

HADAMARD PRODUCTS OF CERTAIN  $p$ -VALENTLY  
ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

Maslina Darus

School of Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
University Kebangsaan Malaysia  
Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, 43600, MALAYSIA  
e-mail: maslina@pkrisc.cc.ukm.my

**Abstract:** In this paper, we introduce and study a class of  $p$ -valently functions with negative coefficients defined by using Hadamard products in the sense of Juneja [5]. Some basic properties which include coefficient bounds, growth and distortion, and closure theorem are given. Further, the radii of starlikeness and convexity is also given.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 30C45

**Key Words:** convex, starlike,  $p$ -valently functions, Hadamard product, integral operator, fractional calculus

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Denote by  $A(p)$  the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open disc  $U = \{z : z \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$ . Some properties of some subclasses of  $A(p)$  were studied by Aouf et al [1]. Denote by  $S^*(p, \alpha)$  the class of starlike functions  $f \in A(p)$  of order  $\alpha$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < p$ ) satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in U,$$

and let  $C(p, \alpha)$  be the class of convex functions  $f \in A(p)$  of order  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < p)$  such that  $zf' \in S^*(p, \alpha)$ .

A function  $f \in A(1)$  is said to be in the class of  $\beta$ -uniformly convex functions of order  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $\beta - UCV(\alpha)$  [8, 9] if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq \beta \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - 1 \right|, \tag{1.2}$$

and is said to be in a corresponding subclass of  $\beta - UCV(\alpha)$  denote by  $\beta - S_p(\alpha)$  if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq \beta \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|, \tag{1.3}$$

where  $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $z \in U$ .

The class of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions has been extensively studied by Goodman [3, 4], Ma and Minda [7]. In fact the class of uniformly  $\beta$ -starlike functions was introduced by Kanas and Wisniowski [6], and for which it can be generalised to  $\beta - S_p(\alpha)$ , the class of uniformly  $\beta$ -starlike functions of order  $\alpha$ .

If  $f$  of the form (1.1) and  $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{p+k}z^{p+k}$  are two functions in  $A(p)$ , then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f$  and  $g$  is denoted by  $f * g$  and is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k}b_{p+k}z^{p+k}. \tag{1.4}$$

Ruscheweyh [10] using the convolution techniques, introduced and studied an important subclass of  $A(1)$ , the class of prestarlike functions of order  $\alpha$ , which denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ . Thus  $f \in A(1)$  is said to be prestarlike function of order  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$  if  $f * S_\alpha \in S^*(\alpha)$ , where  $S_\alpha(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\alpha)}} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n(\alpha)z^n$  and  $c_n(\alpha) = \frac{\prod_{j=2}^n (j-2\alpha)}{(n-1)!}$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$   $\mathbf{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ). We note that  $\mathcal{R}(0) = C(0)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2}) = S^*(\frac{1}{2})$ . Juneja et al [5] define the family  $\mathcal{D}(\Phi, \Psi; \alpha)$  consisting of functions  $f \in A$  so that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} \right) > \alpha, \quad z \in U,$$

where  $\Phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Upsilon_n z^n$  and  $\Psi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n$  analytic in  $U$  such that  $f(z) * \Psi(z) \neq 0$ ,  $\Upsilon_n \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma_n \geq 0$  and  $\Upsilon_n > \gamma_n (n \geq 2)$ .

We let  $\mathcal{D}(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  denote the set of all functions in  $A(p)$  for which

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right) > \beta \left| \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right|, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\eta$  is positive real number and  $\beta \geq 0$ . In the case of  $p = 1$ , this similar class of function was introduced in [2]. Thus, the work here is more or less the generalisation of the work in [2].

For suitable choices of  $\Phi, \Psi$ , and having  $\eta = p - \alpha$ , we easily obtain the various subclasses of  $A(p)$ . For example  $\mathcal{D}(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}; p - \alpha, 0) = S^*(p, \alpha)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; p - \alpha, 0) = C(p, \alpha)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\frac{z+(1-2\alpha)z^2}{(1-z)^{3-2\alpha}}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\alpha}}; p - \alpha, 0) = \mathcal{R}(p, \alpha)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}; p - \alpha, \beta) = \beta - S_p(p, \alpha)$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; p - \alpha, \beta) = \beta - UCV(p, \alpha)$ . And having in mind that when  $p = 1$  we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}; 1 - \alpha, 0) = S^*(\alpha),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; 1 - \alpha, 0) = C(\alpha),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\frac{z+(1-2\alpha)z^2}{(1-z)^{3-2\alpha}}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2-2\alpha}}; 1 - \alpha, 0) = \mathcal{R}(\alpha),$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\frac{z}{(1-z)^2}, \frac{z}{1-z}; 1 - \alpha, \beta) = \beta - S_p(\alpha),$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(\frac{z+z^2}{(1-z)^3}, \frac{z}{(1-z)^2}; 1 - \alpha, \beta) = \beta - UCV(\alpha).$$

Also denote by  $T(p)$  [11] the subclass of  $A(p)$  consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}. \quad (1.6)$$

Now let us write  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p) = \mathcal{D}(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p) \cap T$ .

### 2. Characterization Property

We now investigate the characterization property for the function  $f$  to be in  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ . First of all, we get the coefficient bounds which shall be used throughout the paper.

**Theorem 2.1.** (Coefficient Bounds) *A function  $f$  defined by (1.6) is in the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}]}{\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)} |a_{p+k}| \leq 1, \tag{2.7}$$

where  $\eta$  is positive real number,  $\beta \geq 0$ ,  $\Upsilon_{p+k} \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma_{p+k} \geq 0$  and  $\Upsilon_{p+k} > \gamma_{p+k}$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right| \leq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right\},$$

and we have

$$\beta \left| \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right| \leq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right\} - 1 \right\} + 1.$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \left| \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right\} \\ \leq (\beta + 1) \left| \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{f(z) * \Phi(z)}{f(z) * \Psi(z)} - p \right) \right| \\ \leq \frac{(1 + \beta)(p - 1) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + \beta)(\Upsilon_{p+k} - p\gamma_{p+k}) |a_{p+k}|}{\eta - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta\gamma_{p+k} |a_{p+k}|}. \end{aligned}$$

The above expression is bounded by 1 and hence the assertion of the result.

Now we need to show that  $f \in \mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  satisfies the coefficient inequality. If  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  and  $z$  is real then (1.5) yields

$$1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left( \frac{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{p+k} a_{p+k} z^k}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{p+k} a_{p+k} z^k} - p \right) \geq \frac{\beta(p - 1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta(\Upsilon_{p+k} - p\gamma_{p+k}) a_{p+k} z^k}{\eta - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta\gamma_{p+k} a_{p+k} z^k}.$$

Letting  $z \rightarrow 1$  along the real axis leads to the desired inequality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}] a_{p+k} \leq \eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1). \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let a function  $f$  defined by (1.6) belong to the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ . Then*

$$a_{p+k} \leq \frac{\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)}{[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}]}, \quad k \geq 1.$$

For  $\beta = 0$  and  $\eta = p - \alpha$ , we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let a function  $f$  defined by (1.6) belong to the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; p - \alpha, 0)$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Upsilon_{p+k} - \alpha\gamma_{p+k}]}{1 - \alpha} |a_{p+k}| \leq 1. \tag{2.8}$$

For  $\beta = 0$  and  $\eta = 1 - \alpha$  we have result obtained by Juneja [5].

**Corollary 2.3.** (see [5]) *Let a function  $f$  defined by (1.6) belong to the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; 1 - \alpha, 0)$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\Upsilon_{1+k} - \alpha\gamma_{1+k}]}{1 - \alpha} |a_{1+k}| \leq 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Next we consider the growth and distortion theorem for the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ . We shall omit the proof as the techniques are tedious and standard.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let the function  $f$  defined by (1.6) be in the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} r^p - r^{p+1} \frac{\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)}{[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+1} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+1}]} &\leq |f(z)| \\ &\leq r + r^{p+1} \frac{\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)}{[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+1} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+1}]} \quad (|z| = r) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} pr^{p-1} - r^p \frac{(p + 1)(\eta - (p - 1)(1 + \beta))}{[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+1} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+1}]} &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq pr^{p-1} + r^p \frac{(p + 1)(\eta - (p - 1)(1 + \beta))}{[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+1} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+1}]} \quad (|z| = r). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The bounds (2.10) and (2.11) are attained for functions given by

$$f(z) = z^p - z^{p+k} \frac{\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)}{[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}]} \quad (k \geq 1). \tag{2.12}$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let a function  $f$  be defined by (1.6) and

$$g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{p+k} z^{p+k} \quad (2.13)$$

be in the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ . Then the function  $h$  defined by

$$h(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda g(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $q_{p+k} = (1 - \lambda)a_{p+k} + \lambda b_{p+k}$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  is also in the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ .

*Proof.* The result follows easily from (2.7) and (2.14).  $\square$

We prove the following theorem by defining functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) of the form

$$f_j(z) = z^p - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k,j} z^{p+k} \text{ for } a_{p+k,j} \geq 0, z \in U \quad (2.15)$$

**Theorem 2.4.** (Closure Theorem) Let the functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ) defined by (2.15) be in the classes  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta_j, \beta, p)$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ), respectively. Then the function  $h(z)$  defined by  $h(z) = z^p - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=1}^m a_{p+k,j}) z^{p+k}$  is in the class  $\mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ , where

$$\eta = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\eta_j\} \text{ with } 0 \leq \eta_j < 1. \quad (2.16)$$

*Proof.* Since  $f_j \in \mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta_j, \beta, p)$  ( $j = 2, \dots, m$ ) by applying Theorem 2.1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}] \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{p+k,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}] a_{p+k,j} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (\eta_j - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)) \leq \eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which in view of Theorem 2.1, again implies that  $h \in \mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**3. Radii of Starlikeness and Convexity of  $f \in \mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$**

We first provide the radii of starlikeness for functions  $f \in \mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta)$ . Then  $f$  is starlike of order  $0 \leq \tau < p$  in  $|z| < R_1$ , where*

$$R_1 = \inf_{k \geq 1} \left[ \frac{(p - \tau)[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}]}{(p + k - \tau)[\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+k-1}}.$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| < p - \tau. \tag{3.1}$$

For the left hand side of (3.1) we have

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - p \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-k)a_{p+k}z^{p+k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{p+k}z^{p+k-1}} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} ka_{p+k}|z|^{p+k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k}|z|^{p+k-1}}.$$

This last expression is less than  $(p - \tau)$  since

$$|z|^{p+k-1} < \frac{(p - \tau)[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}]}{(p + k - \tau)[\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)]}.$$

Therefore the proof is completed. □

Using the fact that  $f$  is convex if and only if  $zf'$  is starlike, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ . Then  $f$  is convex of order  $0 \leq \tau < p$  in  $|z| < R_2$ , where*

$$R_2 = \inf_{k \geq 1} \left[ \frac{(p - \tau)[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}]}{(p + k)(p + k - \tau)[\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+k-1}}.$$

We omit the proof as it is easily derived.

Finally we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}_T(\Phi, \Psi; \eta, \beta, p)$ . Then  $f$  is close-to-convex of order  $0 \leq \tau < p$  in  $|z| < R_3$ , where*

$$R_3 = \inf_{k \geq 1} \left[ \frac{(p - \tau)[(1 + \beta)\Upsilon_{p+k} - (p(1 + \beta) - \eta)\gamma_{p+k}]}{(p + k)[\eta - (1 + \beta)(p - 1)]} \right]^{\frac{1}{p+k-1}}.$$

### Acknowledgements

The work presented here is supported by IRPA grant 04-02-02-10029 EAR, Malaysia.

### References

- [1] M.K. Aouf, M. Hossen, H.M. Srivastava, Some families of univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **39** (2000), 39-48.
- [2] M. Darus, Hadamard product of certain uniformly analytic functions, Submitted.
- [3] A.W. Goodman, On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **56** (1991), 87-92.
- [4] A.W. Goodman, On uniformly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. and Appl.*, **155** (1991), 364-370.
- [5] O.P. Juneja, T.R. Reddy, M.L. Mogra, A convolution approach for analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Soochow J. Math.*, **11** (1985), 69-81.
- [6] S. Kanas, A. Wisniowska, Conic domains and starlike functions, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.*, **45** (2000), 647-657.
- [7] W. Ma, D. Minda, Uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **57** (1992), 165-175.
- [8] F. Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118** (1993), 189-196.
- [9] F. Rønning, Integral representations for bounded starlike functions, *Annal. Polon. Math.*, **60** (1995), 289-297.
- [10] S. Ruscheweyh, Linear operator between classes of prestarlike functions, *Comm. Math. Helv.*, **52** (1977), 497-509.
- [11] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **51** (1975), 109-116.