

THE OPERATOR \circledast^k RELATED
TO TRIHARMONIC WAVE EQUATION

Wanchak Satsanit¹, Amnuay Kananthai² §

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics

Regina Coeli College

166, Charoenprathet Road Changkran, Chiang Mai, 50100, THAILAND

¹e-mail: aunphue@live.com

Abstract: In this paper, we study the solution of equation $\circledast^k u(x) = f(x)$, where \circledast^k is the operator iterated k times and is defined by

$$\circledast^k = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^3 \right)^k,$$

where $p+q = n$ is the dimension of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , $u(x)$ is an unknown function for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $f(x)$ is the generalized function, k is a positive integer and $u(x)$ is an unknown function.

It is found that the solution $u(x)$ depends on the conditions of p and q and moreover such a solution is related to the solution of the Laplace equation. In particular if we put $k = 1$ and we obtain solution of the triharmonic wave equation.

AMS Subject Classification: 47F05

Key Words: generalization function, temper distribution, diamond operator, triharmonic wave equation

1. Introduction

The operator \diamond^k has been first by A. Kananthai (see [3]) and is named as the diamond operator iterated k times and is defined by

Received: August 21, 2009

© 2009 Academic Publications

§Correspondence author

$$\diamond^k = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^2 \right)^k, \quad p + q = n \quad (1.1)$$

is the dimension of the space \mathbb{R}^n , for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and k is a nonnegative integer.

Actually the operator \diamond^k is an extension of the ultra-hyperbolic operator and the Laplacian operator. So the operator \diamond^k can be expressed as a product of the the operator \square^k and Δ^k , that is $\diamond^k = \square^k \Delta^k$, where

$$\Delta^k = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right)^k \quad (1.2)$$

is the Laplacian iterated k times.

$$\square^k = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+2}^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2} \right)^k \quad (1.3)$$

is the ultra-hyperbolic operator iterated k times with $p + q = n$.

A. Kananthai (see [3], Theorem 3.1, p. 33) has shown that the convolution $(-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2k}^H(x)$ is an elementary solution of the operator \diamond^k , that is

$$\diamond^k((-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x) * R_{2k}^H(x)) = \delta(x), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\delta(x)$ is Dirac-delta distribution and the functions R_{2k}^e and R_{2k}^H are defined by (2.5) and (2.2) respectively with $\alpha = 2k, k$ is nonnegative integer.

Next, W. Satsanit first introduced $(\otimes)^k$ operator, which is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes^k &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^3 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^3 \right]^k \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^k \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^2 \right]^k = \Delta^k \left(\Delta^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\Delta + \square)(\Delta - \square) \right)^k \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \Delta^3 \right)^k, \quad (1.5) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \square &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+2}^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2}, \\ \diamond &= \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+2}^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the purpose of this work is to study the equation

$$(\circledast)^k u(x) = f(x). \tag{1.6}$$

This equation is the generalization of the ultra-hyperbolic equation and it can be applied to the triharmonic wave equation.

Let $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ be a distributional family and be defined by

$$K_{\alpha,\beta} = R_\alpha^e * R_\beta^H, \tag{1.7}$$

where R_α^e is called the elliptic kernel defined by (2.5) and R_β^H is called the ultra-hyperbolic kernel defined by (2.2) and α, β are the complex parameters.

The family $K_{\alpha,\beta}$ is well defined and is tempered distribution, since $R_\alpha^e * R_\beta^H$ is a tempered, (see [1], Lemma 2.2) and R_β^H has a compact support.

In this paper, we can show that

$$u(x) = ((-1)^{3k} K_{4k,4k} * (R_{2(k-1)}^e)^m + (-1)^{2k} K_{6k,4k} * f(x)) * (S^{*k})^{*-1}, \tag{1.8}$$

where (S^{*k}) is defined by (2.16) and $(S^{*k})^{*-1}$ is an inverse of (S^{*k}) in the convolution algebra. $u(x)$ is a solution of (1.6), where $m = \frac{n-4}{2} \geq 4$, n is even number and $K_{2k,2k}(x)$ is defined by (1.7). Moreover, we can show that the solution related to the solution of Laplace operator Δ^{3k} defined by (1.2) and also the triharmonic wave operator is defined by (1.5) with $q = 0, k = 1$.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n . Let us denote by

$$u = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - x_{p+2}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2 \tag{2.1}$$

the nondegenerated quadratic form, $p + q = n$ is the dimension of the space \mathbb{R}^n .

Let $\Gamma_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 > 0 \text{ and } u > 0\}$ and let $\bar{\Gamma}_+$ denote it closure. For any complex number β , define the function

$$R_\beta^H(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{u^{\frac{\beta-n}{2}}}{K_n(\beta)}, & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_+, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \notin \Gamma_+, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where the constant $K_n(\beta)$ is given by the formula

$$K_n(\beta) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{2+\beta-n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-\beta}{2}) \Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\frac{2+\beta-p}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{p-\beta}{2})}. \tag{2.3}$$

The function $R_\alpha^H(u)$ is called the ultra-hyperbolic kernel of Marcel Riesz and was introduced by Y. Nozaki (see [4]).

It is well known that $R_\alpha^H(u)$ is an ordinary function if $Re(\alpha) \geq n$ and is a distribution of α if $Re(\alpha) < n$. Let $\text{supp } R_\alpha^H(u)$ denote the support of $R_\alpha^H(u)$ and suppose $\text{supp } R_\alpha^H(u) \subset \bar{\Gamma}_+$, that is $\text{supp } R_\alpha^H(u)$ is compact.

From S.E. Trione (see [5], p. 11), R_{2k}^H is an elementary solution of the operator \square^k that is

$$\square^k R_{2k}^H(u) = \delta(x). \tag{2.4}$$

Definition 2.2. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of \mathbb{R}^n and $v = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$, let the function $R_\alpha^e(v)$ denote the elliptic kernel of Marcel Riesz and is defined by

$$R_\alpha^e(v) = \frac{|x|^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{W_n(\alpha)}, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$v = |x| = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2, \tag{2.6}$$

$$W_n(\alpha) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha}{2})}, \tag{2.7}$$

α is a complex parameter and n is the dimension of \mathbb{R}^n .

It can be shown that $R_{-2k}^e(x) = (-1)^k \Delta^k \delta(x)$, where Δ^k is defined by (1.3). It follows that $R_0^e(x) = \delta(x)$ (see [1], p. 118).

Moreover, we obtain that $(-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x)$ is an elementary solution of the operator Δ^k that is

$$\Delta^k ((-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x)) = \delta(x), \tag{2.8}$$

see [3], Lemma 2.4, p. 31.

Lemma 2.1. Given P is a hyper-function, then

$$P \delta^k(p) + k \delta^{(k-1)}(p) = 0,$$

where $\delta^{(k)}$ is the Dirac-delta distribution with k derivatives.

Proof. See [2], p. 233. □

Lemma 2.2. *Given the equation*

$$\Delta^k u(x) = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

where Δ^k is defined by (1.2) and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}$ is a solution of (2.9) with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}, n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension and v is defined by (2.6). The function $(R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}$ is defined by (2.5) with m -derivatives and $\alpha = 2(k - 1)$

Proof. We first show that the generalized function $u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(r^2)$, where $r^2 = |x|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ of

$$\Delta u(x) = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Here $\Delta = (\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2})$ is a Laplace operator. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \delta^{(m)}(r^2) &= 2x_i \delta^{(m+1)}(r^2), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2) &= 2\delta^{(m+1)}r^2 + 4x_i^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \delta^{(m)}(r^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2) = 2n\delta^{(m+1)}r^2 + 4r^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2) \\ &= 2n\delta^{(m+1)}r^2 - 4(m + 2)\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 with $P = r^2$ we have

$\Delta \delta^{(m)}(r^2) = (2n - 4(m + 2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2) = 0$, if $2n - 4(m + 2) = 0$, or $m = \frac{n-4}{2}, n \geq 4$ and n is even. Thus $\delta^{(m)}(r^2)$ is a solution of (2.10) with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}, n \geq 4$ and n is even. Now $\Delta^k u(x) = \Delta(\Delta^{k-1}u(x)) = 0$ then from the above proof $\Delta^{k-1}u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(r^2)$ with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}, n \geq 4$ and n is even.

Convolving both sides of the above equation by the function $(-1)^{k-1} R_{2(k-1)}^e(x)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{k-1} R_{2(k-1)}^e * \Delta^{k-1} u(x) &= (-1)^{k-1} R_{2(k-1)}^e * \delta^{(m)}(r^2), \\ \Delta^{k-1} ((-1)^{k-1} R_{2(k-1)}^e * u(x)) &= (-1)^{k-1} R_{2(k-1)}^e * \delta^{(m)}(r^2), \\ \delta * u(x) = u(x) &= (-1)^{k-1} R_{2(k-1)}^e * \delta^{(m)}(r^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Now from (2.1)

$$R_{2(k-1)}^e(x) = \frac{|x|^{2(k-1)-n}}{W_n(\alpha)} = \frac{(|x|^2)^{\frac{2(k-1)-n}{2}}}{W_n(\alpha)} = \frac{(r^2)^{\frac{2(k-1)-n}{2}}}{W_n(\alpha)},$$

where $r = |x| = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence

$$R_{2(k-1)}^e(x) * \delta^{(m)}(r^2) = \frac{(r^2)^{\frac{2(k-1)-n}{2}}}{W_n(\alpha)} * \delta^{(m)}(r^2) = \left(\frac{(r^2)^{\frac{2(k-1)-n}{2}}}{W_n(\alpha)} \right)^{(m)} = (R_{2(k-1)}^e(x))^{(m)}.$$

It follows that $u(x) = (-1)^{k-1}(R_{2(k-1)}^e(x))^{(m)}$ is a solution of (2.9) with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension of R^n . □

Lemma 2.3. *Given the equation*

$$\square^k u(x) = 0, \tag{2.12}$$

where \square^k is defined by (1.3) and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^H(u))^{(m)}$ is a solution of (2.12) with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension and u is defined by Definition 2.1. The function $(R_{2(k-1)}^H(u))^{(m)}$ is defined by (2.2) with m -derivatives and $\beta = 2(k - 1)$.

Proof. We first to show that the generalized function $\delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2)$, where $r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2$ and $s^2 = x_{p+1}^2 + x_{p+2}^2 + \dots + x_{p+q}^2$, $p + q = n$, is a solution of the equation

$$\square u(x) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

Here \square is defined by (1.3) with $k = 1$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= 2x_i \delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2), \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= 2\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4x_i^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2), \\ \square \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) = 2p\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4r^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= 2p\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4(r^2 - s^2)\delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4s^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= 2p\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) - 4(m + 2)\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4s^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= (2p - 4(m + 2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4s^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 with $P = r^2 - s^2$. Similarly,

$$\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) = (-2q + 4(m + 2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4r^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \square \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= (2(p+q) - 8(m+2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) - 4(r^2 - s^2)\delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= (2n - 8(m+2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4(m+2)\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= (2n - 4(m+2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $2n - 4(m+2) = 0$, we have $\square \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) = 0$. That is $u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2)$ is a solution of (2.12) with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension.

Now $\square^k u(x) = \square(\square^{k-1} u(x)) = 0$.

From (2.10) we have $\square^{k-1} u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2)$ with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension. Convolving the above equation by $R_{2(k-1)}^H(u)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2(k-1)}^H(u) * \square^{k-1} u(x) &= R_{2(k-1)}^H(u) * \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2), \\ \square^{k-1} (R_{2(k-1)}^H(u) * u(x)) &= (R_{2(k-1)}^H(u))^{(m)}, \quad \text{where } v = (r^2 - s^2), \\ \delta * u(x) &= u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^H(u))^{(m)} \end{aligned}$$

by (2.3) and $v = r^2 - s^2$ is defined by Definition 2.1.

Thus $u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^H(u))^{(m)}$ is a solution of (2.12) with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let L be the operator defined by*

$$L = \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right)^k, \tag{2.14}$$

where Δ and \square are defined by (1.2) and (1.3) respectively. Then we obtain $H(x)$, where

$$H(x) = \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v)\right) * \left(S^{*k}(x)\right)^{* -1} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$S(x) = \frac{3}{4}R_4^e(v) + \frac{1}{4}R_4^H(u) \tag{2.16}$$

is an elementary solution of the operator defined by (2.14) iterated k -times, $S^{*k}(x)$ denotes the convolution of S it self k -times, $(S^{*k}(x))^{*-1}$ denotes the inverse of $S^{*k}(x)$ in the convolution algebra. Moreover $H(x)$ is a tempered distribution.

Proof. From (3.1), we have

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right)^k H(x) = \delta(x),$$

or we can write

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right) \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right)^{k-1} H(x) = \delta(x).$$

Convolving both sides of the above equation by $R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right) (R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v)) \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right)^{k-1} H(x) \\ = \delta(x) * R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 (R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v)) + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2 ((-1)^2 R_4^e(v) * R_4^H(u))\right) \\ \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right)^{k-1} H(x) = \delta(x) * R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 (R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v)) + \frac{1}{4}(\Delta^2 (-1)^2 R_4^e(v) * R_4^H(u))\right) \\ \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right)^{k-1} H(x) = \delta(x) * R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.4) and (2.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{4}\delta(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v) + \frac{1}{4}\delta * R_4^H(u)\right) \\ \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right)^{k-1} H(x) = \delta(x) * R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}(-1)^2 R_4^e(u) + \frac{1}{4}R_4^H(v)\right) \left(\frac{3}{4}\square^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^2\right)^{k-1} H(x) = R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v)$$

keeping on convolving both sides of the above equation by $R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v)$ up to $k - 1$ times, we obtain

$$S^{*k}(x) * H(x) = (R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v))^{*k}.$$

The symbol $*k$ denotes the convolution of itself k -times. By properties of $R_\alpha(u)$, we have

$$(R_4^H(u) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(v))^{*k} = R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v).$$

$$S^{*k}(x) * H(x) = \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v)\right)$$

$$H(x) = \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v) \right) * (S^{*k})^{*-1}$$

is an elementary solution of the operator defined by (2.14). □

Lemma 2.5. *Given the equation*

$$\otimes^k u(x) = 0, \tag{2.17}$$

where \otimes^k is the operator iterated k times defined by (1.5) and $u(x)$ is an unknown generalized function. Then

$$u(x) = (R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v)) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^m \tag{2.18}$$

is solution of (2.17) and $(R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}$ is a function with m -derivatives defined by (2.2) and v is defined by Definition 2.1 and $S(x)$ defined by (2.16).

Proof. Now

$$\otimes^k u(x) = \Delta^k \left(\frac{3}{4} \square^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Delta^2 \right)^k u(x) = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

By Lemma 2.2,

$$\left(\frac{3}{4} \square^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Delta^2 \right)^k u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}. \tag{2.20}$$

Convolving both sides by $(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v)) * (S^{*k})^{*-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v) \right) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * \left(\frac{3}{4} \square^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Delta^2 \right)^k u(x) \\ &= \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v) \right) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\delta(x) * u(x) = \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v) \right) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}.$$

It follows that

$$u(x) = \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v) \right) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}. \tag{2.21}$$

By (1.7) and the equation (2.21) it can be written

$$u(x) = (-1)^{2k} K_{4k,4k} * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)} \tag{2.22}$$

as a solution of (2.17). □

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Given the equation*

$$\otimes^k G(x) = \delta(x), \tag{3.1}$$

then

$$G(x) = \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{2k} R_{6k}^e(v) \right) * \left(S^{*k}(x) \right)^{*^{-1}} \quad (3.2)$$

is a Green function for the operator \circledast^k iterated k -times, where \circledast is defined by (1.5), and

$$S(x) = \frac{3}{4}(-1)^2 R_4^e(v) + \frac{1}{4} R_4^H(u) \quad (3.3)$$

$S^{*k}(x)$ denotes the convolution of S itself k -times, $\left(S^{*k}(x) \right)^{*^{-1}}$ denotes the inverse of $S^{*k}(x)$ in the convolution algebra. Moreover $G(x)$ is a tempered distribution.

Proof. From (3.1), we have

$$\circledast^k G(x) = \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right)^k G(x) = \delta(x),$$

or we can write

$$\left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right)^{k-1} G(x) = \delta(x).$$

Convoluting both sides of the above equation by $(-1)^3 R_2^e(v) * R_2^e(v) * R_2^e(v)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right) \left((-1)^3 R_2^e(v) * R_2^e(v) * R_2^e(v) \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right)^{k-1} G(x) \\ = \delta(x) * (-1)^3 R_2^e(v) * R_2^e(v) * R_2^e(v), \quad (3.4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{4} \triangle \left((-1) R_2^e(v) \right) * \square^2 \left((-1)^2 R_2^e(v) * R_2^e(v) \right) + \frac{1}{4} * \triangle^3 \left((-1)^3 R_6^e(v) \right) \right) \\ \cdot \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right)^{k-1} G(x) = \delta(x) * (-1)^3 R_6^e(v). \quad (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{4} \delta * \left(\square^2 (-1)^2 R_4^e(v) \right) + \frac{1}{4} \delta * \delta * \delta \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right)^{k-1} G(x) \\ = (-1)^3 R_6^e(v). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{3}{4} \delta * \left(\square^2 (-1)^2 R_4^e(v) \right) + \frac{1}{4} \delta \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \square + \frac{1}{4} \triangle^3 \right)^{k-1} G(x) = (-1)^3 R_6^e(v).$$

Convoluting both sides of the above equation by $R_2^H(u) * R_2^H(u)$, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{3}{4} \delta * \left(\square^2 (-1)^2 R_4^e(v) \right) \left(R_2^H(u) * R_2^H(u) \right) + \frac{1}{4} \delta * \left((-1) R_2^e(v) * (-1) R_2^e(v) \right) \right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\diamond\Box + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^3\right)^{k-1} G(x) = (-1)^3 R_6^e(v) * (R_2^H(u) * R_2^H(u)). \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{3}{4}(-1)^2 R_4^e(v) (\Box R_2^H(u) * \Box R_2^H(u)) + \frac{1}{4} R_4^H(u)\right) \\ &\cdot \left(\frac{3}{4}\diamond\Box + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^3\right)^{k-1} G(x) = (-1)^3 R_6^e(v) * R_4^H(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{3}{4}(-1)^2 R_4^e(v) + \frac{1}{4} R_4^H(u)\right) \left(\frac{3}{4}\diamond\Box + \frac{1}{4}\Delta^3\right)^{k-1} G(x) \\ &= (-1)^3 R_6^e(v) * R_4^H(u), \quad (3.7) \end{aligned}$$

keeping on convolving both sides of the above equation by $(-1)^3 R_6^e(v) * R_4^H(u)$ up to $k - 1$ times, we obtain

$$S^{*k}(x) * G(x) = ((-1)^3 R_6^e(v) * R_4^H(u))^{*k}.$$

The symbol $*k$ denotes the convolution of itself k -times. By properties of $R_\alpha(u)$, we have

$$((-1)^3 R_6^e(v) * R_4^H(u))^{*k} = (-1)^{3k} R_{6k}^e(v) * R_{4k}^H(u).$$

Thus,

$$S^{*k}(x) * G(x) = (-1)^{3k} R_{6k}^e(v) * R_{4k}^H(u). \quad (3.8)$$

Now, consider the function $S^{*k}(x)$, since $(-1)^3 R_6^e(v) * R_{4k}^H(u)$ is a tempered distribution. Thus $S(x)$ defined by (3.3) is a tempered distribution, we obtain $S^{*k}(x)$ is a tempered distribution.

Now, $(-1)^{3k} R_{6k}^e(v) * R_{4k}^H(u) \in \mathcal{S}'$, the space of tempered distribution. Choose $\mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{R}}$ where $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the right-side distribution which is a subspace of \mathcal{D}' of distribution. Thus $(-1)^{3k} R_{6k}^e(v) * R_{4k}^H(u) \in \mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{R}}$. It follows that $(-1)^{3k} R_{6k}^e(v) * R_{4k}^H(u)$ is an element of convolution algebra, since $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{R}}$ is a convolution algebra. Hence Zemanian (see [6]), the equation (3.8) has a unique solution

$$G(x) = \left(R_{4k}^H(u) * (-1)^{3k} R_{6k}^e(v)\right) * \left(S^{*k}(x)\right)^{*^{-1}}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $(S^{*k}(x))^{*^{-1}}$ is an inverse of S^{*k} in the convolution algebra, $G(x)$ is called the Green function of the operator \otimes^k . □

Theorem 3.2. *Given the equation*

$$(\otimes)^k u(x) = f(x), \quad (3.10)$$

where \otimes^k is the operator iterated k times defined by (1.5), $f(x)$ is a general

function, $u(x)$ is an unknown function and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the n -dimensional Euclidean space and n is even. Then (3.10) has the general solution

$$u(x) = ((-1)^{2k} K_{4k,4k} * (R_{2(k-1)}^H(u))^m + (-1)^{3k} K_{6k,4k} * f(x)) * (S^{*k})^{*-1}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $(R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^m$ is a function m -derivatives defined by (2.5) and $K_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = R_\alpha^e * R_\beta^H$. If we put $k = 1$, we obtain as solution of triharmonic wave equation.

Proof. Convolving (3.10) both sides by (3.9), we obtain

$$(-1)^{3k} K_{6k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * \circledast^k u(x) = (-1)^{3k} K_{6k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * f(x),$$

or

$$\circledast^k ((-1)^{2k} K_{6k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1}) * u(x) = (-1)^{3k} K_{6k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * f(x).$$

By Theorem 3.1

$$\delta(x) * u(x) = u(x) = (-1)^{3k} K_{6k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * f(x). \tag{3.12}$$

Since, for a homogeneous equation $\circledast^k u(x) = 0$ we have a solution (see Lemma 2.5)

$$u(x) = (-1)^{2k} K_{4k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^m. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus the general solution of (3.10) is

$$u(x) = (-1)^{2k} K_{4k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * (R_{2(k-1)}^e(u))^m + (-1)^{3k} K_{6k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1} * f(x),$$

or

$$u(x) = ((R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^m + (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(v) * f(x)) * (-1)^{2k} K_{4k,4k}(x) * (S^{*k})^{*-1}. \tag{3.14}$$

In particular, if $q = 0$ the equation (3.1) becomes the Laplace equation $\Delta^{3k} u(x) = f(x)$ where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and p is even. Using the formulae $\Gamma(2z) = 2^{2z-1} \pi^{-1/2} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2})$ and $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + z) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - z) = \pi \text{Sec}(\pi z)$. Then for $\alpha = 2k$ the function of (2.1) becomes $(-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x)$ where R_{2k}^e is defined by (2.5) and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Thus by (1.7)

$$(-1)^k K_{2k,2k}(x) = (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x) * (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x) = R_{4k}^e(x), \text{ see [2], pp. 156-159,}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and p is even.

Now, from (2.17) for $q = 0$ we have $\Delta^{3k} u(x) = 0$ or

$$\Delta^k (\Delta^{2k} u(x)) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.2

$$\Delta^{2k} u(x) = (-1)^{k-1} (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}, \tag{3.15}$$

$$u(x) = (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(v) * (-1)^{k-1} (R_{2(k-1)}^e(v))^{(m)}$$

$$= (-1)^{3k-1} (R_{6k-2}^e(v))^{(m)} \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

Thus the solution of equation (3.10) becomes

$$u(x) = (-1)^{3k-1} (R_{6k-2}^e(v))^{(m)} + (-1)^{3k} R_{6k}^e(v) * f(x) \quad (3.16)$$

for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and p is even.

It follows that (3.17) is the general solution of the Laplace equation $\Delta^{3k}u(x) = f(x)$, where Δ^{3k} is the Laplace operator iterated $3k$ -times defined by (1.3) for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and p is even and if we put $k = 1$, then the equation (3.11) becomes

$$u(x) = (-1)^2 (R_4^e(v))^{(m)} + (-1)^3 R_6^e(v) * f(x) \quad (3.17)$$

is the general solution of the triharmonic wave equation. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank The Thailand Research Fund and Graduate School, Chiang Mai University, Thailand for financial support.

References

- [1] W.F. Donoghue, *Distributions and Fourier Transform*, Academic Press (1969).
- [2] I.M. Gelfand, G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Function*, Academic Press, New York (1964).
- [3] A. Kananthai, *On the Solution of the n-Dimensional Diamond Operator*, Applied Mathematics and Computational, Elsevier Science Inc., New York (1997).
- [4] Y. Nozaki, On Riemann-Liouville integral of ultra-hyperbolic type, *Kodai Mathematical J.*, Seminar Reports, **6**, No. 2 (1964), 69-87.
- [5] S.E. Trione, On Marcel Riesz: On the ultra-hyperbolic kernel, *Trabajos de Mathematica*, **116** (1987).
- [6] Zemanian, *Distribution Theory and Transform Analysis*, McGraw-Hill (1965).

