

**A COMMON FIXED POINTS THEOREM FOR
OCCASIONALLY WEAKLY COMPATIBLE
PAIRS ON D*-METRIC SPACES**

G.A. Dhanorkar¹§, J.N. Salunke²

¹Vidya Pratishthan's College of Engineering (VPCOE)
Vidyanagari, MIDC, Baramati
Pune, Maharashtra, 413133, INDIA

²School of Mathematical Sciences
N.M.U.
Jalgaon, INDIA,

Abstract: In this paper we have proved common fixed point theorem for occasionally weakly compatible pairs on D* Metric space.

AMS Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25

Key Words: generalized D*-metric space, occasionally weakly compatible mappings

1. Introduction

Fuzzy set was defined by Zadeh [15] in 1965. Kramosil and Michalek [13] introduced fuzzy metric space and the concept of fuzzy topological spaces introduced by fuzzy metric which have very important applications in quantum Physics. On the other hand, there have been a number of generalizations of metric spaces. One of such generalization is generalized metric space (or D-Metric space) initiated by B.C. Dhage [1] in 1992. He proved existence of unique fixed point of self-map satisfying contractive condition in complete and bounded D-metric spaces. Dealing with D-metric spaces, Ahmad et al [2], B.C. Dhage (see [1], [3], [4]), Rhoades [5], Singh and Sharma [6], and others made significant contribution in fixed point theory of D-metric space. Unfortunately, almost all theorems in D-metric spaces are not valid (see [7], [8], [9]).

Received: April 21, 2011

© 2011 Academic Publications, Ltd.

§Correspondence author

In this paper, we introduce D^* -metric which is a probable modification of definition of D -metric introduced by Dhage (see [1], [3]) and prove some properties in D^* -metric spaces.

In what follows (X, D) will denote a D^* -metric spaces, N the set of all natural numbers and \mathbb{R}^+ the set all the positive real numbers.

2. Preliminary Notes

Definition 2.1. Let X be a non empty set. A generalized D^* -metric on X is a function, $D^* : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that satisfies the following conditions for all $x, y, z, a \in X$:

$$(1) D^*(x; y; z) \geq 0;$$

$$(2) D^*(x; y; z) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y = z,$$

(3) $D^*(x; y; z) = D^*(\rho\{x; y; z\})$, (symmetry) where ρ is a permutation function,

$$(4) D^*(x; y; z) \leq D^*(x; y; a) + D^*(a; z; z).$$

Then the function D^* is called a generalized D^* -metric and the pair $(X; D^*)$ is called a generalized D^* -metric space

Example 2.2. Let $\{P = (x; y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x; y \geq 0\}$, $X = R$ and $D^* : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $D^*(x; y; z) = (|x + y| + |y + z| + |x + z|; \alpha(|x + y| + |y + z| + |x + z|))$, where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a constant. Then $(X; D^*)$ is a generalized D^* - metric space.

Proposition 2.3. If $(X; D^*)$ be generalized D^* metric space, Then for all $x; y; z \in X$, then $D^*(x; x; y) = D^*(x; y; y)$

Proof. Let $D^*(x; x; y) \leq D^*(x; x; x) + D^*(x; y; y) = D^*(x; y; y)$ and similarly $D^*(y; y; x) \leq D^*(y; y; y) + D^*(y; x; x) = D^*(y; x; x)$. Hence we have $D^*(x; x; y) = D^*(x; y; y)$.

Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. For $r > 0$, define

$$B_{D^*}(x, r) = \{y \in X : D^*(x, y, y) < r\}.$$

Example 2.4. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$. Denote $D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |z - x|$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} B_{D^*}(1, 2) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : D^*(1, y, y) < 2\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y - 1| + |y - 1| < 2\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \{y \in \mathbb{R} : |y - 1| < 1\} \\
 &= (0, 2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.5. Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space and $A \subset X$.

(1) If for every $x \in A$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_{D^*}^*(x, r) \subset A$, then subset A is called open subset of X .

(2) Subset A of X is said to be D^* -bounded if there exists $r > 0$ such that $D^*(x, y, y) < r$ for all $x, y \in A$.

(3) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x if and only if $D^*(x_n, x_n, x) = D^*(x, x, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. That is, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$D^*(x, x, x_n) < \epsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \tag{*}$$

This is equivalent; for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$D^*(x, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq n_0. \tag{**}$$

then

$$D^*(x, x_n, x_m) = D^*(x_n, x, x_m) < D^*(x_n, x, x_m) + D^*(x, x_n, x_m) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon,$$

$\forall n, m \geq n_0$. Conversely, set $m = n$ in (**), then we have $D^*(x_n, x_n, x) < \epsilon$.

(4) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D^*(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for each $n, m \geq n_0$. The D^* -metric space (X, D^*) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

Let τ be the set of all $A \subset X$ with $x \in A$ if and only if there exists $r > 0$ such that $B_{D^*}^*(x, r) \subset A$. Then τ is a topology on X (induced by the D^* -metric D^*).

Lemma 2.6. (see [14]) *Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. If $r > 0$, then ball $B_{D^*}^*(x, r)$ with center $x \in X$ and radius r is open ball.*

Definition 2.7. Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. D^* is said to be a continuous function on X^3 if

$$\lim D^*(x_n, y_n, z_n) = D^*(x, y, z)$$

whenever a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n, z_n)\}$ in X^3 converges to a point (x, y, z) in X^3 , that is,

$$\lim x_n = x, \lim y_n = y, \lim z_n = z.$$

Lemma 2.8. (see [14]) *Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. Then D^* is a continuous function on X^3 .*

Lemma 2.9. (see [14]) *Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to x , then x is unique.*

Lemma 2.10. (see [14]) *Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent to x , then sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.*

Lemma 2.11. (see [14]) *Let (X, D^*) be a D^* -metric space. If sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is convergent to x , then sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.*

Definition 2.12. Let A and S be two mappings from a D^* -metric space (X, D^*) into itself. Then $\{A, S\}$ is said to be weakly commuting pair if

$$D^*(ASx, SAx, SAx) = D^*(Ax, Sx, Sx),$$

for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.13. Let X be a set, f, g self maps of X . A point x in X is called a coincidence point of f and g iff $fx = gx$. We shall call $w = fx = gx$ a point of coincidence of f and g .

Definition 2.14. (see [10]) A pair of maps S and T is called weakly compatible pair if they commute at coincidence points.

The concept occasionally weakly compatible is introduced by M. Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [11]. It is stated as follows.

Definition 2.15. Two self maps f and g of a set X are occasionally weakly compatible (owc) iff there is a point x in X which is a coincidence point of f and g at which f and g commute.

A. Al-Thagafi and Naseer Shahzad [11] shown that occasionally weakly is weakly compatible but converse is not true.

Example 2.16. (see [11]) Let R be the usual metric space. Define $S, T : R \rightarrow R$ by $Sx = 2x$ and $Tx = x^2$ for all $x \in R$. Then $Sx = Tx$ for $x = 0, 2$ but $ST0 = TS0$, and $ST2 \neq TS2$. S and T are occasionally weakly compatible self maps but not weakly compatible.

Lemma 2.17. (see [11]) *Let X be a set, f, g owc self maps of X . If f and g have a unique point of coincidence, $w = fx = gx$, then w is the unique common fixed point of f and g .*

Clearly, a commuting pair is weakly commuting, but not conversely

3. Main Results

A class of implicit relation. Throughout this section (X, D^*) denotes a D^* -metric space and Φ denotes a family of mappings such that each $\phi \in \Phi$, $\phi : (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$, and ϕ is continuous and increasing in each coordinate variable. Also $\gamma(t) = \phi(t, t, a_1t, a_2t, t) < t$ for every $t \in R^+$ where $a_1 + a_2 = 3$.

Example 3.1. Let $\phi : (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$ be defined by

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) < \frac{1}{7}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5).$$

The following lemma is the key in proving our result.

Lemma 3.2. For every $t > 0$, $\gamma(t) < t$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma^n(t) = 0$, where γ^n denotes the composition of γ with itself n times.

Theorem 3.3. Let A be a self-mapping of complete D^* -metric space (X, D^*) , and let S, T be continuous self-mappings on X satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\{A, S\}$ and $\{A, T\}$ are occasionally weakly compatible pairs such that $A(X) \subset S(X) \cap T(X)$.

(ii) there exists a $\phi \in \Phi$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$D^*(Ax, Ay, Az) \leq \phi\{D^*(Sx, Ty, Tz), D^*(Sx, Ax, Ax), D^*(Sx, Ay, Ay), D^*(Ty, Ax, Ax), D^*(Ty, Ay, Ay)\}. \tag{1}$$

Then A, S , and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point in X . Then $Ax_0 \in X$. Since $A(X)$ is contained in $S(X)$, there exists a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Sx_1$. Since $A(X)$ is also contained in $T(X)$, we can choose a point $x_2 \in X$ such that $Ax_1 = Tx_2$. Continuing this way, we define by induction a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$Sx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n} = y_{2n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2}$$

and

$$Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n+1} = y_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3}$$

For simplicity, we set

$$d_n = D^*(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{4}$$

We prove that $d_{2n} = d_{2n-1}$. Now, if $d_{2n} > d_{2n-1}$ for some $n \in N$, since ϕ is an increasing function, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{2n} &= D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \\
 &= D(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}) = D^*(Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) \\
 &\leq \phi \left(\begin{array}{c} D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}), \\ D^*(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right. \\
 &\qquad \left. \begin{array}{c} D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}), \quad D^*(Sx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) \\ D^*(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}), \quad D^*(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \phi \left(\begin{array}{c} D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}) \\ D^*(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right. \\
 &\qquad \left. = \begin{array}{c} D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), \quad D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}) \\ D^*(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, y_{2n}) \end{array} \right). \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) &= D^*(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) + D^*(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) \\
 &= d_{2n-1} + d_{2n}, \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

hence by the above inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{2n} &\leq \phi(d_{2n-1}, d_{2n}, 0, d_{2n-1} + d_{2n}, d_{2n-1}) \leq \phi(d_{2n}, d_{2n}, d_{2n}, 2d_{2n}, d_{2n}) \\
 &< d_{2n}, \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $d_{2n} = d_{2n-1}$. Similarly, one can prove that $d_{2n+1} = d_{2n}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Consequently, $\{d_n\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative reals. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_1 &= D^*(y_1, y_2, y_2) = D^*(Ax_1, Ax_2, Ax_2), \\
 &\leq \phi \left(\begin{array}{c} D^*(Sx_1, Tx_2, Tx_3), \quad D^*(Sx_1, Ax_1, Ax_1), \quad D^*S(x_1, Ax_2, Ax_2), \\ D^*(Tx_2, Ax_1, Ax_1), \quad D^*(Tx_2, Ax_2, Ax_2) \end{array} \right) \\
 &= \phi \left(\begin{array}{c} D^*(y_0, y_1, y_1), \quad D^*(y_0, y_1, y_1), \quad D^*(y_0, y_2, y_2), \\ D^*(y_1, y_1, y_1), \quad D^*(y_1, y_2, y_2) \end{array} \right) \tag{8} \\
 &= \phi(d_0, d_0, d_0 + d_1, 0, d_0) \\
 &\leq \phi(d_0, d_0, 2d_0, d_0, d_0) = \gamma(d_0).
 \end{aligned}$$

In general, we have $d_n = \gamma^n(d_0)$. So if $d_0 > 0$, then Lemma 3.2 gives $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$. For $d_0 = 0$, we clearly have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$, since then $d_n = 0$ for each n . Now

we prove that sequence $\{Ax_n = y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n = 0$, it is sufficient to show that the sequence $\{Ax_{2n} = y_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{Ax_{2n} = y_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each even integer $2k$, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist even integers $2n(k)$ and $2m(k)$ with $2k \leq 2n(k) \leq 2m(k)$ such that

$$D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)}) > \epsilon. \tag{9}$$

Let, for each even integer $2k$, $2m(k)$ be the least integer exceeding $2n(k)$ satisfying (8). Therefore

$$D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)-2}) \leq \epsilon, D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)}) > \epsilon. \tag{10}$$

Then, for each even integer $2k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &< D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)}) \\ &= D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)-2}) + D^*(Ax_{2m(k)-2}, Ax_{2n(k)-2}, Ax_{2m(k)-1}) \\ &+ D^*(Ax_{2m(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)-1}, Ax_{2m(k)}) \\ &= D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)-2}) + d_{2m(k)-2} + d_{2m(k)-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

So, by (9) and $d_n \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)}) = \epsilon. \tag{12}$$

It follows immediately from the triangular inequality that

$$|D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)-1}) - D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)})| \leq d_{2m(k)-1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} |D^*(Ax_{2n(k)+1}, Ax_{2n(k)+1}, Ax_{2m(k)-1}) - D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)})| \\ < d_{2m(k)-1} + d_{2m(k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Hence by (9), as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)-1}) &\rightarrow \epsilon, \\ D^*(Ax_{2n(k)+1}, Ax_{2n(k)+1}, Ax_{2m(k)-1}) &\rightarrow \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)}) &\leq D^*(Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2n(k)+1}) \\ &+ D^*(Ax_{2n(k)+1}, Ax_{2n(k)}, Ax_{2m(k)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \phi \left(\begin{array}{c} D^*(S^2x_{2n-1}, T^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n}), \\ D^*(S^2x_{2n-1}, S^2x_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n-1}) + D^*(Sx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n-1}), \\ D^*(S^2x_{2n-1}, TAx_{2n}, TAx_{2n}) + D^*(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), \\ D^*(T^2x_{2n}, SAx_{2n-1}, SAx_{2n-1}) + D^*(Sx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n-1}), \\ D^*(T^2x_{2n}, TAx_{2n}, TAx_{2n}) + D^*(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) \end{array} \right) \\
 & + D^*(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n}) \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

If $D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz) > 0$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz) \leq D^*(z, z, z) \\
 & + \phi \left(\begin{array}{ccc} D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz), & D^*(Sz, Sz, Sz) + 0, & D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz) + 0, \\ D^*(Tz, Sz, Sz) + 0, & D^*(Tz, Tz, Tz) + 0 & \end{array} \right) + 0 \\
 & \leq \gamma(D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz)) < D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz), \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $Sz = Tz$. Now we will prove that $Az = Sz$. To end this, consider the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(SAx_{2n+1}, Az, Az) & \leq D^*(SAx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) \\
 & + D^*(Az, Az, ASx_{2n+1}). \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (ii) and the occasionally weakly compatibility of $\{A, S\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(SAx_{2n+1}, Az, Az) & \leq D^*(SAx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}, ASx_{2n+1}) \\
 & + \phi \left(\begin{array}{ccc} D^*(Sz, Tz, TSx_{2n+1}), & D^*(Sz, Az, Az), & D^*(Tz, Az, Az), \\ D^*(Tz, Az, Az), & D^*(Tz, Az, Az) & \end{array} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(Sz, Az, Az) & \leq D^*(z, z, z) + \\
 & \phi \left(\begin{array}{ccc} D^*(Sz, Tz, Tz), & D^*(Sz, Az, Az), & D^*(Sz, Az, Az), \\ D^*(Tz, Az, Az), & D^*(Tz, Az, Az) & \end{array} \right) \\
 & = \phi(0, D^*(Sz, Az, Az), D^*(Sz, Az, Az), D^*(Sz, Az, Az), D^*(Sz, Az, Az)) \\
 & \leq \delta(D^*(Sz, Az, Az)) < D^*(Sz, Az, Az), \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

given there by $Sz = Az$. Thus $Az = Sz = Tz$. It now follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(Az, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) & \leq \\
 \phi \left(\begin{array}{ccc} D^*(Sz, Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n}), & D^*(Sz, Az, Az), & D^*(Sz, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}), \\ D^*(Tx_{2n}, Az, Az), & D^*(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}) & \end{array} \right). \tag{23}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(Az, z, z) &\leq \phi(D^*(Sz, z, z), 0, D^*(Sz, z, z), D^*(z, Az, Az), 0) \\
 &= \gamma(D^*(Az, z, z) < D^*(Az, z, z))
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{24}$$

a contradiction, and therefore $Az = z = Sz = Tz$. Thus z is a common fixed point of A, S , and T . The unicity of the common fixed point is not hard to verify. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Example 3.4. Let (X, D^*) be metric space, where $X = [0, 1]$ and

$$D^*(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |y - z| + |x - z|.
 \tag{25}$$

Define self mapping A, S and T on X as follows:

$$Sx = x, \quad Ax = 0, \quad Tx = \frac{x^2}{2},
 \tag{26}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Let

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) = \frac{1}{7}(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5).
 \tag{27}$$

Then

$$A(X) = 0 \in [0, 1] \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}] = S(X) \cap T(X).
 \tag{28}$$

for every $x \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(ATx, TAx, TAx) &= D^*(0, 0, 0) = 0 \leq D^*(Ax, Tx, Tx), \\
 D^*(ASx, SAx, SAx) &= D^*(0, 0, 0) = 0 \leq D^*(Ax, Sx, Sx).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{29}$$

That is, the pair $(A, S)(A, T)$ are owc.

Also for all

$$\begin{aligned}
 D^*(Ax, Ay, Az) &\leq \phi(D^*(Sx, Ty, Tz), D^*(Sx, Ax, Ax), \\
 &\quad D^*(Tx, Ay, Az)), D^*(Tx, Ax, Ax), D^*(Ty, Ay, AY)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{30}$$

That is, all conditions of Theorem 3.3 hold and 0 is the unique common fixed point of A, S , and T .

References

- [1] B.C. Dhage, Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, **84**, No. 4 (1992), 329-336.
- [2] B. Ahmad, M. Ashraf, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems for expansive mappings in Dmetric spaces, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **32**, No. 10 (2001), 1513-1518.
- [3] B.C. Dhage, A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces, *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, **91**, No. 6 (1999), 475-480.
- [4] B.C. Dhage, A.M. Pathan, B.E. Rhoades, A general existence principle for fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **23**, No. 7 (2000), 441-448.
- [5] B.E. Rhoades, A fixed point theorem for generalized metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **19**, No. 3 (1996), 457-460.
- [6] B. Singh, R.K. Sharma, Common fixed points via compatible maps in D-metric spaces, *Radovi Matematicki*, **11**, No. 1 (2002), 145-153.
- [7] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao, N. Srinivasa Rao, On the topology of D-metric spaces and generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **2004**, No. 51 (2004), 2719-2740.
- [8] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao, N. Srinivasa Rao, On the concepts of balls in a D-metric space, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **2005**, No. 1 (2005), 133-141.
- [9] S.V.R. Naidu, K.P.R. Rao, N. Srinivasa Rao, On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **2005**, No. 12 (2005), 1969-1988.
- [10] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **29**, No. 3 (1998), 771- 779.
- [11] A. Al-Thagafi, Naseer Shahzad, Generalized I-nonexpansive selfmaps and invariant approximations, *Acta Mathematica Sinica*, English Series, **24**, No. 5 (2006), 867-876.

- [12] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, *Fixed Point Theory*, **7**, No. 2 (2006), 287-296.
- [13] O. Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika*, **11** (1975), 326-334.
- [14] Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe, Haiyun Zhou, A common fixed point theorem in D^* -metric spaces, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Fixed Point Theory and Applications (2007), Article ID 27906.
- [15] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform and Control*, **8** (1965), 338-353.