



NEW CLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

A.T. Oladipo¹, O.A. Fadipe-Joseph² §, C.N. Ejieji³

¹Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology
Ogbomoso, NIGERIA

^{2,3}Department of Mathematics
University of Ilorin
P.M.B. 1515, Ilorin, NIGERIA

²The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics
Trieste, ITALY

Abstract: Inequalities conditions for certain new classes of univalent functions were determined. Also, the distortion inequalities for the new class of univalent functions was established.

AMS Subject Classification: 30C45

Key Words: starlikeness, convexity, uniformly starlike functions, w -convex functions, Carlson-Shaeffer

1. Introduction and Definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}(w)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = (z - w) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(z - w)^n, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized with $f(w) = 0$, $f'(w) - 1 = 0$ and w is an arbitrary fixed point in U , see [3], [6], [7].

Also let $A^+(w)$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = (z - w) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(z - w)^n, \quad (a_n \geq 0), \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic in U and w is an arbitrary fixed point in U .

Here, we denote by $S(w, A, B)$ and $S^c(w, A, B)$, ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) the subclasses of w -starlike and w -convex functions respectively, (see [3], [6], [7] for details). That is

$$S(w, A, B) = \left\{ f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w) : (z - w)f'(z) \in [1 + A(z - w), 1 + B(z - w)] \right\}$$

and is said to be in a corresponding class of uniformly starlike functions, denoted by $US(w)$ if

$$Re \left(\frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \left| \frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|.$$

Furthermore, a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w)$ is said to be in the class of α -uniformly w -convex functions of order β , denoted $US^c(w, \alpha, \beta)$ (see [4], [7]) if

$$Re \left(1 + \frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \left| \frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} \right| + \beta \quad (\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

and is also in the corresponding class denoted by $US(w, \alpha, \beta)$ if

$$Re \left(\frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \left| \frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| + \beta \quad (\alpha \geq 0; 0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

It is clear and obvious that $f(z) \in US^c(w, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if $(z-w)f(z) \in US(w, \alpha, \beta)$.

For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w)$ we define the following linear operator by

$$J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) = \varphi_w(a, \gamma; z) * I_w^k(\lambda, l)f(z), \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\varphi_w = (z-w) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}}(z-w)^n \quad (z \in U, a \in \mathfrak{R}, \gamma \in \mathfrak{R} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\},$$

$(a)_n$ is the pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0, \\ a(a+1)(a+2)\dots(a+n-1), & n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$I_w^k(\lambda, l)f(z) = (z-w) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^k a_n(z-w)^n$$

where $\lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0$ and w is an arbitrary fixed point in U .

Therefore, the linear operator $J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)$ can be written as

$$J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) = (z-w) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} \sigma^m(\lambda, l) a_n(z-w)^n, \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$\sigma^k(\lambda, l) = \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^k$$

and w is an arbitrary fixed point in U .

Remark A. If $\lambda = 1, l = 0$ and $w = 0$ the operator reduces to the one studied in [5]. For $k = 0$, the operator in (1.7) reduces to the Carlson-Shaeffer, also for different values of $\lambda, l, w = 0$ it imposes the Aouf et al [3], Al-boudi operator [2], and the Salagean [9].

Let $L_w^{k,\rho}(a, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda, l, A, B)$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(w)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the following inequality

$$\frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - \alpha \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right| \alpha \frac{1 + A(z - w)}{1 + B(z - w)}, \tag{1.8}$$

for $\lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \alpha \geq 0$ and w is an arbitrary fixed point in U .

Also, let $y_{k,\rho}^{s,w}(a, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda, l, A, B)$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{A}(w)$ consisting of functions $f(z)$ which satisfy the following condition

$$f(z) \in y_{k,\rho}^{s,w}(a, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda, l, A, B) \iff J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) \in L_w^{k,\rho}(a, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda, l, A, B).$$

For $s = 0$, it is easy to see that $y_{k,\rho}^{0,w}(a, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda, l, A, B) = L_w^{k,\rho}(a, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda, l, A, B)$.

With various special choices of the parameters involved, various existing classes studied by various authors shall be derived. See [1], [3], [5], [6], [7], [8].

Let $P_w \subset P(w)$ denote the class of analytic functions of the form $P_w(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1} B_n(z - w)^k$ satisfying $ReP_w(z) > 0$ and $P_w(w) = 1$.

2. Coefficient Inequalities for Class $L_w^{k,\rho}(a, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda, l, A, B)$ and $y_{k,\rho}^{s,w}(a, \alpha, \gamma, \lambda, l, A, B)$

Theorem 2.1. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2} \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B)(r + d)^{n-1} |a_n| \leq A - B, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B) \\ &= (1 + 2\alpha) \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} \left| \sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l) \right| + \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} \left| B\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - A\sigma^\rho(\lambda, l) \right| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma^k(\lambda, l) = \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^k \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l) = \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n - 1) + l}{1 + l} \right)^\rho,$$

for some $\alpha \geq 0, \lambda \geq 0, l \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$ then $f(z) \in L_w^{k,\rho}(a, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda, l, A, B)$.

Proof. Suppose that (2.1) is true for all the parameters as earlier defined. For $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w)$, let us define the function $P_w(z)$ by

$$P_w(z) = \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - \alpha \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right|. \tag{2.2}$$

It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{P_w(z) - 1}{A - BP_w(z)} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U),$$

where w is an arbitrary fixed point in U .

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{P_w(z) - 1}{A - BP_w(z)} \right| &= \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - \alpha e^{i\theta} |J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)| - J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{AJ_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - B(J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - \alpha e^{i\theta} |J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)|)} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)) - \alpha e^{i\theta} |J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)|}{(A - B)J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - B((J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z))) - \alpha e^{i\theta} |J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z) - J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)|} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)) a_n (z - w)^{n-1} - \alpha e^{i\theta} \left| \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)) a_n (z - w)^{n-1} \right|}{(A - B) - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (B\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - A\sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)) a_n (z - w)^{n-1} - \alpha e^{i\theta} \left| \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)) a_n (z - w)^{n-1} \right|} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| |z - w|^{n-1} + \alpha |e|^{i\theta} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| |z - w|^{n-1}}{(A - B) - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} |B\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - A\sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| |z - w|^{n-1} - \alpha |e|^{i\theta} \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| |z - w|^{n-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (r + d)^{n-1} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| + \alpha \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (r + d)^{n-1} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n|}{(A - B) - \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (r + d)^{n-1} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| - \alpha \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (r + d)^{n-1} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n|} \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by 1 if

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=2} \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (r + d)^{n-1} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| \\ &\quad + \alpha \sum_{n=2} \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (r + d)^{n-1} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| \\ &\leq (A - B) - \sum_{n=2} \frac{\binom{a}{n-1}}{\binom{\gamma}{n-1}} (r + d)^{n-1} |\sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l)| |a_n| \end{aligned}$$

$$-\alpha \sum_{n=2} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} (r+d)^{n-1} \left| \sigma^k(\lambda, l) - \sigma^\rho(\lambda, l) \right|,$$

which is equivalent to the condition (2.1) and the proof is complete.

Corollary A. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2} \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, 1, 0, n, \lambda, l, A, B) (r+d)^{n-1} |a_n| \leq A - B, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, 1, 0, n, \lambda, l, A, B) \\ &= (1 + 2\alpha) \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} \left| \frac{\lambda(n-l)}{1+l} \right| + \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} \left| B \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n-1) + l}{1+l} \right) - A \right|, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1, \lambda > 0, l \geq 0$ then $US(w, \alpha, A, B)$.

Corollary B. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2} \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, 1, 0, n, 1, 0, A, B) (r+d)^{n-1} |a_n| \leq A - B, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, 1, 0, n, 1, 0, A, B) = (1 + 2\alpha) \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} |n-1| + \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} |Bn - A|,$$

for some $\alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, then $f(z) \in US(w, \alpha, A, B)$.

Corollary C. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2} \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, 2, 1, n, \lambda, l, A, B) (r+d)^{n-1} |a_n| \leq A - B, \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, 2, 1, n, \lambda, l, A, B) \\ &= (1 + 2\alpha) \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n-l) + l}{1+l} \right) \left| \frac{\lambda(n-l)}{1+l} \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n-1) + l}{1+l} \right) \left| B \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n-1) + l}{1+l} \right) - A \right|, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha \geq 0, -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, then $f(z) \in US^c(w, \alpha, A, B)$.

Theorem 2.2. *If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(w)$ satisfies*

$$\sum_{n=2} \frac{(a)_{n-1}}{(\gamma)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda(n-1) + l}{1+l} \right)^s \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B)(r+d)^{n-1} |a_n| \leq A - B, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B)$ is as defined in Theorem 2.1, then

$$f \in y_{k,\rho}^s(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B).$$

Theorem 2.3. *If $f \in L_w^{k,\rho}(a, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda, l, A, B)$, then for $|z - w| = r + d < 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - (A - B)(r + d) - AB(r + d)^2}{1 - B^2(r + d)^2} \\ & \leq Re \left[\frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - \alpha \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right| \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1 + (A - B)(r + d) - AB(r + d)^2}{1 - B^2(r + d)^2}, \quad B \neq 0, \quad (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - A(r + d) & \leq Re \left[\frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - \alpha \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^\rho(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right| \right] \\ & \leq 1 + A(r + d), \quad B = 0. \quad (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $P_w(z)$ be defined as

$$P(z) < \frac{1 + A(z - w)}{1 + B(z - w)}, \quad |z - w| = (r + d) < 1,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P_w(z) - \frac{1 - AB(r + d)^2}{1 - B^r(r + d)^2} \right| & < \frac{(A - B)(r + d)}{1 - B^r(r + d)^2}, \quad B \neq 0, \\ |P_w(z) - 1| & < A(r + d), \quad B = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $L_w^{k,\rho}(a, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda, l, A, B)$, the inequalities (2.7) and (2.8) can be written in the form

$$\left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^p(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - \alpha \right| \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^p(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right| \left| \frac{1 - AB(r+d)^2}{1 - B^2(r+d)^2} \right| < \frac{(A - B)(r+d)}{1 - B^2(r+d)^2}, \quad B \neq 0,$$

$$\left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^p(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - \alpha \right| \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^p(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right| < A(r+d), \quad B = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain (2.7) and (2.8) of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. *If $f(z) \in y_{k,\rho}^{s,w}(a, \gamma, \lambda, l, A, B)$ then for $|z - w| = r + d < 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - (A - B)(r+d) - AB(r+d)^2}{1 - B^2(r+d)^2} &\leq \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)J_w^s(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^p(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)J_w^s(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)J_w^s(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^p(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)J_w^s(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1 + (A - B)(r+d) - AB(r+d)^2}{1 - B^2(r+d)^2}, \quad B \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - A(r+d) &\leq \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)J_w^s(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^p(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)J_w^s(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha \left| \frac{J_w^k(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)J_w^s(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)}{J_w^p(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)J_w^s(a, \gamma, \lambda, l)f(z)} - 1 \right| \right] \leq 1 + A(r+d), \quad B = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary E. *If $f(z) \in US(w, \alpha, A, B)$, then for $|z - w| = r + d < 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - (A - B)(r+d) - AB(r+d)^2}{1 - B^2(r+d)^2} &\leq \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \left| \frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1 + (A - B)(r+d) - AB(r+d)^2}{1 - B^2(r+d)^2}, \quad B \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$1 - A(r+d) \leq \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \left| \frac{(z-w)f(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \right] \leq 1 + A(r+d), \quad B \neq 0.$$

Corollary F. *If $f(z) \in US^c(w, \alpha, A, B)$, then for $|z - w| = r + d < 1$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - (A - B)(r + d) - AB(r + d)^2}{1 - B^2(r + d)^2} \\ & \leq \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{(z - w)f'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \left| \frac{(z - w)f'(z)}{f(z)} \right| \right] \\ & \leq \frac{1 + (A - B)r - AB(r + d)^2}{1 - B^2(r + d)^2}, \quad B \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$1 - A(r + d) \leq \operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{(z - w)f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \left| \frac{(z - w)f''(z)}{f'(z)} - 1 \right| \right] \leq 1 + A(r + d), \quad B = 0.$$

3. Distortion Inequalities

Lemma B. *If $f(z) \in L_w^{k,\rho}(a, \gamma, \alpha, \lambda, l, A, B)$, then we have*

$$\sum_{n=p+1} a_n \leq \frac{(A - B) - \sum_{n=2}^p \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B)(r + d)^{n-1} a_n}{\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, p + 1, \lambda, l, A, B)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B)$ is as earlier defined in Theorem 2.1.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1} \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, n, k, \rho, \lambda, l, A, B)(r + d)^{n-1} a_n \\ & \leq (A - B) - \sum_{n=2}^p \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, n, \lambda, l, A, B)(r + d)^{n-1} a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, n, k, \rho, \lambda, l, A, B)(r + d)^{n-1}$ is an increasing function for n .

Then from (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, p + 1, k, \rho, \lambda, l, A, B) \sum_{n=p+1} (r + d)^{n-1} a_n \\ & \leq (A - B) - \sum_{n=2}^p \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B)(r + d)^{n-1} a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=p+1} a_n & \leq \frac{(A - B) - \sum_{n=2}^p \Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, n, \lambda, l, A, B)(r + d)^{n-1} a_n}{\Phi(a, \gamma, \alpha, k, \rho, p + 1, \lambda, l, A, B)} \\ & = A_n. \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments

The second author made her contribution to the work during her visit to the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP), Trieste, Italy as an associate.

References

- [1] M. Acu and S. Owa, On some subclasses of univalent functions, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied mathematics*, **6**, No. 3, Article 70 (2005), 1-14.
- [2] F.M. Alboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalised Salagean operator, *International J. Math. Math. Sci.* (2004), 1429-1436.
- [3] M.K. Aouf and N.E. Cho, On a certain certain subclass of analytic functions with negative coefficients, *Trans. J. of Math.*, **22** (1998), 15-32.
- [4] S.S. Hama, S.R. Kulkarni and J.M. Jahangiri, Classes of uniformly starlike and convex functions, *International J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **55** (2004), 2959-2961.
- [5] R.W. Ibrahim, M. Darus and O.A. Fadipe-Joseph, Different studies of univalent functions of fractional power, *Oriental Journal of Mathematics*, **3**, No. 2 (2010), 75-85.
- [6] S. Kanas and F. Ronning, Uniformly starlike and convex functions and other related classes of univalent functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-sklodowska*, Section A, **53** (1999), 95-105.
- [7] A.T. Oladipo, Certain classes of analytic functions with negative coefficients defined by Aouf derivative operator, *Analele Universitatii Oradea, Fasc. Matematica*, **XIX** (2012), 21-31.
- [8] A.T. Oladipo, On subclasses of analytic and univalent functions, *Advances in Applied Mathematical Analysis*, **4**, No. 1 (2009), 87-93.
- [9] G.S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, In: *Complex Analysis Pro. 5-th Rom-Finn. Semin.*, Bucharest 1981, Part 1, Lect. Notes Math., **1013** (1983), 362-372.

