

NUMBER OF POINTS OVER \mathbb{F}_q OF
PROJECTIVE VARIETIES: EXTREMALITY
PROPERTIES OF UNIONS OF LINEAR SPACES

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

Abstract: Here we study the following invariants. For every prime-power q and all positive integers n, m, d let $\tau(q, m, d, n)$ (respectively $\beta(q, m, d, n)$) denote the maximal integer $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_q))$ for some geometrically integral, respectively geometrically connected m -dimensional degree d variety $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q .

AMS Subject Classification: 14N05, 14J99

Key Words: number of points, number of points of projective varieties, extremality properties of unions of linear

1. Number of Points of Hyperplane Sections

For every prime-power q and all positive integers n, m, d let $\tau(q, m, d, n)$ (respectively $\beta(q, m, d, n)$) denote the maximal integer $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_q))$ for some geometrically integral (respectively geometrically connected m -dimensional degree d variety $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Here we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1. Fix a prime power q and integers n, m, d such that $n \geq 2m + 2 \geq 4$.

(a) Assume $q > d > 0$. Then $\tau(q, m, d, n) = \tau(q, m, d, 2m + 1)$.

(b) Assume $m \geq 2$ and take a geometrically connected degree d hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{m+1}$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Let $\gamma_{X,q}$ denote the maximal integer $\sharp((V(\mathbb{F}_q) \cap X))$ for some $(m - 1)$ -dimensional linear subspace $V \subset \mathbf{P}^{m+1}$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Then $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq (q + 1) \cdots \beta(q, m - 1, d, m) - q \cdot \gamma_{X,q}$.

We will also prove results when X is not geometrically integral. In this case we get a sharp upper bound for the integer $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_q))$ and that the upper bound is an equality if and only if X is a suitable union of linear spaces (see Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 and Proposition 2).

Lemma 1. *Fix a prime-power q and integers d, n such that $q > d > 0$ and $n \geq 3$. Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a degree d curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Then $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq d(q+1)$ and $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = d(q+1)$ if and only if C is the union of d disjoint lines, each of them defined over \mathbb{F}_q .*

Proof. Let A be the union of all lines of C (defined over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$) and B the union of all the other geometric irreducible components of C . A and B are defined over \mathbb{F}_q and $\deg(C) = \deg(A) + \deg(B)$. Since the lemma is obvious when C is a union of lines, to prove the lemma it is sufficient to get a contradiction under the assumption $A = \emptyset$. Assume the existence of a linear subspace V defined over \mathbb{F}_q such that $\dim(V) = n - 3$ and $V \cap C = \emptyset$. Let $\phi : \mathbf{P}^n \setminus V \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. The degree d morphism $\phi|_C$ is defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Thus $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq d \cdot \sharp(\mathbf{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)) = d(q+1)$. Now assume that no such V exists, but that there is linear subspace M defined over \mathbb{F}_q such that $\dim(M) = n - 3$ and $M \cap C(\mathbb{F}_q) = \emptyset$. Again, since $\phi|(C \setminus C \cap M)$ is a degree d morphism defined over \mathbb{F}_q we get $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq d(q+1)$. Since C has degree d and t is defined over \mathbb{F}_q there is a hypersurface $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q , with $\deg(\Gamma) \leq d$ and $C \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ (take a cone with as vertex a suitable $(n-3)$ -dimensional linear space). Since $\deg(\Gamma) \leq q$, there is $P \in \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $P \notin \Gamma$. Hence $P \notin C$. Take the linear projection from P . The image $C' \subset \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ is a degree $d'|d$ (and hence $d' \leq d$) curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Repeating the construction $n-3$ times we get the existence of the linear space M . \square

Remark 1. Fix an integer d , a prime-power q such that $q > d > 0$ and a degree d curve $C \subset \mathbf{P}^2$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q . The proof of Lemma 1 shows $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq 1 + dq$ and $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = 1 + dq$ if and only if there is $P \in \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that C is the union of d lines through P , each of them defined over \mathbb{F}_q .

Lemma 2. *Fix a prime-power q and integers d, n such that $q > d > 0$ and $n \geq 3$. Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a geometrically connected degree d curve defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Then $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq 1 + dq$ and $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) = 1 + dq$ if and only if there is $P \in \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that C is the union of d lines through P , each of them defined over \mathbb{F}_q . If C is not a union of lines defined over \mathbb{F}_q , then $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq (q+1)d - q$.*

Proof. We will only check the last assertion. If C contains a geometric irreducible component not defined over \mathbb{F}_q or a geometric irreducible component D defined over \mathbb{F}_q , but with $D(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then we easily win. Hence we may assume

the existence of $P \in C(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that no line contained in C and defined over \mathbb{F}_q contains P . As in the proof of Lemma 1 the degree $d - 1$ linear projection of $C \setminus \{P\}$ from P gives $\sharp(C(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq 1 + (d - 1)(q + 1) = (q + 1)d - q$. \square

Proposition 1. *Fix a prime-power q , and integers n, d such that $n \geq 3$ and $q > d \geq 2$, and a hypersurface $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Then $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) + d(q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ and $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_q)) = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1) + d(q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ if and only if there are an $(n - 2)$ -dimensional linear subspace $L \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q and d distinct hyperplanes $A_i \subset \mathbf{P}^n$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, defined over \mathbb{F}_q such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^d A_i$ and $L \subset A_i$ for all i .*

Proof. Decreasing if necessary d we reduce to the case “ X reduced”. Let A be the union of all hyperplanes of \mathbf{P}^n (defined over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$) contained in X and B the union of all the other geometric irreducible components of X . A and B are defined over \mathbb{F}_q and $\deg(X) = \deg(A) + \deg(B)$. Since the proposition is obvious when X is a union of hyperplanes, to prove the proposition it is sufficient to get either the strict inequality or a contradiction under the assumption $A = \emptyset$. By Lemma 2 we may use induction on the integer n . Fix $P \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and let E (respectively F) be the union of all geometric irreducible components of X which are (respectively which are not) cones with vertex containing P . Both E and F are defined over \mathbb{F}_q and $\deg(X) = \deg(E) + \deg(F)$. Take the linear projection from P and count the points. By the inductive assumption on n we have $\sharp(E(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq 1 + q \cdot (\deg(E))(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ if $E \neq \emptyset$. Since $\sharp(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)) = (q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$, the linear projection from P gives $\sharp(F(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq 1 + q(q^n - 1)/(q - 1)$ if $E \neq \emptyset$. \square

In a similar way we get the following result.

Proposition 2. *Fix a prime-power q , and integers n, m, d such that $n \geq 2m + 1 \geq 3$ and $q > d \geq 2$, and a geometrically connected $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q and with pure dimension m . Then $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_q)) \leq d(q^{m+1} - 1)/(q - 1) + 1 - d$ and $\sharp(X(\mathbb{F}_q)) = d(q^{m+1} - 1)/(q - 1) + 1$ if and only if there are $P \in \mathbf{P}^n$ defined over \mathbb{F}_q and d distinct m -dimensional linear spaces $A_i \subset \mathbf{P}^n$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, defined over \mathbb{F}_q such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^d A_i$ and $P \in A_i$ for all i .*

Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$ be a union of m -dimensional linear spaces as in Proposition 2. Notice that X may be non-degenerate only if $n \leq 1 + md$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since we do not assumed in the definition of $\tau(q, m, d, n)$ that X is non-degenerate, obviously $\tau(q, m, d, n) \geq \tau(q, m, d, 2m + 1)$. To check the other inequality in part (a) for all $n \geq 2m + 2$ it is sufficient to prove $\tau(q, m, d, n) \leq \tau(q, m, d, n - 1)$ for all $n \geq 2m + 2$. Fix n and $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$

occurring in the definition of $\tau(q, m, d, n)$. Since $\sharp(D(\mathbb{F}_q)) = q + 1$ for every line D , Proposition 2 implies the existence of $P \in \mathbf{P}^m(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that for all $A, B \in X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ with $A \neq B$ the line $\langle\{A, B\}\rangle$ does not contain P . Take the linear projection from P and the image of X in \mathbf{P}^{n-1} by this projection to get $\tau(q, m, d, n) \leq \tau(q, m, d, n - 1)$. For part (b) first note that every hypersurface of a projective space is locally Cohen-Macaulay and geometrically connected. Take $V \subset \mathbf{P}^{m+1}$ computing $\gamma_{X,q}$. Use the pencil of all hyperplanes H containing V and that each hypersurface $X \cap H$ of H is geometrically connected. \square

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

- [1] E. Ballico, Number of points supporting the zero-set of a section of a rank 2 vector bundle on \mathbf{P}^2 , *Preprint*.
- [2] J. Brun, Les fibrés de rang deux sur \mathbb{P}_2 et leurs sections, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **107** (1979), 457-473.
- [3] R. Hartshorne, Stable vector bundles of rank 2 on \mathbb{P}^3 , *Math. Ann.*, **238** (1978), 229-280.
- [4] C. Ciliberto, R. Miranda, Interpolations on curvilinear schemes, *J. Algebra*, **203**, No. 2 (1998), 677-678.