

## $\mu$ -SEMI COMPACTNESS AND $\mu$ -SEMI LINDELÖFNESS IN GENERALIZED TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

Jamal M. Mustafa

Department of Mathematics

Al al-Bayt University

Mafraq, JORDAN

**Abstract:** The purpose of the present paper is to introduce the concepts of  $\mu$ -semi compact and  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf spaces in generalized topological spaces and study some of their properties and characterizations.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 54A05, 54D20, 54D30

**Key Words:**  $\mu$ -semi-open sets,  $\mu$ -semi compact spaces,  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf spaces

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In [2] - [13], Á. Császár introduced the concepts of generalized neighborhood systems and generalized topological spaces. He also introduced the concepts of continuous functions and associated interior and closure operators on generalized neighborhood systems and generalized topological spaces. In particular, he investigated characterizations for the generalized continuous function by using a closure operator defined on generalized neighborhood systems. In [1], A. Al-Omari and T. Noiri introduced the notions of *contra* -  $(\mu, \lambda)$  - *continuity*, *contra* -  $(\alpha, \lambda)$  - *continuity*, *contra* -  $(\sigma, \lambda)$  - *continuity*, *contra* -  $(\pi, \lambda)$  - *continuity* and *contra* -  $(\beta, \lambda)$  - *continuity* on generalized topological spaces.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of  $\mu$ -semi compact and  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf spaces in generalized topological spaces and study some of their properties and characterizations.

We recall some basic definitions and notations. Let  $X$  be a set and denote  $\exp X$  the power set of  $X$ . A subset  $\mu$  of  $\exp X$  is said to be a generalized topology [4] (briefly GT) on  $X$  if  $\phi \in \mu$  and the arbitrary union of elements of  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mu$ . A set  $X$  with a GT  $\mu$  on it is called a generalized topological space and is denoted by  $(X, \mu)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a GT on  $X$ , the elements of  $\mu$  are called  $\mu$ -open sets and the complements of  $\mu$ -open sets are called  $\mu$ -closed sets. If  $A \subseteq X$ , then  $i_\mu(A)$  denotes the union of all  $\mu$ -open sets contained in  $A$  and  $c_\mu(A)$  is the intersection of all  $\mu$ -closed sets containing  $A$  [7]. According to [9], for  $A \subseteq X$  and  $x \in X$ , we have  $x \in c_\mu(A)$  if and only if  $x \in M \in \mu$  implies  $M \cap A \neq \phi$ .

**Definition 1.1.** [7] Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a generalized topological space and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $A$  is said to be  $\mu$ -semi-open if  $A \subseteq c_\mu(i_\mu(A))$ .

The complement of a  $\mu$ -semi-open set is called  $\mu$ -semi-closed.

**Definition 1.2.** [4] Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mu')$  be generalized topological spaces. A function  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$  is said to be  $(\mu, \mu')$ -continuous if  $M' \in \mu'$  implies  $f^{-1}(M') \in \mu$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mu')$  be generalized topological spaces. A function  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$  is said to be  $\mu$ -pre-semi-open (resp.  $\mu$ -pre-semi-closed) if it maps  $\mu$ -semi-open (resp.  $\mu$ -semi-closed) subsets of  $X$  onto  $\mu$ -semi-open (resp.  $\mu$ -semi-closed) subsets of  $Y$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [1] Let  $(X, \mu)$  and  $(Y, \mu')$  be generalized topological spaces. A function  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$  is said to be contra- $(\sigma, \mu')$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\mu$ -semi-closed in  $X$  for each  $\mu'$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

## 2. $\mu$ -Semi Compact and $\mu$ -Semi Lindelöf Spaces

**Definition 2.1.** A collection  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mu$ -semi-open sets in a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is called a  $\mu$ -semi-open cover of a subset  $B$  of  $X$  if  $B \subseteq \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  holds.

**Definition 2.2.** A generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is called  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) if every  $\mu$ -semi-open cover of  $X$  has a finite (resp. countable) subcover.

The proof of the following theorem is straightforward and thus omitted.

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $X$  is finite (resp. countable) then  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) for any generalized topology  $\mu$  on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A subset  $B$  of a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$  if, for every collection  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  of  $\mu$ -semi-open subsets of  $X$  such that  $B \subseteq \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $B \subseteq \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ .

Notice that if  $(X, \mu)$  is a generalized topological space and  $A \subseteq X$  then  $\mu_A = \{U \cap A : U \in \mu\}$  is a generalized topology on  $A$ .

$(A, \mu_A)$  is called a generalize subspace of  $(X, \mu)$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A subset  $B$  of a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) if  $B$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) as a generalized subspace of  $X$ .

The proof of the following theorem is straightforward, and thus omitted.

**Theorem 2.6.** *The finite (resp. countable) union of subsets of  $X$  which are  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$ .*

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two subsets of a generalized topological space  $X$  with  $A \subseteq B$ . If  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative  $X$ , then  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* We will show the case when  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ , the other case is similar. Suppose that  $\tilde{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a cover of  $A$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open sets in  $B$ . Then  $U_\alpha = S_\alpha \cap B$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , where  $S_\alpha$  is  $\mu$ -semi-open in  $X$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ . Thus  $\check{S} = \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a cover of  $A$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open sets in  $X$ , but  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative  $X$ , so there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \subseteq \cup\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ , and thus  $A \subseteq \cup\{S_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} = \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ . Hence  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $B$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.8.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of a generalized topological space  $X$ . If  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$ , then  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf).*

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two subsets of a generalized topological space  $X$  with  $A \subseteq B$ . Then  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Necessity: Follows from Theorem 2.7.

Sufficiency: We will show the case when  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $B$ , the other case is similar. Suppose that  $\check{S} = \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a cover of  $A$  by

$\mu$ -semi-open sets in  $X$ . Then  $\tilde{U} = \{S_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a cover of  $A$ . Since  $S_\alpha$  is  $\mu$ -semi-open in  $X$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , it follows that  $S_\alpha \cap B$  is  $\mu$ -semi-open in  $B$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , but  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative  $B$ , so there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \subseteq \cup\{S_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq \cup\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ . Hence  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** *A subset  $A$  of a generalized topological space  $X$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) if and only if  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$ .*

**Theorem 2.11.** *If a subset  $A$  of  $X$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$  and  $B$  is a  $\mu$ -semi-closed subset of  $X$ , then  $A \cap B$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$ . In particular, a  $\mu$ -semi-closed subset of a  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) space  $X$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We will show the case when  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ , the other case is similar. Let  $\tilde{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a cover of  $A \cap B$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open subsets of  $X$ . Then  $\tilde{U}^* = \tilde{U} \cup \{X - B\}$  is a cover of  $A$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open sets in  $X$ , but  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ , so there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \subseteq (\cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}) \cup (X - B)$ . Thus  $A \cap B \subseteq \cup\{U_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ . Hence  $A \cap B$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.12.** A subset  $F$  of a space  $X$  is called  $\mu$ -semi- $F_\sigma$ -set if  $F = \cup\{F_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  where  $F_i$  is a  $\mu$ -semi-closed subset of  $X$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**Theorem 2.13.** *A  $\mu$ -semi- $F_\sigma$ -subset  $F$  of a  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf space  $X$  is  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf relative to  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F = \cup\{F_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$  where  $F_i$  is a  $\mu$ -semi-closed subset of  $X$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $\tilde{U}$  be a cover of  $F$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open sets in  $X$ , then  $\tilde{U}$  is a cover of  $F_i, i = 1, 2, \dots$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open subsets of  $X$ . Since  $F_i$  is  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf relative to  $X$ ,  $\tilde{U}$  has a countable subcover  $\tilde{U}_i = \{\tilde{U}_{i_1}, \tilde{U}_{i_2}, \dots\}$  for  $F_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Now  $\tilde{U}^* = \cup\{\tilde{U}_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\} = \{U_{i_n} : i, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is a countable subcover of  $\tilde{U}$  for  $F$ . So  $F$  is  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.14.** *Every generalized subspace of a generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf relative to  $X$  if and only if every  $\mu$ -semi-open generalized subspace of  $X$  is  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf relative to  $X$ .*

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$ ) Is clear.

$\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $Y$  be a generalized subspace of  $X$  and let  $\tilde{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be a cover of  $Y$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open sets in  $X$ . Now, let  $V = \cup \tilde{U}$  then  $V$  is a  $\mu$ -semi-open subset of  $X$ , so it is  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf relative to  $X$ . But  $\tilde{U}$  is a cover of  $V$  so  $\tilde{U}$  has a countable subcover  $\tilde{U}^*$  for  $V$ . Then  $V \subseteq \cup \tilde{U}^*$  and therefore  $Y \subseteq V \subseteq \cup \tilde{U}^*$ . So  $\tilde{U}^*$  is a countable subcover of  $\tilde{U}$  for  $Y$ . then  $Y$  is  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

The proofs of the following two theorems are straightforward, and thus omitted.

**Theorem 2.15.** *A generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact if and only if every  $\mu$ -semi-closed family of subsets of  $X$  with empty intersection, has a finite subfamily with empty intersection.*

**Theorem 2.16.** *A generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact if and only if every  $\mu$ -semi-closed family of subsets of  $X$  having the finite intersection property, has a nonempty intersection.*

**Theorem 2.17.** *Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$  be a  $(\mu, \mu')$ -continuous function. Then, if  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$ , then  $f(A)$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* We will show the case when  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ , the other case is similar. Suppose that  $\tilde{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a cover of  $f(A)$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open subsets of  $Y$ . Then  $\tilde{U}^* = \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a cover of  $A$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open subsets of  $X$ . Since  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_0$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $A \subseteq \cup \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ . Thus  $f(A) \subseteq \cup \{f(f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \subseteq \cup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$ . Hence  $f(A)$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.18.** *Let  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$  be a  $\mu$ -pre-semi-closed surjection. If for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$ , then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $X$  whenever  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact (resp.  $\mu$ -semi Lindelöf) relative to  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* We will show the case when  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ , the other case is similar. Suppose that  $\check{S} = \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  is a cover of  $f^{-1}(A)$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open sets in  $X$ . Then it follows by assumption that for each  $y \in A$ , there exists a finite subset  $\Delta_y$  of  $\Delta$  such that  $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq \cup \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_y\}$ . Let  $V_y = \cup \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_y\}$ . Then  $V_y$  is  $\mu$ -semi-open in  $X$  as any union of

$\mu$ -semi-open sets is  $\mu$ -semi-open. Let  $W_y = Y - f(X - V_y)$ . Then  $W_y$  is  $\mu$ -semi-open in  $Y$  as  $f$  is  $\mu$ -pre-semi-closed, also  $y \in W_y$  for each  $y \in A$  as  $f^{-1}(y) \subseteq V_y$ . Thus,  $\tilde{W} = \{W_y : y \in A\}$  is a cover of  $A$  by  $\mu$ -semi-open sets in  $Y$ , but  $A$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $Y$ , so there exist  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in A$  such that  $A \subseteq \cup\{W_{y_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Thus,  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq \cup\{f^{-1}(W_{y_i}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \subseteq \cup\{V_{y_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $S^i = \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_{y_i}\}$  is a finite subcollection of  $\check{S}$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , it follows that  $\cup\{S^i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a finite subcollection of  $\check{S}$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.19.** For a function  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$ , the following are equivalent:

- a)  $f$  is contra- $(\sigma, \mu')$ -continuous.
- b) For every  $\mu'$ -closed subset  $F$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\mu$ -semi-open in  $X$ .
- c) For each  $x \in X$  and each  $\mu'$ -closed subset  $F$  of  $Y$  with  $f(x) \in F$ , there exists a  $\mu$ -semi-open subset  $U$  of  $X$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq F$ .

*Proof.* The implications (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) are obvious.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Let  $F$  be any  $\mu'$ -closed subset of  $Y$ . If  $x \in f^{-1}(F)$  then  $f(x) \in F$  and there exists a  $\mu$ -semi-open subset  $U_x$  of  $X$  with  $x \in U_x$  such that  $f(U_x) \subseteq F$ . Therefore, we obtain  $f^{-1}(F) = \cup\{U_x : x \in f^{-1}(F)\}$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $\mu$ -semi-open.  $\square$

**Definition 2.20.** A generalized topological space  $(X, \mu)$  is said to be strongly  $\mu$ -closed if every  $\mu$ -closed cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.

**Theorem 2.21.** If  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$  is contra- $(\sigma, \mu')$ -continuous and  $K$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact relative to  $X$ , then  $f(K)$  is strongly  $\mu'$ -closed in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$  be any cover of  $f(K)$  by  $\mu'$ -closed subsets of  $f(K)$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , there exists a  $\mu'$ -closed set  $F_\alpha$  of  $Y$  such that  $C_\alpha = F_\alpha \cap f(K)$ . For each  $x \in K$ , there exists  $\alpha_x \in \Delta$  such that  $f(x) \in F_{\alpha_x}$ . Now by Theorem , there exists a  $\mu$ -semi-open set  $U_x$  of  $X$  with  $x \in U_x$  such that  $f(U_x) \subseteq F_{\alpha_x}$ . Since the family  $\{U_x : x \in K\}$  is a  $\mu$ -semi-open cover of  $K$  by sets  $\mu$ -semi-open in  $X$ , there exists a finite subset  $K_0$  of  $K$  such that  $K \subseteq \cup\{U_x : x \in K_0\}$ . Therefore we obtain  $f(K) \subseteq \cup\{f(U_x) : x \in K_0\}$  which is a subset of  $\cup\{F_{\alpha_x} : x \in K_0\}$ . Thus  $f(K) \subseteq \cup\{C_{\alpha_x} : x \in K_0\}$  and hence  $f(K)$  is strongly  $\mu$ -closed.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.22.** *If  $f : (X, \mu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu')$  is contra- $(\sigma, \mu')$ -continuous surjection and  $X$  is  $\mu$ -semi compact, then  $Y$  is strongly  $\mu'$ -closed.*

### References

- [1] A. Al-Omari, T. Noiri, A unified theory of contra- $(\mu, \lambda)$ -continuous functions in generalized topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, Accepted.
- [2] Á. Császár, Generalized open sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **75** (1997), 65-87.
- [3] Á. Császár,  $\gamma$ -compact spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **87** (2000), 99-107.
- [4] Á. Császár, Generalized topology, generalized continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **96** (2002), 351-357.
- [5] Á. Császár,  $\gamma$ -connected sets, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **101** (2003), 273-279.
- [6] Á. Császár, Separation axioms for generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **104** (2004), 63-69.
- [7] Á. Császár, Generalized open sets in generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **106** (2005), 53-66.
- [8] Á. Császár, Further remarks on the formula for  $\gamma$ -interior, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **113** (2006), 325-332.
- [9] Á. Császár, Modification of generalized topologies via hereditary classes, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **115** (2007), 29-36.
- [10] Á. Császár, Remarks on quasi topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **119** (2008), 197-200.
- [11] Á. Császár,  $\delta$ - and  $\theta$ -modifications of generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **120** (2008), 275-279.
- [12] Á. Császár, Enlargements and generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **120** (2008), 351-354.
- [13] Á. Császár, Products of generalized topologies, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **123** (2009), 127-132.

