

ON THE VERTEX-DISTINGUISHING
TOTAL COLORING OF $P_m \vee F_n$

Zhao Chuancheng¹, Liu Jun², Ren Zhiguo³,
Bao Shitang⁴, Zhang Zhongfu⁵ §

^{1,2,3,4,5}Institute of Information and Application
Lanzhou City College
Lanzhou, 730070, P.R. CHINA
¹e-mail: zhao_chch1978@yahoo.com.cn

Abstract: Let $G(V, E)$ be a simple graph, f be a mapping from $V(G) \cup E(G)$ to $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Let $C_f(v) = \{f(v)\} \cup \{f(vw) | w \in V(G), vw \in E(G)\}$ for every $v \in E(G)$. If f is a k -proper-total-coloring, and for $\forall u, v \in V(G)$, we have $C_f(u) \neq C_f(v)$, then f is called the k -vertex-distinguishing total coloring (k -VDEC for short). Let $\chi'_{vt}(G) = \min\{k | G \text{ has a } k\text{-vertex-distinguishing total coloring}\}$. Then $\chi'_{vt}(G)$ is called the vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number. The total chromatic numbers on $P_m \vee F_n$ are presented in this paper.

AMS Subject Classification: 68R10

Key Words: graph, path, fan, vertex-distinguishing total coloring, total chromatic number

*

The graphs considered in this paper are connected, finite, undirected and simple graph.

A k -proper-total-coloring of a graph G is a mapping f from $V(G) \cup E(G)$ to $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that:

- 1) $\forall uv \in E(G)$, then $f(u) \neq f(v)$, $f(u) \neq f(uv) \neq f(v)$;
- 2) $\forall uv, uw \in E(G), v \neq w$, then $f(uv) \neq f(uw)$;
- 3) $\forall u, v \in V(G)$, then $C(u) \neq C(v)$.

Received: March 19, 2007

© 2007, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

Let f be a k -proper-total coloring of G . Let $C_f(u) = \{f(u)\} \cup \{f(uw) | w \in V(G), uw \in E(G)\}$ and $\overline{C}_f(u) = \{1, 2, \dots, k\} - C_f(u)$ for every $u \in V(G)$. If $\forall u, v \in V(G)$, we have $C_f(u) \neq C_f(v)$, i.e. $\overline{C}_f(u) \neq \overline{C}_f(v)$, then f is called a k -vertex-distinguishing total coloring (k -VDTC for short).

The number $\min\{k | G \text{ has a } k\text{-vertex-distinguishing total coloring}\}$ is called the vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number and denoted by $\chi'_{vt}(G)$.

Definition 1. Suppose G and H are two simple graphs with $V(G) \cap V(H) = \emptyset$,

$$\begin{aligned} V(G \vee H) &= V(G) \cup V(H), \\ E(G \vee H) &= E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{uv | u \in V(G), v \in V(H)\}, \end{aligned}$$

then $G \vee H$ is called join-graph of G and H .

Definition 2. For a graph G , n_i denotes the number of vertex which has degree i , δ, Δ denote the minimum, maximum degree of G respectively, then

$$\mu(G) = \max\{k | k = \min\{\lambda \binom{\lambda}{d_i+1} \geq n_i, \delta \leq d_i \leq \Delta\}\}$$

is called total combinatorial degree of G .

For vertex-distinguishing total chromatic number, we will give a conjecture as follow:

Conjecture 1. For every graph G with at least order 2, we have

$$\mu(G) \leq \chi'_{vt}(G) \leq \mu(G) + 1.$$

In this paper, we obtain the vertex-distinguishing chromatic number of $P_m \vee F_n$. For the graph-theoretic terminology the reader is referred to [1], [2].

Lemma 1. For join-graph of $P_m \vee F_n$,

$$\mu(G) = \begin{cases} 7, & m = 3, n = 2; \\ m + 4, & m > 3, n = 2; \\ 8, & m = 3, n = 3; \\ n + 5, & m = 3, n > 3; \\ m + 5, & m > 3, n = 3; \\ m + n + 1, & m > 3, n > 3. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. Let P_m be a path with order m and F_n be a fan with order n , then

$$\chi'_{vt}(P_m \vee F_n) = \mu(G).$$

Proof. Let $V(P_m) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}, V(F_n) = \{w\} \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, there are six cases to be discussed also.

Case 1. When $m = 3, n = 2$, according to $F_2 = C_3$, so let $V(P_3) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $V(F_2) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. $\mu(P_3 \vee F_2) = 7$ by Lemma 1, in order to prove the conclusion is true, we only need to prove that $P_3 \vee F_2$ exists 7-VDEC. So we construct a map f from $V(P_3 \vee F_2) \cup E(P_3 \vee F_2)$ to $C = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 0\}$:

$$f(u_1v_j) = j, j = 1, 2, 3; f(u_iv_j) = i + j, i = 2, 3; j = 1, 2, 3; f(u_1u_2) = 6;$$

$$f(u_2u_3) = 0; f(v_1v_2) = 0; f(v_2v_3) = 1; f(v_1v_3) = 2; f(u_1) = 4; f(u_2) = 2;$$

$$f(u_3) = 1; f(v_1) = 5; f(v_2) = 6; f(v_3) = 0.$$

So we have

$$\overline{C}(u_1) = \{0, 5\}; \overline{C}(u_2) = \{1\}; \overline{C}(u_3) = \{2, 3\};$$

$$\overline{C}(v_1) = \{6\}; \overline{C}(v_2) = \{3\}; \overline{C}(v_3) = \{4\}.$$

Obviously, f is a 7-VDTC of $P_3 \vee F_2$, the conclusion is true.

Case 2. When $m > 3, n = 2$, $\mu(P_m \vee F_2) = m + 4$ by Lemma 1. In order to prove the conclusion is true, we only need to prove $P_m \vee F_2$ exists $(m + 4)$ -VDEC. So we construct a map f from $V(P_m \vee F_2) \cup E(P_m \vee F_2)$ to $C = \{1, 2, \dots, m + 3, 0\}$:

$$f(u_1v_j) = j, j = 1, 2, 3; f(u_iv_j) = i + j, i = 2, 3, \dots, m; j = 1, 2, 3;$$

$$f(u_1u_2) = m + 2; f(u_iu_{i+1}) = i - 2, i = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1; f(v_1v_2) = 0;$$

$$f(v_2v_3) = 1; f(v_1v_3) = 2; f(u_1) = m + 1; f(u_i) = i, i = 2, 3, \dots, m;$$

$$f(v_1) = m + 2; f(v_2) = m + 3; f(v_3) = 0.$$

So we have

$$C(u_1) = \{m + 1, m + 2, 1, 2, 3\}; C(u_2) = \{m + 2, 0, 2, 3, 4, 5\};$$

$$C(u_i) = \{i - 3, i - 2, i, i + 1, i + 2, i + 3\}, i = 3, 4, \dots, m - 1;$$

$$C(u_m) = \{m - 3, m, m + 1, m + 2, m + 3\};$$

$$\overline{C}(v_1) = \{m + 3\}; \overline{C}(v_2) = \{3\}; \overline{C}(v_3) = \{4\}.$$

Obviously, f is a $(m+4)$ -VDTC of $P_m \vee F_2$, the conclusion is true.

Case 3. When $m = n = 3$, $\mu(P_3 \vee F_3) = 8$ by Lemma 1. In order to prove the conclusion is true, we only need to prove $P_3 \vee F_3$ exists 8-VDEC. So we construct a map f from $V(P_3 \vee F_3) \cup E(P_3 \vee F_3)$ to $C = \{1, 2, \dots, 7, 0\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= 3; f(wu_i) = i - 1, i = 1, 2, 3; \\ f(u_i v_j) &= i + j - 1, i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3; \\ f(u_i) &= i + 3, i = 1, 2, 3; f(u_i u_{(i+1)}) = i + 5 \pmod{8}, i = 1, 2; \\ f(wv_j) &= j + 3, j = 2, 3; \\ f(v_j) &= j - 1, j = 1, 2, 3; f(v_j v_{(j+1)}) = j + 6 \pmod{8}, i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{C}(w) &= \{7\}; \bar{C}(u_1) = \{5, 7\}; \bar{C}(u_2) = \{0\}; \bar{C}(u_3) = \{0, 1\}; \\ \bar{C}(v_1) &= \{5, 6\}; \bar{C}(v_2) = \{6\}; \bar{C}(v_3) = \{1, 7\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, f is a 8-VDTC of $P_3 \vee F_3$, the conclusion is true.

Case 4. When $m = 3, n > 3$, $\mu(P_3 \vee F_n) = n + 5$ by Lemma 1. In order to prove the conclusion is true, we only need to prove $P_3 \vee F_n$ exists $(n + 5)$ -VDEC. So we construct a map f from $V(P_3 \vee F_n) \cup E(P_3 \vee F_n)$ to $C = \{1, 2, \dots, n + 4, 0\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= m; f(wu_i) = i - 1, i = 1, 2, 3; \\ f(u_i v_j) &= i + j - 1, i = 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ f(u_i) &= n + i, i = 1, 2, 3; f(u_i u_{(i+1)}) = n + i + 2 \pmod{n + 5}, i = 1, 2; \\ f(wv_j) &= m + j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ f(v_j) &= m + j + 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n; \\ f(v_j v_{(j+1)}) &= m + j + 3 \pmod{n + 5}, j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{C}(w) &= \{n + 4\}; \bar{C}(u_1) = \{n + 2, n + 4\}; \\ \bar{C}(u_2) &= \{0\}; \bar{C}(u_3) = \{0, 1\}; \\ \bar{C}(v_1) &= \{0, 6, 8\}; \\ \bar{C}(v_i) &= \{0, 1, \dots, i - 1, i + 7, \dots, n + 4, i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 2\}; \\ \bar{C}(v_{n-1}) &= \{1, 2, \dots, n - 2\}; \bar{C}(v_3) = \{1, 7\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{C}(v_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

Obviously, f is a $(n+5)$ -VDTC of $P_3 \vee F_n$, the conclusion is true.

Case 5. When $m > 3, n = 3, \mu(P_m \vee F_3) = m + 5$ by Lemma 1. In order to prove the conclusion is true, we only need to prove $P_m \vee F_3$ exists $(m + 5)$ -VDEC. So we construct a map f from $V(P_m \vee F_3) \cup E(P_m \vee F_3)$ to $C = \{1, 2, \dots, m + 4, 0\}$:

$$f(w) = m; f(wu_i) = i - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

$$f(u_i v_j) = i + j - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, 3;$$

$$f(u_i) = n + i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

$$f(u_i u_{(i+1)}) = n + i + 2, i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1;$$

$$f(wv_j) = m + j, j = 1, 2, 3;$$

$$f(v_j) = j - 1, j = 1, 2, 3; f(v_j v_{(j+1)}) = m + j + 2(\text{mod } m + 5), j = 1, 2.$$

So, we have:

$$\overline{C}(w) = \{m + 4\}; \overline{C}(u_1) = \{m, m + 2, m + 3, m + 4\};$$

$$\overline{C}(u_i) = \{0, 1, \dots, i - 2, i + 6, i + 7, \dots, m + 4\};$$

$$\overline{C}(v_1) = \{m + 2, m + 4\}; \overline{C}(v_2) = \{0\};$$

$$\overline{C}(v_3) = \{0, 1\}.$$

Obviously, f is a $(m+5)$ -VDTC of $P_m \vee F_3$, the conclusion is true.

Case 6. When $m > 3, n > 3, \mu(P_m \vee F_3) = m + n + 1$ by Lemma 1. In order to prove the conclusion is true, we only need to prove $P_m \vee F_n$ exists $(m + n + 1)$ -VDEC. So we construct a map f from $V(P_m \vee F_3) \cup E(P_m \vee F_3)$ to $C = \{1, 2, \dots, m + n, 0\}$:

$$f(w) = m; f(wu_i) = i - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

$$f(u_i v_j) = i + j - 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m, j = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$f(u_i) = n + i(\text{mod } m + n + 1), i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

$$f(u_i u_{(i+1)}) = n + i + 2(\text{mod } m + n + 1), i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1;$$

$$f(wv_j) = m + j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$f(v_j) = m + j + 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n;$$

$$f(v_j v_{(j+1)}) = m + j + 3(\text{mod } m + n + 1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

So, we have:

$$C(w) = \{0, 1, \dots, m + n\}; \quad C(u_1) = \{0, 1, \dots, n + 1, n + 3\};$$

$$C(u_i) = \{i - 1, i, \dots, n + i + 2(\text{mod } m + n + 1)\}, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, m - 1\};$$

$$C(u_m) = \{m - 1, m, \dots, 0\};$$

$$C(v_1) = \{1, 2 \dots, m + 2, m + 4\};$$

$$C(v_i) = \{i, i + 1, \dots, m + i + 3(\text{mod } m + n + 1), i = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1\};$$

$$C(v_n) = \{n, n + 1, \dots, m + n + 2(\text{mod } m + n + 1)\};$$

Obviously, f is a $(m + 5)$ -VDTC of $P_m \vee F_3$, the conclusion is true. \square
From all of above, the conclusion is true.

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by NSFC of P.R. China (No. 40301037).

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Marty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, The Macmillan Press, New York (1976).
- [2] P. Hansen, O. Marcotte, *Graph Coloring and Application*, AMS Providence, Rhode, Island, USA (1999).
- [3] Zhang Zhongfu, Wang Jianfang, A summary of the progress on total coloring of graphs, *Adv. Math.* (1992).