

SYZYGY SHEAVES ON PROJECTIVE SPACES

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

**Abstract:** Fix a closed subscheme  $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^N$  and a surjection

$$\phi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(-d_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z.$$

$\text{Ker}(F_\phi)$  is called a syzygy sheaf. Here we give a condition assuring that the vector bundle  $\text{Ker}(F_\phi)|L$  is rigid for a general line  $L \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14J60

**Key Words:** syzygy sheaf, syzygy bundle, rigid vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^1$

\*

Fix an integer  $N \geq 2$ , a closed subscheme  $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^N$  with codimension at least 2, positive integers  $m, d_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $f_i \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_Z(d_i))$  such that  $f := (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  defines  $Z$  scheme-theoretically. Thus  $f$  defines a surjection  $\phi : \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(-d_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z$ . If  $Z = \emptyset$ , then we will say that  $F_\phi$  is the syzygy bundle of  $f$ . The case  $Z = \emptyset$  is the classical case studied in [3], [5], [1], and [2]. Set  $F_\phi := \text{Ker}(\phi)$ . We will say that  $F_\phi$  is the syzygy sheaf of  $f$ .  $F_\phi$  is locally free at each point of  $\mathbf{P}^N \setminus Z$ . Since  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(-d_i)$  is locally free and  $\mathcal{I}_Z$  is torsion free,  $F_\phi$  is reflexive, i.e. the natural map  $F_\phi \rightarrow F_\phi^{**}$  is an isomorphism (see [4], Proposition 1.3). Hence  $F_\phi$  is locally free if  $N = 2$  (see [4], Corollary 1.4). Let  $\tau(Z)$  be the minimal integer  $m$  such that for all  $d \gg 0$   $Z$  is the scheme-theoretic intersection of  $m$  general degree  $d$  forms. Call  $d_0(Z)$  the minimal positive integer  $d$  for which this is true. Notice that  $\tau(\emptyset) = N + 1$ . It is easy to check that  $\tau(Z) \geq N + 1$  for all  $Z$ .

**Remark 1.** The example in which  $Z$  is a complete intersection shows that in general  $\tau(Z)$  is strictly bigger than the minimal number of homogeneous forms whose zero-locus is  $Z$  (scheme-theoretically).

**Remark 2.** Fix a zero-dimensional scheme  $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ . Assume  $Z \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $P \in Z_{red}$  let  $Z_P$  be the connected component of  $Z$  with  $Z$  as its support. For each  $P \in Z_{red}$  let  $\tau(Z, P)$  be the minimal number of generators of the ideal sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N, P}$  induced by the germ of  $Z_P$ . It is easy to check that  $\tau(Z) = \max\{N + 1, \max_{P \in Z_P} \tau(Z, P)\}$ .

Let  $A$  be a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Let  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r$  be the splitting type of  $A$ .  $A$  is said to be *rigid* if  $a_r \geq a_1 - 1$ .

**Remark 3.** Let  $A$  be a rank  $r$  vector bundle on  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . It is easy to check that  $A$  is rigid if and only if for every integer  $t$  either  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^1, A(t)) = 0$  or  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^1, A(t)) = 0$ .

Here we will prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , a closed subscheme with codimension at least 2 and  $L \subset \mathbf{P}^N$  a line such that. Fix an integer  $k > 0$  such that  $h^i(\mathbf{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_Z(k - i)) = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N - 1$ . Fix integers  $m, d_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq d_i$ , such that  $m \geq \tau(Z)$  and  $d_i \geq \max\{k, d_0(Z)\}$  for all  $i$ . Fix general  $f_i \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_Z(d_i))$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  defines scheme-theoretically  $Z$ . Let  $F_\phi$  be the associated syzygy sheaf. Then  $F_\phi|L$  is rigid.

**Remark 4.** Assume  $Z = 0$  and  $N = 2$  and take any syzygy bundle  $F$  associated to  $Z$ . Let  $A = \oplus A_i$  be the Artinian graded algebra associated to  $F$  (see [2], Proposition 2.1). Fix any line  $L := \{\ell = 0\} \subset \mathbf{P}^2$  and assume that  $F|L$  is rigid. The proof of [2], part (1) of Theorem 2.2, shows that  $A$  has the weak Lefschetz property and for each  $i$  the multiplication map  $\times \ell_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$  by  $\ell$  has maximal rank. More precisely, if  $F$  has splitting type  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r$  with either  $a_1 = a_r$  or  $a_1 = a_r - 1$ , then  $\times \ell_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$  is injective if  $i \leq -a_1$  and it is surjective if  $i \geq -a_r$ . Now we drop the assumption that  $F|L$  is rigid and call again  $a_1 \geq \dots \geq a_r$  its splliting type. The same proof shows that  $\times \ell_i : A_i \rightarrow A_{i+1}$  is injective if  $i \leq -a_1$  and it is surjective if  $i \geq -a_r$ .

**Lemma 1.** Fix integers  $m \geq 2$ ,  $d_i > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , and a general  $m$ -ple  $(f_1, \dots, f_m) \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \oplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(d_i))$ . The forms  $f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , have no common zero and the associated syzygy bundle is rigid.

*Proof.* Since  $m \geq 2$  and the  $m$ -ple is general, the forms  $f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , have no common zero. By semicontinuity it is sufficient to find an  $m$ -ple  $(h_1, \dots, h_m) \in H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \oplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(d_i))$  with no common zero and whose asso-

ciated syzygy bundle is rigid. Let  $G$  be the only rigid bundle with rank  $m - 1$  and degree  $-d_1 - \dots - d_m$ . It is sufficient to show the existence of an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(-d_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1} \rightarrow 0. \tag{1}$$

The existence of the extension (1) is a very particular case of [6]. □

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since  $d_i \geq d_0(Z)$  for all  $i$ ,  $m \geq \tau(Z)$  and the forms  $f_i$  are general,  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  defines scheme-theoretically  $Z$ . By definition of syzygy sheaf there is an exact sequence on  $\mathbf{P}^N$ :

$$0 \rightarrow F_\phi \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(-d_i) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{I}_Z \rightarrow 0. \tag{2}$$

By Castelnuovo-Mumford’s Lemma we have  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_Z(t)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq k - 1$  and the homogeneous ideal of  $Z$  is generated by forms of degree at most  $k$ . Take a general flag of linear subspaces  $H_0 \subset \dots \subset H_{N-2}$  such that  $H_0 = L$  and  $\dim(H_j) = 1 + j$  for all  $j$ . Since  $L \cap Z = \emptyset$ , the generality of the flag implies  $\text{Tor}_i(\mathcal{O}_{H_j}, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$  for all  $j \in \{0, \dots, N - 2\}$  and all  $i > 0$ . Hence  $N - 1$  exact sequences and the regularity of  $\mathcal{I}_Z(k)$  imply that the restriction map  $\rho_t : H^0(\mathbf{P}^N, \mathcal{I}_Z(t)) \rightarrow H^0(L, \mathcal{O}_L(t))$  is surjective for all  $t \geq k$ . Hence the restriction map  $\rho : H^0(\mathbf{P}^N, \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{I}_Z(d_i)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbf{P}^1, \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(d_i))$  is surjective. Apply Lemma 1! □

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

- [1] H. Brenner, Looking out for stable syzygy bundles, *arXiv*, math.AG/0311333.
- [2] H. Brenner, A. Kaid, Syzygy bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  and the weak Lefschetz property, *arXiv*, math.AG/0604406.
- [3] T. Harima, J. Migliore, U. Nagel, J. Watanabe, The weak and strong Lefschetz properties for Artinian  $k$ -algebras, *J. Algebra*, **262**, No. 1 (2003), 91-126.
- [4] R. Hartshorne, Stable reflexive sheaves, *Math. Ann.*, **254** (1980), 121-176.

- [5] J. Migliore, R.M. Miró-Roig, Ideals of general forms and the ubiquity of the weak Lefschetz property, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.*, **182**, No. 1 (2003), 79-107.
- [6] E. Schlesinger, Extensions of vector bundles on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , *Comm. Algebra*, **28**, No. 12 (2000), 5883-5889.