

EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS FOR
CONTRACTIVE TYPE MAPPINGS IN METRIC SPACES

Zeqing Liu¹, Zhenyu Guo², Shin Min Kang³ §, Soo Hak Shim⁴ ^{1,2}Department
of Mathematics

Liaoning Normal University

P.O. Box 200, Dalian, Liaoning 116029, P.R. CHINA

¹e-mail: zeqingliu@dl.cn

²e-mail: zhenyu-guo@163.com

³Department of Mathematics

Gyeongsang National University

Jinju, 660-701, KOREA

e-mail: smkang@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

^{3,4}Research Institute of Natural Science

Gyeongsang National University

Jinju, 660-701, KOREA

e-mail: math@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

Abstract: In this paper the pairwise equivalences for 30 contractive conditions involving (φ, p, q) -contractive type mappings in metric spaces are established, which generalize and improve the equivalence results given by Jachymski.

AMS Subject Classification: 54H25

Key Words: equivalence, contractive type mapping, metric space

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we assume that $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$ and \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Put

Received: July 20, 2006

© 2006, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence address: Department of Mathematics; Gyeongsang National University; Jinju, 660-701, KOREA

$$O_T(x) = \{T^i x : i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}, \quad O_T(x, y) = O_T(x) \cup O_T(y),$$

$$\delta(O_T(x, y)) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a, b \in O_T(x, y)\}, \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

and

$$\Phi = \{\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ with } \varphi(t) < t, \quad \forall t > 0\}.$$

The mapping T is called to be ϕ -contractive if there exists $\phi \in \Phi$ satisfying

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi(d(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

T is said to be (φ, p, q) -contractive if there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \varphi(\delta(O_T(x, y))), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

In the past 30 years, many authors studied the existence of fixed points for a lot of kinds of contractive type mappings, which deal with increasing and right continuous functions, increasing and right upper semi-continuous functions, increasing and upper semi-continuous functions, and upper semi-continuous functions [1-20]. In 1995, Jachymski [9] earned three equivalent conditions for contractive type mappings in metric spaces. These equivalent conditions refer $M_{ij}(x, y)$, which is defined as follows:

$$M_{ij}(x, y) = \max\{d(Sx, Ty), d(Sx, A_i x), d(Ty, A_j y),$$

$$\frac{1}{2}[d(Sx, A_j y) + d(Ty, A_i x)]\}, \quad \forall x, y \in X, i, j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $S, T, A_i : X \rightarrow X$ are mappings for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In 1997, he established an equivalence among eight ϕ -contractive conditions [10].

In this paper, we replace $M_{ij}(x, y)$ by the orbital diameter $\delta(O_T(x, y))$ and ϕ -contractive mapping by (φ, p, q) -contractive mapping, respectively, and establish 30 equivalent conditions for (φ, p, q) -contractive mappings on a metric space (X, d) , which extend Theorem 1 in [9,10] to more general cases.

Lemma 1.1. (see [10]) *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfy that $\varphi(t) < t$ and $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) < t$ for $t > 0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing and continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi(t) \leq \psi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$.*

Lemma 1.2. (see [10]) *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be right upper semi-continuous and $\varphi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$. Then there exists a right continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi(t) \leq \psi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$.*

Lemma 1.3. (see [10]) *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be strictly increasing and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$. Then $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) \neq t$ for $t > 0$.*

Lemma 1.4. (see [2]) *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an upper semi-continuous function with $\varphi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing and continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi(t) \leq \psi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$.*

Lemma 1.5. (see [2]) *Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a lower semi-continuous function with $\varphi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$. Then there exists a strictly increasing and continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi(t) \geq \psi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$.*

Lemma 1.6. (see [8]) *Let Q be a subset of \mathbb{R}_+^2 . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that $0 \leq s < \varepsilon + \delta$ and $(s, t) \in Q$ imply $t \leq \varepsilon_0$;*
- (2) *There exists an increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) < t$ for $t > 0$ and $(s, t) \in Q$ imply $t \leq \varphi(s)$;*
- (3) *There exists an increasing, right continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$ and $(s, t) \in Q$ imply $t \leq \varphi(s)$;*
- (4) *There exists an increasing, left continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\varphi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$ and $(s, t) \in Q$ imply $\varphi(t) \leq s$.*

Lemma 1.7. (see [9]) *Let Q be a subset of \mathbb{R}_+^2 . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a function $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$ and*
 - (a) $\sup\{\alpha(s) : s \in (0, \varepsilon)\} \geq \alpha(\varepsilon)$ and
 - (b) $(s, t) \in Q$ and $0 \leq s < \alpha(\varepsilon)$ imply $t < \varepsilon$;
- (2) *There exist functions $\beta, \eta : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$, $\eta(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and $(s, t) \in Q$ and $0 \leq s < \beta(\varepsilon)$ imply $t < \eta(\varepsilon)$;*
- (3) *There exists a lower semi-continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that φ is non-decreasing, $\varphi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$, and $(s, t) \in Q$ implies $t \leq \varphi(s)$;*
- (4) *There exists a lower semi-continuous function $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that α is non-decreasing, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$, and $(s, t) \in Q$ and $0 \leq s < \alpha(\varepsilon)$ imply $t < \varepsilon$;*
- (5) *There exists a lower semi-continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that ψ is non-decreasing, $\psi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$, and $(s, t) \in Q$ implies $\psi(t) \leq s$.*

2. Main Results

Our main results are as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let T be a self mapping of a metric space (X, d) and $\delta(O_T(x)) < +\infty$ for every $x \in X$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *There exists an increasing and continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(2) *There exists an increasing and right continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(3) *There exists an increasing and right upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(4) *There exists an increasing and upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(5) *There exists a strictly increasing and continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(6) *There exists a strictly increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$ and T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(7) *There exists a strictly increasing function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) < t$ for $t > 0$ and T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(8) *There exists a right continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(9) *There exists a right upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(10) *There exists an upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(11) *There exists a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) < t$ for $t > 0$ and T is (φ, p, q) -contractive.*

(12) *There exists a mapping $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\sup\{f(x, y) : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b, x, y \in X\} < 1$ for $b > a > 0$ and*

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq f(x, y) \delta(O_T(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(13) *There exists a mapping $g : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\inf\{g(x, y) : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b, x, y \in X\} > 0$ for $b > a > 0$ and*

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) - g(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(14) *There exists a continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\psi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and*

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) - \psi(\delta(O_T(x, y))), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(15) *There exists an increasing and left continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\psi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$ and*

$$\psi(d(T^p x, T^q y)) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(16) *There exists an increasing and lower semi-continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\psi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$ and*

$$\psi(d(T^p x, T^q y)) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(17) *There exists a strictly increasing and continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\psi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$ and*

$$\psi(d(T^p x, T^q y)) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(18) *There exists a lower semi-continuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\psi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$ and*

$$\psi(d(T^p x, T^q y)) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(19) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\alpha(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(\varepsilon) \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that for any $x, y \in X$,*

$$0 \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \varepsilon + \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon).$$

(20) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\alpha(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(\varepsilon) \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that for any $x, y \in X$,*

$$0 < \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \varepsilon + \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon).$$

(21) *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\alpha(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(\varepsilon) \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that for any $x, y \in X$,*

$$\varepsilon \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \varepsilon + \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon).$$

(22) *There exists an increasing and lower semi-continuous function $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$ and for $x, y \in X$,*

$$0 \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \varepsilon.$$

(23) *There exists an increasing and lower semi-continuous function $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$ and for $x, y \in X$,*

$$0 < \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \varepsilon.$$

(24) *There exists an increasing and lower semi-continuous function $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$ and for $x, y \in X$,*

$$\varepsilon \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \varepsilon.$$

(25) There exists a function $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\sup\{\alpha(\delta(O_T(x, y))) : \delta(O_T(x, y)) \in (0, \varepsilon), x, y \in X\} \geq \alpha(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$ and for any $x, y \in X$,

$$0 \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \varepsilon.$$

(26) There exists a function $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\sup\{\alpha(\delta(O_T(x, y))) : \delta(O_T(x, y)) \in (0, \varepsilon), x, y \in X\} \geq \alpha(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$ and for any $x, y \in X$,

$$0 < \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \varepsilon.$$

(27) There exists a function $\alpha : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\sup\{\alpha(\delta(O_T(x, y))) : \delta(O_T(x, y)) \in (0, \varepsilon), x, y \in X\} \geq \alpha(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon$ and for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\varepsilon \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \varepsilon.$$

(28) There exist functions $\beta, \eta : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon, \eta(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and for any $x, y \in X$,

$$0 \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \beta(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \eta(\varepsilon).$$

(29) There exist functions $\beta, \eta : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon, \eta(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and for any $x, y \in X$,

$$0 < \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \beta(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \eta(\varepsilon).$$

(30) There exist functions $\beta, \eta : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta(\varepsilon) > \varepsilon, \eta(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\varepsilon \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \beta(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) < \eta(\varepsilon).$$

Proof. Clearly, (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3), (2) \Rightarrow (8), (4) \Rightarrow (10), (5) \Rightarrow (1) and (7), (8) \Rightarrow (9), (16) \Rightarrow (18), (17) \Rightarrow (15), (19) \Leftrightarrow (20) \Rightarrow (21), (22) \Leftrightarrow (23) \Rightarrow (24), (25) \Leftrightarrow (26) \Rightarrow (27), and (28) \Leftrightarrow (29) \Rightarrow (30).

Put $Q = \{(\delta(O_T(x, y)), d(T^p x, T^q y)) : (x, y) \in X \times X\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$. By (3), (1) and (4) in Lemma 1.6, we derive that (2) \Leftrightarrow (15) \Leftrightarrow (19). On the other hand, Lemma 1.7 ensures that (4) \Leftrightarrow (16) \Leftrightarrow (22) \Leftrightarrow (25) \Leftrightarrow (28). By Lemma 1.1, we deduce that (8) \Rightarrow (2) and (11) \Rightarrow (5). It follows from Lemmas 1.2 and 1.5 that (9) \Rightarrow (8) and (18) \Rightarrow (17).

(3) \Rightarrow (4). Note that φ is increasing and right upper semi-continuous. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{s \in (t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon)} \varphi(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{s \in [t, t+\varepsilon)} \varphi(s) \\ &= \limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) \leq \varphi(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

That is, φ is upper semi-continuous.

(10) \Rightarrow (11). That φ is upper semi-continuous ensures that $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) \leq \varphi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$. Therefore, (10) implies (11).

(5) \Rightarrow (6). Since φ is strictly increasing and $\varphi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$, it follows that

$$\varphi^{n+1}(t) < \varphi^n(t) < \dots < \varphi(t) < t, \quad n \geq 1, \quad t > 0,$$

which implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = w$ for some $w \geq 0$. We assert that $w = 0$. Otherwise $w > 0$. In view of the continuity of φ , we know that

$$w > \varphi(w) = \varphi(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{n+1}(t) = w,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for $t > 0$. That is, (6) holds.

(6) \Rightarrow (11). By Lemma 1.3, we earn that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) \neq t \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that φ is strictly increasing and $\varphi(s) < s$ for $s > 0$. It follows that $\varphi(t) \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) \leq t$ for $t > 0$. Thus (2.2) yields that $\lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) < t$ for $t > 0$. Since φ is strictly increasing, by (2.1) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{s \in [t, t+\varepsilon)} \varphi(s) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(t + \varepsilon) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) < t, \quad \forall t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, (11) holds.

(7) \Rightarrow (11). As in the proof of (6) \Rightarrow (11), by Lemma 1.1, we deduce that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) \leq \lim_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) < t, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

(5) \Rightarrow (14). Set $\psi(t) = t - \varphi(t)$. It is easy to see $\psi(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) - \psi(\delta(O_T(x, y))), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(14) \Rightarrow (13). Put $g(x, y) = \psi(\delta(O_T(x, y)))$. We claim that $\inf\{g(x, y) : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b\} > 0$ for $b > a > 0$. Otherwise $\inf\{g(x, y) : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b\} = 0$ for some $b > a > 0$. There exists a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X \times X$ such that $a \leq \delta(O_T(x_n, y_n)) \leq b$ and $g(x_n, y_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $t_n = \delta(O_T(x_n, y_n))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that there exists a subsequence $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{t_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $t_0 \in [a, b]$. Thus

$$g(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) = \psi(\delta(O_T(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}))) = \psi(t_{n_k}) < \frac{1}{n_k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the continuity of ψ , it follows that

$$0 < \psi(t_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t_{n_k}) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

(13) \Rightarrow (12). Define $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \delta(O_T(x, y)) = 0, \\ 1 - \frac{g(x, y)}{\delta(O_T(x, y))} & \text{for } \delta(O_T(x, y)) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $x, y \in X$. Let $b > a > 0$. From $\inf\{g(x, y) : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b, x, y \in X\} > 0$, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{f(x, y) : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b, x, y \in X\} \\ &= \sup\left\{1 - \frac{g(x, y)}{\delta(O_T(x, y))} : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b, x, y \in X\right\} \\ &= 1 - \inf\left\{\frac{g(x, y)}{\delta(O_T(x, y))} : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b, x, y \in X\right\} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{b} \inf\{g(x, y) : a \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq b, x, y \in X\} \\ &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) - g(x, y) = f(x, y)\delta(O_T(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(12) \Rightarrow (11). Define $A_n = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : \frac{1}{n} \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) \leq n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since each $\delta(O_T(x, y))$ is bounded, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A_n \neq \emptyset$ for $n \geq k$. Set

$$a_n = \sup\{f(x, y) : (x, y) \in A_n\} \quad n \geq k.$$

Now we define a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by:

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t = 0, \\ a_k t & \text{for } t \in [\frac{1}{k}, k], \\ a_n t & \text{for } t \in [\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n-1}) \cup (n-1, n], n > k. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \varphi(\delta(O_T(x, y)))$, $\forall x, y \in X$. In order to verify that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) < t, \quad t > 0 \quad (2.3)$$

we have to consider following cases:

Case 1. Let $t \in (0, \frac{1}{k})$. It is clear that there exists an unique $m > k$ such that $t \in [\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m-1})$. If $t \in (\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m-1})$, we have

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow t} a_m s = a_m t < t;$$

if $t = \frac{1}{m}$, we get that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow (\frac{1}{m})^+} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow (\frac{1}{m})^+} a_m s = \frac{a_m}{m} < \frac{1}{m}$$

and

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow (\frac{1}{m})^-} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow (\frac{1}{m})^-} a_{m+1} s = \frac{a_{m+1}}{m} < \frac{1}{m}.$$

Case 2. Let $t = \frac{1}{k}$. It is clear that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow (\frac{1}{k})^+} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow (\frac{1}{k})^+} a_k s = \frac{a_k}{k} < \frac{1}{k}$$

and

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow (\frac{1}{k})^-} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow (\frac{1}{k})^-} a_{k+1} s = \frac{a_{k+1}}{k} < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Case 3. Let $t \in (\frac{1}{k}, k)$. It follows that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow t} a_k s = a_k t < t.$$

Case 4. Let $t = k$. We attain immediately that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow k^-} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow k^-} a_k s = a_k k < k$$

and

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow k^+} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow k^+} a_{k+1}s = a_{k+1}k < k.$$

Case 5. Let $t \in (k, +\infty)$. Obviously, there exists a unique $m \geq k$ such that $t \in (m, m+1]$. If $t \in (m, m+1)$, we gain that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow t} a_{m+1}s = a_{m+1}t < t;$$

if $t = m+1$, it is not difficult to see that

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow (m+1)^-} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow (m+1)^-} a_{m+1}s = a_{m+1}(m+1) < m+1$$

and

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow (m+1)^+} \varphi(s) = \limsup_{s \rightarrow (m+1)^+} a_{m+2}s = a_{m+2}(m+1) < m+1.$$

In conclusion, (2.3) holds.

(15) \Rightarrow (17). Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be increasing, left continuous and $\psi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$. It follows that

$$\liminf_{s \rightarrow t^-} \psi(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \inf_{s \in (t-\varepsilon, t]} \psi(s) \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi(t-\varepsilon) = \psi(t).$$

That is, ψ is lower semi-continuous. By Lemma 1.5, there exists $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that φ is strictly increasing and continuous and $\psi(t) \geq \varphi(t) > t$ for $t > 0$. Hence,

$$\varphi(d(T^p x, T^q y)) \leq \psi(d(T^p x, T^q y)) \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

(21) \Rightarrow (20). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\alpha(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(\varepsilon) \in (0, \varepsilon)$ such that for any $x, y \in X$,

$$0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \varepsilon + \alpha(\varepsilon) \quad \text{implies} \quad d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon).$$

Suppose that there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $\delta(O_T(x, y)) \in (0, \varepsilon)$. Put $\varepsilon' = \min\{\delta(O_T(x, y)), \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon)\}$. In view of (21) we know that there exist $\alpha(\varepsilon') > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(\varepsilon') \in (0, \varepsilon')$ such that

$$0 < \varepsilon' \leq \delta(O_T(x, y)) < \varepsilon' + \alpha(\varepsilon') < \varepsilon + \alpha(\varepsilon)$$

implies

$$d(T^p x, T^q y) \leq \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon') < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon_0(\varepsilon).$$

Thus, (20) is fulfilled.

Similarly, we can prove that (24) \Rightarrow (23), (27) \Rightarrow (26) and (30) \Rightarrow (29). This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.1. Theorem 2.1 generalizes Theorem 1 in [9] and Theorem 1 in [10].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Research Institute of Natural Science Grant, Gyeongsang National University, 2005.

References

- [1] D.W. Boyd, J.S.W. Wang, On nonlinear contractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **20** (1969), 458-464.
- [2] T. H. Chang, Fixed point theorems for contractive type set-valued mappings, *Math. Japonica*, **38** (1993), 675-690.
- [3] L. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **45** (1974), 267-273.
- [4] J. Danes, Two fixed point theorems in topological and metric spaces, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **14** (1976), 259-265.
- [5] M. Edelstein, On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **37** (1962), 74-79.
- [6] M. Hegedus, New generalizations of Banach's contraction principle, *Acta. Sci. Math.*, **42** (1980), 87-89.
- [7] M. Hegedus, S. Kasahara, A contraction Principle in metric spaces, *Math. Sem. Notes*, **7** (1979), 597-603.
- [8] M. Hegedus, T. Szilagyi, Equivalent conditions and a new fixed point theorem in the theory of contractive type mappings, *Math. Japonica*, **25** (1980), 147-157.
- [9] J. Jachymski, Equibalent conditions and the Meir-Keeler type theorems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **194** (1995), 293-303.
- [10] J. Jachymski, Equivalence of some contractivity properties over metrical structures, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **125** (1997), 2327-2335.

- [11] Z. Liu, On Park's open questions and some fixed-point theorems for general contractive type mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **234** (1999), 165-182.
- [12] A. Meir, E. Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **28** (1969), 326-329.
- [13] M. Ohta, G. Nikaido, Remarks on fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces, *Math. Japonica*, **139** (1994), 283-290.
- [14] S. Park, On general contractive type conditions, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **17** (1980), 131-140.
- [15] S. Park, A unified approach to fixed points of contractive maps, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, **16** (1980), 95-105.
- [16] S. Park, B. E. Rhoades, Extension of some fixed point theorems of Hegedus and Kasahara, *Math. Sem. Notes*, **9** (1981), 113-118.
- [17] S. Park, B. E. Rhoades, Extensions of some fixed point theorems of Fisher and Janos, *Bull. Acad. Polon.*, **30** (1982), 167-169.
- [18] B. E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1226** (1977), 257-290.
- [19] M. R. Taskovic, A characterization of the class of contraction type mappings, *Kobe J. Math.*, **2** (1985), 45-55.
- [20] M. R. Taskovic, Some new principles in fixed point theory, *Math. Japonica*, **35** (1990), 645-666.