

ON THE PRIMARY AVOIDANCE THEOREM FOR
MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE RINGS

Shahabaddin Ebrahimi Atani¹, Ünsal Tekir^{2 §}

¹Department of Mathematics

University of Guilan

P.O. Box 1914, Rashht, IRAN

e-mail: ebrahimi@guilan.ac.ir

²Department of Mathematics

Marmara University

Göztepe, Ziverbey, Istanbul, 34722, TURKEY

e-mail: utekir@marmara.edu.tr

Abstract: Let R be a commutative ring with identity and M an R -module. In this paper we prove the following theorem: Let M be an R -module N_1, \dots, N_n be submodules of M , and N is a submodule of M such that $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$. Assume at most two of the N_k 's are not primary submodule and $\sqrt{(N_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$ for every $j \neq k$. Then $N \subseteq N_k$ for some k .

AMS Subject Classification: 13C99

Key Words: primary submodules, primary avoidance theorem

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes a commutative ring with identity and all related modules are unitary R -modules. Let M be an R -module. A proper submodule N of M is called primary if whenever $rm \in N$, for $r \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $m \in N$ or $r^n \in (N : M)$ for some positive integer n , where $(N : M) = \{r \in R : rM \subseteq N\}$. Let I be an ideal of the ring R . Recall that nil ideal of I , designated by \sqrt{I} , is the set $\sqrt{I} = \{r \in R : r^n \in I \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$.

Received: July 15, 2006

© 2006, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

Let N, N_1, \dots, N_n be submodules of M . We call a covering

$$N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$$

efficient if no N_k is superfluous. We shall say that $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ efficient union if none of the N_k may be excluded. A covering of a submodule by two submodules is never efficient. Here we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let M be an R -module N_1, \dots, N_n be submodules of M , and N is a submodule of M such that $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$. Assume at most two of the N_k 's are not primary submodule and $\sqrt{(N_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$ for every $j \neq k$. Then $N \subseteq N_k$ for some k .*

We recall the following Lemma (see [1, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 1. *Let $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ be an efficient union of submodules of R -module M for $n > 1$. Then $\bigcap_{j \neq k} N_j = \bigcap_{j=1}^n N_j$ for all k .*

Proposition 1. *Let $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$ be an efficient covering consisting of submodules of an R -module M . If $\sqrt{(N_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$ for every $j \neq k$, then no N_k is a primary submodule of M .*

Proof. It is clear that $N = (N \cap N_1) \cup (N \cap N_2) \cup \dots \cup (N \cap N_n)$ is efficient union. Then there exists an element $e_k \in N \setminus N_k$ for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By Lemma 1, $\bigcap_{j \neq k} (N \cap N_j) \subseteq N \cap N_k$. If $j \neq k$, then $\sqrt{(N_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$ by hypothesis. Now, suppose that N_k is a primary submodule. Then $\sqrt{(N_k : M)}$ is a prime ideal. Therefore

$$\sqrt{(N_1 : M)} \dots \sqrt{(N_{k-1} : M)} \sqrt{(N_{k+1} : M)} \dots \sqrt{(N_n : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}.$$

There exists

$$s = \prod_{j \neq k} s_j \in \sqrt{(N_1 : M)} \dots \sqrt{(N_{k-1} : M)} \sqrt{(N_{k+1} : M)} \dots \sqrt{(N_n : M)}$$

but $s \notin \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$ where $s_1 \in \sqrt{(N_1 : M)}, \dots, s_n \in \sqrt{(N_n : M)}$. Then $s_1^{n_1} \in (N_1 : M), \dots, s_n^{n_n} \in (N_n : M)$ where $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $m = \max \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_n\}$. Then $s^m \in (N_j : M)$ where $j \neq k$ but $s^m \notin (N_k : M)$. Hence $s^m e_k \in N \cap N_j$ for every $j \neq k$, but

$$s^m e_k \notin N \cap N_k \left(\text{if } s^m e_k \in N_k \text{ then } e_k \in N_k \text{ or } s \in \sqrt{(N_k : M)} \right).$$

This is contradiction to $\bigcap_{j \neq k} (N \cap N_j) \subseteq N \cap N_k$. □

Theorem 1. (The Primary Avoidance Theorem) *Let M be an R -module N_1, \dots, N_n be submodules of M , and N is a submodule of M such that $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$. Assume at most two of the N_k 's are not primary submodule and $\sqrt{(N_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$ for every $j \neq k$. Then $N \subseteq N_k$ for some k .*

Proof. We may assume that the covering is efficient since the hypothesis remains valid after reduction to an efficient covering. Then $n \neq 2$. Also $n \leq 2$ by Proposition 1. Hence $n = 1$. □

As we can see in the following Example 1, the condition that $\sqrt{(N_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$ if $j \neq k$ in Theorem 1 is essential.

Example 1. *Assume that $R = \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $M = R^3$ and let $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ be standart basis of M . Then $N_1 = Rx_1, N_2 = Rx_2$ and $N_3 = R(x_1 + x_2)$ are 0-prime submodules of M (so 0-primary submodules of M), but we have $N = Rx_1 + Rx_2 \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup N_3$ and $N \not\subseteq N_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, 3$.*

Proposition 2. *Let N, K be submodules of R -module M with $K \subseteq N.N$ is a primary submodule of M if and only if N/K is a primary submodule of M/K .*

Proof. Let $r(m + K) \in N/K$ with $m + K \notin N/K$, where $r \in R, m \in M$. Hence $rm \in N$ with $m \notin N$; hence N primary gives $r^k M \subseteq N$ for some k . It follows $r^k(M/K) \subseteq N/K$. Thus N/K is primary. The remaining implication is similar. □

Theorem 2. *Let M be an R -module and N a submodule of M . If Primary Avoidance Theorem holds for M , then the Primary Avoidance Theorem holds for M/N .*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2. □

Let N be a proper submodule of a non-zero R -module M . Then the M -radical of N , denoted by $\text{rad}(N)$, is defined to be the intersection of all prime submodules of M containing N (see [2]).

An R -module M is called a multiplication module if for each submodule N of M , $N = IM$ for some ideal I of R .

Theorem 3. *Let M be a multiplication module and N_1, \dots, N_n be submodules of M , and N is a submodule of M such that $N \subseteq N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_n$. Assume at most two of the N_k 's are not primary submodule and $\text{rad}(N_j) \not\subseteq \text{rad}(N_k)$ ($j \neq k$). Then $N \subseteq N_k$ for some k .*

Proof. If $\text{rad}(N_j) \not\subseteq \text{rad}(N_k) (j \neq k)$, then $\sqrt{(N_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$. Indeed, if $\sqrt{(N_j : M)} \subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}$, then $\text{rad}(N_j) = \sqrt{(N_j : M)}M \subseteq \sqrt{(N_k : M)}M = \text{rad}(N_k)$ [see, 2, Theorem 2.12]. Then $N \subseteq N_k$ for some k by Theorem 1. \square

We call a covering $L \subseteq (L_1 + a_1) \cup (L_2 + a_2) \cup \dots \cup (L_n + a_n)$ efficient if no coset is superfluous. Let $a_k = a$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We can then equivalently write $L - a \subseteq L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_n$ and this is a coset efficiently covered by a union of submodules of M . Let $n = 1$. Then $L \subseteq L_1 + a$. Then $a \in L_1$ because otherwise $0 \notin L_1 + a$.

Proposition 3. *Let M be an R -module and N a proper submodule of M . Then N is primary if and only if $IL \subseteq N$ implies that $L \subseteq N$ or $I \subseteq \sqrt{(N : M)}$ for every ideal I of R and submodule N of M .*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $IL \subseteq N$ and $L \not\subseteq N$. Let $a \in I$. Then, there exists $x \in L \setminus N$, so $ax \in N$, hence $a \in \sqrt{(N : M)}$. Therefore, $I \subseteq \sqrt{(N : M)}$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $ax \in N$ and $x \notin N$ where $a \in R, x \in M$. Therefore, $(a)(x) \subseteq N$ and $(x) \not\subseteq N$. Hence $(a) \subseteq \sqrt{(N : M)}$ and so $a \in \sqrt{(N : M)}$. \square

The following Lemma is known (see [1, Lemma 2.4]).

Lemma 2. *Let $L \subseteq (L_1 + a_1) \cup (L_2 + a_2) \cup \dots \cup (L_n + a_n)$ be an efficient covering of L by cosets, where $n \geq 2$. Then $L \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \neq k} L_j\right) \subseteq L_k$, but $L \not\subseteq L_k$ for all k .*

Proposition 4. *Let M be an R -module. Let $L + a \subseteq L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_n$ be an efficient covering with $n \geq 2$. If $\sqrt{(L_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(L_k : M)}$ for every $j \neq k$, then no L_k is primary submodule of M .*

Proof. By Lemma 2, $L \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \neq k} L_j\right) \subseteq L_k$, but $L \not\subseteq L_k$ for all k . Put $I = \left(\bigcap_{j \neq k} L_j : M\right)$. Then $IL \subseteq L \cap \left(\bigcap_{j \neq k} L_j\right) \subseteq L_k$. Let L_k be primary. Then $I = \left(\bigcap_{j \neq k} L_j : M\right) = \bigcap_{j \neq k} (L_j : M) \subseteq \sqrt{(L_k : M)}$ by Proposition 3. Since $\sqrt{(L_k : M)}$ is prime ideal, $\sqrt{(L_j : M)} \subseteq \sqrt{(L_k : M)} (j \neq k)$. This is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4. *Let M be an R -module. Let $L + a \subseteq L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_n$ be a covering such that at least $n - 1$ of the submodules L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n are primary and $\sqrt{(L_j : M)} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(L_k : M)}$ for every $j \neq k$. Then $L + Ra \subseteq L_k$ for some k .*

Proof. If we reduce the covering to an efficient one it is immediate from Proposition 4 that only one term will remain, say $L + a \subseteq L_k$, and hence $L + Ra \subseteq L_k$. \square

References

- [1] C.P. Lu, Unions of prime submodules, *Houston J. Math.*, **23**, No. 2 (1997), 203-213.
- [2] Zeinab Abd El-Bast, Patric F. Smith, Multiplication modules, *Commun. Algebra*, **16**, No. 4 (1988), 755-779.

