

A GENERALIZED ‘USEFUL’ INACCURACY OF ORDER
 α AND TYPE β AND CODING THEOREMS

M.A.K. Baig¹, Rayees Ahmad Dar² §

^{1,2}Department of Statistics
University of Kashmir
Srinagar, 190006, INDIA

¹e-mail: baigmak@yahoo.co.in

²e-mail: rayees_stats@yahoo.com

Abstract: Useful inaccuracy measures and mean codeword lengths are well known in the literature of information theory. In this communication, a new generalized ‘useful’ inaccuracy of order α and type β has been proposed and coding theorem has been established by considering the said measure and a generalized average ‘useful’ codeword length. Our motivation for studying this is that it generalizes some results which already existing in the literature.

AMS Subject Classification: 94A17, 94A24

Key Words: inaccuracy measures, mean codeword length, Hölders inequality

1. Introduction

Consider the model given below for a finite random experiment scheme having (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) as a complete system of events, happening with respective probabilities $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ and credited with utilities $U = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n)$, $u_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Denote

$$\chi = [x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \ p_1 p_2 \dots p_n \ u_1 u_2 \dots u_n] , \quad (1.1)$$

we call (1.1) as utility information scheme.

Let $Q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$ be the predicted distribution having the utility distribution (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) . Taneja and Tuteja [14] have suggested and characterized the ‘useful’ inaccuracy measure

Received: September 19, 2006

© 2006, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

$$I(P; Q; U) = - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i \log q_i. \quad (1.2)$$

By considering weighted mean codeword length, see [6]

$$L(U) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i l_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i}. \quad (1.3)$$

Taneja and Tuteja [14] derived the lower and upper bounds on $L(U)$ in terms of $I(P; Q; U)$.

Bhatia [3] defined the ‘useful’ average code lengths of order α as

$$L_\alpha(U) = \frac{1}{D^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - 1} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \left(\frac{u_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} D^{-l_i \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \right)} \right], \quad (1.4)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ ($\neq 1$) and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and D is the size of the code alphabet. He also derived the bounds for the ‘useful’ average code length of order α and is given by

$$I_\alpha(P; Q; U) = \frac{1}{D^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i q_i^{\alpha-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right], \quad (1.5)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ ($\neq 1$) and $p_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and D is the size of the code alphabet.

Under the condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i^{-1} D^{-l_i} \leq 1, \quad (1.6)$$

where D is the size of the code alphabet. Inequality (1.6) is a generalized Kraft’s inequality [4]. A code satisfying generalized Kraft’s inequality would be termed as personal probability code.

Longo [10], Gurdial and Pessoa [5], Autar and Khan [1], Jain and Tuteja [8], Taneja et al [15], Hooda and Bhaker [7], Bhatia [3] and Singh, Kumar and

Tuteja [11] considered the problem of ‘useful’ information measure and used it studying the noiseless coding theorems for sources involving utilities.

In the next section, we shall study some coding theorems for a generalized ‘useful’ inaccuracy of order α and type β for incomplete probability distribution.

2. Coding Theorems

Consider the function

$$I_\alpha^\beta(P; Q; U) = \frac{1}{D^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - 1} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right], \tag{2.1}$$

where $\alpha > 0 (\neq 1)$, $\beta > 0$, $p_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and D is the size of the code alphabet.

(a) For $\alpha \rightarrow 1, \beta = 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$, the measure (2.1) reduces to Taneja and Tuteja [15] measure of ‘useful’ inaccuracy.

(b) For $\beta = 1, p_i = q_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the measure (2.1) reduces to the measure given by Autar and Khan [1] ‘useful’ information measure.

(c) For $\alpha \rightarrow 1, \beta = 1$ and $p_i = q_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the measure (2.1) reduces to Belis and Guiasu [2] measure of ‘useful’ information for incomplete probability distribution. Further, when utility aspect of the scheme is ignored, the measure reduces to Shannon [12] measure of entropy.

(d) When the probability distribution is complete and the utility aspect of the scheme is ignored as well as $\alpha \rightarrow 1, \beta = 1$. The measure (2.1) becomes Ker-ridge’s [9] measure of inaccuracy. We call (2.1) as generalized ‘useful’ inaccuracy of order α and type β for incomplete probability distribution.

Further, consider a generalized ‘useful’ mean length credited with utilities and probabilities as

$$L_\alpha^\beta(U) = \frac{1}{D^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - 1} \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta \left(\frac{u_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} D^{-l_i(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha})} \right], \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha > 0 (\neq 1)$, $\beta > 0$, $p_i \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \leq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and D is the size of the code alphabet.

(a) For $\alpha \rightarrow 1, \beta = 1$, the measure (2.2) reduces to ‘useful’ mean length $L(U)$ of the code, given by Guiasu and Picard [6].

(b) when the utility aspect of the scheme is ignored by taking $u_i = 1, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1, \beta = 1$, the mean length (2.2) becomes optimal code length identical to Shannon, see [12].

Now we find the bounds for $L_\alpha^\beta(U)$ in terms of $I_\alpha^\beta(P; Q; U)$ under the condition

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta q_i^{-1} D^{-l_i} \leq 1, \tag{2.3}$$

where D is the size of the code alphabet.

Theorem 2.1. For all integers $D (D > 1)$, let l_i satisfy (2.3), then the generalized average ‘useful’ codeword length satisfies

$$L_\alpha^\beta(U) \geq I_\alpha^\beta(P; Q; U), \tag{2.4}$$

and the equality holds iff

$$l_i = -\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right). \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. By Hölders’ inequality, see [13]

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, p < 1 (\neq 0)$ and $x_i, y_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we see the equality holds if and only if there exists a positive constant c such that

$$x_i^p = c y_i^q. \tag{2.7}$$

Making the substitution

$$p = \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}, \quad q = 1 - \alpha, \quad x_i = p_i^{\frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha-1}} \left(\frac{u_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} D^{-l_i},$$

$$y_i = p_i^{\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}} \left(\frac{u_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}} q_i^{-1}$$

in (2.6), using (2.3) and after making suitable operations we get (2.4) for $(D^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - 1) \neq 0$ according as $\alpha \neq 1$. \square

Theorem 2.2. For every code with lengths $\{l_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ of Theorem 2.1, $L_\alpha^\beta(U)$ can satisfy the inequality

$$L_\alpha^\beta(U) < I_\alpha^\beta(P; Q; U) D^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} + \frac{1 - D^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}}{D^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - 1}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Let l_i be the positive integer satisfying the inequality

$$-\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right) \leq l_i < -\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right) + 1. \tag{2.9}$$

Consider the intervals

$$\delta_i = \left[-\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right), -\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right) + 1 \right] \tag{2.10}$$

of length 1. In every δ_i , there lies exactly one positive integer l_i such that

$$0 < -\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right) \leq l_i < -\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right) + 1. \tag{2.11}$$

We will first show that the sequences l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n , thus defined satisfy (2.3). From (2.11), we have

$$-\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right) \leq l_i,$$

or

$$\left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right) \geq D^{-l_i}.$$

Multiply both sides by $p_i^\beta q_i^{-1}$ and summing over $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we get (2.3). The last inequality of (2.11) gives

$$l_i < -\log \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right) + 1,$$

or

$$D^{-l_i} < \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right)^{-1} D,$$

$$D^{-l_i \left(\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha} \right)} < \left(\frac{u_i q_i^\alpha}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta q_i^{\alpha-1}} \right)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} D^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $p_i^\beta \left(\frac{u_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n u_i p_i^\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and summing over $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and after suitable operations, we get

$$L_\alpha^\beta(U) < I_\alpha^\beta(P; Q; U) D^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}} + \frac{1 - D^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}}{D^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - 1}. \quad \square \quad (2.12)$$

Remark. For $0 < \alpha < 1$ and since $D \geq 2$, from (2.12), we have $\frac{1 - D^{\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}}}{D^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} - 1} > 1$ from which it follows that the upper bound of $L_\alpha^\beta(U)$ in (2.8) is greater than unity.

References

- [1] R. Autar, A.B. Khan, On generalized useful information for incomplete distribution, *Journal of Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences*, **14**, No. 4 (1989), 187-191.

- [2] M. Belis, S. Guiasu, A qualitative -quantitative measure of information in cybernetics system, *IEEE Transaction Information Theory*, **IT-14** (1968), 593-594.
- [3] P.K. Bhatia, On a generalized useful inaccuracy for incomplete probability distribution, *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, **25**, No. 2 (1999), 131-135.
- [4] A. Feinstein, *Foundation of Information Theory*, McGraw Hill, New York (1956).
- [5] Gurdial, F. Pessoa, On useful information of order α , *Journal of Combinatorics, Information and System Sciences*, **2** (1977).
- [6] S. Guiasu, C.F. Picard, Borne inferieure dela langueur de certian codes, *C.R. Academic Sciences*, Paris, **27C** (1971), 248-251.
- [7] D.S. Hooda, U.S. Bhaker, A generalized useful' information measure and coding theorem, *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, **23**, No. 1 (1997), 53-62.
- [8] P. Jain, R.K. Tuteja, On coding theorem connected with useful entropy of order β , *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **12**, No. 1 (1989), 193-198.
- [9] D.F. Kerridge, Inaccuracy and inference, *Journal of Royal Statistical Society*, **23B** (1961), 184-194.
- [10] G. Longo, *Quantitative-Qualitative Measure of Information*, Springer Verlag, New York (1972).
- [11] R.P. Singh, R. Kumar, R.K. Tuteja, Applications of Hölders inequality in information theory, *Information Sciences*, **152** (2003), 145-154.
- [12] C.E. Shannon, A mathematical theory of communication, *Bell System Tech. Journal*, **27**, 394-423 (1948), 623-656.
- [13] O. Shisha, *Inequalities*, Academic Press, New York (1967).
- [14] H.C. Taneja, R.K. Tuteja, Characterization of a quantitative-qualitative measure of inaccuracy, *Kybernetika*, **22** (1986), 393-402.
- [15] H.C. Taneja, D.S. Hooda, R.K. Tuteja, Coding theorems on a generalized useful information, *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, **11** (1985), 123-131.

