

ON DIVISIBILITY OF A RELATION OF
THE FIBONACCI NUMBERS

Jaroslav Seibert^{1 §}, Pavel Trojovský²

^{1,2}Institute of Mathematics

University of Pardubice

Faculty of Economics and Administration

Studentská 84, Pardubice, 532 10, CZECH REPUBLIC

¹e-amil: jaroslav.seibert@uhk.cz

Abstract: Many interesting identities were found for the Fibonacci numbers F_n defined by recurrence relation $F_{n+2} = F_n + F_{n+1}$ with $F_0 = 0$, $F_1 = 1$ and the Lucas numbers L_n defined by the same recurrence but with the initial conditions $L_0 = 2$, $L_1 = 1$. In this paper we focus on the problems on divisibility of integers expressed by terms of sequences related to the Fibonacci and the Lucas numbers.

AMS Subject Classification: 11B39, 05A15, 05A19

Key Words: Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, divisibility

1. Introduction

Various interesting relations hold for divisibility of the Fibonacci and the Lucas numbers. We can find most of them in monographies by Koshy [3] and Vajda [5], for example the relations $F_{2n-1} \mid F_{4n} + 1$, $L_{2n} \mid F_{4n+1} + 1$, $F_{2n} \mid F_{4n+2} - 1$, $L_{2n+1} \mid F_{4n+3} - 1$.

We will derive another divisibility relations using some famous formulas, in particular identities (85) and (86), which are given in [5] (see in Section 3 of this paper).

Received: June 11, 2008

© 2008, Academic Publications Ltd.

[§]Correspondence author

2. Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 1. *Let $a, n \geq 0$ be any integers. Let k be any even integer. Then*

$$4 \mid F_{3n+3} - F_{3n} - 2, \tag{1}$$

$$4 \mid F_{3n} - 2n, \tag{2}$$

$$L_k + 2 \mid L_{ak} + 2(-1)^{a+1}. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Using the defining formula of F_n we have $F_{3n+3} - F_{3n} - 2 = 2(F_{3n+1} - 1)$. Thus, (1) is equivalent to the relation $2 \mid F_{3n+1} - 1$, which follows from relations $F_3 \mid F_n \Leftrightarrow 3 \mid n$ and $(F_{3n}, F_{3n+1}) = 1$ (see [3], Corollary 16.2 and Theorem 16.3).

We prove relation (2) by induction on n . It is clearly true for $n = 0$. Assume it is valid for any integer n , thus $4 \mid F_{3n} - 2n$. As

$$F_{3(n+1)} - 2(n+1) = (F_{3n} - 2n) + (F_{3n+3} - F_{3n} - 2),$$

we have that $4 \mid F_{3(n+1)} - 2(n+1)$ for every $n > 0$ with respect to (1).

To prove relation (3) we will consider these two cases:

First, $k \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. We use the identity $L_{2h} - 2(-1)^h = 5F_h^2$, where h is any integer ((23) from [5]). Replacing $2h$ by ak , where a is any integer, we have $L_{ak} + 2(-1)^{\frac{ak}{2}+1} = 5F_{\frac{ak}{2}}^2$. Setting $a = 1$ we have specially $L_k + 2 = 5F_{\frac{k}{2}}^2$. Thus

$$\frac{L_{ak} + 2(-1)^{a+1}}{L_k + 2} = \frac{5F_{\frac{ak}{2}}^2}{5F_{\frac{k}{2}}^2} = \left(\frac{F_{a\frac{k}{2}}}{F_{\frac{k}{2}}}\right)^2$$

and as $F_m \mid F_{mk}$, for any integer $m \neq 0$ (see [3], p. 196), the assertion follows.

Now, $k \equiv 0 \pmod 4$. We use the identities $L_{2n} + 2(-1)^n = L_n^2$ and $L_{2n} - 2(-1)^n = 5F_n^2$, where n is any integer (see (17c) and (23) in [5]). Replacing $2n$ by ak , where a is any integer we obtain

$$L_{ak} + 2 = L_{\frac{ak}{2}}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad L_{ak} - 2 = 5F_{\frac{ak}{2}}^2.$$

Setting $a = 1$ we have specially $L_k + 2 = L_{\frac{k}{2}}^2$. Thus

$$\frac{L_{ak} + 2(-1)^{a+1}}{L_k + 2} = \begin{cases} \frac{L_{ak}-2}{L_k+2} = \frac{5F_{\frac{ak}{2}}^2}{L_{\frac{k}{2}}^2} = 5\left(\frac{F_{a\frac{k}{2}}}{L_{\frac{k}{2}}}\right)^2, & a \equiv 0 \pmod 2, \\ \frac{L_{ak}+2}{L_k+2} = \frac{L_{\frac{ak}{2}}^2}{L_{\frac{k}{2}}^2} = \left(\frac{L_{a\frac{k}{2}}}{L_{\frac{k}{2}}}\right)^2, & a \equiv 1 \pmod 2 \end{cases}$$

and as $L_m \mid F_{am}$ for any even integer a and $L_m \mid L_{am}$, for an odd a , the proof of this case is over. □

Lemma 2. *Let $n \geq 3$ be any positive integer and let a, l be any integers. Then*

$$L_n \equiv F_{n-3} \pmod{F_n}, \tag{4}$$

$$L_{2nl+a} \equiv (-1)^{nl} L_a \pmod{F_n}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Using identity (7a) in [5] we have $L_n - 2F_n = F_{n-3}$, hence $L_n \equiv F_{n-3} \pmod{F_n}$. From the relation $L_{k+m} - (-1)^m L_{k-m} = 5F_m F_k$ (see (17b) in [5]), setting $k = nl + a$ and $m = nl$ we obtain $L_{2nl+a} - (-1)^{nl} L_a = 5F_{nl+a} F_{nl}$ and congruence (5) is proved as $F_n \mid F_{nl}$. \square

Corollary 3. *Let l be any integer.*

(i) *If n is any positive even integer then*

$$L_{2nl} \equiv 2 \pmod{F_n}, \quad L_{2nl+n} \equiv F_{n-3} \pmod{F_n}.$$

(ii) *If n is any positive odd integer then*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{4nl} &\equiv 2 \pmod{F_n}, & L_{4nl+n} &\equiv F_{n-3} \pmod{F_n}, \\ L_{4nl+2n} &\equiv -2 \pmod{F_n}, & L_{4nl+3n} &\equiv -F_{n-3} \pmod{F_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using relation (5) we have for any integer $n \geq 3$, setting $l = 1, a = 0$ and $a = n$, respectively,

$$L_{2n} \equiv (-1)^n 2 \pmod{F_n}, \quad L_{3n} \equiv (-1)^n L_n \equiv (-1)^n F_{n-3} \pmod{F_n}$$

and the assertion easily follows. \square

3. Main Results

Theorem 4. *Let $k \neq 0$ be any even integer or $k = -1$ or $k = -3$. Then the relation*

$$(L_k + 2) \mid \frac{F_{kn}}{F_k} + n(-1)^n$$

holds for any nonnegative integer n .

Proof. We divide the proof of the assertion into the following cases:

(i) For $k = -1$ the divisor $L_k + 2$ is equal to 1, thus the assertion is obvious.

(ii) For $k = -3$ the divisor $L_k + 2$ is equal to -2 , thus we have to prove that: $\frac{F_{-3n}}{F_{-3}} + n(-1)^n$ is even for any n . Using formula $F_{-n} = (-1)^{n+1} F_n$ (see

(2) in [5]), we have

$$\frac{F_{-3n}}{F_{-3}} + n(-1)^n = (-1)^n \frac{1}{2}(2n - F_{3n})$$

and this case follows from relation (2).

(iii) Let $k \neq 0$ be any even integer.

(a) For $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ the assertion clearly holds.

(b) For any integer $n \geq 2$ we use the identities

$$\frac{F_{kn}}{F_k} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} (-1)^{ik} L_{(n-2i-1)k} + (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}(n-1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (-1)^{ik} L_{(n-2i-1)k} ,$$

which hold for an odd n and an even n , respectively (see (85) and (86) from [5]). Denoting

$$\varepsilon(k, n) = \begin{cases} 0, & n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}(n-1)}, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \end{cases}$$

we can join the previous two identities into the relation

$$\frac{F_{kn}}{F_k} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^{ik} L_{(n-2i-1)k} + \varepsilon(k, n) .$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_{kn}}{F_k} + n(-1)^n &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} L_{(n-2i-1)k} + \varepsilon(k, n) + n(-1)^n \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} (L_{(n-2i-1)k} + 2(-1)^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor} (L_{(n-2i-1)k} + 2(-1)^{n-2i}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and the divisibility of the summand of the previous sum for any i by $L_k + 2$ follows from (3). □

Theorem 5. $F_{nF_n^k}$ is divisible by F_n^{k+1} for all $n \geq 1$ and $k \geq 1$.

Proof. We use induction on k . For $k = 0$ the proved divisibility is clear. Let us consider that it holds for $k \geq 1$, thus $F_n^{k+1} \mid F_{nF_n^k}$, and we will show that it holds for $k + 1$ too. As $F_{nF_n^k} \mid F_{nF_n^{k+1}}$ we have that $F_n^{k+1} \mid F_{nF_n^{k+1}}$ with respect to the induction hypothesis.

It is sufficient to prove that $F_n \mid \frac{F_{nF_n^{k+1}}}{F_n^{k+1}}$ as it means $F_n \mid \frac{F_{nF_n^{k+1}}}{F_{nF_n^k}}$.

The base of our proof are relations from [5] (see relations (85) and (86)):

$$\frac{F_{ht}}{F_t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{h-3}{2}} (-1)^{it} L_{(h-2i-1)t} + (-1)^{\frac{(h-1)t}{2}} \quad (\text{for odd } h \geq 3), \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{F_{ht}}{F_t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{h}{2}-1} (-1)^{it} L_{(h-2i-1)t} \quad (\text{for even } h \geq 2) \quad (8)$$

and we always set $t = nF_n^k$ and $h = F_n$.

Consider the following four cases:

(i) Let $n \equiv 2, 4 \pmod 6$, which means that n is an even integer and F_n is an odd integer.

From identity (7) and from the relation $L_{2nl} \equiv 2 \pmod{F_n}$ in Corollary 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_{nF_n^{k+1}}}{F_{nF_n^k}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{F_n-3}{2}} (-1)^{inF_n^k} L_{(F_n-1-2i)nF_n^k} + (-1)^{\frac{(F_n-1)nF_n^k}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{F_n-3}{2}} L_{2n(\frac{F_n-1}{2}-i)F_n^k} + 1 \equiv \left(\frac{F_n-3}{2} + 1\right) \cdot 2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{F_n}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $n \equiv 0 \pmod 6$, which means that n and F_n are even integers.

From identity (8) and from the relation $L_{2nl} \equiv 2 \pmod{F_n}$ in Corollary 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_{nF_n^{k+1}}}{F_{nF_n^k}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} (-1)^{inF_n^k} L_{(F_n-1-2i)nF_n^k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{F_n-1}{2}} L_{2n(F_n-1-2i)\frac{F_n}{2}F_n^{k-1}} \equiv \frac{F_n}{2} \cdot 2 \equiv F_n \equiv 0 \pmod{F_n}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let $n \equiv 1, 5 \pmod 6$, which means that n and F_n are odd integers.

We prove the assertion similarly as in case (i) from identity (7) and the congruences $F_{6k+1} \equiv F_{6k+5} \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $L_{4nl} \equiv 2 \pmod{F_n}$, $L_{4nl+2n} \equiv -2 \pmod{F_n}$.

(iv) Let $n \equiv 3 \pmod 6$, which means that n is an odd integer and F_n is an even integer.

We obtain the assertion similarly as in case (ii) from identity (8) and the

congruences $F_{6k+3} \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $L_{4nl} \equiv 2 \pmod{F_n}$ and $L_{2n(2l+1)} \equiv -2 \pmod{F_n}$ (see Corollary 3). \square

4. Concluding Remark

Our proof of Theorem 5 is based on derivation of some congruences for the Fibonacci and the Lucas numbers. Benjamin and Rouse (see [2], Corollary 4) chose a purely combinatorial approach to this problem using the number of ways to tile a board with squares and dominoes.

References

- [1] M. Bencze, Problem B-1000, *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, **43** (2005).
- [2] A.T. Benjamin, J.A. Rouse, When does F_m^L divides F_n ? A combinatorial solution, *Applications of Fibonacci Numbers*, **10** (2006), 27-34.
- [3] T. Koshy, *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications*, A Wiley-Interscience Publications, New York (2002).
- [4] J. Pla, Problem B-994, *The Fibonacci Quarterly*, **43** (2005).
- [5] S. Vajda, *Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers and the Golden Section*, Holstel Press (1989).