

ON THE VERTEX-DISTINGUISHING EDGE COLORING
OF $P_m \vee P_n$

Yaodong Cheng^{1 §}, Donghan Zhang²

¹School of Mathematics and Software Engineering

Lanzhou Jiaotong University

Lanzhou, 730000, P.R. CHINA

¹e-mail: chengydong@mail.lzjtu.cn

Abstract: Let $G(V, E)$ be a connected graph. A k -proper edge coloring f of $G(V, E)$ is said to be a k -vertex-distinguishing edge coloring iff $C(u) \neq C(v)$ for $\forall u, v \in V(G)$, $u \neq v$, where $C(u) = \{f(uv) | uv \in E(G)\}$; and $\chi'_{vd}(G) = \min \{k | \text{there exists a } k\text{-VDEC of } G\}$ is called the vertex-distinguishing edge chromatic number. In this paper, we obtain the vertex-distinguishing edge chromatic number of the join graphs $P_m \vee P_n$.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C15, 68R10

Key Words: path, complete graph, join-graph, vertex-distinguishing edge chromatic number

1. Introduction

A proper edge-coloring of G is called vertex-distinguishing, if for any two distinct vertices u and v of G the set of colors assigned to the edges incident to u differs from the set of colors assigned to the edges incident to v . The minimal number of colors required for a vertex-distinguishing proper edge-coloring of G is called the vertex-distinguishing proper edge-coloring chromatic number of G (or observability), and is denoted by $\chi'_{vd}(G)$.

In this paper, we study the vertex distinguishing edge coloring of the graphs $P_m \vee P_n$.

Definition 1.1. (see [2]) Let $G(V, E)$ be a connected graph. A k -proper edge coloring f of $G(V, E)$ is said to be a k -vertex-distinguishing edge coloring

(abbreviated as k -VDEC) iff $C(u) \neq C(v)$ for $\forall u, v \in V(G)$, $u \neq v$, where $C(u) = \{f(uv) | uv \in E(G)\}$; and $\chi'_{vd}(G) = \min k | \text{there exists a } k\text{-VDEC of } G\}$ is called the vertex-distinguishing edge chromatic number.

Definition 1.2. Let $G(V, E)$ be a simple graph and n_i the number of vertices with degree i . The combinatorial degree of graph G is defined as

$$\mu(G) = \max \left\{ \min \left\{ \lambda \mid \binom{\lambda}{i} \geq n_i, \delta \leq i \leq \Delta \right\} \right\},$$

where δ and Δ denote the minimum and maximum degree of graph $G(V, E)$, respectively.

Conjecture 1.1. (see [2]) *For a connected graph $G(V, E)$ with $|V| \geq 3$, we have*

$$\mu(G) \leq \chi'_{vd}(G) \leq \mu(G) + 1.$$

It is clear that the left hand inequality of the Conjecture 1.1 is trivial.

Let G and H be two simple graphs. The join graph [1] of the graphs G and H , which is denote by $G \vee H$, is such a graph that $V(G \vee H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$ and $E(G \vee H) = E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{uv | u \in V(G) \text{ and } v \in V(H)\}$.

In this paper, the general result with respect to the vertex-distinguishing edge chromatic number of $P_m \vee P_n$ is studied. The undefined terminologies and notations in this paper are refereed to references (see [1]).

2. Main Results

Lemma 2.1. *For a connected graph $G(V, E)$ with $|V| \geq 3$, we have*

$$\mu(G) \leq \chi'_{vd}(G).$$

Lemma 2.2. (see [3]-[4]) *For the complete graph K_n , we have*

$$\chi'_{vd}(K_n) = \begin{cases} n + 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}; \\ n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.1. *If $n = 1$, then*

$$\chi'_{vd}(P_m \vee P_1) = \begin{cases} m + 1, & \text{if } m = 2, 3; \\ m, & \text{if } m \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

This theorem is easily to be proved.

Theorem 2.2. *If $n=2$, then*

$$\chi'_{vd}(P_m \vee P_2) = \begin{cases} 5, & \text{if } m = 2; \\ m + 2, & \text{if } m \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We know

$$\mu(P_m \vee P_2) = \begin{cases} 5, & m = 2; \\ m + 2, & m \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $P_m = v_1v_2 \cdots v_m$, $P_2 = u_1u_2$ and $C = \{1, 2, \dots, m + 2\}$ $\overline{C}(w) = C \setminus C(w)$, $w \in V(P_m \vee P_2)$.

Case 1. If $m = 2$, then

$$P_2 \vee P_2 = K_4$$

It is true by lemma 2.2.

Case 2. If $m \geq 3$, we just prove that there exists a $(m + 2)$ -VDEC of the graph $P_m \vee P_2$.

Let f be defined as follows:

$$f(u_1v_i) = i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \quad f(u_2v_i) = 1 + i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

$$f(u_1u_2) = m + 2; \quad f(v_iv_{i+1}) = i + 3, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1.$$

Then, we obtain:

$$\overline{C}(u_1) = \{m + 1\}; \quad \overline{C}(u_2) = \{1\};$$

$$\overline{C}(v_1) = \{3, 5, 6, \dots, m + 2\}; \quad \overline{C}(v_m) = \{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\};$$

$$\overline{C}(v_i) = \{1, 2, \dots, i - 1, i + 4, i + 5, \dots, m + 2\} \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1.$$

So, f is $(m + 2)$ -VDEC of $P_m \vee P_2$.

Therefore Theorem 2.2 holds true. □

Theorem 2.3. *If $n = 3$, then*

$$\chi'_{vd}(P_m \vee P_3) = \begin{cases} 6, & \text{if } m = 3; \\ m + 2, & \text{if } m \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is easy to see that the following relation holds true

$$\mu(P_m \vee P_3) = \begin{cases} 6, & m = 3; \\ m + 2, & m \geq 4. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $P_m = v_1v_2 \cdots v_m$ and $P_3 = u_1u_2u_3$.

Case 1. If $m = 3$, then using Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to prove that there exists a 6-VDEC of the graph $P_3 \vee P_3$.

Let f be defined as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1v_i) &= i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3; & f(u_2v_i) &= 1 + i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3; \\ f(u_3v_i) &= i + 2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3; & f(v_1v_2) &= 6; & f(v_2v_3) &= 1; \\ f(u_1u_2) &= 5; & f(u_2u_3) &= 6. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $\overline{C}(w) = C \setminus C(w)$, $w \in V(P_3 \vee P_3)$. For f , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}(u_1) &= \{4, 6\}; & \overline{C}(u_2) &= \{1\}; \\ \overline{C}(u_3) &= \{1, 2\}; & \overline{C}(v_1) &= \{4, 5\}; & \overline{C}(v_2) &= \{5\}; & \overline{C}(v_3) &= \{2, 6\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, f is 6-VDEC of $P_3 \vee P_3$.

Case 2. If $m \geq 4$, then using Lemma 2.1 again, we just shall prove that there exists a $(m + 2)$ -VDEC of the graph $P_m \vee P_3$.

We define:

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1v_i) &= i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; & f(u_2v_i) &= 1 + i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \\ f(u_3v_i) &= i + 2, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m; & f(v_i v_{i+1}) &= i + 4, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 2; \\ f(v_{m-1}v_m) &= 1; & f(u_1u_2) &= m + 2; & f(u_2u_3) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $C = \{1, 2, \dots, m + 1, m + 2\}$, $\overline{C}(w) = C \setminus C(w)$, $w \in V(P_m \vee P_3)$. For f :

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{C}(u_1) &= \{m + 1\}; & \overline{C}(u_2) &= \emptyset; & \overline{C}(u_3) &= \{2\} \\ \overline{C}(v_1) &= \{4, 6, 7, \dots, m + 2\}; & \overline{C}(v_m) &= \{2, 3, \dots, m - 1\}; \\ \overline{C}(v_i) &= \{1, 2, \dots, i - 1, i + 5, i + 6, \dots, m + 2\}, & i &= 2, 3, \dots, m - 2. \\ \overline{C}(v_{m-1}) &= \{2, 3, \dots, i - 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

So, f is $(m + 2)$ -VDEC of $P_m \vee P_3$. □

Theorem 2.4. If $m > n \geq 4$, then

$$\chi'_{vd}(P_m \vee P_n) = m + 3.$$

Proof. It is easy to see the following equality

$$\mu(P_m \vee P_n) = m + 3.$$

Follows from the Lemma 2.1, we have $\chi'_{vd}(P_m \vee P_n) \geq \mu(P_m \vee P_n)$. Therefore, we just prove that there exists an $(m + 3)$ -VDEC f of graph $P_m \vee P_n$. In order to describe conveniently, we denote $P_m = v_1v_2 \cdots v_m$, $P_n = u_1u_2 \cdots u_n$ and $C = \{1, 2, \cdots, m + 1, m + 2, 0\}$, $\overline{C}(w) = C \setminus C(w)$, $w \in V(P_m \vee P_n)$.

Let f be defined as follow:

$$f(u_iv_j) = i + j - 1(\text{mod } (m + 3)), \quad i = 1, 2 \cdots, n; \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, m;$$

$$f(u_iu_{i+1}) = m + 1 + i(\text{mod } (m + 3)), \quad i = 1, 2 \cdots, n - 1;$$

$$f(v_iv_{i+1}) = m + i - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \cdots, m - 1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\overline{C}(u_1) = \{m + 1, 0\}, \quad \overline{C}(u_n) = \{n - 1, n - 2\};$$

$$\overline{C}(v_1) = \{n + 1, n + 3, n + 4 \cdots, m + 2, 0\}, \quad \overline{C}(v_m) = \{n - 2, n - 3 \cdots, m - 1\};$$

$$\overline{C}(v_i) = \{1, 2, \cdots, i - 1, i + n + 2, i + n + 3 \cdots, m + 2, 0\}, \quad i = 2, 3 \cdots, m + 2 - n;$$

$$\overline{C}(v_{m+2-n+i}) = \{i + 1, i + 2 \cdots, m + 1 - n + i\};$$

$$\overline{C}(u_i) = \{i - 1\}, \quad i = 2, 3 \cdots, n - 1.$$

It is obviously that f is an $(m + 3)$ -VDEC of $P_m \vee P_n$. □

Theorem 2.5. (see [5]) *If $m = n \geq 4$, then*

$$\chi'_{vd}(P_n \vee P_n) = \begin{cases} n + 3, & \text{if } n = 4, 5, 6; \\ n + 4, & \text{if } n \geq 7. \end{cases}$$

We find it special, when $n = 7$, $\mu(P_7 \vee P_7) = 10$, but $\chi'_{vd}(P_7 \vee P_7) = 11$

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 40301037) and the Qinglan Talent Funds of Lanzhou Jiaotong University.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Marty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, The Macmillan Press Ltd, New York (1976).
- [2] A.C. Burris, R.H. Schelp, Vertex-distinguishing proper edge-colorings, *J. of Graph Theory*, **26**, No. 2 (1997), 73-82.
- [3] Zhongfu Zhang, Linzhong Liu, Jianfang Wang, Adjacent strong edge coloring of graphs, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **15** (2002), 623-626.
- [4] Zhong Fu Zhang, Jing Wen Li, Xiang En Chen et al, $D(\beta)$ -vertex-distinguishing proper edge-coloring of graphs, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, **49**, No. 3 (2006), 703-708, In Chinese.
- [5] Zhongfu Zhang, Jingwen Li, Chuancheng Zhao et al, On the vertex-distinguishing equitable edge coloring of $P_n \vee P_n$, $P_n \vee C_n$ and $C_n \vee C_n$, *Submitted*.