

ON THE COMPARATIVE GROWTH OF COMPOSITE  
ENTIRE AND MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Sanjib Kumar Datta<sup>1 §</sup>, Somnath Mandal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics

University of North Bengal

Raja Rammohunpur, District Darjeeling

PIN Code 734013, West Bengal, INDIA

e-mail: sanjib\_kr\_datta@yahoo.co.in

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics

Siliguri Institute of Technology

Sukna, Darjeeling, PIN Code 734009, West Bengal, INDIA

e-mail: somnathm11@yahoo.co.in

**Abstract:** In the paper we study the comparative growth properties of composite entire and meromorphic functions using  $L$ -( $p, q$ )-th order and  $L^*$ -( $p, q$ )-th order improving some earlier results where  $L = L(r)$  is a slowly changing function and  $p, q$  are positive integers with  $p > q$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 30D35, 30D30

**Key Words:** entire and meromorphic function,  $L$ -order,  $L$ -lower order,  $L$ -( $p, q$ )-th order,  $L$ -( $p, q$ )-th lower order,  $L^*$ -( $p, q$ )-th order,  $L^*$ -( $p, q$ )-th lower order, composition, growth

1. Introduction, Definitions and Notations

We denote by  $\mathbb{C}$  the set of all finite complex numbers. Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function and  $g$  be an entire function defined on  $\mathbb{C}$ . We use the standard notations and definitions in the theory of entire and meromorphic functions which are available in [6] and [2]. In the sequel we use the following two notations:

---

Received: June 16, 2009

© 2009 Academic Publications

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence address: 25, School Road, Kalianibash, Barrackpore, P.O.: Nonachandrapukur, Dist.: 24 Parganas (North), P.S.: Titagarh, PIN Code: 743102, West Bengal, INDIA

$$\begin{aligned}\log^{[k]} x &= \log \left( \log^{[k-1]} x \right) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \log^{[0]} x = x \\ \text{and } \exp^{[k]} x &= \exp(\exp^{[k-1]} x) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \exp^{[0]} x = x.\end{aligned}$$

The following definition is well known.

**Definition 1.** The order  $\rho_f$  and lower order  $\lambda_f$  of a meromorphic function  $f$  are defined as

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r} \text{ and } \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

If  $f$  is entire then

$$\rho_f = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log r} \text{ and } \lambda_f = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Somasundaram and Thamizharasi [5] introduced the notions of  $L$ -order and  $L$ -type for entire functions where  $L = L(r)$  is a positive continuous function increasing slowly, i.e.,  $L(ar) \sim L(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for every positive constant  $a$ . Their definitions are as follows:

**Definition 2.** (see [5]) The  $L$ -order  $\rho_f^L$  and  $L$ -lower order  $\lambda_f^L$  of an entire function  $f$  are defined as follows:

$$\rho_f^L = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]} \text{ and } \lambda_f^L = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]}.$$

When  $f$  is meromorphic, then

$$\rho_f^L = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]} \text{ and } \lambda_f^L = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [rL(r)]}.$$

Juneja, Kapoor and Bajpai [3] defined the  $(p, q)$ -th order and  $(p, q)$ -th lower order of an entire function  $f$  respectively as follows:

$$\rho_f(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r} \text{ and } \lambda_f(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r},$$

where  $p, q$  are positive integers and  $p > q$ .

When  $f$  is meromorphic, one can easily verify that

$$\rho_f(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r} \text{ and } \lambda_f(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} r},$$

where  $p, q$  are positive integers and  $p > q$ .

So with the help of the above notion one can easily define the  $L$ - $(p, q)$ -th order and  $L$ - $(p, q)$ -th lower order of entire and meromorphic functions.

**Definition 3.** The  $L$ - $(p, q)$ -th order  $\rho_f^L(p, q)$  and  $L$ - $(p, q)$ -th lower order

$\lambda_f^L(p, q)$  of an entire function  $f$  are defined as

$$\rho_f^L(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \text{ and } \lambda_f^L(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]},$$

where  $p, q$  are positive integers and  $p > q$ .

When  $f$  is meromorphic, one can easily see that

$$\rho_f^L(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \text{ and } \lambda_f^L(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]},$$

where  $p, q$  are positive integers and  $p > q$ .

In this paper we intend to establish some results relating to the growth properties of composite entire and meromorphic functions on the basis of  $L$ -( $p, q$ )-th order improving some previous results where  $p, q$  are positive integers and  $p > q$ .

The more generalised concept of  $L$ -( $p, q$ )-th order and  $L$ -( $p, q$ ) th lower order of entire and meromorphic functions are  $L^*$ -( $p, q$ ) th order and  $L^*$ -( $p, q$ )-th lower order respectively. In order to prove our results we require the following definitions:

**Definition 4.** The  $L^*$ -order,  $L^*$ -lower order and  $L^*$ -type of a meromorphic function are defined by

$$\rho_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \quad \lambda_f^{L^*} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}$$

and

$$\sigma_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{[re^{L(r)}]^{\rho_f^{L^*}}}, \quad 0 < \rho_f^{L^*} < \infty.$$

When  $f$  is entire, one can easily verify that

$$\rho_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}, \quad \lambda_f^{L^*} = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, f)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}$$

and

$$\sigma_f^{L^*} = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(r, f)}{[re^{L(r)}]^{\rho_f^{L^*}}}, \quad 0 < \rho_f^{L^*} < \infty.$$

**Definition 5.** The  $L^*$ -( $p, q$ )-th order  $\rho_f^{L^*}(p, q)$  and  $L^*$ -( $p, q$ )-th lower order  $\lambda_f^{L^*}(p, q)$  of an entire function  $f$  are defined as

$$\rho_f^{L^*}(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]} \text{ and } \lambda_f^{L^*}(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [re^{L(r)}]},$$

where  $p, q$  are positive integers and  $p > q$ .

When  $f$  is meromorphic, one can easily verify that

$$\rho_f^{L^*}(p, q) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [r e^{L(r)}]} \text{ and } \lambda_f^{L^*}(p, q) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} T(r, f)}{\log^{[q]} [r e^{L(r)}]},$$

where  $p, q$  are positive integers and  $p > q$ .

## 2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.** (see [1]) *If  $f$  and  $g$  are two entire functions, then for all sufficiently large values of  $r$*

$$M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{2}, g\right) - |g(0)|, f\right) \leq M(r, f \circ g) \leq M(M(r, g), f).$$

**Lemma 2.** (see [4]) *Let  $f$  be entire and  $g$  be a transcendental entire function of finite lower order. Then for any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$M\left(r^{1+\delta}, f \circ g\right) \geq M(M(r, g), f) \quad (r \geq r_0).$$

## 3. Theorems

In this section we present the main results of the paper.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $f$  be entire and  $g$  be transcendental entire with  $\lambda_g^L(m, q) < \infty$ . Then*

$$\rho_f(p, m) \lambda_g^L(m, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) \leq \rho_f(p, m) \rho_g^L(m, q),$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r^{1+\delta}, f \circ g)}{\log^{[q]} [r L(r)]^{1+\delta}} \\ &\geq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[m]} M(r, g)} \cdot \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[m]} M(r, g)}{\log^{[q]} [r L(r)]} \\ &= \rho_f(p, m) \cdot \lambda_g^L(m, q). \end{aligned}$$

Again by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[m]} M(r, g)} \cdot \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[m]} M(r, g)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \\ &= \rho_f(p, m) \cdot \rho_g^L(m, q). \end{aligned}$$

From the above two inequalities we get that

$$\rho_f(p, m) \lambda_g^L(m, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) \leq \rho_f(p, m) \rho_g^L(m, q).$$

This proves the theorem. □

**Corollary 1.** *Under the same conditions of Theorem 1,*

$$\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) \geq \lambda_f(p, m) \rho_g^L(m, q).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) &= \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r^{1+\delta}, f \circ g)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]^{1+\delta}} \\ &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[m]} M(r, g)} \cdot \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[m]} M(r, g)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \\ &= \lambda_f(p, m) \cdot \rho_g^L(m, q). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the corollary is established. □

**Remark 1.** Considering  $f = z, g = \exp^{[2]} z, p = 3, m = 2, q = 1$  and  $L(r) = \frac{1}{P} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$  for any positive real number  $P$  one can easily verify that the condition  $\lambda_g^L(m, q) < \infty$  in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 is essential.

**Remark 2.** Taking  $f = g = \exp z, p = 3, m = 2, q = 1$  and  $L(r) = \frac{1}{P} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$  where  $P$  is any positive real number one can easily show that the sign ‘ $\leq$ ’ cannot be replaced by ‘ $<$ ’ only in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

**Remark 3.** The second part of Theorem 1 is also valid under the same conditions for meromorphic  $f$  and entire  $g$ .

**Theorem 2.** *If  $f$  is an entire function and  $g$  be transcendental entire with  $\lambda_g^L(m, q) < \infty$ . Then*

$$\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) \geq \lambda_f(p, m) \lambda_g^L(m, q),$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r^{1+\delta}, f \circ g)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]^{1+\delta}} \\ &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(M(r, g), f)}{\log^{[m]} M(r, g)} \cdot \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[m]} M(r, g)}{\log^{[q]} [rL(r)]} \\ &= \lambda_f(p, m) \lambda_g^L(m, q).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 4.** The condition  $\lambda_g^L(m, q) < \infty$  in Theorem 2 is necessary which can be verified by taking  $f = z$ ,  $g = \exp^{[2]} z$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $q = 1$  and  $L(r) = \frac{1}{P} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$  for any positive real  $P$ .

**Remark 5.** On considering  $f = g = \exp z$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $q = 1$  and  $L(r) = \frac{1}{P} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$  for any positive real number  $P$  one can easily verify that the sign ' $\geq$ ' cannot be replaced by ' $>$ ' only in Theorem 2.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g^L(m, q) \leq \rho_g^L(m, q) < \infty$ . Then for any positive number  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)},\end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $L$ -( $p, q$ )-th order and  $L$ -( $p, q$ )-th lower order we have for arbitrary positive  $\varepsilon$  and for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g) \geq (\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) - \varepsilon) \log^{[q]} [rL(r)] \quad (1)$$

and

$$\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)}) \leq (\rho_g^L(m, q) + \varepsilon) \log^{[q]} [rL(r)]. \quad (2)$$

Now from (1) and (2) it follows for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \geq \frac{(\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) - \varepsilon)}{(\rho_g^L(m, q) + \varepsilon)}.$$

As  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \geq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)}. \quad (3)$$

Again for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g) \leq (\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) + \varepsilon) \log^{[q]} [rL(r)] \tag{4}$$

and for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)}) \geq (\lambda_g^L(m, q) - \varepsilon) \log^{[q]} [rL(r)]. \tag{5}$$

So combining (4) and (5) we get for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity,

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{(\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) + \varepsilon)}{(\lambda_g^L(m, q) - \varepsilon)}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary it follows that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)}. \tag{6}$$

Also for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)}) \leq (\lambda_g^L(m, q) + \varepsilon) \log^{[q]} [rL(r)]. \tag{7}$$

Now from (1) and (7) we obtain for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity,

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \geq \frac{(\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) - \varepsilon)}{(\lambda_g^L(m, q) + \varepsilon)}.$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \geq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)}. \tag{8}$$

Also for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g) \leq (\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) + \varepsilon) \log^{[q]} [rL(r)]. \tag{9}$$

So from (5) and (9) it follows for all large values of  $r$ ,

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{(\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) + \varepsilon)}{(\lambda_g^L(m, q) - \varepsilon)}.$$

As  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)}. \tag{10}$$

Thus the theorem follows from (3), (6), (8) and (10). □

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g^L(m, q) < \infty$ . Then for any positive*

number  $A$ ,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})},$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

*Proof.* From the definition of  $L$ -( $p, q$ )-th order we get for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)}) \geq (\rho_g^L(m, q) - \varepsilon) \log^{[q]} [rL(r)]. \quad (11)$$

Now from (9) and (11) it follows for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity,

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{(\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) + \varepsilon)}{(\rho_g^L(m, q) - \varepsilon)}.$$

As  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)}. \quad (12)$$

Again for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity,

$$\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g) \geq (\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) - \varepsilon) \log^{[q]} [rL(r)]. \quad (13)$$

So combining (2) and (13) we get for a sequence of values of  $r$  tending to infinity,

$$\frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \geq \frac{(\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) - \varepsilon)}{(\rho_g^L(m, q) + \varepsilon)}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  is arbitrary it follows that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \geq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)}. \quad (14)$$

Thus the theorem follows from (12) and (14).  $\square$

In view of Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 we may state the following theorem without proof.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g^L(m, q) \leq \rho_g^L(m, q) < \infty$ . Then for any positive number  $A$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_f(p, m) \lambda_g^L(m, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)} &\leq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)} \leq \frac{\rho_f(p, m) \rho_g^L(m, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)}, \end{aligned}$$



where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

The following theorem is a natural consequence of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q) < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g^L(m, q) \leq \rho_g^L(m, q) < \infty$ . Then for any positive number  $A$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)}, \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\lambda_g^L(m, q)}, \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^L(p, q)}{\rho_g^L(m, q)} \right\} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

In the following theorems we see some comparative growth properties of composite entire and meromorphic functions on the basis of  $L^*(p, q)$ -th order and  $L^*(p, q)$ -th lower order improving some previous results where  $p, q$  are positive integers with  $p > q$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $f$  be entire and  $g$  be transcendental entire with  $\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q) < \infty$ . Then*

$$\rho_f(p, m) \lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) \leq \rho_f(p, m) \rho_g^{L^*}(m, q),$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$ .

**Corollary 2.** *Under the same conditions of Theorem 7,*

$$\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) \geq \lambda_f(p, m) \rho_g^{L^*}(m, q).$$

The proofs of Theorem 7 and Corollary 2 are omitted because they can be carried out in the line of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 respectively.

**Remark 6.** Considering  $f = z, g = \exp^{[2]} z, p = 3, m = 2, q = 1$  and  $L(r) = \frac{1}{P} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$  for any positive real number  $P$  one can easily verify that the condition  $\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q) < \infty$  in Theorem 7 and Corollary 2 is essential.

**Remark 7.** Taking  $f = g = \exp z, p = 3, m = 2, q = 1$  and  $L(r) = \frac{1}{P} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$  where  $P$  is any positive real number one can easily show that the sign ‘ $\leq$ ’ cannot be replaced by ‘ $<$ ’ only in Theorem 7 and Corollary 2.

**Remark 8.** The second part of Theorem 7 is also valid under the same conditions for meromorphic  $f$  and entire  $g$ .

**Theorem 8.** *If  $f$  is an entire function and  $g$  be transcendental entire with*

$\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q) < \infty$ . Then

$$\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) \geq \lambda_f(p, m) \lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q),$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$ .

The proof of Theorem 8 is omitted because it runs parallel to that of Theorem 2.

**Remark 9.** The condition  $\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q) < \infty$  is necessary in Theorem 8 which can be verified by taking  $f = z$ ,  $g = \exp^{[2]} z$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $q = 1$  and  $L(r) = \frac{1}{P} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$  for any positive real  $P$ .

**Remark 10.** On considering  $f = g = \exp z$ ,  $p = 3$ ,  $m = 2$ ,  $q = 1$  and  $L(r) = \frac{1}{P} \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)$  for any positive real number  $P$  one can easily verify that the sign ' $\geq$ ' cannot be replaced by ' $>$ ' only in Theorem 8.

**Theorem 9.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q) \leq \rho_g^{L^*}(m, q) < \infty$ . Then for any positive number  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\rho_g^{L^*}(m, q)} &\leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

The proof of Theorem 9 is omitted because it can be carried out in the line of Theorem 3.

**Theorem 10.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) < \infty$  and  $0 < \rho_g^{L^*}(m, q) < \infty$ . Then for any positive number  $A$ ,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\rho_g^{L^*}(m, q)} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})},$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

We omit the proof of Theorem 10 as it runs parallel to that of Theorem 4.

In view of Theorem 7, Theorem 8 and Theorem 9 we may state the following theorem without proof.

**Theorem 11.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q) \leq \rho_g^{L^*}(m, q) < \infty$ . Then for

any positive number  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_f(p, m) \lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q)}{\rho_g^{L^*}(m, q)} &\leq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(m, q)} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} \leq \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q)} \leq \frac{\rho_f(p, m) \rho_g^{L^*}(m, q)}{\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

The following theorem is a natural consequence of Theorem 9 and Theorem 10.

**Theorem 12.** Let  $f$  be meromorphic and  $g$  be entire such that  $0 < \lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) \leq \rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q) < \infty$  and  $0 < \lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q) \leq \rho_g^{L^*}(m, q) < \infty$ . Then for any positive number  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})} &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q)}, \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\rho_g^{L^*}(m, q)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\lambda_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\lambda_g^{L^*}(m, q)}, \frac{\rho_{f \circ g}^{L^*}(p, q)}{\rho_g^{L^*}(m, q)} \right\} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} T(r, f \circ g)}{\log^{[m]} T(r^A, g^{(k)})}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $p, q, m$  are positive integers such that  $p > m > q$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

### References

- [1] J. Clunie, The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, *Mathematical Essays Dedicated to A.J. Macintyre*, Ohio University Press (1970), 75-92.
- [2] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford (1964).
- [3] O.P. Juneja, G.P. Kapoor, S.K. Bajpai, On the  $(p, q)$ -order and lower  $(p, q)$ -order of an entire function, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **282** (1976), 53-67.
- [4] G.D. Song, C.C. Yang, Further growth properties of composition of entire and meromorphic functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **15**, No. 1 (1984), 67-82.
- [5] D. Somasundaram, R. Thamizharasi, A note on the entire functions of L-bounded index and L-type, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **19**, No. 3 (March 1988), 284-293.

- [6] G. Valiron, *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, Chelsea Publishing Company (1949).