

ON THE HYPERFACTORIAL FUNCTION,
HYPERTRIANGULAR FUNCTION, AND
THE DISCRIMINANTS OF CERTAIN POLYNOMIALS

Mohammad K. Azarian

Department of Mathematics

University of Evansville

1800 Lincoln Avenue, Evansville, IN 47722, USA

e-mail: azarian@evansville.edu

Abstract: For any natural number n , let $H_f(n) = 1^1.2^2.3^3.4^4\dots n^n$ be the hyperfactorial function of n , and let $H_t(n) = 1^1 + 2^2 + 3^3 + 4^4 + \dots + n^n$, be the hypertriangular function of n . Also, let $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$, represent the roots of the polynomial equation $p_k(z) = z^k - 1 = 0$ (for a fixed $k \geq 2$). First, we show that k^k (for any integer $k \geq 2$) can be written in terms of the discriminant of $p_k(z)$. Then, we use this result to show that $H_f(n)$ and $H_t(n)$ can be written as a product of discriminants of certain polynomials, and as a sum of discriminants of these polynomials, respectively. Also, we use a known result to present an upper and a lower bound for $H_t(n)$. Finally, we pose two questions for the reader.

AMS Subject Classification: 11A

Key Words: n -th roots of unity, hyperfactorial function, hypertriangular function, K -function, the discriminant of a polynomial, Barnes G -function, Euler gamma function, Sylvester matrix, resultant of two polynomials

1. Preliminaries

Motivated by J. Kinkelin's paper [8], E.W. Barnes [2] reintroduced and stud-

ied the Barnes G -function, which is also, known as the G -function. Barnes G -function which is a generalization of the Euler gamma function is defined as $G(z+1) = \Gamma(z)G(z)$, where z is a complex number, $G(1) = 1$, and Γ is the well known gamma Euler function. In this article we make use of a special case of the Barnes G -function; namely, when z is a positive integer. In this particular case, the Barnes G -function simply will be a product of factorials. That is,

$$G(n+1) = \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} m!.$$

For a natural number n , the K -function, denoted by $K(n)$, can be written in terms of Barnes G -function, and the Euler gamma function as

$$K(n) = 1^1 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 4^4 \dots (n-1)^{n-1} = \frac{(\Gamma(n))^{n-1}}{G(n)}.$$

Also, we recall that for any natural number n ,

$$H_f(n) = 1^1 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 4^4 \dots n^n$$

is called the *hyperfactorial* function of n , see [11]. From the definitions of hyperfactorial function and the K -function, we can immediately see that $K(n+1) = H_f(n)$. Moreover, the *discriminant* of a polynomial

$$p_n(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$$

of degree n ($n \geq 2$) with the leading coefficient a_n and the roots $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n$ is defined as

$$D(p_n) = a_n^{2(n-1)} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (r_i - r_j)^2.$$

It is easy to see that $D(p_n) = 0$ if and only if $p_n(z)$ has a repeated root, and we note that there are $(n-1)!$ terms of the form $(r_i - r_j)^2$ in the above product. Finally, we define the *hypertriangular* function of n as

$$H_t(n) = 1^1 + 2^2 + 3^3 + 4^4 + \dots + n^n.$$

Our goal in this article is to make use of the discriminants of polynomial equations $p_k(z) = z^k - 1 = 0$ ($k \geq 2$) as well as the above definitions and a known result to show that:

$$(i) \quad |D(p_k(z))| = \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2 \right| = k^k \quad (k \geq 2),$$

$$(ii) \quad H_f(n) = \prod_{k=2}^n \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2 \right| \quad (n \geq k \geq 2),$$

$$(iii) \quad n^n \left[\frac{4n-3}{4n-4} \right] \leq H_t(n) < n^n \left[\frac{2+e(n-1)}{e(n-1)} \right], \quad n \geq 2.$$

2. Results

In a problem proposed by G.W. Wishard in 1945 in the American Mathematical Monthly and solved in 1946 by F. Underwood [14], an upper and a lower bound were given for the sum $1^1 + 2^2 + 3^3 + 4^4 + \dots + n^n$. Using limit process, Underwood has shown that for $n > 2$

$$n^n \left[1 + \frac{1}{4(n-1)} \right] < 1^1 + 2^2 + 3^3 + 4^4 + \dots + n^n < n^n \left[1 + \frac{2}{e(n-1)} \right].$$

It is clear that n cannot be 1. However, for $n = 2$, the sum will be smaller than its upper limit, but equal to its lower limit. With this observation we restate this problem here as our Proposition 2.1 for $n \geq 1$.

Proposition 2.1. *If n is any natural number greater than 1 and e is the base of the natural (Napierian) logarithm, then*

$$n^n \left[\frac{4n-3}{4n-4} \right] \leq 1^1 + 2^2 + 3^3 + 4^4 + \dots + n^n < n^n \left[\frac{2+e(n-1)}{e(n-1)} \right].$$

Proposition 2.2. *For any natural number n*

$$1^1 . 2^2 . 3^3 . 4^4 \dots n^n = \frac{(n!)^n}{\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} m!}.$$

The proof of this proposition is a direct consequence of the definitions of the G -function, K -function, and the gamma function.

Proposition 2.3. *If $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$, represent the roots of the equation $p_k(z) = z^k - 1 = 0$ (for a fixed $k \geq 2$), then*

$$|D(p_k(z))| = \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2 \right| = k^k.$$

Remark 2.4. First we note that the above product is always greater than zero. For $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$, represent the k -th roots of unity which are distinct and hence, $r_i - r_j \neq 0$, for all $i \neq j$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Since $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$, represent the roots of the equation $p_k(z) = z^k - 1 = 0$, we can write it as

$$z^k - 1 = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)\dots(z - r_k). \quad (1)$$

Next, we differentiate (1) to get

$$kz^{k-1} = \sum_{m=1}^k (z - r_1)\dots(z - r_{m-1})(z - r_{m+1})\dots(z - r_k). \quad (2)$$

Then, we evaluate (2) for $z = r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$, to obtain the following k equations:

$$\begin{aligned} kr_1^{k-1} &= (r_1 - r_2)(r_1 - r_3)\dots(r_1 - r_{k-1})(r_1 - r_k), \\ kr_2^{k-1} &= (r_2 - r_1)(r_2 - r_3)\dots(r_2 - r_{k-1})(r_2 - r_k), \\ &\vdots \\ kr_k^{k-1} &= (r_k - r_1)(r_k - r_2)\dots(r_k - r_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we multiply the corresponding sides of the above k equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} k^k (r_1 \cdot r_2 \cdot r_3 \dots r_k)^{k-1} &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} [-(r_i - r_j)^2] \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Also, since $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$, represent the k -th roots of unity we have

$$\prod_{m=1}^k r_m = e^{\sum_{m=1}^k \frac{2m\pi}{k} i} = (-1)^{k-1}. \quad (4)$$

Consequently, from (3) and (4) we have

$$k^k ((-1)^{k-1})^{k-1} = (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2. \quad (5)$$

Finally, we take absolute values of both sides of (5) to obtain the desired equality. \square

Remark 2.5. We note that if r_i and r_j are two different non-real roots of $p_k(z)$, then $(r_i - r_j)^2 < 0$. Therefore, for $k > 2$, the absolute value sign around $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2$ in Proposition 2.4 is necessary. However, it is easy to verify that if the number of non-real roots of $p_k(z)$ is a multiple of 4, then $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2 > 0$, and hence the absolute value sign around $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2$ is not needed.

Now, as a direct consequence of Proposition 2.2, Proposition 2.3, and the definition of $H_f(n)$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $p_k(z) = z^k - 1$, for $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$. If $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$, represent the roots of the equation $p_k(z) = z^k - 1 = 0$, then*

$$H_f(n) = \prod_{k=2}^n \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2 \right|.$$

Theorem 2.7. *If $r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_k$, represent the roots of the equation $p_k(z) = z^k - 1 = 0$, for $k = 2, 3, 4, \dots, n$, then*

$$n^n \left[\frac{4n - 3}{4n - 4} \right] \leq H_t(n) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^n \left| \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (r_i - r_j)^2 \right| < n^n \left[\frac{2 + e(n - 1)}{e(n - 1)} \right]$$

The proof immediately follows from the definition of $H_t(n)$, Proposition 2.1, and Proposition 2.3.

3. Questions

Question 3.1. What can be said about Proposition 2.3, and hence Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7 if $p_k(z) = z^k - 1$ is replaced by any arbitrary polynomial of order k with distinct roots? (One could start with the determinant of the $(2n - 1) \times (2n - 1)$ Sylvester matrix associated with the coefficients of $p_k(z)$ and $p'_k(z)$; that is, the resultant of $p_k(z)$ and $p'_k(z)$.)

Question 3.2. What can be said about Question 3.1 as well as the results that we have obtained in this paper, provided the polynomial $p_k(z)$ is defined over an arbitrary field, rather than the complex field? (Note that the product formula involving the roots of $p_k(z)$ still will be valid, provided the roots are taken from some splitting field of the polynomial $p_k(z)$.)

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by a grant from the University of Evansville Faculty Fellowship.

References

- [1] V.S. Adamchik, On the Barnes function, In: *Proc. 2001 Int. Symp. Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC)* (Ed. B. Mourrain), University of Western Ontario, ACM (2001), 15-20.
- [2] E.W. Barnes, The theory of the G -function, *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **31** (1900), 264-314.
- [3] L. Bendersky, Sur la fonction Gamma generalisee, *Acta Math.*, **61** (1933), 263-322.
- [4] S.R. Finch, *Mathematical Constants*, Cambridge (2003).
- [5] A. Fletcher, J.C. P. Miller, L. Rosenhead, L.J. Comrie, *An Index of Mathematical Tables*, Volumes 1 and 2, Second Edition, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Reading, MA (1962).
- [6] J.W.L. Glaisher, On the constant which occurs in the formula for $1^1.2^2.3^3\dots n^n$, *Messenger of Math.*, **24** (1894), 1-16.
- [7] J.W.L. Glaisher, On the product $1^1.2^2.3^3\dots n^n$, *Messenger of Math.*, **7** (1878), 43-47.
- [8] J. Kinkelin, Über eine mit der Gammafunktion verwandte Transcendente und deren Anwendung auf die Integralrechnung, *J. Reine Angew., Math.*, **57** (1860), 122-158.
- [9] I. Niven, H.S. Zuckerman, H.L. Montgomery, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fifth Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1991).
- [10] N.J. Sloan, *Sequence A001923*, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>
- [11] N.J. Sloan, *Sequence A002109*, The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/>

- [12] K. Spindler, *Abstract Algebra with Applications In Two Volumes*, Volume II, Rings and Fields, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1994).
- [13] E.W. Weisstein, <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/>
- [14] G.W. Wishard, Bound for a finite sum, problem 4155, *American Mathematical Monthly*, **53** (1946), 471-473.

