

POSITIVE SOLUTIONS TO A SECOND ORDER
m-POINT INTEGRAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

Phollakrit Thiramanus¹§, Jessada Tariboon²

¹Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Applied Science

King Mongkut's University of Technology

North Bangkok, Bangkok, 10800, THAILAND

²Centre of Excellence in Mathematics

CHE, Sri Ayutthaya Road

Bangkok 10400, THAILAND

Abstract: In this paper, by using the fixed-point index theorems, we study the existence of at least one or two positive solutions to the nonlinear second order *m*-point integral boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1,$$
$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} u(s) ds,$$

where $0 = \eta_0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{m-2} < \eta_{m-1} = 1$, $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) < 2$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m-3\} \cup \{m-1\}$ and $\alpha_{m-2} > 0$. $a \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$ and $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$. As an application, we give some examples that illustrate our results.

AMS Subject Classification: 34B10, 34B15

Key Words: positive solution, boundary value problem, fixed point theorem, Cone

Received: November 11, 2011

© 2012 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

§Correspondence author

1. Introduction

We are interested in the existence of positive solutions of the following m -point boundary value problem (BVP):

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} u(s) ds, \quad (2)$$

where $0 = \eta_0 < \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_{m-2} < \eta_{m-1} = 1$, $0 < \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) < 2$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m-3\} \cup \{m-1\}$, $\alpha_{m-2} > 0$, $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$, $a \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$, and there exists $t_0 \in [\eta_{m-2}, 1]$ such that $a(t_0) > 0$.

The study of multi-point boundary-value problems for linear second order ordinary differential equations was initiated by Il'in and Moiseev [1-2]. Gupta [3] studied three-point boundary-value problems for nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations. Since then, the existence of solutions for nonlinear three-point, m -point, integral and nonlocal boundary value problems has been studied by several authors by using the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem, nonlinear alternatives of Leray-Schauder, coincidence degree theory and the fixed-point theorem in cones. We refer the reader to [4-21, 24-30] and the references therein. However, most of those papers have studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions (or positive solutions) to boundary value problems with boundary conditions specified as solution values or the slope of solution values at given points or as more general nonlocal integral boundary conditions. There are also a few papers that consider nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations with boundary conditions specified as an area under the curve of solutions; see [22-23].

For the existence problems of positive solution of the BVP (1)-(2), by defining

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \quad f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u},$$

in [23], the authors used the Krasnoselskii theorem to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. (See[23]). *The BVP (1)-(2) has at least one positive solution in the case*

- (D₁) $f_0 = 0$ and $f_\infty = \infty$ (f is superlinear), or
- (D₂) $f_0 = \infty$ and $f_\infty = 0$ (f is superlinear).

From Theorem 1, the following two problems are natural.

Problem 1. Whether we can obtain a similar conclusion or not, if $f_0 = f_\infty = 0$, or $f_0 = f_\infty = \infty$.

Problem 2. Whether we can get a similar conclusion or not, if $f_0, f_\infty \notin \{0, \infty\}$.

Motivated by the results of [23], the aim of this paper is to establish some simple criteria for the existence of positive solutions of the BVP (1)-(2), which gives a positive answer to the questions stated above. The key tool in our approach is the following fixed-point index theorem [31].

Theorem 2. Let E be a Banach space, and $K \subset E$ be a cone in E . Let $r > 0$, and define $\Omega_r = \{x \in K \mid \|x\| < r\}$. Assume $A : \overline{\Omega}_r \rightarrow K$ is a completely continuous operator such that $Ax \neq x$ for $x \in \partial\Omega_r$.

(i) If $\|Au\| \leq \|u\|$ for $u \in \partial\Omega_r$, then

$$i(A, \Omega_r, K) = 1.$$

(ii) If $\|Au\| \geq \|u\|$ for $u \in \partial\Omega_r$, then

$$i(A, \Omega_r, K) = 0.$$

2. The Existence Results of the BVP (1)-(2) for the Case:

$$f_0 = f_\infty = \infty \text{ or } f_0 = f_\infty = 0$$

In this section, we establish conditions for the existence of two positive solutions for the BVP (1)-(2) under $f_0 = f_\infty = \infty$ or $f_0 = f_\infty = 0$.

Lemma 3. (See[23]) Let $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \neq 2$. If $y \in C[0, 1]$, then the problem

$$u''(t) + y(t) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{3}$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} u(s)ds, \tag{4}$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = - \int_0^t (t - s)y(s)ds + \frac{2t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1 - s)y(s)ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^2 y(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 y(s) ds. \tag{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. (See[23]) Let $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) < 2$. If $y \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$, then the unique solution u of (3)-(4) satisfies $u(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Lemma 5. (See[23]) Let $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, m - 3\} \cup \{m - 1\}$, $\alpha_{m-2} > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) > 2$. If $y \in C[0, 1]$ and $y(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, then (3)-(4) has no positive solution.

Lemma 6. (See[23]) Let $\alpha_i \geq 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m - 3\} \cup \{m - 1\}$, $\alpha_{m-2} > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)^2 < 2$. If $y \in C[0, 1]$ and $y(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, then the unique solution u of problem (3)-(4) satisfies

$$\inf_{t \in [\eta_{m-2}, 1]} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\|,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma = \min \left\{ \eta_{m-2}, \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2), \frac{\alpha_{m-2} (\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2) (1 - \eta_{m-2})}{\eta_{m-2} (2 - \alpha_{m-2} (\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2))}, \right. \\
 \left. \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \frac{\alpha_i}{\eta_i} (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) (1 - \eta_i) \right\}. \tag{6}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $E = C[0, 1]$, and only the sup norm is used. It is easy to see that BVP (1)-(2) has a solution $u = u(t)$ if and only if u is a solution of the operator equation

$$u = Au,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Au)(t) = & - \int_0^t (t - s) a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 & + \frac{2t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1 - s) a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 & - \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds. \tag{7}$$

Denote

$$K = \{u \in E : u \geq 0, \min_{\eta_{m-2} \leq t \leq 1} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\|\}. \tag{8}$$

It is obvious that K is a cone in E . Moreover, by Lemma 6, $A(K) \subset K$. It is also easy to see that $A : K \rightarrow K$ is completely continuous.

In the following, for the sake of convenience, set

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{2 \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)},$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \gamma \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)ds.$$

Theorem 7. *Suppose that the following assumptions are satisfied.*

(H1) $f_0 = f_\infty = \infty$.

(H2) *There exist constants $\rho_1 > 0$ and $M_1 \in (0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$ such that*

$$f(u) \leq M_1 \rho_1, \quad u \in [0, \rho_1].$$

Then, the BVP (1)-(2) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_1 < \|u_2\|.$$

Proof. At first, in view of $f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} (f(u)/u) = \infty$, then for any $M_* \in (\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, there exist $\rho_* \in (0, \rho_1)$ such that

$$f(u) \geq M_* u, \quad 0 \leq u \leq \rho_*. \tag{9}$$

Set $\Omega_{\rho_*} = \{u \in K : \|u\| < \rho_*\}$. Since $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_*} \subset K$, we have $\min_{\eta_{m-2} \leq t \leq 1} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\|$. Thus, from (7), (9), for any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_*}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(1) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} u(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \left(- \int_0^s (s-r)a(r) f(u(r)) dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2s}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-r)a(r) f(u(r)) dr \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \frac{s}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - r)^2 a(r) f(u(r)) dr \\
& + \frac{s}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - r)^2 a(r) f(u(r)) dr \Big) ds \\
& = - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} \int_0^s (s-r) a(r) f(u(r)) dr ds \\
& + \frac{2 \int_0^1 (1-r) a(r) f(u(r)) dr}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} s ds \right) \\
& - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - r)^2 a(r) f(u(r)) dr}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} s ds \right) \\
& + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - r)^2 a(r) f(u(r)) dr}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} s ds \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
& + \frac{\int_0^1 (1-s) a(s) f(u(s)) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \right) \\
& - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{2} (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \right) \\
& + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{2} (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \right) \\
& = \frac{\int_0^1 (1-s) a(s) f(u(s)) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \\
& - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \\
& + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \times \\
 &\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \left[\int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_i - \eta_{i-1})(2 - (\eta_i + \eta_{i-1}))sa(s)f(u(s))ds \right. \\
 &+ \int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} [(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)(1 - s) - (\eta_i - s)^2]a(s)f(u(s))ds \\
 &\left. + \int_{\eta_i}^1 (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)(1 - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \right] \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \times \\
 &\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \left[\int_{\eta_{i-1}}^{\eta_i} (\eta_i - \eta_{i-1})[\eta_{i-1}(1 - s) + (1 - \eta_i)s]a(s)f(u(s))ds \right. \\
 &\left. + (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \int_{\eta_i}^1 (1 - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \right] \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \int_{\eta_i}^1 (1 - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\
 &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1 - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\
 &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1 - s)a(s)M_*u(s)ds \\
 &\geq M_*\gamma \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1 - s)a(s)ds \|u\| \\
 &= M_*\Lambda_2 \|u\| > \|u\|, \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|Au\| \geq |u(1)| > \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}.$$

Hence, Theorem 2 implies

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho^*}, K) = 0. \tag{11}$$

Next, since $f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} (f(u)/u) = \infty$, then for any $M^* \in (\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, there exist $\rho^* > \rho_1$ such that

$$f(u) \geq M^*u, \quad u \geq \gamma\rho^*. \tag{12}$$

Set $\Omega_{\rho^*} = \{u \in K : \|u\| < \rho^*\}$, for $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}$, since $u \in K$, $\min_{\eta_{m-2} \leq t \leq 1} u(t) \geq \gamma\|u\| = \gamma\rho^*$, and hence, for any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}$, from (7), (12), by using the method to get (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u(1) &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)M^*u(s)ds \\ &\geq M^*\gamma \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)ds\|u\| \\ &= M^*\Lambda_2\|u\| > \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|Au\| \geq |u(1)| > \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}.$$

Thus, Theorem 2 yields

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho^*}, K) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Finally, set $\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{u \in K : \|u\| < \rho_1\}$. For any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}$, from (7) and (H2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &\leq \frac{2t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &+ \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq \frac{2M_1\rho_1}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds \\ &+ \frac{M_1\rho_1}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)ds \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2 \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \right) M_1\rho_1 \\ &= \Lambda_1 M_1 \rho_1 < \rho_1 = \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|Au\| < \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}.$$

Thus, an application of Theorem 2 again shows that

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho_1}, K) = 1. \tag{14}$$

Hence, since $\rho_* < \rho_1 < \rho_*$ and (11), (13), (14), it follows from the additivity of the fixed-point index that

$$\begin{aligned} i(A, \Omega_{\rho_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_*}, K) &= 1, \\ i(A, \Omega_{\rho_*} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_1}, K) &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A has a fixed point u_1 in $\Omega_{\rho_1} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_*}$ and a fixed point u_2 in $\Omega_{\rho_*} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_1}$. Both are positive solutions of the BVP (1)-(2) and

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_1 < \|u_2\|.$$

The proof is complete. □

Theorem 8. *Assume that the following assumptions are satisfied.*

(H3) $f_0 = f_\infty = 0$.

(H4) *There exist constants $\rho_2 > 0$ and $M_2 \in (\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$ such that*

$$f(u) \geq M_2 \rho_2, \quad u \in [\gamma \rho_2, \rho_2].$$

Then, the BVP (1)-(2) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_2 < \|u_2\|.$$

Proof. Firstly, since $f_0 = \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} (f(u)/u) = 0$, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$, there exist $\rho_* \in (0, \rho_2)$ such that

$$f(u) \leq \varepsilon u, \quad u \in [0, \rho_*]. \tag{15}$$

Setting $\Omega_{\rho_*} = \{u \in K : \|u\| < \rho_*\}$, for any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_*}$, from (7) and (15) we get

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &\leq \frac{2t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &+ \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq \frac{2\varepsilon \rho_*}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{\varepsilon\rho_*}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) ds \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{2 \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \right) \varepsilon\rho_* \\
 & = \Lambda_1 \varepsilon\rho_* < \rho_* = \|u\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|Au\| < \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_*}.$$

Hence, Theorem 2 implies

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho_*}, K) = 1. \tag{16}$$

Secondly, in view of $f_\infty = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} (f(u)/u) = 0$, then for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$, there exist $\rho_0 > \rho_2$ such that

$$f(u) \leq \varepsilon u, \quad u \in [\rho_0, \infty), \tag{17}$$

and we consider two cases.

Case (i). Suppose that $f(u)$ is unbounded; then from $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$, we know that there is $\rho^* > \rho_0$ such that

$$f(u) \leq f(\rho^*), \quad u \in [0, \rho^*]. \tag{18}$$

Since $\rho^* > \rho_0$, then from (17), (18), we have

$$f(u) \leq f(\rho^*) \leq \varepsilon\rho^*, \quad u \in [0, \rho^*]; \tag{19}$$

for $u \in K$, $\|u\| = \rho^*$, (7) and (19), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
 Au(t) & \leq \frac{2t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)f(u(s)) ds \\
 & + \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)f(u(s)) ds \\
 & \leq \frac{2\varepsilon\rho^*}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{\varepsilon\rho^*}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left(\frac{2 \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \right) \varepsilon \rho^* \\ &= \Lambda_1 \varepsilon \rho^* < \rho^* = \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii). Suppose that $f(u)$ is bounded, that is $f(u) \leq L$. Taking $\rho^* \geq \max\{L/\varepsilon, \rho_2\}$, for $u \in K$, $\|u\| = \rho^*$, from (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &\leq \frac{2t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &+ \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq \frac{2L}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &+ \frac{L}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq \frac{2\varepsilon \rho^*}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds \\ &+ \frac{\varepsilon \rho^*}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)ds \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2 \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \right) \varepsilon \rho^* \\ &= \Lambda_1 \varepsilon \rho^* < \rho^* = \|u\|, \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in either case, we always may say $\Omega_{\rho^*} = \{u \in K : \|u\| < \rho^*\}$ such that

$$\|Au\| < \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho^*}.$$

Therefore, Theorem 2 yields

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho^*}, K) = 1. \tag{20}$$

Finally, set $\Omega_{\rho_2} = \{u \in K : \|u\| < \rho_2\}$. For any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}$, since $u \in K$, $\min_{\eta_{m-2} \leq t \leq 1} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\| = \gamma \rho_2$, and hence, for any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}$, from (7) and (H4), by using the method to get (10), we obtain

$$u(1) \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)M_2\rho_2 ds \\
 &\geq M_2\rho_2\gamma \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s) ds \\
 &= M_2\rho_2\Lambda_2 > \rho_2 = \|u\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|Au\| \geq |u(1)| > \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}.$$

Thus, an application of Theorem 2 again shows that

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho_2}, K) = 0. \tag{21}$$

Hence, since $\rho_* < \rho_2 < \rho_*$ and (16), (20), (21), it follows from the additivity of the fixed-point index that

$$\begin{aligned}
 i(A, \Omega_{\rho_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_*}, K) &= -1, \\
 i(A, \Omega_{\rho_*} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_2}, K) &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, A has a fixed point u_1 in $\Omega_{\rho_2} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_*}$ and a fixed point u_2 in $\Omega_{\rho_*} \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{\rho_2}$. Both are positive solutions of the BVP (1)-(2) and

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_2 < \|u_2\|.$$

This completes the proof. □

3. The Existence Results of the BVP (1)-(2) for the Case: $f_0, f_\infty \notin \{0, \infty\}$

In this section we discuss the existence for positive solution of the BVP (1)-(2) assuming $f_0, f_\infty \notin \{0, \infty\}$.

Theorem 9. *Suppose (H2) and (H4) hold and that $\rho_1 \neq \rho_2$. Then the BVP (1)-(2) has at least one positive solution u satisfying $\rho_1 < \|u\| < \rho_2$ or $\rho_2 < \|u\| < \rho_1$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\rho_1 < \rho_2$.

Set $\Omega_{\rho_1} = \{u \in K : \|u\| < \rho_1\}$. For any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}$, from (7) and (H2), we have

$$Au(t) \leq \frac{2t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{t}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 & \leq \frac{2M_1 \rho_1}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_0^1 (1-s) a(s) ds \\
 & + \frac{M_1 \rho_1}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) ds \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{2 \int_0^1 (1-s) a(s) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s) ds}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \right) M_1 \rho_1 \\
 & = \Lambda_1 M_1 \rho_1 < \rho_1 = \|u\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|Au\| < \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_1}.$$

Hence, Theorem 2 implies

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho_1}, K) = 1. \tag{22}$$

Next, set $\Omega_{\rho_2} = \{u \in K : \|u\| < \rho_2\}$. For any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}$, since $u \in K$, $\min_{\eta_{m-2} \leq t \leq 1} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\| = \gamma \rho_2$, and hence, for any $u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}$, from (7) and (H4), by using the method to get (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(1) & \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s) a(s) f(u(s)) ds \\
 & \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s) a(s) M_2 \rho_2 ds \\
 & \geq M_2 \rho_2 \gamma \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)}{2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i (\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)} \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s) a(s) ds \\
 & = M_2 \rho_2 \Lambda_2 > \rho_2 = \|u\|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|Au\| \geq |u(1)| > \|u\|, \quad u \in \partial\Omega_{\rho_2}.$$

Thus, an application of Theorem 2 again shows that

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho_2}, K) = 0. \tag{23}$$

Hence, since $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ and (22), (23), it follows from the additivity of the fixed-point index that

$$i(A, \Omega_{\rho_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_1}, K) = -1.$$

Therefore, A has a fixed point u in $\Omega_{\rho_2} \setminus \overline{\Omega}_{\rho_1}$. Moreover, it is a positive solution of the BVP (1)-(2) and

$$\rho_1 < \|u\| < \rho_2.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 10. *Assume that the following assumptions hold.*

(H5) $f_0 = \xi_1 \in [0, \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1})$, where the constant $\theta_1 \in [0, 1)$.

(H6) $f_\infty = \beta_1 \in ((\theta_2/\gamma)\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, where the constant $\theta_2 > 1$.

Then, the BVP (1)-(2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. In view of $f_0 = \xi_1 \in [0, \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1})$, for $\varepsilon = \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} - \xi_1 > 0$, there exists a sufficiently small $\rho_1 > 0$ such that

$$f(u) \leq (\xi_1 + \varepsilon)u = \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} u \leq \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \rho_1, \quad u \in [0, \rho_1].$$

Since $\theta_1 \in [0, 1)$, then $\theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \in [0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$. By the inequality above, (H2) is satisfied.

Since $f_\infty = \beta_1 \in ((\theta_2/\gamma)\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, for $\varepsilon = \beta_1 - (\theta_2/\gamma)\Lambda_2^{-1} > 0$, there exists a sufficiently large $\rho_2 (> \rho_1)$ such that

$$\frac{f(u)}{u} \geq \beta_1 - \varepsilon = \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2^{-1}, \quad u \in [\gamma \rho_2, \infty),$$

thus, when $u \in [\gamma \rho_2, \rho_2]$, we have

$$f(u) \geq \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2^{-1} u \geq \theta_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \rho_2.$$

Since $\theta_2 > 1$, $\theta_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \in (\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, then from the above inequality, condition (H4) is satisfied. Therefore, from Theorem 9, the desired result holds. \square

Corollary 11. *Suppose that the following assumptions hold.*

(H7) $f_0 = \xi_2 \in ((\theta_2/\gamma)\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, where the constant $\theta_2 > 1$.

(H8) $f_\infty = \beta_2 \in [0, \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1})$, where the constant $\theta_1 \in [0, 1)$.

Then, the BVP (1)-(2) has at least one positive solution.

Proof. Since $f_0 = \xi_2 \in ((\theta_2/\gamma)\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, for $\varepsilon = \xi_2 - (\theta_2/\gamma)\Lambda_2^{-1} > 0$, there exists a sufficiently small $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{f(u)}{u} \geq \xi_2 - \varepsilon = \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2^{-1}, \quad u \in (0, \rho_2),$$

thus, when $u \in [\gamma\rho_2, \rho_2]$, we have

$$f(u) \geq \frac{\theta_2}{\gamma} \Lambda_2^{-1} u \geq \theta_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \rho_2,$$

which implies (H4) holds.

In view of $f_\infty = \beta_2 \in [0, \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1})$, for $\varepsilon = \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} - \beta_2 > 0$, there exists a sufficiently large $\rho_0 (> \rho_2)$ such that

$$\frac{f(u)}{u} \leq \beta_2 + \varepsilon = \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1}, \quad u \in [\rho_0, \infty). \tag{24}$$

We consider the following two cases.

Case (i). Suppose that $f(u)$ is unbounded. Because $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$, we know that there is a $\rho_1 > \rho_0$ such that

$$f(u) \leq f(\rho_1), \quad u \in [0, \rho_1]. \tag{25}$$

Since $\rho_1 > \rho_0$, then from (24), (25), we can get

$$f(u) \leq f(\rho_1) \leq \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \rho_1, \quad u \in [0, \rho_1].$$

Since $\theta_1 \in [0, 1)$, then $\theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \in [0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$. By the inequality above, (H2) is satisfied.

Case (ii). Suppose that $f(u)$ is bounded, that is

$$f(u) \leq M, \quad u \in [0, \infty). \tag{26}$$

In this case, taking sufficiently large $\rho_1 > M/\theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1}$, then from (26), we have

$$f(u) \leq M \leq \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \rho_1, \quad u \in [0, \rho_1].$$

Since $\theta_1 \in [0, 1)$, then $\theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \in [0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$. By the inequality above, (H2) is satisfied.

Therefore, from Theorem 9, we get the conclusion of Corollary 11 □

Corollary 12. *Assume Conditions (H2), (H6) and (H7) hold. Then, the BVP (1)-(2) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that*

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_1 < \|u_2\|.$$

Proof. From (H6) and the proof of Corollary 10, we get that there exists a sufficiently large $\rho_2 > \rho_1$ such that

$$f(u) \geq \theta_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \rho_2 = M_2 \rho_2, \quad u \in [\gamma \rho_2, \rho_2],$$

where $M_2 = \theta_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \in (\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$.

In view of (H7) and the proof of Corollary 11, we have that there exists a sufficiently small $\rho_2^* \in (0, \rho_1)$ such that

$$f(u) \geq \theta_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \rho_2^* = M_2 \rho_2^*, \quad u \in [\gamma \rho_2^*, \rho_2^*],$$

where $M_2 = \theta_2 \Lambda_2^{-1} \in (\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$.

Using this and (H2), we know by Theorem 9 that the BVP (1)-(2) has two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$\rho_2^* < \|u_1\| < \rho_1 < \|u_2\| < \rho_2.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 13. *Assume Conditions (H4), (H5) and (H8) hold. Then, the BVP (1)-(2) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that*

$$0 < \|u_1\| < \rho_2 < \|u_2\|.$$

Proof. By (H5) and the proof of Corollary 10, we obtain that there exists a sufficiently small $\rho_1 \in (0, \rho_2)$ such that

$$f(u) \leq \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \rho_1 = M_1 \rho_1, \quad u \in [0, \rho_1],$$

where $M_1 = \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \in (0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$.

In view of (H8) and the proof of Corollary 11, we see that there exists a sufficiently large $\rho_1^* > \rho_2$ such that

$$f(u) \leq \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \rho_1^* = M_1 \rho_1^*, \quad u \in [0, \rho_1^*],$$

where $M_1 = \theta_1 \Lambda_1^{-1} \in (0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$.

Using this and (H4), we know by Theorem 9 that the BVP (1)-(2) has two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$\rho_1 < \|u_1\| < \rho_2 < \|u_2\| < \rho_1^*.$$

The proof is complete. \square

4. Some Examples

In this section, in order to illustrate our result, we consider some examples.

Example 14. Consider the three-point boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right)^2(u^{\frac{1}{2}} + u^2) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{27}$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 u(s)ds \tag{28}$$

Set $\eta_0 = 0, \eta_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \eta_2 = 1, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}, a(t) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right)^2$ and $f(u) = u^{\frac{1}{2}} + u^2$. We can show that $\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) = 5/8 < 2$. Through a simple calculation we can get $f_0 = f_\infty = \infty$, then (H1) holds. Again $\gamma = \min \{ \eta_{m-2}, \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)/2, \alpha_{m-2}(\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2)(1 - \eta_{m-2})/\eta_{m-2}(2 - \alpha_{m-2}(\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2)), \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)(1 - \eta_i)/2\eta_i \} = 1/8, \Lambda_1 = (2 \int_0^1 (1 - s)a(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)ds) / (2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)) = 83/1320$, because $f(u)$ is monotone increasing function for $u \geq 0$, taking $\rho_1 = 9, M_1 = 28/3 \in (0, \Lambda_1^{-1})$, then when $u \in [0, \rho_1]$, we get

$$f(u) \leq f(9) = 84 = M_1\rho_1,$$

which implies (H2) holds. Hence, by Theorem 7, the BVP (27)-(28) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$0 < \|u_1\| < 9 < \|u_2\|.$$

Example 15. Consider the three-point boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + e^4(1 - t)^2u^2e^{-u} = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{29}$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 16 \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} u(s)ds + \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^1 u(s)ds \tag{30}$$

Set $\eta_0 = 0, \eta_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \eta_2 = 1, \alpha_1 = 16, \alpha_2 = 1, a(t) = e^4(1 - t)^2$ and $f(u) = u^2e^{-u}$. We can show that $\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) = 31/16 < 2$. Since $f_0 = f_\infty = 0$, then (H3) holds. Again $\gamma = \min \{ \eta_{m-2}, \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)/2, \alpha_{m-2}(\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2)(1 - \eta_{m-2})/\eta_{m-2}(2 - \alpha_{m-2}(\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2)), \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)(1 - \eta_i)/2\eta_i \}$

$\eta_i)/2\eta_i\} = 1/4, \Lambda_2 = \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)ds / (2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)) = 7533e^4/16384$, because $f(u)$ is monotone decreasing function for $u \geq 1$, taking $\rho_2 = 4, M_2 = 4e^{-4} \in (\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, then when $u \in [\gamma\rho_2, \rho_2]$, we get

$$f(u) \geq f(4) = 16e^{-4} = M_2\rho_2,$$

which implies (H4) holds. Hence, by Theorem 8, the BVP (29)-(30) has at least two positive solutions u_1 and u_2 such that

$$0 < \|u_1\| < 4 < \|u_2\|.$$

Example 16. Consider the three-point boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + \frac{1}{40} \frac{aue^{2u}}{b + e^u + e^{2u}} = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{31}$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 30 \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} u(s)ds + \frac{1}{15} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^1 u(s)ds, \tag{32}$$

where $a = 80, b = 38$. Set $\eta_0 = 0, \eta_1 = 1/4, \eta_2 = 1, \alpha_1 = 30, \alpha_2 = 1/15, a(t) = 1/40$ and $f(u) = aue^{2u}/(b + e^u + e^{2u})$. We can show that $\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) = 31/16 < 2$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = \min \{ & \eta_{m-2}, \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)/2, \\ & \alpha_{m-2}(\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2)(1 - \eta_{m-2})/\eta_{m-2}(2 - \alpha_{m-2}(\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2)), \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)(1 - \eta_i)/2\eta_i \} = 1/4, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_1 = (2 \int_0^1 (1-s)a(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1}-s)^2 a(s)ds) / (2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)) \\ = 2881/7200, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Lambda_2 = \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1-s)a(s)ds / (2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)) = 279/5120,$$

and $f_0 = \frac{a}{2+b} = 2, f_\infty = a = 80$. Taking $\theta_1 \in (5762/7200, 1), \theta_2 \in (1, 5580/5120)$, thus $f_0 \in (0, \theta_1\Lambda_1^{-1}), f_\infty \in ((\theta_2/\gamma)\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty)$, which imply (H5) and (H6) hold. Therefore, by Corollary 10, the BVP (31)-(32) has at least one positive solution.

Example 17. Consider the three-point boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + tu\left(1 + \frac{c}{1 + u^2}\right) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{33}$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} u(s)ds + \frac{1}{3} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 u(s)ds, \tag{34}$$

where $c = 959$. Set $\eta_0 = 0, \eta_1 = 1/2, \eta_2 = 1, \alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 1/3, a(t) = t$ and $f(u) = u(1 + c/(1 + u^2))$. We can show that $\sum_{i=1}^2 \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) = 3/4 < 2$. Since $\gamma = \min \{ \eta_{m-2}, \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)/2, \alpha_{m-2}(\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2)(1 - \eta_{m-2})/\eta_{m-2} (2 - \alpha_{m-2}(\eta_{m-2}^2 - \eta_{m-3}^2)), \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)(1 - \eta_i)/2\eta_i \} = 1/4, \Lambda_1 = (2 \int_0^1 (1 - s)a(s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \int_0^{\eta_{i-1}} (\eta_{i-1} - s)^2 a(s)ds) / (2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)) = 193/720, \Lambda_2 = \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2) \int_{\eta_{m-2}}^1 (1 - s)a(s)ds / (2 - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i(\eta_i^2 - \eta_{i-1}^2)) = 1/80$ and $f_0 = 1 + c = 960, f_\infty = 1$. Taking $\theta_1 \in (193/720, 1), \theta_2 \in (1, 3)$, thus $f_0 \in ((\theta_2/\gamma)\Lambda_2^{-1}, \infty), f_\infty \in (0, \theta_1\Lambda_1^{-1})$, which imply (H7) and (H8) hold. Therefore, by Corollary 11, the BVP (33), (34) has at least one positive solution.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by King Mongkut’s University of Technology North Bangkok, Thailand.

References

- [1] V.A. Il’in, E.I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the first kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator in its differential and finite difference aspects, *Differential Equations*, **23**, No. 7 (1987), 803-810.
- [2] V.A. Il’in, E.I. Moiseev, Nonlocal boundary value problem of the second kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator, *Differential Equations*, **23**, No. 8 (1987), 979-987.
- [3] C.P. Gupta, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equation, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **168** (1992), 540-551.

- [4] M. Benchohra, J. J. Nieto, A. Ouahab, Second-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions, *Boundary Value Problems*, **2011**, Article ID 260309, 9 pp. (2011).
- [5] A. Boucherif, Second-order boundary problems with integral boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Method & Applications*, **70**, No. 1 (2009), 364-371.
- [6] M. Feng, W. Ge, Positive solutions for a class of m-point singular boundary value problems, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, **46**, No. 3-4 (2007), 375-383.
- [7] C.P. Gupta, S. K. Ntouyas, P. Ch. Tsamatos, Solvability of an m-point boundary-value problem for second-order ordinary differential equations, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **189** (1995), 575-584.
- [8] Y. Guo, W. Shan, W. Ge, Positive solutions for second-order m-point boundary value problems, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **151**, No. 2 (2003), 415-424.
- [9] J. Jiang, L. Liu, Positive solutions for nonlinear second-order m-point boundary-value problems, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **2009**, No. 110 (2009), 12 pp..
- [10] J. Jiang, L. Liu, Y. Wu, Second-order nonlinear singular Sturm-Liouville problems with integral boundary conditions, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **215**, No. 4 (2009), 1573-1582.
- [11] G. L. Karakostas, P. Ch. Tsamatos, Existence of multiple positive solutions for a nonlocal boundary value problem, *Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis*, **19** (2002), 109-121.
- [12] G. L. Karakostas, P. Ch. Tsamatos, Multiple positive solutions of some Fredholm integral equations arisen from nonlocal boundary-value problems, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **2002**, No. 30, 17 pp. (2002).
- [13] L. Kong, Second order singular boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Method & Applications*, **72**, No. 5 (2010), 2628-2638.
- [14] B. Liu, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **132**, No. 1 (2002), 11-28.

- [15] B. Liu, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, **44**, No. 1-2 (2002), 201-211.
- [16] B. Liu, Positive solutions of a nonlinear four-point boundary value problems, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **155**, No. 1 (2004), 179-203.
- [17] R. Ma, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary-value problem, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **1998**, No. 34 (1998), 1-8.
- [18] R. Ma, Positive solutions of a nonlinear m-point boundary value problem, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, **42**, No. 6-7 (2001), 755-765.
- [19] R. Ma, H. B. Thompson, Positive solutions for nonlinear m-point eigenvalue problems, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **297**, No. 1 (2004), 24-37.
- [20] R. Ma, A survey on nonlocal boundary value problems, *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, **7** (2007), 257-279.
- [21] Y. Sun, Positive solutions of nonlinear second-order m-point boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Method & Applications*, **61**, No. 7 (2005), 1283-1294.
- [22] J. Tariboon, T. Sitthiwirattam, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point integral boundary value problem, *Boundary Value Problems*, **2010**, Article ID 519210 (2010), 11 pp..
- [23] J. Tariboon, W. Sudsutad, Positive solutions of a nonlinear m-point integral boundary value problem, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, **6**, No. 15 (2012), 711-729.
- [24] J. R. L. Webb, A unified approach to nonlocal boundary value problems, *Dynamic systems and applications*, **5**, 510-515, Dynamic, Atlanta, GA (2008).
- [25] J. R. L. Webb, Solutions of nonlinear equations in cones and positive linear operators, *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, **82**, No. 2 (2010), 420-436.
- [26] J. R. L. Webb, G. Infante, Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems involving integral conditions, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications*, **15** (2008), 45-67.

- [27] J. R. L. Webb, G. Infante, Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems: a unified approach, *Journal of London Mathematical Society*, **74**, No. 2 (2006), 673-693.
- [28] Z. Yang, Existence and nonexistence results for positive solutions of an integral boundary value problem, *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Method & Applications*, **65**, No. 8 (2006), 1489-1511.
- [29] Z. Yang, Existence of nontrivial solutions for a nonlinear Sturm-Liouville problem with integral boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Method & Applications*, **68**, No. 1 (2008), 216-225.
- [30] G. Zhang, J. Sun, Positive solutions of m-point boundary value problems, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **291**, No. 2 (2004), 406-418.
- [31] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, San Diego, CA (1988).