

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $n$ -INNER PRODUCT SPACE

A.L. Soenjaya

Department of Mathematics  
National University of Singapore  
144011, SINGAPORE

**Abstract:** In this paper, Dunkl-Williams inequality is established for  $n$ -normed space. Some necessary and sufficient conditions for an  $n$ -normed space to be an  $n$ -inner product space are also given.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 46C50, 26D20, 46C15

**Key Words:**  $n$ -inner product space,  $n$ -normed space, Dunkl-Williams inequality

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a real vector space with  $\dim(X) \geq n$ , where  $n$  is a positive integer. We allow  $\dim(X)$  to be infinite. A real-valued function  $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle : X^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an  $n$ -inner product on  $X$  if the following conditions hold:

1.  $\langle x, x | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle \geq 0$ , with equality if and only if  $x, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are linearly dependent;
2.  $\langle x, y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle y, x | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ ;
3.  $\langle x, y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle x, y | a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n} \rangle$  for every permutation  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  of  $(2, \dots, n)$ ;
4.  $\langle x, x | a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle a_2, a_2 | x, a_3, \dots, a_n \rangle$ ;
5.  $\langle \alpha x, y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
6.  $\langle x + x', y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \langle x, y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle + \langle x', y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ .

The pair  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle)$  is then called an  $n$ -inner product space.

Under the same conditions, a real-valued function  $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an  $n$ -norm on  $X^n$  if the following conditions hold:

1.  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly dependent;
2.  $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$  is invariant under permutations of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ ;
3.  $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ ;
4.  $\|x_0 + x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ , for all  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ .

The pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is then called an  $n$ -normed space.

Note that by the above definition, an  $n$ -norm is always non-negative. We also have  $\|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n\| = \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1}\|$  for all  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Using the definitions above, it is easy to see that in an  $n$ -inner product space  $X$ , the formula

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = \sqrt{\langle x_1, x_1 | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle} \quad (1.1)$$

defines an  $n$ -norm on  $X$ .

Various aspects of the theory of  $n$ -normed space and  $n$ -inner product space has been investigated more recently, for instance in [1, 4, 7]. A survey of the theory of  $n$ -inner product space can be found in [3].

In [2], Cho et al. shows that a necessary and sufficient condition for an  $n$ -normed space to be an  $n$ -inner product space is that the following extended parallelogram law:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 + \|x - y, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 \\ = 2 (\|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 + \|y, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2) \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

holds, in which case the  $n$ -inner product is given by

$$\langle x, y | a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (\|x + y, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 - \|x - y, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2) \quad (1.3)$$

We call this a *characterization* of  $n$ -inner product space.

In [5], Dunkl and Williams introduced the following Dunkl-Williams inequality in an inner product space:

$$\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{y}{\|y\|} \right\| \leq \frac{2\|x - y\|}{\|x\| + \|y\|} \quad (1.4)$$

It has since been improved by various authors ([9, 10]).

In this paper, we will extend the above and related inequalities to  $n$ -normed space and  $n$ -inner product space. We also derive several necessary and sufficient conditions for an  $n$ -normed space to be an  $n$ -inner product space.

### 2. Main Results

For ease of exposition, throughout this section let  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  be a 2-normed space. All the results hold in the more general case of  $n$ -normed space with minor modifications.

**Proposition 2.1.** *The following Dunkl-Williams inequality*

$$\left\| \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|}, a \right\| \leq \frac{4\|x - y, a\|}{\|x, a\| + \|y, a\|} \tag{2.1}$$

holds for any  $x, y, a \in X$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x, a\| \left\| \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|}, a \right\| &\leq \|x, a\| \left\| \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|x, a\|}, a \right\| \\ &\quad + \|x, a\| \left\| \frac{y}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|}, a \right\| \\ &= \|x - y, a\| + |\|y, a\| - \|x, a\|| \\ &\leq 2\|x - y, a\| \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|y, a\| \left\| \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|}, a \right\| \leq 2\|x - y, a\|$$

The result follows by adding the two inequalities above. □

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a 2-inner product space. The following Dunkl-Williams inequality*

$$\left\| \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|}, a \right\| \leq \frac{2\|x - y, a\|}{\|x, a\| + \|y, a\|} \tag{2.2}$$

holds for any  $x, y, a \in Y$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|}, a \right\|^2 &= \left\langle \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|}, \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|} \middle| a \right\rangle \\
 &= 2 - 2 \left\langle \frac{x}{\|x, a\|}, \frac{y}{\|y, a\|} \middle| a \right\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{\|x, a\| \|y, a\|} (2\|x, a\| \|y, a\| - 2\langle x, y | a \rangle) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\|x, a\| \|y, a\|} [2\|x, a\| \|y, a\| - (\|x, a\|^2 + \|y, a\|^2 \\
 &\quad - \|x - y, a\|^2)] \\
 &= \frac{\|x - y, a\|^2 - (\|x, a\| - \|y, a\|)^2}{\|x, a\| \|y, a\|}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x - y, a\|^2 - \left( \frac{\|x, a\| + \|y, a\|}{2} \right)^2 \left\| \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|} \right\|^2 \\
 = \frac{(\|x, a\| - \|y, a\|)^2}{4\|x, a\| \|y, a\|} [(\|x, a\| + \|y, a\|)^2 - \|x - y, a\|^2] \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

The result then follows. □

Later we will prove that inequality (2.2) is actually a necessary and sufficient condition for a 2-normed space to be a 2-inner product space. Again, this is also true for  $n$ -normed space and  $n$ -inner product space with obvious modifications. To prove that, we need several lemmas.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $x, y, a \in X$ . Suppose the following statement is true*

$$\|x, a\| = \|y, a\| \text{ implies } \|\alpha x + \alpha^{-1}y, a\| \geq \|x + y, a\| \text{ for all } \alpha \neq 0 \quad (2.3)$$

*Then we have the following*

$$\|\alpha x + \alpha^{-1}y, a\| \geq \|x + y, a\| \text{ for all } \alpha \neq 0 \text{ implies } \|x, a\| = \|y, a\| \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* Suppose (2.3) is true. Suppose  $\|\alpha x + \alpha^{-1}y, a\| \geq \|x + y, a\|$ . If  $\{x + y, a\}$  are linearly dependent, then we have  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$ . Now suppose  $\{x + y, a\}$  are linearly independent, then we only need to consider when each of  $\{x, a\}$  and  $\{y, a\}$  are linearly independent, otherwise (2.4) is vacuously true.

Let  $\beta = \frac{\|y,a\|}{\|x,a\|}$  and  $y = \beta y'$ . Then  $\|y', a\| = \|x, a\|$ . Using triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y, a\| &= \|x + \beta y', a\| = \beta^{1/2} \|\beta^{-1/2}x + \beta^{1/2}y', a\| \\ &\geq \beta^{1/2} \|x + y', a\| = \|\beta^{1/2}x + \beta^{-1/2}y, a\| \geq \|x + y, a\| \end{aligned}$$

whence equality is only attained when  $\beta^{1/2} = 1$ , i.e.  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$  as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *For all  $u, v, a \in X$  and  $r > 0$ , there exist no more than 2 distinct real numbers  $t$  such that  $\|u + t(v - u), a\| = r$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\{u, v, a\}$  are linearly dependent, then the result follows. Suppose  $\{u, v, a\}$  are linearly independent. Now suppose the assertion is false. Then we can find among the vectors  $u + t(v - u)$ , two linearly independent vectors  $p$  and  $q$ , and a vector  $w = sp + (1 - s)q$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , such that  $\|p, a\| = \|q, a\| = \|w, a\|$ . By our assumption, we have  $\{p, q, a\}$  are linearly independent, and therefore we have strict inequality in the following triangle inequality  $\|w, a\| < s\|p, a\| + (1 - s)\|q, a\| < \|p, a\|$ , a contradiction. Hence, the lemma is proven.  $\square$

We need the following result. In [6], Ficken proved it for the case of normed space, and we will extend his result. This is one characterization of an  $n$ -inner product space.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $x, y, a \in X$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $X$  is a 2-inner product space.
2.  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$  implies  $\|\alpha x + \beta y, a\| = \|\beta x + \alpha y, a\|$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha x + \beta y, a\|^2 &= \alpha^2 \|x, a\|^2 + 2\alpha\beta \langle x, y|a \rangle + \beta^2 \|y, a\|^2 \\ &= \langle \beta x + \alpha y, \beta x + \alpha y|a \rangle = \|\beta x + \alpha y, a\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

from which the conclusion follows.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): We will show that  $X$  is a 2-inner product space by showing that the extended parallelogram law (1.2) holds. Suppose we have (2). The result is immediate if  $\{x, y, a\}$  are linearly dependent. Now suppose  $\{x, y, a\}$  are linearly independent. Using the assumption,

$$\|x + y, a\| = \|x - y + 2y, a\| = \left\| \frac{2\|y, a\|}{\|x - y, a\|} (x - y) + \frac{\|x - y, a\|}{\|y, a\|} y, a \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \frac{2\|y, a\|}{\|x - y, a\|}x + \frac{\|x - y, a\|^2 - 2\|y, a\|^2}{\|x - y, a\|\|y, a\|}y, a \right\|$$

i.e.

$$\|y, a\|\|x + y, a\|\|x - y, a\| = \|2\|y, a\|^2x + (\|x - y, a\|^2 - 2\|y, a\|^2)y, a\| \quad (2.5)$$

Similarly, considering  $\|y - x + 2x, a\|$ ,  $\|x + y - 2y, a\|$  and  $\|x + y - 2x, a\|$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y, a\|\|x + y, a\|\|x - y, a\| &= \|2\|y, a\|^2x + (\|x - y, a\|^2 - 2\|x, a\|^2)y, a\| \\ &= \|2\|y, a\|^2x + (2\|y, a\|^2 - \|x + y, a\|^2)y, a\| \\ &= \|2\|y, a\|^2x + (2\|x, a\|^2 - \|x + y, a\|^2)y, a\| \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 with  $u = 2\|x, a\|^2y$ ,  $v - u = y$  and  $r = \|y, a\|\|x + y, a\|\|x - y, a\|$ , we find that among the four numbers

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \|x - y, a\|^2 - 2\|y, a\|^2 \\ n &= \|x - y, a\|^2 - 2\|x, a\|^2 \\ p &= 2\|y, a\|^2 - \|x + y, a\|^2 \\ q &= 2\|x, a\|^2 - \|x + y, a\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

there are at most two distinct numbers. It remains to check case by case. This is routine and parallelogram law follows immediately except for the case where  $m = n$  and  $p = q$ . Then for this last case, without loss of generality, we may assume  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$  and  $\|x + y, a\| = \|x - y, a\|$ . The details are similar to that in [6].

In this last case, suppose  $m > 0$ , then applying triangle inequality to (2.5), we have the strict inequality  $\|x + y, a\| < \|x - y, a\|$  since  $\{x, y, a\}$  are linearly independent, a contradiction. Similarly if  $m < 0$ , we obtain  $\|x + y, a\| > \|x - y, a\|$ , also a contradiction. Hence  $m = 0 = n$ . Similarly  $p = 0 = q$ . Extended parallelogram law follows in all case, hence  $X$  is a 2-inner product space. □

The following result is needed to prove our main theorem. The case when  $X$  is a normed space is due to Lorch ([8]). We will extend the result. This also provides another characterization of  $n$ -inner product space.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $x, y, a \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $X$  is a 2-inner product space.

2.  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$  implies  $\|\alpha x + \alpha^{-1}y, a\| \geq \|x + y, a\|$  for all  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Suppose  $X$  is a 2-inner product space. Suppose  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha x + \alpha^{-1}y, a\|^2 &= \langle \alpha x + \alpha^{-1}y, \alpha x + \alpha^{-1}y | a \rangle \\ &= \alpha^2 \|x, a\|^2 + 2\langle x, y | a \rangle + \alpha^{-2} \|y, a\|^2 \\ &= (\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2}) \left( \frac{\|x, a\|^2 + \|y, a\|^2}{2} \right) + 2\langle x, y | a \rangle \\ &\geq \|x, a\|^2 + \|y, a\|^2 + 2\langle x, y | a \rangle \\ &= \|x + y, a\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Suppose (2) is true. We will use Theorem 2.5. Suppose  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$ . It suffices to show that for any  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\|e^\theta x + e^{-\theta}y, a\| = \|e^{-\theta}x + e^\theta y, a\|$ . Note that for any  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^\phi(e^\theta x + e^{-\theta}y) + e^{-\phi}(e^{-\theta}x + e^\theta y), a\| \\ = \|(e^{\theta+\phi} + e^{-\theta-\phi})x + (e^{\theta-\phi} + e^{-\theta+\phi})y, a\| \end{aligned}$$

We denote  $\alpha = e^{\theta+\phi} + e^{-\theta-\phi}$  and  $\beta = e^{\theta-\phi} + e^{-\theta+\phi}$ . Then  $\alpha\beta \geq (e^\theta + e^{-\theta})^2$ .

Now, writing  $\gamma^2 = \alpha\beta$  and using the hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha x + \beta y, a\| &= \gamma \|\alpha\gamma^{-1}x + \beta\gamma^{-1}y, a\| \geq \gamma \|x + y, a\| \geq (e^\theta + e^{-\theta}) \|x + y, a\| \\ &= \|(e^\theta x + e^{-\theta}y) + (e^{-\theta}x + e^\theta y), a\| \end{aligned}$$

The desired result then follows by applying Lemma 2.3. □

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem. This is our final characterization of  $n$ -inner product space.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $x, y, a \in X$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

1.  $X$  is a 2-inner product space.
2. The following Dunkl-Williams inequality

$$\left\| \frac{x}{\|x, a\|} - \frac{y}{\|y, a\|}, a \right\| \leq \frac{2\|x - y, a\|}{\|x, a\| + \|y, a\|} \tag{2.6}$$

holds for any  $x, y, a \in X$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): This is Proposition 2.2.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Suppose (2.6) holds. We will use Theorem 2.6. Suppose  $\|x, a\| = \|y, a\|$ . Now, replacing  $x$  by  $\alpha x$ , and  $y$  by  $-\alpha^{-1}y$  in inequality (2.6), it easily follows that  $\|\alpha x + \alpha^{-1}y, a\| \geq \|x + y, a\|$ . Therefore by Theorem 2.6,  $X$  is a 2-inner product space.  $\square$

## References

- [1] X.Y. Chen, M.M. Song, Characterizations on isometries in linear  $n$ -normed spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **72** (2010), 1895-1901.
- [2] Y.J. Cho, M. Matić, J. Pečarić, Inequalities of Hlawka's type in  $n$ -inner product spaces, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.*, **17**, No. 4 (2002), 583-592.
- [3] Y.J. Cho, C.S. Lin, S.S. Kim, A. Misiak, *Theory of 2-Inner Product Spaces*, Nova Science Publ., New York (2001).
- [4] H.Y. Chu, K.H. Lee, C.K. Park, On the Aleksandrov problem in linear  $n$ -normed spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **59** (2004), 1001-1011.
- [5] C.F. Dunkl, K.S. Williams, A simple norm inequality, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **71**, No. 1 (1964), 53-54.
- [6] F.A. Ficken, Note on the existence of scalar products in normed linear spaces, *Ann. of Math.*, **45**, No. 2 (1944), 362-366.
- [7] H. Gunawan, Mashadi, On  $n$ -normed spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **27** (2001), 631-639.
- [8] E.R. Lorch, On certain implications which characterize Hilbert space, *Ann. of Math.*, **49**, No. 3 (1948), 523-532.
- [9] L. Maligranda, Simple norm inequality, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **113**, No. 3 (2006), 256-260.
- [10] P.R. Mercer, The Dunkl-Williams Inequality in an inner product space, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **10**, No. 2 (2007), 447-450.