

ON INEQUALITY FOR POSITIVE DEFINITE MATRICES

Narges Abbasi

Department of Statistics
Payam Noor University
Shiraz Centre, 71365-944, Shiraz, IRAN
e-mail: Abbasi@spnu.ac.ir

Abstract: This study is conducted to prove the inequality among determinants of positive definite matrices through maximum likelihood ratio test. Stefanski [3] already showed the inequality between arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means. However, the results in this article are derived from p-variate normal distribution.

AMS Subject Classification: 11C20, 15A36

Key Words: likelihood function, positive definite matrix, Wishart distribution

1. Introduction

The relation between determinants and trace of positive matrices are useful in topics of statistics. Often, by mathematical methods, mathematicians propose a function, find extreme value of function and prove some inequalities. Eigenvalues show if they are involved, inequality are stated in terms of function and making a hypothesis, and presented a new method of proving. Now, in this article exponential density is changed to Wishart distribution, and there generates some inequalities.

2. Results

Let \mathbf{X}_{ij} be independently normally distributed as $N_p(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The mean sample and covariance matrix sample for i -th sample are

$$\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i = N_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \mathbf{X}_{ij}, \quad \mathbf{S}_i = N_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)(\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)'$$

Two estimators are sufficient and maximum likelihood estimators for mean vector and covariance matrix of population, respectively. Also, the coefficient of sample covariance is a positive matrix, with Wishart distribution,

$$\mathbf{V}_i = N_i \mathbf{S}_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} (\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)(\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)' \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{V}_i \sim \mathbf{W}_p(\Sigma_i, \mathbf{n}_i) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad (2)$$

where $N_i = n_i + 1$ (Johnson [1]). The density of \mathbf{V}_i equals

$$f(v_i) = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{pn_i}{2}} \Gamma_p(\frac{n_i}{2})} |v_i|^{\frac{n_i-p-1}{2}} |\Sigma_i|^{-\frac{n_i}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma_i^{-1} v_i)) \\ \propto |v_i|^{\frac{n_i-p-1}{2}} |\Sigma_i|^{-\frac{n_i}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma_i^{-1} v_i)), \quad (3)$$

where $\Gamma_p(\cdot)$, multivariate Gamma function, is defined in Muirhead [2]. Then the likelihood function is

$$L(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_k) = c \prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|^{\frac{n_i-p-1}{2}} |\Sigma_i|^{-\frac{n_i}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\Sigma_i^{-1} v_i)), \quad (4)$$

where $c = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^{\frac{pn_i}{2}} \Gamma_p(\frac{n_i}{2})}$. Without any assumption on covariance matrices of populations, the maximum value of likelihood function is

$$L(n_1^{-1} v_1, n_2^{-1} v_2, \dots, n_k^{-1} v_k) = \max_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_k} L(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_k) \quad (5)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|^{\frac{n_i-p-1}{2}} |n_i^{-1} v_i|^{-\frac{n_i}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(n_i v_i^{-1} v_i)) \quad (6)$$

$$= c \exp(-\frac{1}{2} p \sum_{j=1}^k n_j) \prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|^{\frac{-p-1}{2} n_i \frac{n_i p}{2}}. \quad (7)$$

Under the null hypothesis, $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \dots = \Sigma_k = \Sigma$, the maximum likelihood estimator of Σ is $\mathbf{V} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{V}_i$ and the maximum value of likelihood function is

$$L(v, v, \dots, v) = \max_{\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \dots = \Sigma_k} L(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_k) \tag{8}$$

$$= c \prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|^{\frac{n_i - p - 1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{n_i}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(v^{-1} v_i)\right) \tag{9}$$

$$= c \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} p \sum_{j=1}^k n_j\right) \prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|^{\frac{n_i - p - 1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{n_i}{2}}. \tag{10}$$

It is obvious

$$\frac{L(v, v, \dots, v)}{L(n_1^{-1} v_1, n_2^{-1} v_2, \dots, n_k^{-1} v_k)} = \frac{\max_{\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \dots = \Sigma_k} L(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_k)}{\max_{\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_k} L(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \dots, \Sigma_k)} \leq 1. \tag{11}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|^{\frac{n_i - p - 1}{2}} |v|^{-\frac{n_i}{2}}}{\prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|^{\frac{-p-1}{2}} n_i^{-\frac{n_i p}{2}}} = |v|^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^k n_i^{-\frac{pn_i}{2}} |v_i|^{\frac{n_i}{2}} \leq 1 \tag{13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \right|^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i}{2}} &\geq \prod_{i=1}^k n_i^{-\frac{n_i p}{2}} |v_i|^{n_i} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \right|^{\sum_{i=1}^k n_i} \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k n_i \right)^p \sum_{i=1}^k n_i \prod_{i=1}^k n_i^{-pn_i} |v_i|^{n_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

If the sample sizes from populations are equal to n , then

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \right|^k n \geq (kn)^p k n \prod_{i=1}^k n^{-n p} |v_i|^n.$$

Theorem. For positive definite matrices V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \right|^k \geq (k)^p k \prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|.$$

Since inverse of any positive definite matrices is positive definite matrix we have Result 1.

Result 1. For positive definite matrices, V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k v_i^{-1} \right|^{-k} \geq (k)^{-p k} \prod_{i=1}^k |v_i|.$$

With choice of matrices $V_i = \text{diag}(a_{i1}, a_{i2}, \dots, a_{ip})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where a_{ij} , Result 2 is obtained.

Result 2. For any set of positive values, a_{ij} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ $j = 1, 2, \dots, p$,

$$\left(\prod_{j=1}^p \sum_{i=1}^k a_{ij} \right)^k \geq k^{p k} \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^p a_{ij},$$

and for $p = 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i/k \geq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i \right)^{k^{-1}}.$$

Again, choosing matrices $V_i = \text{diag}(a_{i1}^{-1}, a_{i2}^{-1}, \dots, a_{ip}^{-1})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and combine Result 2, in case $p = 1$, we obtain Result 3.

Result 3. For any set of positive values, $a_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i/k \geq \left(\prod_{i=1}^k a_i \right)^{k^{-1}} \geq \frac{k}{\sum_{i=1}^k a_i^{-1}}.$$

This is the famous inequality that Stefanski proved by the exponential density function. The positive matrix has positive eigen values, so we can write the following result.

Result 4. For any positive definite matrix, A ,

$$|A| \geq \left(\frac{1}{k} \text{trace}(A) \right)^k.$$

If we replace the

$$s_1 \Sigma_1, s_2 \Sigma_2, \dots, s_k \Sigma_k,$$

where $s_i > 0$, with null hypothesis $\Sigma_1 = \Sigma_2 = \dots = \Sigma_k = \Sigma$, then the inequalities change to weighted quantities.

References

- [1] N.L. Johson, *Distribution in Statistics: Continuous Multivariate Distributions*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. (1972).
- [2] R.J. Muirhead, *Aspects of Multivariate Statistical Theory*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc. (1982).
- [3] L.A. Stefanski, A note on the arithmetic-geometric-harmonic mean inequalities, *The American Statistician*, **50**, No. 3 (1996).

