

RIGHT CODIMENSIONAL SMOOTH POINTS OF
BRILL-NOETHER LOCI OF \mathcal{M}_g

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

Abstract: Fix integers g , t , r and d such that $r > 0$, $g \geq t + 2 \geq 3$, $2 \leq d \leq 2g - 4$ and $\rho(g, r, d) = -t$. For all integers $u > 0, v > 0$ set $\mathcal{M}_g(u, v) := \{C \in \mathcal{M}_g : C \text{ has a } g_v^u\}$. Here we prove the existence of $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ with the following properties:

- (i) $X \in \mathcal{M}_g(r, d)$ and $\mathcal{M}_g(r, d)$ is smooth and of dimension $3g - 3 - t$ at X ;
- (ii) X has no g_x^y such that $\rho(g, y, x) < 0$ and $0 < x < d$;
- (iii) X has no g_v^u such that $v < 2d$ and $\rho(g, u, v) \leq -t - 1$.

AMS Subject Classification: 14H10, 14H51

Key Words: Brill-Noether locus, moduli scheme of curves, limit linear series, stable curves

1. Introduction

Here we use the theory of limit linear series due to D. Eisenbud and J. Harris ([3], [4]) to prove an existence theorem and a smoothness theorem for certain Brill-Noether loci of the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of smooth genus g curves. Simultaneously, we prove a non-existence theorem for certain linear series on the general curve in the “good” component of these Brill-Noether loci. More precisely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1. Fix integers g, t, r and d such that $r > 0, g \geq t + 2 \geq 3, 2 \leq d \leq 2g - 4$ and $\rho(g, r, d) = -t$. For all integers $u > 0, v > 0$ set $\mathcal{M}_g(u, v) := \{C \in \mathcal{M}_g : C \text{ has a } g_v^u\}$. Then there exists $X \in \mathcal{M}_g$ with the following properties:

- (i) $X \in \mathcal{M}_g(r, d)$ and $\mathcal{M}_g(r, d)$ is smooth and of dimension $3g - 3 - t$ at X ;
- (ii) X has no g_x^y such that $\rho(g, y, x) < 0$ and $0 < x < d$;
- (iii) X has no g_v^u such that $v < 2d$ and $\rho(g, u, v) \leq -t - 1$.

Here $\rho(a, b, c) := a - (b + 1)(a + b - c)$ denotes the Brill-Noether number. Theorem 1 should have been proved twenty years ago, but as far as we know, it is not contained in the literature on the subject (see [5], [1], [7] and [6] for related works).

We work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} such that $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Notation 1. Let C be a smooth curve of genus $g, P_1, \dots, P_n \in X$ distinct points and A a g_d^r on C . Let $0 \leq a_0^A(P_i) < \dots < a_r^A(P_i) \leq d$ be the vanishing sequence of A at P_i . Set $\alpha_j^A(P_i) := a_j^A(P_i) - j$. Hence $0 \leq \alpha_0^A(P_i) \leq \dots \leq \alpha_r^A(P_i) \leq d - r$ is the ramification sequence of A at P_i . Set $\rho(A, P_1, \dots, P_n) := \rho(g, r, d) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j^A(P_i)$, i.e. set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A, P_1, \dots, P_n) \\ := (r + 1)d - rq - r(r + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^A(P_i) - n(r + 1)r/2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if $q = 1$ and $P, Q \in C, P \neq Q$, we have

$$\rho(A, P) = (r + 1)d - (r + 3)r/2 - \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^A(P), \tag{1}$$

$$\rho(A, P, Q) = (r + 1)d - r - \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^A(P) - \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^A(Q). \tag{2}$$

Let Y be a genus g nodal curve of compact type and L a refined limit g_d^r on Y . For any irreducible component T of Y , let L_T denote the aspect of L

on T . Fix any $P \in \text{Sing}(Y)$ and let T, C be the irreducible components of Y containing P . Since L is refined, we have

$$a_j^{LT}(P) + a_{r-j}^{LC}(P) = d \tag{3}$$

for all $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$.

Remark 1. Let C be an elliptic curve and $P, Q \in C$ such that $P \neq Q$. Take any complete degree $d \geq 3$ linear series on C . We have $a_i^L(P) + a_{d-1-i}^L(Q) \leq d$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ and we have equality for one index i if and only if $L \cong \mathcal{O}_C(iP + (d-i)Q)$. Taking a linear projection we get $a_i^A(P) + a_{r-i}^A(Q) \leq d$ for every g_d^r A on C .

Lemma 1. Fix integers $d > r > 0$. Let C be an elliptic curve and $P, Q \in C, P \neq Q$, such that $\mathcal{O}_C(P - Q)$ has exact order d in $\text{Pic}^0(C)$. There is a unique g_d^r , say A , with vanishing orders $a_i^A(P), a_j^A(Q)$ such that $d - 1 \leq a_i^A(P) + a_{r-i}^A(Q) \leq d$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ and such that $\rho(A, P, Q) = -1$. We have $a_{r-i}^A(Q) = d - 1 - a_i^A(P)$ for $i \neq 0, r$, $a_0^A(Q) = d - a_r(P)$ and $a_r^A(Q) = d - a_0^A(P)$. The line bundle associated to A is $\mathcal{O}_C(dP) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(dQ)$.

Proof. Since $\rho(A, P, Q) = -1$, there are exactly two indices $i \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ such that $a_i^A(P) + a_{r-i}^A(Q) = d$ (use (2)). Any equality of this type forces the line bundle associated to A to be isomorphic $\mathcal{O}_C(xP + (d-x)Q)$ for some $0 \leq x \leq d$, and in this case we have $a_x^A(P) + a_{r-x}^A(Q) = d$. Since we have two such equalities and $\mathcal{O}_C(P - Q)$ has exact order d in $\text{Pic}^0(C)$, we need to have $a_{r-i}^A(Q) = d - 1 - a_i^A(P)$ for $i \neq 0, r$, $a_0^A(Q) = d - a_r^A(P)$ and $a_r^A(Q) = d - a_0^A(P)$. The uniqueness of the g_d^r is just [4], Proposition 5.2. The existence of at least one such g_d^r follows from the criterion given in [4], Proposition 5.2. \square

Remark 2. Let C be an elliptic curve and $P, Q \in C, P \neq Q$. Assume $\mathcal{O}_C(P - Q)$ either not torsion in $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ or with exact order d in $\text{Pic}^0(C)$. Fix integers x, y such that $0 < y < x < d$. There is no g_x^y on C such that $\rho(g_x^y, P, Q) < 0$. For a similar observation, see [8], Proposition 2.8.

Remark 3. Let C be an elliptic curve and $P \in C$. By (1) there is no g_d^r on C such that $\rho(g_d^r, P) < 0$. Let A be any g_d^r on C such that $\rho(A, P) = 0$. By (1) we have $a_0^A(P) = d - r - 1$ and $a_i^A(P) = d - r + i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Hence $A = (d - r - 1)P + |(r + 1)P|$. As in the proof of Lemma 1 we get $L \cong \mathcal{O}_C(dP)$

Lemma 2. Let C be an elliptic curve, $P, Q \in C, P \neq Q$, and a g_v^u A on C . Assume one of the following conditions:

- (i) $\mathcal{O}_C(P - Q)$ not torsion in $\text{Pic}^0(C)$;

(ii) $\mathcal{O}_C(P - Q)$ has exact order d in $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ and $v < 2d$.

Then $\rho(A, P, Q) \geq -1$.

Proof. Let $L \in \text{Pic}^v(C)$ denote the line bundle associated to A . Assume $\rho(A, P, Q) \geq -2$. Assume the existence of 3 integers, say i, j, k , such that $0 \leq i < j < k \leq r$ and $a_i^A(P) + a_{r-i}^A(Q) = a_j^A(P) + a_{r-j}^A(Q) = a_k^A(P) + a_{r-k}^A(Q) = v$. Since $A \subseteq H^0(C, L)$ and $\deg(L) = v$, we get $L \cong \mathcal{O}_C(a_i^A(P)P + a_{r-i}^A(Q)Q) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(a_j^A(P)P + a_{r-j}^A(Q)Q) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(a_k^A(P)P + a_{r-k}^A(Q)Q)$. Since $a_i^A(P) < a_j^A(P) < a_k^A(P)$, we get that $\mathcal{O}_C(P - Q)$ has order in $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ dividing both $a_j^A(P) - a_i^A(P)$ and $a_k^A(P) - a_j^A(P)$, while at least one of these positive integers is at most $v/2$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Fix the integer $t > 0$ such that $\rho(g, r, d) = -t$. By assumption we have $g \geq t + 2$. Let Y be the following genus g stable curve of compact type. $Y = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_g$ is a chain of g smooth elliptic curves Y_i , $1 \leq i \leq g$, i.e. $Y_i \cap Y_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$. Hence $\sharp(Y_i \cap \text{Sing}(Y)) = 1$ for $i = 1, g$, while $\sharp(Y_i \cap \text{Sing}(Y)) = 2$ for $2 \leq i \leq g - 1$. Set $P_i := Y_i \cap Y_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq g - 1$. If $2 \leq i \leq t$ we assume that $\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}(P_{i-1} - P_i)$ has exact order d in $\text{Pic}^0(Y_i)$. If $t + 2 \leq i \leq g - 1$ we assume that $\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}(P_{i-1} - P_i)$ is not a torsion element in $\text{Pic}^0(Y_i)$.

(a) To prove part (i) it is sufficient to construct a refined dimensionally proper g_d^r , L , on Y ([2], Theorem 3.4). To give L it is sufficient to give its aspects L_{Y_i} , $1 \leq i \leq g$, and to check the compatibility conditions (use (3)) at each P_i , $1 \leq i \leq g - 1$. As associated line bundle \mathbb{L}_{Y_i} we will take $\mathcal{O}_{Y_i}(P_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq g - 1$ and $\mathbb{L}_{Y_g} := \mathcal{O}_{Y_g}(P_{g-1})$. We want to have $\rho(L_{Y_1}, P_1) = \rho(L_{Y_g}, P_{g-1}) = 0$, $\rho(L_{Y_i}; P_{i-1}, P_i) = -1$ for $2 \leq i \leq t + 1$ and $\rho(L_{Y_i}; P_{i-1}, P_i) = 0$ for $t + 2 \leq i \leq g - 1$. Set $L_{Y_1} := d - r - 1 + |(r + 1)P_1|$. Hence $a_j^{L_{Y_1}}(P_1) = d - r - 1 + j$ for $0 \leq j \leq r - 1$, $a_r^{L_{Y_1}}(P_1) = d$ and $\rho(L_{Y_1}, P_1) = 0$ (use (1)). Fix an integer x such that $2 \leq x \leq g - 1$ and assume defined L_{Y_i} for all $i \in \{1, \dots, x - 1\}$. By (3) we are forced to require $a_j^{L_{Y_x}}(P_{x-1}) = d - a_{r-j}^{L_{Y_{x-1}}}(P_{x-1})$ for all j . To get $\rho(L_{Y_i}; P_{i-1}, P_i) = -1$ for $2 \leq i \leq t + 1$ and $\rho(L_{Y_i}; P_{i-1}, P_i) = 0$ for $t + 2 \leq i \leq g - 1$ we need to obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^r a_j^{L_{Y_{i-1}}}(P_{i-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^{L_{Y_i}}(P_i) + r - 1 \tag{4}$$

for $2 \leq i \leq t + 1$ and

$$\sum_{j=0}^r a_j^{L_{Y_{i-1}}}(P_{i-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^{L_{Y_i}}(P_i) + r \tag{5}$$

for $t+2 \leq i \leq g-1$. To apply [4], Proposition 5.2, we will always take $a_j^{LY_i}(P_i)$, $0 \leq j \leq r$, satisfying the inequalities

$$d - 1 \leq a_j^{LY_i}(P_{i-1}) + a_{r-j}^{LY_i}(P_i) \leq d \tag{6}$$

for all $j \in \{0, \dots, r\}$. Use (4) and (5). If $2 \leq i \leq t+1$ to get $\rho(L_{Y_i}; P_{i-1}, P_i) = -1$ we need to take $a_j^{LY_i}(P_{i-1}) + a_{r-j}^{LY_i}(P_i) = d$ for exactly two indices j , while if $t+2 \leq i \leq g-1$ to get $\rho(L_{Y_i}; P_{i-1}, P_i) = 0$ we need to take $a_j^{LY_i}(P_{i-1}) + a_{r-j}^{LY_i}(P_i) = d$ for exactly one index j . First assume $2 \leq i \leq t+1$. We take $a_j^{LY_i}(P_{i-1}) + a_{r-j}^{LY_i}(P_i) = d$ if and only if $j = 0, d$. The existence criterion given in [4], Proposition 5.2, is satisfied because $\mathbb{L}_{Y_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}(dP_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}(dP_{i-1})$. Now assume $t+2 \leq i \leq g-1$. $a_j^{LY_i}(P_{i-1}) + a_{r-j}^{LY_i}(P_i) = d$ if and only if $j = 0$. The existence criterion given in [4], Proposition 5.2, is satisfied because $\mathbb{L}_{Y_i} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y_i}d(P_i)$. Set $Y' := \cup_{i=1}^{g-1} Y_i$ and L' the refined linear series on Y' with L_{Y_i} as its aspects on each Y_i . By the additivity of the Brill-Noether number ([3], Proposition 4.6) we get $\rho(L', P_{g-1}) = \rho(L_{Y_1}, P_1) - t$. Since $\rho(g, r, d) = -t$, we get $a_0^{L'g-1}(P_{g-1}) = 0$ and $a_j^{L'g-1}(P_{g-1}) = j+1$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Hence taking $L_{Y_g} := (d-r-1)P_{g-1} + |(r+1)P_{g-1}|$ as aspect on Y_g we give a refined limit linear series on Y , which is smoothable and which appear in the “right” codimension $-\rho(g, r, d)$, because it appear in the right codimension in the family of all chains of g elliptic curves.

(b) To prove parts (ii) it is sufficient to show that for all integers $u > 0$, $v > 0$ such that either $v < d$ and $\rho(g, u, v) < 0$ or $\rho(g, u, v) \leq -t - 1$ and $v < 2d$ there is no coarse g_v^u on Y ([2], Proposition 2.1). Use the additivity of the Brill-Noether number ([3], Proposition 4.6) and Remark 2 and Remark 3. □

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

[1] D. Edidin, Brill-Noether theory in codimension-two, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **2**, No. 1 (1993), 25-67.
 [2] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, A simpler proof of Gieseker-Petri Theorem on special divisors, *Invent. Math.*, **74**, No. 2 (1983), 269-280.

- [3] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, Limit linear series: basic theory, *Invent. Math.*, **85**, No. 2 (1986), 337-371.
- [4] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, Existence, decomposition, and limits of certain Weierstrass points, *Invent. Math.*, **87**, No. 3 (1987), 495-515.
- [5] D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, Irreducibility of some families of linear series with Brill-Noether number -1 , *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 4, **22**, No. 1 (1989), 33-53.
- [6] G. Farkas, The geometry of the moduli space of curves of genus 23, *Math. Ann.*, **318**, No. 1 (2000), 43-65.
- [7] F. Steffen, A generalized principal ideal theorem with an application to Brill-Noether theory, *Invent. Math.*, **132**, No. 1 (1998), 73-89.
- [8] G. Welters, A theorem of Gieseker-Petri type for Prym varieties, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, 4, **18**, No. 4 (1985), 671-683.