

ON A HILBERT-TYPE INEQUALITY WITH
A HOMOGENEOUS KERNEL OF REAL NUMBER DEGREE

Bing He

Department of Mathematics
Guangdong Education College
Guangzhou, Guangdong, 510303, P.R. CHINA
e-mail: hzs314@163.com

Abstract: By introducing a homogeneous kernel of real number degree with an independent parameter and estimating the weight coefficient, a bilateral form of the Hilbert-type series inequality with a best constant factor is established.

AMS Subject Classification: 26D15

Key Words: Hilbert's inequality, weight coefficient, Hölder's inequality

1. Introduction

If $a_n, b_n \geq 0, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$, then (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \pi \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constant factor π is the best possible. Inequality (1.1) is well known as Hilbert's inequality. Soon after, inequality (1.1) had been generalized by Hardy-Riesz as (see [2]): If $a_n b_n \geq 0, p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}, \quad (1.2)$$

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible. Inequality (1.2) is named

of Hardy-Hilbert’s integral inequality (see [2]). It is important in analysis and its applications. It was studied extensively and refinements, generalizations and numerous variants appeared in the literature (see [2]- [8]). Under the same condition of (1.2), we have the Hardy-Hilbert’s type inequality (see [2], Theorem 341, Theorem 342) as follows

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{\max\{m, n\}} < pq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}; \tag{1.3}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log(m/n)}{m-n} a_m b_n < \pi^2 \csc^2 \frac{\pi}{p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}, \tag{1.4}$$

where the constant factors pq and $\pi^2 \csc^2 \frac{\pi}{p}$ are both the best possible.

In 2008, Yang (see [7]) gave a bilateral inequality as follows: If $p > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < \lambda \leq 2, a, b, c \geq 0, a + bc > 0, a_n, b_n \geq 0$, such that $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} a_n^p < \infty, 0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} b_n^q < \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \\ &< C_\lambda(a, b, c) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where the constant factor $C_\lambda(a, b, c)$ is the best possible. In addition, for $0 < p < 1$, Yang got the reverse inequality as follows

$$\begin{aligned} H &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{a \max\{m^\lambda, n^\lambda\} + bm^\lambda + cn^\lambda} \\ &> C_\lambda(a, b, c) \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_\lambda(a, b, c, n)] n^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{2})-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where $\theta_\lambda(a, b, c, m) := \frac{1}{C_1(a, b, c)} \int_0^{1/m^\lambda} \frac{1}{a+bu+cu} u^{-1/2} \mathbf{d}u = O(\frac{1}{m^{\lambda/2}}) \in (0, 1)$, and the constant factor $C_\lambda(a, b, c)$ is the best possible. By the way, in recent years, the reverse form of the Hardy-Hilbert’s inequality has been studied by Zhong (see [10]), Zhao (see [9]), and so on.

So far, we only focus on the Hilbert’s inequality with negative number homogeneous and non-homogeneous kernel, but we just take the first step on the study of the real number homogeneous kernel. The main purpose of this article is to establish the bilateral form of the Hilbert’s type inequality concerning

series with the homogeneous kernel of real number degree.

2. Main Results

Lemma 2.1. *Setting $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda + \mu > 0$, define the weight function $\varpi_{\lambda, \mu}(m)$ as*

$$\varpi_{\lambda, \mu}(m) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \cdot \frac{m^{-(\lambda-\mu)/2}}{n^{1+(\lambda-\mu)/2}} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{2.1}$$

then we have the following inequality:

$$\frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} [1 - \theta_\lambda(m)] < \varpi_{\lambda, \mu}(m) < \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $0 < \theta_\lambda(m) := \frac{1}{2m^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}} = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}}\right) \in (0, 1) \quad (m \rightarrow \infty)$.

Proof. On one hand, setting $t = y/m$, by monotonicity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{\lambda, \mu}(m) &< \int_0^\infty \frac{(\min\{m, y\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, y\})^\mu} \cdot \frac{m^{-(\lambda-\mu)/2}}{y^{1+(\lambda-\mu)/2}} dy = \int_0^\infty \frac{(\min\{1, t\})^\lambda}{(\max\{1, t\})^\mu} \cdot t^{-1-\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{t^\lambda}{1} \cdot t^{-1-\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} dt + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{t^\mu} \cdot t^{-1-\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} dt \\ &= \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand, similarly, setting $t = y/m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varpi_{\lambda, \mu}(m) &> \int_1^\infty \frac{(\min\{m, y\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, y\})^\mu} \cdot \frac{m^{-(\lambda-\mu)/2}}{y^{1+(\lambda-\mu)/2}} dy = \int_{\frac{1}{m}}^\infty \frac{(\min\{1, t\})^\lambda}{(\max\{1, t\})^\mu} \cdot t^{-1-\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} dt \\ &= \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} - \int_0^{\frac{1}{m}} \frac{(\min\{1, t\})^\lambda}{(\max\{1, t\})^\mu} \cdot t^{-1-\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}} dt \\ &= \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} - \int_0^{\frac{1}{m}} t^{-1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}} dt \\ &= \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2m^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}} \right] = \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} [1 - \theta_\lambda(m)], \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta_\lambda(m) := \frac{1}{2m^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}} = O\left(\frac{1}{m^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}}\right) < 1$. Hence (2.2) is valid. The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 2.2. *If $p > 0, p \neq 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda + \mu > 0$ and*

$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{p(\lambda+\mu)}{2}$, setting

$$J(\varepsilon) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \cdot m^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} n^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}, \quad (2.4)$$

then for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$\left(\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu} - o(1)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} < J(\varepsilon) < \left(\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu} + \tilde{o}(1)\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Setting $t = \frac{x}{n}$ in the following, in view of Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(\varepsilon) &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{x, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{x, n\})^\mu} \cdot x^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} dx \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[\int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{1, t\})^\lambda}{(\max\{1, t\})^\mu} \cdot t^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} dt \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[\int_0^1 t^{\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}-1} dt + \int_1^{\infty} t^{-\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}-1} dt \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} + \frac{1}{\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \right) = \left(\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu} + \tilde{o}(1) \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+); \\ J(\varepsilon) &> \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} \left[\int_1^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{x, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{x, n\})^\mu} \cdot x^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} dx \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{1, t\})^\lambda}{(\max\{1, t\})^\mu} \cdot t^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} dt \right) \\ &> \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{1, t\})^\lambda}{(\max\{1, t\})^\mu} \cdot t^{-(1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} dt \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} t^{\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}-1} dt \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left[\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu} + \tilde{o}(1) - \frac{1}{\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2}-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu} - o(1) \right) \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+). \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 2.3. *If $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda + \mu > 0, a_n, b_n \geq 0$ such that $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} a_n^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} b_n^q < \infty$. Then we have the following inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} a_m b_n \\
 &< \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}, \tag{2.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the constant factor $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$ is the best possible.

Proof. By Hölder’s inequality with weight[4], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} a_m b_n \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \left[\frac{m^{(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})/q}}{n^{(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})/p}} a_m \right] \left[\frac{n^{(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})/p}}{m^{(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})/q}} b_n \right] \\
 &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \frac{m^{(p-1)(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})}}{n^{1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}}} a_m^p \right\}^{1/p} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \frac{n^{(q-1)(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})}}{m^{1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}}} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q} \\
 &= \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda,\mu}(m) m^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda,\mu}(n) n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.2), we have (2.6).

For $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{p(\lambda+\mu)}{2}$, setting $\tilde{a}_m = m^{-(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}, \tilde{b}_n = n^{-(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}}$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{N}$), by (2.4), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \cdot m^{-(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} n^{-(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} = J(\varepsilon).$$

Assume that the constant factor $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$ in (2.6) is not the best possible, then there exists a positive number k with $0 < k \leq \frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$, such that (2.6) is still correct by changing $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$ to k , then, in particular, by (2.5), we have

$$\left(\frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} - o(1) \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} < J(\varepsilon)$$

$$< k \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} \tilde{a}_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} \tilde{b}_n^q \right\}^{1/q} = k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

It follows that $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu} - o(1) < k$, so $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu} \leq k(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$. Hence the constant factor $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$ in (2.6) is the best possible. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.4. *If $0 < p < 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda + \mu > 0, a_n, b_n \geq 0$ such that $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} a_n^p < \infty$ and $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} b_n^q < \infty$. Then we have the following inequality*

$$I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} a_m b_n > \frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_\lambda(n)] n^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} a_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q}, \quad (2.7)$$

where $0 < \theta_\lambda(m) := \frac{1}{2m^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}} = O(\frac{1}{m^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}}) \in (0, 1) (m \rightarrow \infty)$, and the constant factor $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$ is the best possible.

Proof. By the reverse Hölder’s inequality with weight [4] and in view of (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \left[\frac{m^{(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})/q}}{n^{(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})/p}} a_m \right] \left[\frac{n^{(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})/p}}{m^{(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})/q}} b_n \right] \\ &\geq \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \frac{m^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1}}{n^{1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}}} a_m^p \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \frac{n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1}}{m^{1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2}}} b_n^q \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda,\mu}(m) m^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varpi_{\lambda,\mu}(n) n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2), in view of $q < 0$, we have (2.7).

For $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{p(\lambda+\mu)}{2}$, setting $\tilde{a}_m = m^{-(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}}, \tilde{b}_n = n^{-(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} (m, n \in \mathbb{N})$, by (2.4), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\min\{m, n\})^\lambda}{(\max\{m, n\})^\mu} \cdot m^{-(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{p}} n^{-(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-\frac{\varepsilon}{q}} = J(\varepsilon).$$

Assume that the constant factor $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$ in (2.7) is not the best possible, then

there exists a positive number k with $k \geq \frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$, such that (2.7) is still correct by changing $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$ to k , then, in particular, by (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} + \tilde{o}(1) \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} > J(\varepsilon) \\ & > k \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [1 - \theta_{\lambda}(n)] n^{p(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} \tilde{a}_n^p \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1+\frac{\lambda-\mu}{2})-1} \tilde{b}_n^q \right\}^{1/q} \\ & = k \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[O \left(\frac{1}{n^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}} \right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right\}^{1/q} \\ & = k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \left\{ 1 - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[O \left(\frac{1}{n^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}} \right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{4}{\lambda + \mu} + \tilde{o}(1) > k \left\{ 1 - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[O \left(\frac{1}{n^{(\lambda+\mu)/2}} \right) \frac{1}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}^{1/p},$$

and then $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu} \geq k(\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+)$. Thus the constant factor $\frac{4}{\lambda+\mu}$ in (2.7) is the best possible. The theorem is proved. □

Acknowledgments

The work was partially supported by the Emphases Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Institution of Higher Learning, College and University (No. 05Z026). The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his or her suggestions and corrections.

References

- [1] M. Gao, On Hilbert's inequality and its applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **212** (1997), 316-323.
- [2] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press (1934).

- [3] J. Kuang, On new extensions of Hilbert's integral inequality, *Math. Anal. Appl.*, **235** (1999), 608-614.
- [4] J. Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Shangdong Science Press, Jinan (2004).
- [5] D.S. Mitrinovic, J.E. Pecaric, A.M. Fink, *Inequalities Involving Functions and their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic, Boston (1991).
- [6] B.G. Pachpatte, Inequalities similar to the integral analogue of Hilbert's inequality, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **30** (1999), 139-146.
- [7] B. Yang, On a relation between Hilbert's inequality and a Hilbert-type inequality, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **21**, No. 5 (2008), 483-488.
- [8] B. Yang, *The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities*, Science Press, Beijing (2009).
- [9] C. Zhao, L. Debnath, Some new inverse type Hilbert integral inequalities, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **262**, No. 1 (2001), 411-418.
- [10] W. Zhong, B. Yang, A reverse form of multiple Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, *International Journal of Mathematical Inequalities and Applications*, **1**, No. 1 (2007), 67-72.