

BOUNDS OF THE NUMBER OF IMP-SETS  
IN EDGE-COLOURED GRAPHS

Iwona Włoch

Faculty of Mathematics and Applied Physics  
Technical University of Rzeszów  
ul. W. Pola 2, Rzeszów, 35-959, POLAND  
e-mail: iwloch@prz.edu.pl

**Abstract:** We call the graph  $G$  an edge- $m$ -coloured if its edges are coloured with  $m$  colours. A path is called monochromatic if all its edges are coloured alike. A subset  $S \subset V(G)$  is independent by monochromatic paths if for every pair of different vertices from  $S$  there is no monochromatic paths between them. We consider the number  $NI_{mp}(G)$  of independent by monochromatic paths sets. We present several lower and upper bounds for  $NI_{mp}(G)$  in terms of order, size or independence by monochromatic paths number.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 05C69, 05C15

**Key Words:** independent sets, independent by monochromatic paths sets, counting

1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite graph of order  $n = |V(G)|$  and size  $m = |E(G)|$ . For a vertex  $x \in V(G)$  let  $\deg_G x$  denote its degree. By  $P_n$ , for  $n \geq 2$  we mean graph with the vertex set  $V(P_n) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and the edge set  $E(P_n) = \{x_i x_{i+1}; i = 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . Moreover  $P_1$  is a graph with only one vertex. A graph is said to be claw-free if it does not contain the star  $K_{1,3}$  as an induced subgraph. Let  $X \subset V(G) \cup E(G)$ . The notation  $G \setminus X$  means the graph obtained from  $G$  by deleting the set  $X$ . If  $X \subset V(G)$  then  $G[X]$  denotes a subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $X$ . Let  $e \in E(G)$ . Then  $G - e$  is called an *edge-deleted subgraph of  $G$*  and we write also  $G - xy$  if  $e = xy$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are nonadjacent vertices of  $G$  then  $G + xy$  denotes the graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G) \cup \{x, y\}$ . A graph

$G$  is said to be an edge  $m$ -coloured if its edges are coloured with  $m$  colours and we say that 1-coloured graph is monochromatic. For  $e \in E(G)$  the colour of the edge  $e$  we denote by  $c_G(e)$  and the colour of a monochromatic subgraph  $Q$  of the graph  $G$  we denote by  $c_G(Q)$ . By a path from a vertex  $x_1$  to a vertex  $x_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  we mean a sequence of vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and edges  $x_i x_{i+1} \in E(G)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$  and for simplicity we denote it by  $x_1 \dots x_n$ . A cycle is a path with  $x_1 = x_n$ . A path (cycle) is called monochromatic if all its edges are coloured alike. A set  $S$  is said to be independent by monochromatic paths of the edge-coloured graph  $G$  if for any two different vertices  $x, y \in S$  there is no monochromatic path between them. In addition a subset containing only one vertex and the empty set also are independent by monochromatic paths set of  $G$ . For convenience throughout this paper we will write an imp-set instead of an independent by monochromatic paths set of  $G$ . Every imp-set of  $G$  is an independent set of  $G$  in the classical sense. For the proper edge-colouring of the graph  $G$  an independent set of  $G$  is an imp-set of  $G$ . The independence by monochromatic paths number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\alpha_{mp}(G)$  is the cardinalities of a largest imp-set in  $G$ . The concept of independence by monochromatic paths generalize independence in the classical sense. Imp-sets in graphs were studied for instance in [2, 5, 7, 6].

The number of imp-sets in  $G$  is denoted by  $NI_{mp}(G)$ . For a graph  $G$  on  $V(G) = \emptyset$  we put  $NI_{mp}(G) = 1$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary vertex of  $V(G)$ . By  $\mathcal{F}_x$  (respectively  $\mathcal{F}_{-x}$ ) we denote the family of imp-sets  $S$  of  $G$  such that  $x \in S$  (respectively  $x \notin S$ ). Of course  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_x \cup \mathcal{F}_{-x}$  is the family of all imp-sets in  $G$  and  $NI_{mp}(G) = |\mathcal{F}| = |\mathcal{F}_x| + |\mathcal{F}_{-x}|$ . For the proper edge-colouring of the graph  $G$ ,  $NI_{mp}(G) = NI(G)$ , where  $NI(G)$  is the number of independent sets in  $G$ . Prodinger and Tichy in [4] gave impetus to the study of the number  $NI(G)$  of independent sets in a graph  $G$ . They proved:

**Fact 1.**  $NI(P_n) = F_{n+1}$  and  $NI(C_n) = F_n + F_{n-2}$ , for  $n \geq 3$ , where  $F_n$  is the  $n$ -th Fibonacci number defined by  $F_0 = F_1 = 1$  and  $F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$ , for  $n \geq 2$ .

The literature includes many paper dealing with the theory of counting of independent sets in graph, see for instance [1, 3, 4]. In the chemical literature the graph parameter  $NI(G)$  is referred to as the Merrifield-Simmons index. The number  $NI_{mp}(G)$  of imp-sets in special edge-coloured graphs were studied in [7]. In this paper we present several lower and upper bounds for  $NI_{mp}(G)$  in terms of order, size or independence by monochromatic paths number and we generalize some results for the number  $NI(G)$ .

We list some obvious facts:

**Fact 2.** For an arbitrary edge-coloured graph  $G$  we have  $NI_{mp}(G) \leq NI(G)$ .

**Fact 3.** For a spanning proper subgraph  $H$  of an edge-coloured graph  $G$  we have  $NI_{mp}(G) \leq NI_{mp}(H)$ .

**Fact 4.** Let an edge-coloured graph  $G$  have components  $G_1, \dots, G_k$ . Then  $NI_{mp}(G) = \prod_{i=1}^k NI_{mp}(G_i)$ .

That implies that if  $NI_{mp}(G)$  is a prime number then  $G$  is connected.

**Fact 5.** Let  $G$  be an edge-coloured connected graph of order  $n$ . Then:

$$n + 1 \leq NI_{mp}(G) \leq 2^{n-1} + 1,$$

$NI_{mp}(G) = n + 1$  if  $G$  is a monochromatic graph of order  $n$ , and

$NI_{mp}(G) = 2^{n-1} + 1$  if  $G = K_{1,n-1}$  with proper edge-colouring.

### 2. Main Results

In this section we give bounds of the number of imp-sets in  $G$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G$  be any edge-coloured graph of order  $n$  with independence by monochromatic paths number  $\alpha = \alpha_{mp}(G)$ . Then  $NI_{mp}(G) \geq 2^\alpha + n - \alpha$ .

$NI_{mp}(G) = 2^\alpha + n - \alpha$  if and only if  $G$  is constructed by joining each vertex in a  $\overline{K_\alpha}$  with at least one vertex in edge-coloured graph  $G_{n-\alpha}$  of order  $n - \alpha$ , with colouring of added edges, such that for every  $x, y \in V(G_{n-\alpha})$  and for every  $u, v \in V(\overline{K_\alpha})$ :

- (i) there is a monochromatic path  $x\dots y$  in  $G$ ,
- (ii) there is monochromatic path  $x\dots u$  in  $G$ , and
- (iii) there no monochromatic path  $u\dots v$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \subset V(G)$  denote a maximum imp-set of  $G$ . Clearly every subset of  $S$  is an imp-set of  $G$  and for every  $x \in V(G) \setminus S$  the set  $\{x\}$  is an imp-set of  $G$ . Consequently  $NI_{mp}(G) \geq 2^{|S|} + |V(G) \setminus S| = 2^\alpha + n - \alpha$ . Assume that  $NI_{mp}(G) = 2^\alpha + n - \alpha$ . Then any imp-set of  $G$  is either a subset of  $S$  or 1-element subset containing any vertex from  $V(G) \setminus S$ . This implies the following facts:

- (a) for every two vertices  $x, y \in V(G) \setminus S$  there is a monochromatic path  $x\dots y$  in  $G$  and
- (b) for every  $x \in V(G) \setminus S$  and for every  $u \in S$  there is a monochromatic

path  $x\dots u$  in  $G$ .

This implies construction of the graph  $G$ .

From (a) and (b) it follows the construction of the graph  $G$ .

Let now  $G$  is a graph constructed as in the statement of the theorem. Hence  $S = V(\overline{K_\alpha})$  is a maximum imp-set of the graph  $G$  and every subset of  $V(\overline{K_\alpha})$  is an imp-set of  $G$ . Clearly by (ii) there no an imp-set  $S^*$  such that  $S^* \cap V(\overline{K_\alpha}) \neq \emptyset$  and  $S^* \cap V(G_{n-\alpha}) \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover by (ii) it follows that only  $n - \alpha$  subsets  $\{x\}$ , where  $x \in V(G_{n-\alpha})$  are imp-sets of  $G$ . Hence  $NI_{mp}(G) = 2^\alpha + n - \alpha$  what completes the proof.  $\square$

For the proper edge-colouring of the graph  $G$  we obtain result from [3].

Let  $G$  be an edge-coloured graph. Let  $\mathcal{Q} = \{Q_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$  be the family of maximal (with respect to set inclusion) monochromatic connected subgraphs of  $G$ . We define uncolored simple graph  $G(\mathcal{Q})$  as follows:  $V(G(\mathcal{Q})) = V(G)$  and  $E(G(\mathcal{Q})) = \{v_p v_q; v_p, v_q \in V(Q_i), i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  with replacing multiple edges by one edge. It has been proved:

**Theorem 2.** (see [7]) *For an arbitrary edge-coloured graph  $G$ ,  $NI_{mp}(G) = NI(G(\mathcal{Q}))$ .*

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $G$  be an edge-coloured graph and  $x, y \in V(Q_i)$  for  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ . Then  $NI_{mp}(G) = NI_{mp}(G + xy)$  with  $c_{G+xy}(xy) = c_G(Q_i)$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows by the fact that graphs  $G(\mathcal{Q})$  and  $(G + xy)(\mathcal{Q})$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be an edge-coloured graph of order  $n$  and let  $m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})})$  denote the number of edges in the graph  $\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}$ . Then  $NI_{mp}(G) \geq 1 + n + m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})})$ .  $NI_{mp}(G) = 1 + n + m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})})$  if and only if  $\alpha_{mp}(G) \leq 2$  this means  $\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}$  is triangle-free.*

*Proof.* Clearly the empty set and every 1-element subset of  $V(G)$  are imp-sets of  $G$ . Since every edge in the graph  $\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}$  corresponds to an imp-set in  $G$ , so the family of imp-sets in  $G$  contains exactly  $m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})})$  two element imp-sets. This implies that  $NI_{mp}(G) \geq 1 + n + m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})})$ .

Assume that  $NI_{mp}(G) = 1 + n + m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})})$ . Then we deduce that every imp-set of  $G$  has at most two elements that is  $\alpha_{mp}(G) \leq 2$ . If  $\alpha_{mp}(G) \leq 2$  then the result is obvious.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be an edge-coloured graph of order  $n$  and let  $t$  denote the number of components in  $\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}$ . Then  $NI_{mp}(G) \geq 2n + 1 - t$ .  $NI_{mp}(G) = 2n + 1 - t$  if and only if  $\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}$  is a forest.*

*Proof.* Since  $m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}) \geq n - t$  so by Theorem 3 we obtain that  $NI_{mp}(G) \geq 1 + n + m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}) \geq 1 + n + n - t = 2n + 1 - t$ . If  $NI_{mp}(G) = 2n + 1 - t$  then  $m(\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}) = n - t$  so  $\overline{G(\mathcal{Q})}$  is a forest and consequently triangle-free.  $\square$

In [3] it has been proved:

**Theorem 4.** (see [3]) *If  $G$  is a connected claw-free graph of order  $n$ , then  $1 + n \leq NI(G) \leq F_{n+1}$ .  $NI(G) = n + 1$  if and only if  $G = K_n$  and  $NI(G) = F_{n+1}$  if and only if  $G = P_n$ .*

Let  $G$  be an edge-coloured graph and  $x \in V(G)$ . The *chromaticity degree*  $chdeg_G x$  of the vertex  $x$  is defined as the number of colours using for colouring edges incident with the vertex  $x$  in the graph  $G$ . Note that for the proper edge-colouring of the graph  $G$ ,  $chdeg_G x = deg_G x$ . The vertex  $x \in V(G)$  is an end vertex of monochromatic subgraph if it belongs to at least two monochromatic subgraphs in the graph  $G$ . The subset of end vertices in  $G$  we denote by  $V_e(G)$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G$  be an edge-coloured graph of order  $n$  such that for every  $x \in V_e(G)$ ,  $chdeg_G x = 2$ . Then  $NI_{mp}(G) \leq F_{n+1}$ .*

$NI_{mp}(G) = F_n$  if  $G$  is a proper edge-colouring graph  $P_n$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 we have that  $NI_{mp}(G) = NI(G(\mathcal{Q}))$ . To prove the Theorem firstly we will prove the following claim.

**Claim 1.**  $G(\mathcal{Q})$  is a claw-free graph.

Assume that  $G(\mathcal{Q})$  is not a claw-free graph. This means that  $G(\mathcal{Q})$  contains  $K_{1,3}$  as induced subgraph. Let  $V(G(\mathcal{Q})) \supseteq V(K_{1,3}) = \{y, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  where  $y$  is the center of this star. Clearly by the definition of  $G(\mathcal{Q})$  we have that  $y \in V_e(G)$  and no two vertices from the set  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  belong to the same monochromatic subgraph in the graph  $G$ . This means that  $c_G(yx_1) \neq c_G(yx_2) \neq c_G(yx_3)$  what implies  $chdeg_G \geq 3$ , contradiction.

Therefore using Theorem 4 the result immediately follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $G$  be an edge-coloured simple graph and  $x \in V_e(G)$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}(x) = \{Q_i; i \in \mathcal{I}\}$  be the family of all monochromatic subgraphs containing the vertex  $x$  and for every  $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $Q_i \cap Q_j = \{x\}$  and  $chdeg_G x = |\mathcal{I}|$ . Then  $NI_{mp}(G) \leq 2NI_{mp}(G - x) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |V(Q_i) \setminus \{x\}|$ .*

$NI_{mp}(G) = 2NI_{mp}(G - x) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |V(Q_i) \setminus \{x\}|$  if and only if for each vertex belonging to  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Q_i$  different from  $x$  there is a monochromatic path to every vertex of  $G$ .

*Proof.* For  $Q_i \in \mathcal{Q}(x)$  let  $V(Q_i) = \{x, y_1^i, \dots, y_{t_i}^i\}$ ,  $t_i \geq 1$ . Since  $x \in V_e(G)$  and  $\text{chdeg}_G x = |\mathcal{I}|$ , hence  $|\mathcal{F}_{-x}| = NI_{mp}(G \setminus \{x\})$ . Moreover for every imp-set  $S \in \mathcal{F}_x$  the set  $S \setminus \{x\} \in \mathcal{F}_{-x}$ . This implies  $|\mathcal{F}_x| \leq |\mathcal{F}_{-x}|$ . Also  $|V(Q_i) \setminus \{x\}|$  singletons  $\{y_p^i\}$ , for all  $i \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq t_i$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}_{-x}$  and corresponds to no set  $S \setminus \{x\}$  with  $S \in \mathcal{F}_x$ . Thus  $|\mathcal{F}_{-x}| - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |V(Q_i) \setminus \{x\}| \geq |\mathcal{F}_x|$  which implies  $NI_{mp}(G) = |\mathcal{F}_x| + |\mathcal{F}_{-x}| \leq 2|\mathcal{F}_{-x}| - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |V(Q_i) \setminus \{x\}|$  and completes the proof of the inequality.

If  $NI_{mp}(G) = 2NI_{mp}(G - x) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Q_i \setminus \{x\}|$ , then there is a monochromatic path  $y_r^i \dots z$  for each  $z \in V(G) \setminus \{x, y_r^i\}$  for every  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  since if no exist monochromatic path  $y_r^i \dots z$  in  $G$  then  $\{z, y_r^i\} \in \mathcal{F}_{-x}$  and  $\{z, y_r^i, x\} \in \mathcal{F}_x$  which would imply  $|\mathcal{F}_x| < |\mathcal{F}_{-x}| - |\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} Q_i \setminus \{x\}|$ . Hence  $NI_{mp}(G) < 2NI_{mp}(G - x) - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |V(Q_i) \setminus \{x\}|$ , a contradiction. The converse just is obvious and proof is completed.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M.J. Chou, G.J. Chang, The number of maximal independent sets in graphs, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **4**, No. 4 (2000), 685-695.
- [2] H. Galeana-Sanchez, Kernels in edge-coloured digraphs, *Discrete Mathematics*, **184** (1998), 87-99.
- [3] A.S. Pedersen, P.D. Vestergaard, Bounds on the number of vertex independent sets in a graph, *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, **10**, No. 6 (2006), 1575-1587.
- [4] H. Prodinger, R.F. Tichy, Fibonacci numbers of graphs, *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, **20** (1982), 16-21.
- [5] B. Sands, N. Sauer, R. Woodrow, On monochromatic paths in edge coloured digraphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, **33** (1982), 271-275.
- [6] I. Włoch, Some operations of graphs that preserve the property of well-covered by monochromatic paths, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, **40** (2008), 229-236.
- [7] A. Włoch, I. Włoch, Monochromatic Fibonacci numbers of graphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, **81** (2007), 49-55.