

WEAKLY STABLE HARMONIC MAPS
BETWEEN COMPACT HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Joon-Sik Park

Department of Mathematics
Pusan University of Foreign Studies
55-1, Uam-Dong, Nam-Gu, Pusan, 608-738, KOREA
e-mail: iohpark@taejo.pufs.ac.kr

Abstract: Using Lichnerowicz's Theorem, we get weakly stable harmonic mappings \overline{A}_h from compact homogeneous space $(G/T, g)$ into $(G/T, g')$ for arbitrary given G -invariant metrics g, g' a maximal torus T which is induced by inner automorphism $A_h : G \rightarrow G$ ($h \in T$), and calculate the nullity of Jacobi operator of such harmonic maps.

AMS Subject Classification: 53C07, 53C30, 58E11

Key Words: stable harmonic maps, the nullity of Jacobi operator of harmonic maps

1. Introduction

In this paper, we produce new examples of weakly stable harmonic maps. We consider the induced map $\overline{A}_h : (G/T, g) \rightarrow (G/T, g'), (h \in T)$, of inner automorphism $A_h : G \rightarrow G$. Here, g, g' are arbitrary given G -invariant Riemannian metrics on compact reducible homogeneous space G/T . Moreover, we treat the case G/T for a maximal torus T .

In Section 2 we introduce Guest's criterion (cf. Lemma 2.1) for the map between reductive homogeneous space G/H and G'/H' induced by a Lie group homomorphism from G into G' . In Section 3 using this criterion we show that every \overline{A}_h is harmonic. In Section 4 we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for $(G/T, g, J)$ to be Kähler. Using Lichnerowicz's Theorem (cf. Theorem 4.5), we get weakly stable harmonic mappings \overline{A}_h ($h \in T$), and calculate the nullity

of Jacobi operator $J_{\overline{A_h}}$ of such harmonic mappings $\overline{A_h}$ (cf. Proposition 4.7, Theorem 4.8).

Finally, as example we give weakly stable harmonic mappings $\overline{A_h} : (SU(n)/T, g) \rightarrow (SU(n))$ (cf. Proposition 4.9).

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review Guest's work which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the map induced by a homomorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow G'$ between reductive homogeneous spaces $G/K, G'/K'$ with invariant Riemannian metrics to be harmonic (cf. [2]).

Let $\theta : G \rightarrow G'$ be a homomorphism of compact Lie groups G, G' such that $\theta(K) \subset K'$ for closed subgroups K, K' . We denote by \mathfrak{g} (resp. $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{g}'$ and \mathfrak{k}') the Lie algebra of all left invariant vector fields on G (resp. K, G' and K'). Let f_θ be the map between reductive homogeneous spaces $G/K, G'/K'$ induced by θ , that is, $f_\theta(xK) = \theta(x)K'$, ($x \in G$). Let \mathfrak{m} be the subspace of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$ (direct sum of vector spaces) and $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{X}(G/K)$ the space of all the left invariant vector fields on G/K . Recall the map

$$\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(G/K),$$

$$X \longmapsto X_p^*(f) = \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)f((\text{expt})X \cdot p)|_{t=0} \quad (f \in C^\infty(G/K), p \in G/K),$$

under which we get the identification $\mathfrak{m} \simeq T_p(G/K)$.

The differential df_θ of the induced map f_θ is determined by its restriction to $O := \{K\} \in G/K$, which is given in terms of the Lie algebra homomorphism $\theta : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ by

$$df_\theta(X) = \theta(X)_{\mathfrak{m}'}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{m}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\theta(X)_{\mathfrak{m}'}$ denotes the \mathfrak{m}' -component of the element $\theta(X) \in \mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{m}' + \mathfrak{k}'$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ (resp. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$) be an inner product which is invariant with respect to $\text{Ad}(K)$ (resp. $\text{Ad}(K')$) on \mathfrak{m} (resp. \mathfrak{m}'), where Ad denotes the adjoint representation of K (resp. K') in \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{g}'). This inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ (resp. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$) determines an invariant Riemannian metric $g_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ (resp. $g'_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'}$) on G/K (resp. G'/K'). Then, the Levi-Civita connection induced by $g_{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}$ is given as follows (cf. [2, 4])

$$\nabla_{X^*} Y^*|_0 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)[X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} + U(X, Y) \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}), \quad (2.2)$$

where $U(X, Y)$ is determined by

$$2 \langle U(X, Y), Z \rangle = \langle [Z, X]_{\mathfrak{m}}, Y \rangle + \langle X, [Z, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}} \rangle \quad (X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}), \quad (2.3)$$

and $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ denotes the \mathfrak{m} -component of an element $X \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{m}$.

Recall that for Riemannian manifolds $(M, g), (N, h)$, a smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is said to be *harmonic* if $\text{tr}(\nabla df) = 0$, namely, the tension field $\tau(f)$ vanishes identically (cf. [1, 6]). For a harmonic map $\phi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, h)$ (with M compact), ϕ is said to be *weakly stable* (resp. *stable*) (cf. [1, 6]) if the index of Jacobi operator J_{ϕ} is zero (resp. if index $(J_{\phi}) = \text{nullity}(J_{\phi}) = 0$).

Guest [2, Lemma 2.1] obtained the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *The induced map $(G/K, g)$ into $(G'/K', g')$ is harmonic and only if $\sum_{i=1}^m \{[\theta(X_i)_{\mathfrak{t}}, df_{\theta}(X_i)] + U'(df_{\theta}(X_i), df_{\theta}(X_i)) - df_{\theta}(U(X_i, X_i))\} = 0$, where $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{m} with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $m := \dim(G/K) = \dim \mathfrak{m}$.*

3. Harmonic Maps between Compact Homogeneous Spaces

Let G be a compact semisimple Lie group and T be a maximal torus. We denote by \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{t}) the Lie algebra of G (resp. T). Let \mathfrak{g}^c be the complexification of \mathfrak{g} . We denote by Δ the set of all nonzero roots of \mathfrak{g}^c with respect to \mathfrak{t}^c , and by Δ^+ the set of all positive roots with respect to a fixed linear order in the dual space of $\{H \in \mathfrak{t}^c \mid \alpha(H) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\}_R$. Let B be the Killing form of \mathfrak{g}^c . We define an inner product Q on \mathfrak{g} by $Q(X, Y) := -B(X, Y)$, $(X, Y \in \mathfrak{g})$.

We choose an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} with respect to the inner product Q as follows : For $\alpha \in \Delta$, let E_{α} be a root vector such that $B(E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}) = -1$ and $N_{\alpha, \beta} = N_{-\alpha, -\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ ($\alpha + \beta \neq 0$), where $N_{\alpha, \beta}$ are real numbers defined by

$$\begin{cases} [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] = N_{\alpha, \beta} E_{\alpha + \beta} & \text{if } \alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta \in \Delta, \text{ and} \\ N_{\alpha, \beta} = 0 & \text{if } 0 \neq \alpha + \beta \notin \Delta. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Hence, $[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}] = -H_{\alpha}$, H_{α} being determined by $B(H, H_{\alpha}) = \alpha(H)$ for any $H \in \mathfrak{t}$. For $\alpha \in \Delta$, put $U_{\alpha} = E_{\alpha} + E_{-\alpha}$, $V_{\alpha} = \sqrt{-1}(E_{\alpha} - E_{-\alpha})$ which belong to \mathfrak{g} . Then

$$\{U_{\alpha}/\sqrt{2}, V_{\alpha}/\sqrt{2} \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+\} \quad (3.2)$$

is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} with respect to Q .

For $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, let \mathfrak{m}_{α} be the real vector space generated by $\{U_{\alpha}, V_{\alpha}\}$. Then, $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{m}_{\alpha}$ is a Q -orthogonal $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant decomposition such that

$\text{Ad}(T)|_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha}$ is irreducible for every $\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Then, the space of all invariant Riemannian metrics on G/T is given by $\{ \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (x_\alpha Q|_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha}) \mid \text{each } x_\alpha > 0, (\alpha \in \Delta^+) \}$. Hence, for arbitrary given inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{m} ,

$$\{(U_\alpha/\sqrt{2x_\alpha}), (V_\alpha/\sqrt{2x_\alpha}) \mid \alpha \in \Delta^+\} \quad (3.3)$$

is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{m} , where every x_α is positive constant determined by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Let \overline{A}_h ($h \in T$) be the map from $(G/T, g)$ into $(G/T, g')$ which is induced by a inner automorphism A_h of G . From now on in this paper, let g (resp. g') in G/T be the Riemannian metric determined by $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (x_\alpha Q|_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha}) =: \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ (resp. $\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (y_\alpha Q|_{\mathfrak{m}_\alpha}) =: \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'$). The induced map $\overline{A}_h : (G/T, g) \rightarrow (G/T, g')$, ($h \in T$), is harmonic if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (x_\alpha^{-1}/2) \{U'(\text{Ad}(h)U_\alpha, \text{Ad}(h)U_\alpha) - \text{Ad}(h)U(U_\alpha, U_\alpha)\} \\ & + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (x_\alpha^{-1}/2) \{U'(\text{Ad}(h)V_\alpha, \text{Ad}(h)V_\alpha) - \text{Ad}(h)U(V_\alpha, V_\alpha)\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In fact, we get (3.4) from Lemma 2.1. of Section 2, using $[\text{Ad}(h)X]_{\mathfrak{t}} = 0$ ($X \in \mathfrak{m}$).

Now, we obtain the following lemma for later use.

Lemma 3.1. For $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ with $\alpha < \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(U_\alpha, U_\alpha) &= U(V_\alpha, V_\alpha) = U(U_\alpha, V_\alpha) = 0, \\ U(U_\alpha, U_\beta) &= (x_\alpha - x_\beta) \{ (2x_{\alpha+\beta})^{-1} N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} U_{\alpha+\beta} \\ & \quad + (2x_{\beta-\alpha})^{-1} N_{\beta-\alpha, -\beta} U_{\beta-\alpha} \}, \\ U(U_\alpha, V_\beta) &= (x_\alpha - x_\beta) \{ (2x_{\alpha+\beta})^{-1} N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} V_{\alpha+\beta} \\ & \quad + (2x_{\beta-\alpha})^{-1} N_{\beta-\alpha, -\beta} V_{\beta-\alpha} \}, \\ U(V_\alpha, U_\beta) &= (x_\alpha - x_\beta) \{ (2x_{\alpha+\beta})^{-1} N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} V_{\alpha+\beta} \\ & \quad - (2x_{\beta-\alpha})^{-1} N_{\beta-\alpha, -\beta} V_{\beta-\alpha} \}, \\ U(V_\alpha, V_\beta) &= (x_\beta - x_\alpha) \{ (2x_{\alpha+\beta})^{-1} N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} U_{\alpha+\beta} \\ & \quad + (2x_{\beta-\alpha})^{-1} N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} U_{\beta-\alpha} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (3.1), we obtain the following equations :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [U_\alpha, V_\alpha]_{\mathfrak{m}} = 0, \quad U_\alpha = U_{-\alpha}, \quad V_\alpha = -V_{-\alpha}, \\ [U_\beta, U_\alpha]_{\mathfrak{m}} = N_{\beta, \alpha} U_{\beta+\alpha} + N_{\beta, -\alpha} U_{\beta-\alpha}, \\ [U_\beta, V_\alpha]_{\mathfrak{m}} = N_{\beta, \alpha} V_{\beta+\alpha} - N_{\beta, -\alpha} V_{\beta-\alpha}, \\ [V_\beta, V_\alpha]_{\mathfrak{m}} = N_{\beta, -\alpha} U_{\beta-\alpha} - N_{\beta, \alpha} U_{\beta+\alpha}, \\ \langle U_\alpha, U_\alpha \rangle = 2x_\alpha, \quad \langle V_\alpha, V_\alpha \rangle = 2x_\alpha, \\ \langle U_\alpha, U_\alpha \rangle' = 2y_\alpha, \quad \langle V_\alpha, V_\alpha \rangle' = 2y_\alpha, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.5)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$. From (3.5), we get

$$[Z, U_\alpha] = \begin{cases} (1/\sqrt{2x_\beta})(N_{\beta, \alpha} U_{\beta+\alpha} + N_{\beta, -\alpha} U_{\beta-\alpha}) & \text{if } Z = U_\beta/\sqrt{2x_\beta}, \\ (1/\sqrt{2x_\beta})(N_{\beta, \alpha} V_{\beta+\alpha} + N_{\beta, -\alpha} V_{\beta-\alpha}) & \text{if } Z = V_\beta/\sqrt{2x_\beta} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$[Z, V_\alpha] = \begin{cases} (1/\sqrt{2x_\beta})(N_{\beta, \alpha} V_{\beta+\alpha} + N_{\beta, -\alpha} V_{\beta-\alpha}) & \text{if } Z = U_\beta/\sqrt{2x_\beta}, \\ (1/\sqrt{2x_\beta})(N_{\beta, -\alpha} U_{\beta-\alpha} - N_{\beta, \alpha} U_{\beta+\alpha}) & \text{if } Z = V_\beta/\sqrt{2x_\beta}. \end{cases} \quad (3.7)$$

Using the fact $N_{\alpha, \beta} = N_{\beta, \gamma} = N_{\gamma, \alpha}$ ($\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$) (cf [3, p. 171]), $N_{\alpha, \beta} = -N_{\beta, \alpha}$, (2.3), and (3.5)–(3.7), we can obtain Lemma 3.1. \square

Remark. In the cases of $\alpha > \beta$ in Lemma 3.1, α , β and $V_{\beta-\alpha}$ in each formular are changed into β , α and $-V_{\alpha-\beta}$. Interchanging x_α with y_α , we obtain $U'(U_\alpha, U_\beta)$, $U'(U_\alpha, V_\beta)$ and $U'(V_\alpha, V_\beta)$.

Moreover, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Ad}(h)U_\alpha = \cos(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H))U_\alpha - \sin(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H))V_\alpha, \\ \text{Ad}(h)V_\alpha = \sin(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H))U_\alpha + \cos(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H))V_\alpha, \end{array} \right. \quad (3.8)$$

where $\exp H = h$ ($H \in \mathfrak{t}$).

From (3.4), (3.8) and Lemma 3.1, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a compact connected semisimple Lie group, T a maximal torus. Let g and g' be arbitrary G -invariant metrics on G/T . Then, the map $\overline{A}_h : (G/T, g) \rightarrow (G/T, g'), (h \in T)$, which is induced from inner automorphism A_h of G is harmonic.*

4. Weakly Stable Harmonic Map \overline{A}_h

We define a field J of endomorphisms of $T(G/T)$ by $J(U_\alpha) = V_\alpha$ and $J(V_\alpha) = -U_\alpha$, ($\alpha \in \Delta^+$). Then, $(G/T, g, J)$ and $(G/T, g', J)$ become almost Hermitian

manifolds (cf. [1, p. 47]). From now on, we put $X_\alpha := (U_\alpha/\sqrt{2x_\alpha})$, $Y_\alpha := (V_\alpha/\sqrt{2y_\alpha})$, $X'_\alpha := (U_\alpha/\sqrt{2y_\alpha})$, and $Y'_\alpha := (V_\alpha/\sqrt{2x_\alpha})$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta^+$.

Lemma 4.1. *Almost complex structure J on G/T is integrable.*

Proof. For almost complex structure J to be integrable, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$S(X, Y) = [X, Y] + J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] - [JX, JY] = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

holds for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(G/T)$. Here, S is a skew symmetric bilinear form from the product $\mathfrak{X}(G/T) \times \mathfrak{X}(G/T)$ of the $C^\infty(G/T)$ -module $\mathfrak{X}(G/T)$ to $\mathfrak{X}(G/T)$.

Using $N_{\alpha, \beta} = -N_{\beta, \alpha}$, $N_{\alpha, \beta} = N_{-\alpha, -\beta}$ and (3.5), we get

$$S(X_\beta, X_\alpha) = S(X_\beta, Y_\alpha) = S(Y_\beta, Y_\alpha) = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Thus Lemma 4.1 is proved. \square

The Kähler form ω (resp. ω') is defined on Hermitian manifold $(G/T, g, J)$ (resp. $(G/T, g', J)$) by $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$ (resp. $\omega'(X, Y) = g'(JX, Y)$), $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(G/T)$.

Lemma 4.2. *The Kähler form ω (resp. ω') is closed if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha + x_\beta = x_{\alpha+\beta} \quad (\text{resp. } y_\alpha + y_\beta = y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\ \text{holds for every } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+ \text{ with } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. From (3.5), we obtain for $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$ ($\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta^+$)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} dw(X_\gamma, X_\beta, X_\alpha) = 0, \\ dw(X_\gamma, X_\beta, Y_\alpha) = dw(X_\gamma, Y_\beta, X_\alpha) = -dw(Y_\gamma, X_\beta, X_\alpha) \\ = (-\sqrt{x_{\gamma-\beta}}N_{\gamma, -\beta}\delta_{\gamma-\beta, \alpha})/\sqrt{2x_\gamma x_\beta} \\ + (\sqrt{x_{\beta+\alpha}}N_{\beta, \alpha}\delta_{\beta+\alpha, \gamma})/\sqrt{2x_\beta x_\alpha} + (\sqrt{x_{\gamma-\alpha}}N_{\gamma, -\alpha}\delta_{\gamma-\alpha, \beta})/\sqrt{2x_\alpha x_\gamma}, \\ dw(X_\gamma, Y_\beta, Y_\alpha) = dw(Y_\gamma, X_\beta, Y_\alpha) = dw(Y_\gamma, Y_\beta, X_\alpha) = 0, \\ dw(Y_\gamma, Y_\beta, Y_\alpha) = dw(X_\gamma, X_\beta, Y_\alpha). \end{array} \right. \quad (4.4)$$

Here $\delta_{\beta, \alpha}$ ($\beta, \alpha \in \Delta^+$) is 1 if $\alpha = \beta$, and is 0 if $\alpha \neq \beta$. Moreover, using (3.5), we get for α, β ($\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$)

$$dw(X_\beta, X_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = dw(Y_\beta, X_\alpha, Y_\alpha) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Using $N_{\alpha, \beta} = N_{\beta, \gamma} = N_{\gamma, \alpha}$ ($\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$), (4.4), and (4.5), we can get Lemma 4.2. \square

Using (3.8), we get the following proposition.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (J \circ d\overline{A}_h)(X_\alpha) = (d\overline{A}_h \circ J)(X_\alpha) \\ = \sin(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H))X_\alpha + \cos(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H))Y_\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \Delta^+), \\ (J \circ d\overline{A}_h)(Y_\alpha) = (d\overline{A}_h \circ J)(Y_\alpha) \\ = -\cos(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H))X_\alpha + \sin(\sqrt{-1}\alpha(H))Y_\alpha \quad (\alpha \in \Delta^+), \end{array} \right. \quad (4.6)$$

where $h = \exp H$ ($H \in \mathfrak{t}$). Hence, we have

$$J \circ d\overline{A}_h = d\overline{A}_h \circ J \quad (h \in T). \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.3. $\overline{A}_h : (G/T, g, J) \rightarrow (G/T, g', J)$ is holomorphic.

Moreover, from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we get

Proposition 4.4. If $x_\alpha + x_\beta = x_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $y_\alpha + y_\beta = y_{\alpha+\beta}$ hold for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+$, then $(G/T, g, J)$ and $(G/T, g', J)$ are Kähler manifolds.

Now, in order to discuss stability of harmonic maps \overline{A}_h ($h \in T$) we introduce Lichnerowicz's Theorem.

Theorem 4.5. (cf. [1, 6]) Let (M, g) and (N, h) be Kähler. Then:

(i) A holomorphic mapping $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ minimizes the energy in the homotopy class including ϕ , i.e., for a family $\phi_t \in C^\infty(M, N)$ with $\phi_0 = \phi$, depending smoothly on t , $E(\phi) \leq E(\phi_t)$.

(ii) For holomorphic map $\phi : M \rightarrow N$,

$$\text{Ker}(J_\phi) = \{S \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN) \mid (DS)(X) := \tilde{\nabla}_{JX}S - J\tilde{\nabla}_X S = 0$$

for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)\}$.

Here, $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the connection on the induced bundle $\phi^{-1}TN$ given as $\tilde{\nabla}_X S = {}^N\nabla_{\phi_*X}S$, ($X \in T_xM$, $S \in \Gamma(\phi^{-1}TN)$). Let ∇ (resp. ∇') be the Riemannian connection corresponding to the metric g (resp. g') in G/T .

Using Lemma 3.1. and (2.2), we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. For every $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ with $\alpha < \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad & \nabla'_{U_\alpha^*} U_\beta^*|_0 = \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} + y_{\alpha+\beta}N_{\beta, \alpha}\}U_{\alpha+\beta}/(2y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
& + \{(y_\beta - y_\alpha)N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} + y_{\beta-\alpha}N_{\beta, -\alpha}\}U_{\beta-\alpha}/(2y_{\beta-\alpha}), \\
& \nabla'_{U_\beta^*} U_\alpha^*|_0 = \{(y_\beta - y_\alpha)N_{\alpha+\beta, -\alpha} + y_{\alpha+\beta}N_{\alpha, \beta}\}U_{\alpha+\beta}/(2y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
& + \{(y_\beta - y_\alpha)N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} + y_{\beta-\alpha}N_{\alpha, -\beta}\}U_{\beta-\alpha}/(2y_{\beta-\alpha}), \\
(b) \quad & \nabla'_{U_\alpha^*} V_\beta^*|_0 = \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} - y_{\alpha+\beta}N_{\alpha, \beta}\}V_{\alpha+\beta}/(2y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
& + \{(y_\beta - y_\alpha)N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} - y_{\beta-\alpha}N_{\alpha, -\beta}\}V_{\beta-\alpha}/(2y_{\beta-\alpha}), \\
& \nabla'_{U_\beta^*} V_\alpha^*|_0 = \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} - y_{\alpha+\beta}N_{\beta, \alpha}\}V_{\beta+\alpha}/(2y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
& + \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} + y_{\beta-\alpha}N_{\beta, -\alpha}\}V_{\beta-\alpha}/(2y_{\beta-\alpha}), \\
(c) \quad & \nabla'_{V_\alpha^*} U_\beta^*|_0 = \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} + y_{\alpha+\beta}N_{\beta, \alpha}\}V_{\beta+\alpha}/(2y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
& + \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} - y_{\beta-\alpha}N_{\beta, -\alpha}\}V_{\beta-\alpha}/(2y_{\beta-\alpha}), \\
& \nabla'_{V_\beta^*} U_\alpha^*|_0 = \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\alpha+\beta, -\beta} + y_{\alpha+\beta}N_{\alpha, \beta}\}V_{\beta+\alpha}/(2y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
& + \{(y_\beta - y_\alpha)N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} + y_{\beta-\alpha}N_{\alpha, -\beta}\}V_{\beta-\alpha}/(2y_{\beta-\alpha}), \\
(d) \quad & \nabla'_{V_\alpha^*} V_\beta^*|_0 = \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\alpha+\beta, -\alpha} + y_{\alpha+\beta}N_{\alpha, \beta}\}U_{\beta+\alpha}/(2y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
& + \{(y_\beta - y_\alpha)N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} + y_{\beta-\alpha}N_{\beta, -\alpha}\}U_{\beta-\alpha}/(2y_{\beta-\alpha}), \\
& \nabla'_{V_\beta^*} V_\alpha^*|_0 = \{(y_\alpha - y_\beta)N_{\alpha+\beta, -\alpha} - y_{\alpha+\beta}N_{\alpha, \beta}\}U_{\beta+\alpha}/(2y_{\alpha+\beta}) \\
& + \{(y_\beta - y_\alpha)N_{\beta-\alpha, \alpha} - y_{\beta-\alpha}N_{\beta, -\alpha}\}U_{\beta-\alpha}/(2y_{\beta-\alpha}), \\
(e) \quad & \nabla'_{U_\alpha^*} U_\alpha^*|_0 = \nabla'_{U_\alpha^*} V_\alpha^*|_0 = \nabla'_{V_\alpha^*} U_\alpha^*|_0 = \nabla'_{V_\alpha^*} V_\alpha^*|_0 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.7. Let g and g' be G -invariant Riemannian metrics on G/T which satisfy the following condition:

$$x_\alpha + x_\beta = x_{\alpha+\beta} \text{ and } y_\alpha + y_\beta = y_{\alpha+\beta} \quad (4.8)$$

hold for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+$. The nullity of Jacobi operator $J_{\overline{A}_h}$ of each harmonic map $\overline{A}_h : (G/T, g) \rightarrow (G/T, g')$, ($h \in T$), is $\dim G/T$.

Proof. We use the notation in (ii) of Theorem 4.5. We put

$$S = \text{Ad}(h) \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (f^\beta U_\beta^* + g^\beta V_\beta^*), \quad (f^\beta, g^\beta \in C^\infty(G/K)).$$

In this proof, we identify U_α, V_α with U_α^*, V_α^* ($\alpha \in \Delta^+$). Using Lemma 4.6, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ with $\alpha > \beta$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{\text{Ad}(h)U_\beta \text{ (resp. } \text{Ad}(h)V_\beta) - \text{component of } (DS)(U_\alpha)\} \\
& = \{\text{Ad}(h)U_\beta \text{ (resp. } \text{Ad}(h)V_\beta) - \text{component} \\
& \text{of } D(\text{Ad}(h)(f^{\alpha-\beta}U_{\alpha-\beta} + g^{\alpha-\beta}V_{\alpha-\beta} + f^\beta U_\beta + g^\beta V_\beta))(U_\alpha)\},
\end{aligned}$$

and for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ with $\alpha < \beta$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\text{Ad}(h)U_\beta \text{ (resp. Ad}(h)V_\beta) - \text{component of } (DS)(U_\alpha)\} \\ &= \{\text{Ad}(h)U_\beta \text{ (resp. Ad}(h)V_\beta) - \text{component} \\ & \text{of } D(\text{Ad}(h)(f^\beta U_\beta + g^\beta V_\beta + f^{\beta+\alpha}U_{\beta+\alpha} + g^{\beta+\alpha}V_{\beta+\alpha}))(U_\alpha)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $N_{-\alpha, -\beta} = N_{\alpha, \beta} = -N_{\beta, \alpha}$, $N_{\alpha, \beta} = N_{\beta, \gamma} = N_{\gamma, \alpha}$ ($\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$), $2\alpha \notin \Delta$ ($\alpha \in \Delta^+$), (4.7), (4.8), and Lemma 4.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (DS)(U_\alpha) &= \sum_{(\alpha > \beta)} \{(V_\alpha f^\beta + U_\alpha g^\beta - 2g^{\alpha-\beta} N_{\alpha-\beta, \beta}) \text{Ad}(h)U_\beta \\ & \quad + (V_\alpha g^\beta - U_\alpha f^\beta - 2f^{\alpha-\beta} N_{\alpha-\beta, \beta}) \text{Ad}(h)V_\beta\} \\ & \quad + (V_\alpha f^\alpha + U_\alpha g^\alpha) \text{Ad}(h)U_\alpha + (V_\alpha g^\alpha - U_\alpha f^\alpha) \text{Ad}(h)V_\alpha \\ & + \sum_{(\alpha < \beta)} \{(V_\alpha f^\beta + U_\alpha g^\beta) \text{Ad}(h)U_\beta + (V_\alpha g^\beta - U_\alpha f^\beta) \text{Ad}(h)V_\beta\}. \quad (4.9) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (DS)(V_\alpha) &= \sum_{(\alpha > \beta)} \{(-U_\alpha f^\beta + V_\alpha g^\beta + 2f^{\alpha-\beta} N_{\alpha-\beta, \beta}) \text{Ad}(h)U_\beta \\ & \quad - (U_\alpha g^\beta + V_\alpha f^\beta + 2g^{\alpha-\beta} N_{\alpha-\beta, \beta}) \text{Ad}(h)V_\beta\} \\ & \quad + (V_\alpha g^\alpha - U_\alpha f^\alpha) \text{Ad}(h)U_\alpha - (V_\alpha f^\alpha + U_\alpha g^\alpha) \text{Ad}(h)V_\alpha \\ & + \sum_{(\alpha < \beta)} \{(-U_\alpha f^\beta + V_\alpha g^\beta) \text{Ad}(h)U_\beta - (U_\alpha g^\beta + V_\alpha f^\beta) \text{Ad}(h)V_\beta\}. \quad (4.10) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(DS)(U_\alpha) = (DS)(V_\alpha) = 0$ if and only if

$$U_\alpha g^\beta = -V_\alpha f^\beta, \quad U_\alpha f^\beta = V_\alpha g^\beta \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+). \quad (4.11)$$

Now, if $DS = 0$, then f^β and g^β ($\beta \in \Delta^+$) are harmonic functions. In fact, these follow from (e) of Lemma 4.6 and (4.11). Moreover, since G/T is compact, f^β and g^β ($\beta \in \Delta^+$) are constant functions. Hence (the nullity of Jacobi operator $J_{\overline{A}_h}$ of harmonic map \overline{A}_h) = $\dim G/T$. \square

From Lemma 4.3, Proposition 4.4, Theorem 4.5 and Proposition 4.7, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. *Let g and g' G -invariant Riemannian metrics on G/T which satisfy the following : $x_\alpha + x_\beta = x_{\alpha+\beta}$ and $y_\alpha + y_\beta = y_{\alpha+\beta}$ hold for every $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta^+$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta^+$. Then, every mapping $\overline{A}_h : (G/T, g) \rightarrow$*

$(G/T, g'), (h \in T)$, is weakly stable harmonic map with nullity $(J_{\overline{A_h}}) = \dim G/T$.

Example. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n(C)$ of $SL_n(C)$ is complexification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ of $G := SU(n)$. Let E_{ij} denote a square matrix with the (i, j) -entry being 1, and all the other entries being 0. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(C)$ which consists of the diagonal matrices of trace 0. If $e_i : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow C$ is a linear map defined by $e_i(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j E_{jj}) = \alpha_i$, then

$$[H, E_{ij}] = (e_i - e_j)(H) \cdot E_{ij} \quad (H \in \mathfrak{h}). \quad (4.12)$$

Here, the non-zero roots of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(C)$ with respect to \mathfrak{h} are

$$e_i - e_j \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad i \neq j). \quad (4.13)$$

Let B be the Killing form of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(C)$, i.e., $B(X, Y) = 2n \text{Trace}(XY)$ ($X, Y \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(C)$). We choose an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{su}(n)$ with respect to $Q := -B$ as follows : For i, j such that $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, let $E_{e_i - e_j}$ (resp. $E_{e_j - e_i}$) denote the root vectors with (i, j) -entry being $1/\sqrt{2n}$ (resp. the (j, i) -entry being $-1/\sqrt{2n}$) and all the other entries being 0. Then $B(E_{e_i - e_j}, E_{e_j - e_i}) = -1$. We put $U_{ij} := E_{e_i - e_j} + E_{e_j - e_i}$ and $V_{ij} := \sqrt{-1}(E_{e_i - e_j} - E_{e_j - e_i})$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$. Then,

$$\{U_{ij}/\sqrt{2}, V_{ij}/\sqrt{2} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \quad (4.14)$$

is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{m} with respect to Q , where $\mathfrak{su}(n) = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$. Here, \mathfrak{t} is the Lie algebra of the Lie group $T := \{A \in SU(n) \mid A \text{ is diagonal}\}$. Riemannian metric g (resp. g') on $SU(n)/T$ is determined by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \sum_{i < j} x_{ij} Q|_{\mathfrak{m}_{ij}}$ (resp. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' = \sum_{i < j} y_{ij} Q|_{\mathfrak{m}_{ij}}$). Here \mathfrak{m}_{ij} is the real vector space generated by $\{U_{ij}, V_{ij}\}$. Then, from Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.8 we obtain

Proposition 4.9. *Let g, g' be $SU(n)$ -invariant Riemannian metrics on $SU(n)/T$ which satisfy the following condition:*

$$x_{ij} + x_{jk} = x_{ik}, \quad y_{ij} + y_{jk} = y_{ik} \quad (4.15)$$

hold for i, j, k with $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$. Then every map $\overline{A_h} : (SU(n)/T, g) \rightarrow (SU(n)/T, g'), (h \in T)$ is a weakly stable harmonic map, and the nullity of Jacobi operator $J_{\overline{A_h}}$ of $\overline{A_h}$ ($h \in T$) is $(n^2 - n)$.

References

- [1] J. Eells, L. Lemaire, Selected topics in harmonic maps, In: *CBMS Regional Conf., in Math.*, No. 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1983).
- [2] M.A. Guest, Geometry of maps between generalized flag manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.*, **25** (1987), 223-247.
- [3] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York (1978).
- [4] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry, II*, Wiley, New York (1969).
- [5] J.-S. Park, Harmonic inner automorphisms of compact connected semisimple Lie groups, *Tohoku Math. J.*, **42** (1990), 83-91.
- [6] H. Urakawa, Stability of harmonic maps and eigenvalues of the Laplacian, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **301** (1987), 557-589.
- [7] R.N. Wallach, *Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces*, Dekker, New York (1973).

