

ON NEW CLASSES INVOLVING
MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Maslina Darus^{1 §}, Rabha W. Ibrahim²

^{1,2}School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
University Kebangsaan Malaysia

Bangi, Selangor Darul Ehsan, 43600, MALAYSIA

¹e-mail: maslina@ukm.my

²e-mail: rabhaibrahim@yahoo.com

Abstract: A certain generalized differential operator $D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathbf{R}$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}_0 = 0, 1, \dots$, is introduced. The main object of this paper is to give an application of the above operator $D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k$ to the differential inequalities.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45

Key Words: analytic functions, p -valent functions, meromorphic functions, differential operator

1. Introduction

Let $\Sigma(p)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (p \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U^* = \{z : z \in \mathbf{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}$. In the present paper we define a generalized differential operator as follows: $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$,

Received: December 8, 2010

© 2011 Academic Publications

[§]Correspondence author

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^1 f(z) &= \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{(p + \mu)(1 - \lambda)}{(p + \nu)[1 - \lambda(p + 1)]} a_0 + \frac{(p + 2 + \mu)(1 + \lambda)}{(p + 2 + \nu)[1 - \lambda(p + 1)]} a_2 z^2 + \dots, \\
 D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^2 f(z) &= D(D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^1 f(z)), \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

and for $k = 1, 2, \dots (p \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \mu, \nu \in \mathbf{R}, \lambda \geq 0, z \in U^*)$

$$D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p + n + \mu)(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)}{(p + n + \nu)(1 - (p + 1)\lambda)} \right]^k a_n z^n, \tag{2}$$

Clearly, (2) yields $f \in \Sigma(p) \Rightarrow D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z) \in \Sigma(p)$.

Remark 1.1. Operator (2) involving the differential operator of meromorphic functions is defined and studied by many authors (see [10], [2], [4], [1]).

Given two functions $f, g \in \Sigma(p)$, $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$. Their convolution or Hadamard product $f(z) * g(z)$ is defined by $f(z) * g(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$ ($z \in U^*$).

The object of the present paper is to investigate some new properties of meromorphic p -valent functions defined by the above operator.

A function $f(z) \in \Sigma(p)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_p(\rho)$ the class of meromorphically p -valent starlike functions of order ρ where $0 \leq \rho < p$, if and only if $f \neq 0$, and $-\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \rho$ ($z \in U$).

A function $f \in \Sigma(p)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}_p(\rho)$, the class of meromorphically p -valent convex functions of order μ where $0 \leq \rho < p$, if and only if $f' \neq 0$, and $-\Re\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \rho$ ($z \in U$). Thus for $f \in \Sigma(p)$ it yields

$$f(z) \in \mathcal{C}_p(\rho) \Leftrightarrow -\frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in \mathcal{S}_p(\rho).$$

A function $f \in \Sigma(p)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,\nu,\lambda,p}(\rho)$, the class of meromorphically p -valent starlike functions of order ρ where $0 \leq \rho < p$, if and only if $f \neq 0$, and $-\Re\left\{\frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]}\right\} > \rho$ ($z \in U$).

A function $f \in \Sigma(p)$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}_{\mu,\nu,\lambda,p}(\rho)$, the class of meromorphically p -valent convex functions of order ρ where $0 \leq \rho < p$, if and only if $f' \neq 0$, and $-\Re\left\{1 + \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}\right\} > \rho$ ($z \in U$). Classes of meromorphic p -valent functions, including the classes the above classes were studied rather extensively by many authors (see [9], [8], [7], [5], [3], [6]).

Now for $0 \leq \alpha < p$ and $\beta \geq 0$, we let $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,\nu,\lambda,p}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of $\Sigma(p)$ consisting of functions of the form (1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$-\Re\left\{\frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + \alpha\right\} > \beta \left| \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + p \right|, \tag{3}$$

where $D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)$ is the operator defined in (2). The main aim of this work is to study the general class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,\nu,\lambda,p}(\alpha, \beta)$ of functions which belong to the class $\Sigma(p)$ defined in (1).

2. Main Results

In this section, we obtain a sufficient condition for functions f in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,\nu,\lambda,p}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.1. *A sufficient condition for function $f(z)$ of the form (1) to be in $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,\nu,\lambda,p}(\alpha, \beta)$ is that*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[n(1 + \beta) + p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)||a_n|}{p - \alpha} \leq 1 \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p, \beta \geq 0), \tag{4}$$

where

$$B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda) = \left[\frac{(p + n + \mu)(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)}{(p + n + \nu)(1 - (p + 1)\lambda)} \right]^k \quad (\lambda \geq 0).$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\beta \left| \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + p \right| + \Re\left\{ \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + p \right\} \leq p - \alpha \quad (z \in U^*).$$

We receive

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \left| \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + p \right| + \Re\left\{ \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + p \right\} &\leq (1 + \beta) \left| \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + p \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + p) |B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)||a_n||z|^{n+p}}{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)||a_n||z|^{n+p}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{(1 + \beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + p) |B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)| |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)| |a_n|}.$$

This last expression is bounded by $p - \alpha$ if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n(1 + \beta) + p(2 + \beta) - \alpha] |B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)| |a_n| \leq p - \alpha.$$

The result is attained for a function f given by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p - \alpha}{[n(1 + \beta) + p(2 + \beta) - \alpha] |B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} z^n \tag{5}$$

$(p \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\})$

and this completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then*

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{p - \alpha}{[n(1 + \beta) + p(2 + \beta) - \alpha] |B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

The result is sharp for functions f given by (5).

Corollary 2.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then*

$$-\Re \left\{ \frac{z (D_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}^k f(z))'}{D_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}^k f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U^*).$$

Next we introduce some well known results which were studied by different authors.

Corollary 2.3. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then*

$$-\Re \left\{ \frac{z (f(z))'}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U^*).$$

Corollary 2.4. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then*

$$-\Re \left\{ \frac{z (D_{\mu, \mu, \lambda}^k f(z))'}{D_{\mu, \mu, \lambda}^k f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U^*).$$

Proof. By assuming $\mu = \nu, \beta = 0$. □

Note that $D_{\mu, \mu, \lambda}^k f(z)$ is the differential operator for meromorphic functions introduced by Al-Dihan [1].

Corollary 2.5. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then*

$$-\Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U^*).$$

A distortion property for functions f to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu, \nu, \lambda, p}(\alpha, \beta)$, is given as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{r^p} - \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{0,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r^p} + \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{0,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|}$$

with equality holds for

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{0,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|}.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} pr^{-p-1} + \frac{(p - \alpha)}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{1,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} r^{p-1} &\leq |f'(z)| \\ &\leq pr^{-p-1} - \frac{(p - \alpha)}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{1,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} r^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

with equality holds for

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z^{p-1}} + \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{0,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} z^{p-1},$$

where

$$B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda) = \left[\frac{(p + n + \mu)(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)}{(p + n + \nu)(1 - (p + 1)\lambda)} \right]^k \quad (\lambda \geq 0).$$

Proof. Since f satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1 yields $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu, \nu, \lambda, p}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then we receive

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \frac{p - \alpha}{[n(1 + \beta) + p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p, n \geq 0).$$

Thus for $0 < |z| = r < 1$, we have

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n||z|^n \leq r^{-p} + \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{0,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$|f(z)| \geq |z|^{-p} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n||z|^n \geq r^{-p} - \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{0,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|}.$$

Also from Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \leq \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{0,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p, n \geq 0).$$

Hence

$$|f'(z)| \leq p|z|^{-p-1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_n||z|^{n-1} \leq pr^{-p-1} + \frac{(p - \alpha)}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{1,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} r^{p-1}.$$

On the other hand we have

$$|f'(z)| \geq |z|^{-p} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n||z|^{n-1} \geq pr^{-p-1} - \frac{(p - \alpha)}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{1,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} r^{p-1}.$$

Hence, this completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Then*

$$r^{-p} - \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]} \leq |D_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}^k f(z)| \leq r^{-p} + \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]}.$$

Proof. Since f satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1 yields $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\mu, \nu, \lambda, p}(\alpha, \beta)$. Then we receive

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{p - \alpha}{[n(1 + \beta) + p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]|B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)|} \quad (0 \leq \alpha < p, n \geq 0).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |D_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}^k f(z)| &\leq |z|^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p + n + \mu)(1 + (n - 1)\lambda)}{(p + n + \nu)(1 - (p + 1)\lambda)} \right]^k |a_n||z|^n \\ &\leq r^{-p} + \frac{p - \alpha}{[p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)| &\geq |z|^{-p} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(p+n+\mu)(1+(n-1)\lambda)}{(p+n+\nu)(1-(p+1)\lambda)} \right]^k |a_n| |z|^n \\
 &\leq r^{-p} - \frac{p-\alpha}{[p(2+\beta)-\alpha]}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Next the radii of starlikeness and convexity for the class $\mathcal{S}_{\mu,\nu,\lambda,p}(\alpha, \beta)$, is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.4. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Then f is starlike of order ρ , $0 \leq \rho < p$ in the unit disc $0 < |z| < r_1 < 1$, where r_1 is the largest value*

$$r_1 = \inf_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{p-\rho}{[n+2-\rho]H_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+p}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where

$$H_n := \frac{p-\alpha}{[n(1+\beta)+p(2+\beta)-\alpha]}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho$$

for $|z| < r_1$ we receive

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]} + 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{(1-p) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)a_n z^{n+p}}{1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)a_n z^{n+p}} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{|1-p| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) \frac{p-\alpha}{[n(1+\beta)+p(2+\beta)-\alpha]} |z|^{n+p}}{1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p-\alpha}{[n(1+\beta)+p(2+\beta)-\alpha]} |z|^{n+p}} \\
 &\leq 1 - \rho
 \end{aligned}$$

when

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [n+2-\rho]H_n |z|^{n+p} \leq p-\rho, \quad n \geq 0.$$

And it follows that

$$|z| \leq \left(\frac{p - \rho}{[n + 2 - \rho]H_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+p}}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then

$$r_1 = \inf_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{p - \rho}{[n + 2 - \rho]H_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+p}}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Then f is convex of order ρ , $0 \leq \rho < p$ in the unit disc $0 < |z| < r_2 < 1$, where r_2 is the largest value*

$$r_2 = \inf_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{p(1 - \rho)}{n[n + 2 - \rho]H_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+p-2}}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where

$$H_n := \frac{p - \alpha}{[n(1 + \beta) + p(2 + \beta) - \alpha]}.$$

The result is sharp.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'} + p + 1 \right| \leq 1 - \rho.$$

For $|z| < r_2$ we receive

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{z[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]''}{[D_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}^k f(z)]'} + p + 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(n+1)B_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)a_n z^{n+p-2}}{-p + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} nB_{n,p}^k(\mu, \nu, \lambda)a_n z^{n+p-2}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n(n+1) \frac{p-\alpha}{[n(1+\beta)+p(2+\beta)-\alpha]} |z|^{n+p-2}}{p - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n(p-\alpha)}{[n(1+\beta)+p(2+\beta)-\alpha]} |z|^{n+p-2}} \\ &\leq 1 - \rho \end{aligned}$$

when

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n[n + 2 - \rho]H_n |z|^{n+p-2} \leq p(1 - \rho), \quad n \geq 0.$$

And it follows that

$$|z| \leq \left(\frac{p(1 - \rho)}{n[n + 2 - \rho]H_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+p-2}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then

$$r_2 = \inf_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{p(1 - \rho)}{n[n + 2 - \rho]H_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+p-2}}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgments

The work here was supported by UKM-ST-06-FRGS0107-2009, MOHE Malaysia. The authors also would like to thank the anonymous referee for the informative and creative comments given to the article.

References

- [1] N. Al-Dihan, Partial sums of certain classes of meromorphic functions, *Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci.*, **43**, No. 1 (2006), 51-61.
- [2] M.K. Aouf, H.M. Hossen, New criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **17** (1993), 481-486.
- [3] S.R. Kulkarni, U.H. Naik, H.M. Srivastava, A certain class of meromorphically p -valent, quasi-convex functions, *Pan Amer. Math. J.*, **8**, No. 1 (1998), 57-64.
- [4] J. Liu, S. Owa, On certain meromorphic p -valent functions, *Taiwanese J. of Math.*, **2**, No. 1 (1998), 107-110.
- [5] M.L. Mogra, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients II, *Math. Japan.*, **35** (1990), 1089-1098.
- [6] O. Nasser, M. Darus, Meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *International Mathematical Forum*, **1**, No. 15 (2006), 713-722.
- [7] H.M. Srivastava, M.K. Aouf, Some applications of fractional calculus operators to certain subclasses of prestarlike functions with negative coefficients, *Comp. Math. with App.*, **30** (1995), 53-61.
- [8] H.M. Srivastava, H.M. Hossen, M.K. Aouf, A unified presentation of some classes meromorphically multivalent functions, *Comp. Math. with App.*, **38** (1999), 63-70.
- [9] B.A. Uralegaddi, M.D. Ganigi, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficient, *Nepali Math. Sci. Rep.*, **11** (1986), 95-102.
- [10] B.A. Uralegaddi, Somanatha, New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **43** (1991), 137-140.

386