

## WEAKLY GOLDIE EXTENDING MODULES

Fangdi Kong

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Lanzhou University of Technology  
Lanzhou, Gansu, 730050, P.R. CHINA  
e-mail: kong\_fd@yahoo.cn

**Abstract:** As a proper generalization of Goldie extending module, we introduce the concept of weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending module. Let  $X, Y \leq M$ . Then  $X \gamma Y$  if and only if there exist submodules  $A$  and  $A'$  of  $M$  such that  $A \cong A'$ ,  $A \leq_e X$  and  $A' \leq_e Y$ .  $M$  is called a weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending module if for every submodule  $X$  of  $M$ , there exists a direct summand  $D$  of  $M$  such that  $X \gamma D$ . Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending. It is shown that if  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -ejective (or  $M_2$  is  $M_1$ -ejective), then  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending. Finally, we show that if  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending, then so is its rational hull.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 16D70

**Key Words:** extending module,  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending module, weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending module

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with unity and all modules will be unital right  $R$ -modules. Let  $M$  be a module and  $A \leq M$ .  $A$  is called essential in  $M$  (denoted by  $A \leq_e M$ ) if for any  $X \leq M$ ,  $A \cap X = 0$  implies  $X = 0$ . For  $A \leq B \leq M$ , if  $A$  is essential in  $B$ , then  $B$  is called an essential extension of  $A$  in  $M$ . A submodule  $A$  is said to be closed in  $M$  (denoted by  $A \leq_c M$ ), if  $A$  has no proper essential extension in  $M$ . For  $A \leq B \leq M$ ,  $A$  is said to be a closure of  $B$  if  $B \leq_e A$  and  $A$  is closed in  $M$ . A module  $M$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending (i.e., Goldie extending) if for every submodule  $X$  of  $M$ , there exists a direct summand  $D$  of  $M$  such that  $X \beta D$ . In this paper, as a proper generalization of  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending module, we introduce the concept of weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending module.

Some properties of weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending modules is also weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending are given.

## 2. Results

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $M$  be a module. We consider the following relations on the set of submodules of  $M$ :

- (i)  $X\alpha Y$  if and only if there exists  $A \leq M$  such that  $X \leq_e A$  and  $Y \leq_e A$ ;
- (ii)  $X\beta Y$  if and only if there exists  $A \leq M$  such that  $A \leq_e X$  and  $A \leq_e Y$ ;
- (iii)  $X\gamma Y$  if and only if there exist submodules  $A$  and  $A'$  of  $M$  such that  $A \cong A'$ ,  $A \leq_e X$  and  $A' \leq_e Y$ .

Note that if  $X, Y \leq M$  with  $X\alpha Y$ , then  $X\beta Y$ . If  $X, Y \leq M$  with  $X\beta Y$ , then  $X\gamma Y$ . A module  $M$  is extending if for every submodule  $X$  of  $M$ , there exists a direct summand  $D$  of  $M$  such that  $X\alpha D$ . A module  $M$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending (i.e., Goldie extending) if for every submodule  $X$  of  $M$ , there exists a direct summand  $D$  of  $M$  such that  $X\beta D$ . In this note, we introduce the following concept.

**Definition 2.2.** A module  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending if for every submodule  $X$  of  $M$ , there exists a direct summand  $D$  of  $M$  such that  $X\gamma D$ .

Clearly, every  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending module is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.

**Definition 2.3.** (see [1]) Let  $M, N$  be modules. Then  $N$  is  $M$ -ejective if, for each  $K \leq M$  and each homomorphism  $f : K \rightarrow N$ , there exists a homomorphism  $g : M \rightarrow N$  and an  $X \leq_e K$  such that  $g(x) = f(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** (see [1]) Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be modules such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Then  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -ejective if and only if for every submodule  $K$  of  $M$  with  $K \cap M_1 = 0$  there exists a submodule  $M_3$  of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_3$  and  $K \cap M_3 \leq_e K$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $N$  be a semisimple module and  $M$  a module with zero socle. If  $L = N \oplus M$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending, then  $N$  is  $M$ -ejective.

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be any submodule of  $L$  with  $K \cap N = 0$ . Since  $L$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending, there exists a direct summand  $D$  of  $L$  such that  $K \cap D \leq_e K$  and  $K \cap D \leq_e D$ . Write  $L = D \oplus D'$ ,  $D' \leq L$ . Clearly,  $D \cap N = 0$ . Then  $\text{Soc}(D) = D \cap \text{Soc}(L) = D \cap \text{Soc}(N) = 0$ . Hence  $N = \text{Soc}(L) = \text{Soc}((D') \leq$

$D'$ . Then  $D' = N \oplus (D' \cap M)$ . Now  $L = N \oplus D \oplus (D' \cap M)$ . Obviously,  $K \cap (D \oplus (D' \cap M)) \leq_e K$ . Therefore,  $N$  is  $M$ -ejective by Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending. If  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -ejective (or  $M_2$  is  $M_1$ -ejective), then  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be a submodule of  $M$ . If  $Y \cap M_1 = 0$ , by Lemma 2.4, there exists a submodule  $M_3$  of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_3$  and  $Y \cap M_3 \leq_e Y$ . Since  $M_3 \cong M_2$ ,  $M_3$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending. Then there exists a direct summand  $D$  of  $M$  such that  $(Y \cap M_3) \gamma D$ , i.e., there exist submodules  $A$  and  $A'$  of  $M$  such that  $A \cong A'$ ,  $A \leq_e Y \cap M_3$  and  $A' \leq_e D$ . Now assume that  $Y \cap M_1 \neq 0$ . Then there exists a submodule  $K$  of  $Y$  such that  $(Y \cap M_1) \oplus K \leq_e Y$ . Hence  $K \cap M_1 = 0$ . Thus there exists a submodule  $M_4$  of  $M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_4$  and  $K \cap M_4 \leq_e K$ . Since  $M_4 \cong M_2$ ,  $M_4$  is also weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending. Then there exists a direct summand  $D_1$  of  $M_1$  such that  $(Y \cap M_1) \gamma D_1$ , i.e., there exist submodules  $B$  and  $B'$  of  $M_1$  such that  $B \cong B'$ ,  $B \leq_e Y \cap M_1$  and  $B' \leq_e D_1$ . There exists a direct summand  $D_2$  of  $M_4$  such that  $(K \cap M_4) \gamma D_2$ , i.e., there exist submodules  $C$  and  $C'$  of  $M_4$  such that  $C \cong C'$ ,  $C \leq_e K \cap M_4$  and  $C' \leq_e D_2$ . Hence  $B \oplus C \leq_e Y$  and  $B' \oplus C' \leq_e D_1 \oplus D_2$ . Clearly,  $B \oplus C \cong B' \oplus C'$  and  $D_1 \oplus D_2$  is a direct summand of  $M$ . Therefore,  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7.** *Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending. If  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ -injective (or  $M_2$  is  $M_1$ -injective), then  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.*

By analogy with the proof of [1, Corollary 3.2], we can obtain

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$  be a finite direct sum. Then if  $M_i$  is  $M_j$ -ejective for all  $j > i$  and each  $M_i$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending, then  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.*

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Then:*

(i) *If  $M_1$  is injective and  $M_2$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending, then  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.*

(ii) *If  $M_1$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending and  $M_2$  is semisimple, then  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.*

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending. If  $M$  is a duo module, then  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be a submodule of  $M$ . Then  $Y = (Y \cap M_1) \oplus (Y \cap M_2)$ . Since  $M_1$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending, there exists a direct summand  $D_1$  of  $M_1$  such that

$(Y \cap M_1)\gamma D_1$ , i.e., there exist submodules  $A$  and  $A'$  of  $M_1$  such that  $A \cong A'$ ,  $A \leq_e Y \cap M_1$  and  $A' \leq_e D_1$ . Since  $M_2$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending, there exists a direct summand  $D_2$  of  $M_2$  such that  $(Y \cap M_2)\gamma D_2$ , i.e., there exist submodules  $B$  and  $B'$  of  $M_2$  such that  $B \cong B'$ ,  $B \leq_e Y \cap M_2$  and  $B' \leq_e D_1$ . Hence  $A \oplus B \leq_e Y$  and  $A' \oplus B' \leq_e D_1 \oplus D_2$ . Therefore,  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $K \leq_e M$  such that  $K$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending and for each  $e^2 = e \in \text{End}(K)$  there exists  $\bar{e}^2 = \bar{e} \in \text{End}(M)$  such that  $\bar{e}|_K = e$ . Then  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.*

*Proof.* Assume  $K$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending. Let  $X \leq M$ . There exists  $e^2 = e \in \text{End}(K)$  such that  $(X \cap K)\gamma(eK)$ , i.e., there exist submodules  $A$  and  $A'$  of  $K$  such that  $A \cong A'$ ,  $A \leq_e X \cap K$  and  $A' \leq_e eK$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $\bar{e}^2 = \bar{e} \in \text{End}(M)$  such that  $\bar{e}|_K = e$ . Let  $0 \neq \bar{e}m \in \bar{e}M$ . There exists  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq \bar{e}mr \in K$ . Then  $0 \neq \bar{e}mr = \bar{e}(\bar{e}mr) = e(\bar{e}mr) \in eK$ . Hence  $eK \leq_e \bar{e}M$ . Then  $A \leq_e X$  and  $A' \leq_e (\bar{e}M)$ . Thus  $X\gamma(\bar{e}M)$ . Therefore,  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending.  $\square$

By analogy with the proof of [2, Corollary 3.14], we can obtain

**Corollary 2.12.** *Let  $M$  be a module. If  $M$  is weakly  $\mathcal{G}$ -extending, then so is the rational hull of  $M$ .*

## References

- [1] E. Akalan, G.F. Birkenmeier, A. Tercan, Goldie extending modules, *Comm. Algebra*, **37** (2009), 663-683.
- [2] G.F. Birkenmeier, A. Tercan, When some complement of a submodule is a summand, *Comm. Algebra*, **35** (2007), 597-611.
- [3] T.Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, Dekker, New York (1999).