarrow P(Y)$ . If there exists a compact metrizable subset  $C$  of  $X$  such that  $U_1(Y) \subset C$  then, there exists at least a Nash equilibrium point for the 2-person game  $\{(X, U_1), (Y, U_2)\}$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $T(y) := \text{int}(U_2^{-1}(y))$ , for each  $y \in Y$ . Then the family  $(T(y))_{y \in Y}$  is an open covering of the paracompact space  $X$ . Let  $(T(y_i))_{i \in I}$  be an open locally finite covering of  $X$  and  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  a continuous partition of unity subordinate to the covering  $(T(y_i))_{i \in I}$ . Define the continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $f(x) := \sum f_{y_i}(x) \cdot y_i$ , for each  $x \in X$ . Hence, if  $f_{y_i}(x) \neq 0$  then  $x \in \text{supp } f_{y_i} \subset T(y_i) \subset U_2^{-1}(y_i)$ , that is  $y_i \in U_2(x)$ . Since  $U_2(x)$  is convex for each  $x \in X$  and  $f(x)$  is a convex combination of elements from  $U_2(x)$ , it follows that  $f(x) \in U_2(x)$ , for each  $x \in X$ . Consider now the multivalued operator  $W(x) := U_1(f(x))$ , for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $W$  is l.s.c., since  $f$  is continuous and  $U_1$  is l.s.c. Moreover,  $W(x) \in P_{cl,cv}(X)$ , for each  $x \in X$  and  $W(X) \subset U_1(Y) \subset C$ . Since  $C$  is compact and metrizable, using X. Wu's Theorem (see [13] we get that there exists  $x^* \in C$  such that  $x^* \in W(x^*)$ . It follows that  $x^* \in U_1(f(x^*))$  and hence  $y^* := f(x^*) \in U_2(x^*)$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

If  $E_1 = E_2$  and  $U_2$  has convex values, then the following existence result is the l.s.c. version of a result of Sessa [11].

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a nonempty paracompact and convex subset of a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$  and  $Y$  a nonempty subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $E$ . Let  $U_1 : Y \rightarrow P_{cl,cv}(X)$  be lower semi-continuous and  $U_2 : X \rightarrow P_{cv}(Y)$ . If there exists a compact metrizable subset  $C$  of  $X$  such that  $U_1(Y) \subset C$  then, there exists at least a Nash equilibrium point for the 2-person game  $\{(X, U_1), (Y, U_2)\}$ .*

The following theorem is not only an existence result for the Nash equilibrium points of an 2-person game, but also produces a topological property of the Nash equilibrium point set.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(X_1, U_1), (X_2, U_2)$  be a 2-person game. Suppose that:*



(i)  $X_1, X_2$  are nonempty, closed and convex subsets of the Banach spaces  $E_1$ , respectively  $E_2$ .

(ii)  $U_i$  is an  $a_i$ -Lipschitz multi-function with nonempty, closed and convex values, for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .

(iii)  $a_1 a_2 \in ]0, 1[$ .

Then the set of all Nash equilibrium points is nonempty and arcwise connected.

*Proof.* Let us remark that the Nash equilibrium point set is equal with the fixed point set of the multi-valued operator  $T(x_1, x_2) := U_1(x_2) \times U_2(x_1)$ , for each  $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$ .  $\square$

### References

- [1] J.P. Aubin, A. Cellina, *Differential Inclusions*, Springer, Berlin (1984).
- [2] A. Bressan, G. Colombo, Extensions and selections of maps with decomposable values, *Studia Math.*, **90** (1988), 69-86.
- [3] A. Bressan, A. Cellina, A. Fryszkowski, A class of absolute retracts in spaces of integrable functions, *Proc. A. M. S.*, **12** (1991), 413-418.
- [4] A. Fryszkowski, Continuous selections for a class of non-convex multivalued maps, *Studia Math.*, **76** (1983), 163-174.
- [5] L. Górniewicz, S. A. Marano, On the fixed point set of multivalued contractions, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **40** (1996), 139-145.
- [6] S. A. Marano, Fixed points of multivalued contractions, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Suppl.*, **48** (1997), 171-177.
- [7] A. Muntean, A. Petruşel, Coincidence theorems for l. s. c. multifunctions in topological vector spaces, *Proc. Itinerant Sem. Functional Eq., Approx. and Convexity*, Srima Cluj-Napoca, 147-151 (2000).
- [8] A. Petruşel, Multivalued generalized contractions, *Nonlinear Analysis T. M. A.*, **47** (2001), 649-659.
- [9] A. Petruşel, G. Moţ, Convexity and decomposability in multivalued analysis, In: *Proc. of the Generalized Convexity/Monotonicity Conference, Samos, Greece, 1999*, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag (2001), 333-341.

- [10] B. Ricceri, Une propriété topologique de l'ensemble des points fixes d'une contraction multivoque à valeur convexes, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Fis. Mat Natur.*, **81** (1987), 283-286.
- [11] S. Sessa, Some remarks and applications of an extension of a lemma of Ky Fan, *C.M.U.C.*, **29** (1988), 567-575.
- [12] A. A. Tolstonogov, Continuous selectors of fixed points set of multifunctions with decomposable values, *Set-Valued Analysis*, **6** (1998), 129-147.
- [13] X. Wu, A new fixed point theorem and its applications, *Proc. A.M.S.*, **125** (1997), 1779-1783.