

ON CERTAIN CLASS OF SZÁSZ-MIRAKYAN  
OPERATORS IN EXPONENTIAL WEIGHT SPACES

Lucyna Rempulska<sup>1 §</sup>, Szymon Graczyk<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Institute of Mathematics

Poznan University of Technology

3a, Ul. Piotrowo, Poznan, 60-965, POLAND

<sup>1</sup>e-mail: lucyna.rempulska@put.poznan.pl

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: szymon.graczyk@euronauka.com.pl

**Abstract:** Applying the Borel methods of summability of sequences, we introduce the class of Szász-Mirakyan operators acting from the exponential weight space  $C_q$  to  $C_q$  and we give approximation theorems for them.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 41A36

**Key Words:** Szász-Mirakyan operator, exponential weight space, degree of approximation, Voronovskaya type theorem

1. Introduction

1.1. The approximation properties of the Szász-Mirakyan operators

$$S_n(f; x) := e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

( $\mathbb{R}_0 = [0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ) and their various modifications were examined in many papers and monographs (e.g. [1]-[5], [8]-[10], [12], [13]).

In the paper [2] the operators  $S_n$  were investigated in the exponential weight space  $C_q$ ,  $q = \text{const.} > 0$ , with the weight function  $v_q(x) = e^{-qx}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ . There  $C_q$  is the set of all functions  $f : \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for which  $v_q f$  is uniformly continuous and bounded on  $\mathbb{R}_0$  and the norm is defined by

---

Received: February 20, 2010

© 2010 Academic Publications

§Correspondence author

$$\|f\|_q \equiv \|f(\cdot)\|_q := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_0} v_q(x) |f(x)|. \quad (2)$$

In [2] it was proved that  $S_n$  is a positive linear operator acting from the space  $C_q$  to  $C_r$  provided that  $r > q > 0$  and  $n > n_0$ , where  $n_0 > q/\ln(r/q)$  is a fixed integer.

**1.2.** In the paper [8] the following modified Szász-Mirakyan operators:

$$S_n(f; q; x) := e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n+q}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (3)$$

were introduced for  $f \in C_q$ . In [8] was proved that  $S_n(q)$  is a positive linear operator acting from the space  $C_q$  to  $C_q$  and

$$\|S_n(f; q)\|_q \leq \|f\|_q \quad \text{for } f \in C_q, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (4)$$

and approximation theorems were given for them.

**1.3.** In this paper (Section 2) we generalize the formulas (1) and (3) for  $S_n$ , applying the Borel methods of summability of sequences. We introduce the class of Szász-Mirakyan operators in the exponential weight space  $C_q$  and we examine approximation properties these operators.

The sequence  $(a_n)_0^\infty$  of numbers  $a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  is summable to  $g$  by the Borel method  $B_r$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , ([6] and [7]) if the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{rk}}{(rk)!} a_k$  is convergent on  $\mathbb{R}_0$  and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} r e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{rk}}{(rk)!} a_k = g.$$

In the paper [10] was defined the class of Szász-Mirakyan operators  $S_{n;r}$ .

$$S_{n;r}(f; x) := \frac{1}{A_r(nx)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^{rk}}{(rk)!} f\left(\frac{rk}{n}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (5)$$

$$A_r(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{rk}}{(rk)!}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_0, \quad (6)$$

for functions  $f$  belonging to the polynomial weight spaces. By (1), (5) and (6) it is obvious that  $S_{n;1}(f) \equiv S_n(f)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now we shall consider certain modification of the operators  $S_{n;r}$  in exponential weight spaces  $C_q$ .

**2. Definition and Auxiliary Results**

**2.1.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q > 0$  be fixed numbers. For  $f \in C_q$  we define the operators:

$$S_{n;r,q}(f;x) := \frac{1}{A_r(nx)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(nx)^{rk}}{(rk)!} f\left(\frac{rk}{n+q}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7)$$

where  $A_r$  is the function given by (6). We shall denote by  $\Omega_q, q > 0$ , the class of all operators  $S_{n;r,q}$  with  $n, r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

By (7) and (3) it is obvious that  $S_{n;1,q}(f;x) \equiv S_n(f;q;x)$  for  $f \in C_q, x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $f_k(x) = x^k$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2$ . We immediately obtain from (7) and (6):

$$S_{n;r,q}(f_0;x) = 1, \quad S_{n;r,q}(f_1;x) = \frac{x}{n+q} \frac{A'_r(nx)}{A_r(nx)}, \quad (8)$$

$$S_{n;r,q}(f_2;x) = \frac{x^2}{(n+q)^2} \frac{A''_r(nx)}{A_r(nx)} + \frac{x}{(n+q)^2} \frac{A'_r(nx)}{A_r(nx)}, \quad (9)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, we have

$$S_{n;r,q}(f;0) = f(0) \quad \text{for} \quad f \in C_q, n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (10)$$

**2.2.** In the paper [10] the following lemma was proved.

**Lemma 1.** *The function  $A_r, 3 \leq r \in \mathbb{N}$ , defined by (6) can be rewritten in the following form:*

$$A_{2m}(t) = \frac{1}{m} \left[ \cosh t + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \exp\left(t \cos \frac{k\pi}{m}\right) \cos\left(t \sin \frac{k\pi}{m}\right) \right] \quad (11)$$

for  $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$A_{2m+1}(t) = \frac{1}{2m+1} \left[ e^t + 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \exp\left(t \cos \frac{2k\pi}{2m+1}\right) \cos\left(t \sin \frac{2k\pi}{2m+1}\right) \right] \quad (12)$$

for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

Moreover,  $A_1(t) = e^t$  and  $A_2(t) = \cosh t = \frac{1}{2}(e^t + e^{-t})$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

Applying (11) and (12) (or (6)), we easily derive the following

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed. Then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A_r(t)}{e^t} = \frac{1}{r}.$$

Moreover, there exists a positive constant  $M_r^*$  depending only on  $r$  such that

$$1 \leq \frac{e^t}{A_r(t)} \leq M_r^* \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}_0. \tag{13}$$

**2.3.** Now we give some elementary properties of the operators  $S_{n;r,q}$ .

By (7), (6), (3) and (13) is obvious the following

**Corollary 1.** For  $q > 0$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and every non-negative function  $f \in C_q$  there holds the inequality

$$S_{n;r,q}(f; x) \leq M_r^* S_n(f; q; x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}_0, n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $M_r^* = \text{const.} > 0$  is given in (13).

Applying Corollary 1 and the formulas for  $S_n((t-x)^k; q; x)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 4$ , and  $S_n((t-x)^2/v_q(t); q; x)$  given in the paper [8], we immediately obtain

**Corollary 2.** Let  $q > 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed numbers. Then there exists  $M_{q,r} = \text{const.} > 0$  depending only on  $q$  and  $r$  such that

$$|S_{n;r,q}(t-x; x)| = \frac{e^{nx}}{A_r(nx)} |S_n(t-x; q; x)| \leq M_{q,r} \frac{x}{n+q}, \tag{14}$$

and analogously

$$S_{n;r,q}((t-x)^2; x) \leq M_{q,r} \frac{x^2+x}{n+q}, \tag{15}$$

$$S_{n;r,q}((t-x)^4; x) \leq M_{q,r} \frac{(x^2+x)^2}{(n+q)^2}, \tag{16}$$

$$v_q(x) S_{n;r,q}((t-x)^2/v_q(t); x) \leq M_{q,r} \frac{x^2+x}{n+q}, \tag{17}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Applying (8), (9) and Lemma 2, we can easily obtain the following

**Lemma 3.** For fixed  $q > 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  there holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n S_{n;r,q}(t-x; x) = -qx \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n S_{n;r,q}((t-x)^2; x) = x,$$

at every  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ .

**Lemma 4.** Let  $q > 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed and let  $M_r^* = \text{const.} > 0$  be given by (13). Then for  $S_{n;r,q} \in \Omega_q$  we have

$$\|S_{n;r,q}(f)\|_q \leq M_r^* \|f\|_q \quad \text{for } f \in C_q \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{18}$$

The formulas (7) and (6) and the inequality (18) show that  $S_{n;r,q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a positive linear operator acting from the space  $C_q$  to  $C_q$ .

*Proof.* From (7), (6), (2)-(4) and Corollary 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} v_q(x) |S_{n;r,q}(f; x)| &\leq \|f\|_q v_q(x) S_{n;r,q}(1/v_q(t); x) \\ &\leq M_r^* \|f\|_q \|S_n(1/v_q; q)\|_q \leq M_r^* \|f\|_q \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}_0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which by (2) yields the inequality (18). □

### 3. Theorems

**3.1.** First we shall give two theorems on the degree of approximation of  $f \in C_q$  by  $S_{n;r,q}(f)$ . We shall use the modulus of continuity  $\omega_1(f; C_q; \cdot)$  and the modulus of smoothness  $\omega_2(f; C_q; \cdot)$  of a function  $f \in C_q, q > 0$ , i.e.

$$\omega_k(f; C_q; t) := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t} \left\| \Delta_h^k f(\cdot) \right\|_q \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, k = 1, 2, \tag{19}$$

where  $\Delta_h^1 f(x) = f(x+h) - f(x)$  and  $\Delta_h^2 f(x) = f(x) - 2f(x+h) + f(x+2h)$  for  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}_0$  ([4], [11]). Moreover, let

$$\varphi(x) := (x^2 + 1)^{-1} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}_0, \tag{20}$$

$$\delta_{n,q} := (n + q)^{-1/2} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, q > 0, \tag{21}$$

and let  $C_q^2, q > 0$ , be the set of all functions  $f \in C_q$  which the derivatives  $f'$  and  $f''$  belong to  $C_q$  also.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $q > 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed numbers. Then there exists  $M_{q,r} = \text{const.} > 0$  depending only on  $q$  and  $r$  such that for every  $f \in C_q^2$  the following inequality holds:*

$$\|(S_{n;r,q}(f) - f) \varphi\|_q \leq M_{q,r} \frac{1}{n + q} (\|f'\|_q + \|f''\|_q) \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{22}$$

*Proof.* Choosing  $f \in C_q^2$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , we have

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t - x) + \int_x^t (t - u) f''(u) du$$

and by (2)

$$\left| \int_x^t (t - u) f''(u) du \right| \leq \|f''\|_q \left( \frac{1}{v_q(t)} + \frac{1}{v_q(x)} \right) (t - x)^2,$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_0$ . Using now the operator  $S_{n;r,q}$  and (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{n;r,q}(f(t); x) &= f(x) + f'(x) S_{n;r,q}(t - x; x) \\ &\quad + S_{n;r,q} \left( \int_x^t (t - u) f''(u) du; x \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

From the above and (14), (15) and (17) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} v_q(x) |S_{n;r,q}(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \|f'\|_q |S_{n;r,q}(t - x; x)| \\ &+ v_q(x) S_{n;r,q} \left( \left| \int_x^t (t - u) f''(u) du \right|; x \right) \leq \|f'\|_q |S_{n;r,q}(t - x; x)| \\ &+ \|f''\|_q \{v_q(x) S_{n;r,q}((t - x)^2/v_q(t); x) + S_{n;r,q}((t - x)^2; x)\} \\ &\leq M_{q,r} \frac{x^2 + x}{n + q} (\|f'\|_q + \|f''\|_q), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (20) and (2), we obtain (22). □

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $q > 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  are fixed and  $\varphi$  and  $\delta_{n,q}$  are defined by (20) and (21). Then there exists  $M_{q,r} = \text{const.} > 0$  depending only on  $q$  and  $r$  such that:*

$$\|(S_{n;r,q}(f) - f) \varphi\|_q \leq M_{q,r} \{ \delta_{n,q} \omega_1(f; C_q; \delta_{n,q}) + \omega_2(f; C_q; \delta_{n,q}) \}, \quad (23)$$

for every  $f \in C_q$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\omega_k(f; C_q; \cdot)$  is defined by (19).

*Proof.* Analogously to [2] we use the Steklov function  $f_h$  for  $f \in C_q$ :

$$f_h(x) := \frac{4}{h^2} \int_0^{h/2} \int_0^{h/2} [2f(x + s + t) - f(x + 2(s + t))] ds dt, \quad x \geq 0, h > 0.$$

It is known ([2]) that  $f_h \in C_q^2$  if  $f \in C_q$  and

$$\|f_h - f\|_q \leq \omega_2(f; C_q; h), \quad (24)$$

$$\|f'_h\|_q \leq 5e^{hq} h^{-1} \omega_1(f; C_q; h), \quad (25)$$

and

$$\|f''_h\|_q \leq 9h^{-2} \omega_2(f; C_q; h), \quad \text{for } h > 0. \quad (26)$$

By the linearity of the operator  $S_{n;r,q} : C_q \rightarrow C_q$  and (20) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|[S_{n;r,q}(f) - f] \varphi\|_q &\leq \|[S_{n;r,q}(f - f_h)] \varphi\|_q \\ &+ \|[S_{n;r,q}(f_h) - f_h] \varphi\|_q + \|f_h - f\|_q := Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by (20), (18) and (24), we have

$$Z_1 \leq \|S_{n;r,q}(f - f_h)\|_q \leq M_r^* \|f - f_h\|_q \leq M_r^* \omega_1(f; C_q; h).$$

Applying Theorem 1 for  $f_h \in C_q^2$  and next (25) and (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 &\leq M_{q,r} \frac{1}{n + q} (\|f'_h\|_q + \|f''_h\|_q) \\ &\leq M_{q,r} \frac{1}{n + q} \left( e^{hq} h^{-1} \omega_1(f; C_q; h) + h^{-2} \omega_2(f; C_q; h) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\| [S_{n;r,q}(f) - f] \varphi \|_q \leq M_{q;r} \left\{ e^{hq} h^{-1} (n+q)^{-1} \omega_1(f; C_q; h) + ((n+q)^{-1} h^{-2} + 1) \omega_2(f; C_q; h) \right\} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}, h > 0.$$

Choosing now  $h = (n+q)^{-1/2} \equiv \delta_{n,q}$  for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q > 0$ , we obtain the desired estimation (23).  $\square$

The property  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \omega_k(f; C_q; t) = 0$  for  $f \in C_q$  and  $k = 1, 2$ , and Theorem 2 imply the following

**Corollary 3.** For fixed  $q > 0, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $f \in C_q$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n;r,q}(f; x) = f(x) \quad \text{at every } x \in \mathbb{R}_0.$$

This convergence is uniform on every interval  $[a, b], a \geq 0$ .

**3.2.** Applying Corollary 3 and Lemma 3, we shall prove the Voronovskaya type theorem for the operators  $S_{n;r,q}$ .

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $f \in C_q^2$  with a fixed  $q > 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n (S_{n;r,q}(f; x) - f(x)) = -qx f'(x) + \frac{x}{2} f''(x) \quad \text{at every } x \in \mathbb{R}_0. \quad (27)$$

*Proof.* The statement (27) is obvious for  $x = 0$  by (10).

Let now  $x > 0$  be fixed. By the Taylor formula for  $f \in C_q^2$  we have

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x)(t-x) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x)(t-x)^2 + \psi(t, x)(t-x)^2,$$

for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_0$ , where  $\psi(t) \equiv \psi(t, x)$  is a function belonging to  $C_q$  and  $\psi(x) = 0$ . From the above (7) and (8) we deduce that

$$S_{n;r,q}(f; x) = f(x) + f'(x) S_{n;r,q}(t-x; x) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x) S_{n;r,q}((t-x)^2; x) + S_{n;r,q}(\psi(t)(t-x)^2; x), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using now Lemma 3, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n (S_{n;r,q}(f; x) - f(x)) = -qx f'(x) + \frac{x}{2} f''(x) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n S_{n;r,q}(\psi(t)(t-x)^2; x). \quad (28)$$

Next by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$n |S_{n;r,q}(\psi(t)(t-x)^2; x)| \leq (S_{n;r,q}(\psi^2(t); x))^{1/2} (n^2 S_{n;r,q}((t-x)^4; x))^{1/2} \quad (29)$$

and, by Corollary 3,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n;r,q}(\psi^2(t); x) = \psi^2(x) = 0. \quad (30)$$

From (29), (30) and (16) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nS_{n;r,q}(\psi(x)(t-x)^2; x) = 0,$$

which used to (28) yields the statement (27).  $\square$

**Remark.** Theorems 1-3 show that the approximation properties of the Szász-Mirakyan operators  $S_{n;r,q} \in \Omega_q$  are independent on  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  but these are dependent only on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $q > 0$ .

### References

- [1] M. Becker, Global approximation theorems for Szász-Mirakyan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight spaces, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **27**, No. 1 (1978), 127-142.
- [2] M. Becker, D. Kucharski, R.J. Nessel, Global approximation theorems for the Szász-Mirakyan operators in exponential weight spaces, In: *Linear Spaces and Approximation, Proc. Conf. Oberwolfach, 1977*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, ISNM 40 (1978), 319-333.
- [3] A. Ciupa, Approximation by a generalized Szász type operator, *J. Comput. Anal. and Applic.*, **5**, No. 4 (2003), 413-424.
- [4] Z. Ditzian, V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer-Verlag, New-York (1987).
- [5] P. Gupta, V. Gupta, Rate of convergence on Baskakov-Szász type operators, *Fasc. Math.*, **31** (2001), 37-44.
- [6] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford (1949).
- [7] K. Knopp, *Infinite Series*, PWN, Warsaw (1956).
- [8] L. Rempulska, Z. Walczak, Approximation properties of certain modified Szász-Mirakyan operators, *Le Matematiche*, **55**, No. 1 (2000), 121-132.
- [9] L. Rempulska, Z. Walczak, Modified Szász-Mirakyan operators, *Math. Balcanica*, **18** (2004), 53-63.
- [10] L. Rempulska, Sz. Graczyk, Approximation by modified Szász-Mirakyan operators, *J. of Inequal. in Pure and Appl. Math.*, **10**, No. 3 (2009), 1-8.



- [11] A.F. Timan, *Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable*, Moscow (1960), In Russian.
- [12] V. Totik, Uniform approximation by Szász-Mirakyan type operators, *Acta Math. Hung.*, **41**, No-s: 3-4 (1983), 291-307.
- [13] R.A. De Vore, G.G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York (1993).

