

**OPTIMAL CONVEX COMBINATION BOUNDS OF
GEOMETRIC AND SECOND SEIFFERT MEANS
FOR NEUMAN-SÁNDOR MEAN**

Liu Chunrong^{1 §}, Shi Mingyu²

^{1,2}College of Mathematics and Information Science

Hebei University

Baoding, 071002, P.R. CHINA

Abstract: In this paper, we present the least value α and the greatest value β such that the double inequality

$$\alpha G(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)T(a, b) < M(a, b) < \beta G(a, b) + (1 - \beta)T(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, where $G(a, b)$, $M(a, b)$ and $T(a, b)$ are respectively the geometric, Neuman-Sándor and second Seiffert means of a and b .

AMS Subject Classification: 26D15

Key Words: inequality, Neuman-Sándor mean, Seiffert mean, geometric mean

1. Introduction

For $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ the Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$ [1] was defined by

$$M(a, b) = \frac{a - b}{2 \sinh^{-1}\left(\frac{a - b}{a + b}\right)}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\sinh^{-1}(x) = \log(x + \sqrt{1 + x^2})$ is the inverse hyperbolic sine function.

Received: January 19, 2015

© 2015 Academic Publications, Ltd.
url: www.acadpubl.eu

[§]Correspondence author

Recently, the Neuman-Sándor mean has been the subject of intensive research. In particular, many remarkable inequalities for the Neuman-Sándor mean $M(a, b)$ can be found in the literature [1,2].

Let $H(a, b) = (2ab)/(a + b)$, $G(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$, $L(a, b) = (a - b)/(\log a - \log b)$, $P(a, b) = (a - b)/(4 \arctan \sqrt{a/b} - \pi)$, $A(a, b) = (a + b)/2$, $T(a, b) = (a - b)/[2 \arctan(a - b)/(a + b)]$, $Q(a, b) = \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)}/2$ and $C(a, b) = (a^2 + b^2)/(a + b)$ be the harmonic, geometric, logarithmic, first Seiffert, arithmetic, second Seiffert, quadratic and contra-harmonic mean of a and b , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \min\{a, b\} &< H(a, b) < G(a, b) < L(a, b) \\ &< P(a, b) < A(a, b) < M(a, b) < T(a, b) \\ &< Q(a, b) < C(a, b) < \max\{a, b\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

Li et al. [3] showed that the double inequality

$$L_{p_0}(a, b) < M(a, b) < L_2(a, b) \quad (1.3)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$, where $L_p(a, b) = [(a^{p+1} - b^{p+1})/((p + 1)(a - b))]^{1/p}$ ($p \neq -1, 0$), $L_0(a, b) = 1/e(a^a/b^b)^{1/(a-b)}$ and $L_{-1}(a, b) = (a - b)/(\log a - \log b)$ is the p -th generalized logarithmic mean of a and b , and $p_0 = 1.843 \dots$ is the unique solution of the equation $(p + 1)^{1/p} = 2 \log(1 + \sqrt{2})$.

In [4], Neuman proved that the double inequalities

$$\alpha Q(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)A(a, b) < M(a, b) < \beta Q(a, b) + (1 - \beta)A(a, b) \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\lambda C(a, b) + (1 - \lambda)A(a, b) < M(a, b) < \mu C(a, b) + (1 - \mu)A(a, b) \quad (1.5)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \leq [1 - \log(1 + \sqrt{2})]/[(\sqrt{2} - 1) \log(1 + \sqrt{2})] = 0.3249 \dots$, $\beta \geq 1/3$, $\lambda \leq [1 - \log(1 + \sqrt{2})]/\log(1 + \sqrt{2}) = 0.1345 \dots$ and $\mu \geq 1/6$.

In [5], Chu etc proved that the double inequalities

$$\alpha_1 L(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_1)Q(a, b) < M(a, b) < \beta_1 L(a, b) + (1 - \beta_1)Q(a, b) \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\alpha_2 L(a, b) + (1 - \alpha_2)C(a, b) < M(a, b) < \beta_2 L(a, b) + (1 - \beta_2)C(a, b) \quad (1.7)$$

hold for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha_1 \geq 2/5$, $\beta_1 \leq 1 - 1/[\sqrt{2} \log(1 + \sqrt{2})] = 0.1977 \dots$, $\alpha_2 \geq 5/8$ and $\beta_2 \leq 1 - 1/[2 \log(1 + \sqrt{2})] = 0.4327 \dots$.

The main purpose of this paper is to find the least value α and the greatest value β such that the double inequality

$$\alpha G(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)T(a, b) < M(a, b) < \beta G(a, b) + (1 - \beta)T(a, b)$$

holds for all $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$.

2. Lemmas

In order to establish our main result we need several lemmas, which we present in this section.

lemma 2.1 Let $f(x) = 1/\sqrt{1-x^2}$, $g(x) = \sqrt{1-x^2}$, $h(x) = 1/\sqrt{1+x^2}$, $l(x) = \arctan x$ and $k(x) = \log(x + \sqrt{1+x^2})$. Then The inequalities

$$f(x) > 1 + \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$g(x) < 1 - \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$h(x) < 1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8}x^4, \quad (2.3)$$

$$l(x) > x - \frac{x^3}{3}, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$k(x) > x - \frac{x^3}{6} \quad (2.5)$$

hold for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. The inequalities (2.1)–(2.3) follow immediately from the inequalities

$$[f(x)]^2 - \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{x^4(3+x^2)}{4(1-x^2)} > 0,$$

$$[g(x)]^2 - \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2}\right)^2 = -\frac{x^4}{4} < 0$$

and

$$[h(x)]^2 - \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8}x^4\right)^2 = -\frac{x^6[(3x^2-5)^2 + 15(1+x^2)]}{64(1+x^2)} < 0$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

Next, we prove the inequalities (2.4) and (2.5).

Let

$$l_1(x) = l(x) - \left(x - \frac{x^3}{3}\right). \quad (2.6)$$

Then simple computations lead to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} l_1(x) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$l_1'(x) = \frac{x^4}{1+x^2} > 0 \quad (2.8)$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, the inequality (2.4) follows from (2.7), (2.8) and (2.6).

Let

$$k_1(x) = k(x) - \left(x - \frac{x^3}{6}\right). \quad (2.9)$$

Then simple computations yield

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} k_1(x) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$k_1'(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x^2}} - 1 + \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} k_1'(x) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$k_1''(x) = x \left[1 - \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{3/2}}\right] > 0 \quad (2.13)$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, the inequality (2.5) follows easily from (2.10), (2.12), (2.13) and (2.9). \square

lemma 2.2 Let $\varphi(x) = 1/\arctan x - x/[(1+x^2)(\arctan x)^2]$. Then the inequality

$$\varphi(x) < \frac{2}{3}x - \frac{1}{9}x^3 \quad (2.14)$$

holds for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Let

$$\varphi_1(x) = (1+x^2)\arctan x - x - \left(\frac{2}{3}x - \frac{1}{9}x^3\right)(1+x^2)(\arctan x)^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi_1(x) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Differentiating $\varphi_1(x)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1'(x) = & -\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{3}x^2 - \frac{5}{9}x^4\right)(\arctan x)^2 + \left(\frac{2}{9}x^3 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{4}{3}x\right) \arctan x + 2x \arctan x. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

The expression of $\varphi_1'(x)$ is represented as

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1'(x) = & -\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{3}x^2 - \frac{5}{9}x^4\right) \arctan x \cdot l(x) + \left(\frac{2}{9}x^3 \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{4}{3}x\right) \arctan x + 2x \arctan x, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where $l(x)$ is defined as in lemma 2.1. Owing to

$$\frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{3}x^2 - \frac{5}{9}x^4 = \frac{1}{9}[6 + 15x^2(1 - \frac{x^2}{3})] > 0$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$, making use of the inequality (2.4) with the item $-[2/3 + (5/3)x^2 - (5/9)x^4] \arctan x \cdot l(x)$ replaced by $-[2/3 + (5/3)x^2 - (5/9)x^4] \arctan x \cdot (x - x^3/3)$ in the equality (2.18) cause the conclusion that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1'(x) & < -\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{5}{3}x^2 - \frac{5}{9}x^4\right)\left(x - \frac{x^3}{3}\right) \arctan x \\ & + \left(\frac{2}{9}x^3 - \frac{4}{3}x\right) \arctan x + 2x \arctan x \\ & = -\frac{x^3}{27}[33(1 - x^2) + 3x^2 + 5x^4] \arctan x < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, the inequality (2.14) follows easily from (2.15) and (2.16) together with (2.19). \square

lemma 2.3 (see [5, lemma 2.4]). Let $\psi(x) = x/[\sqrt{1+x^2} \cdot (\sinh^{-1}(x))^2] - 1/\sinh^{-1}(x)$. Then the inequality

$$\psi(x) < -\frac{x}{3} + \frac{17x^3}{90} \quad (2.20)$$

holds for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

lemma 2.4 Let $\Delta(x) = \arctan x \cdot \sinh^{-1}(x)$. Then the inequality

$$\Delta(x) > x^2 - \frac{x^4}{2} \quad (2.21)$$

holds for all $x \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. By the inequalities (2.4) and (2.5), the inequality (2.21) follows easily from

$$\begin{aligned} \arctan x \cdot \sinh^{-1}(x) - (x^2 - \frac{x^4}{2}) &> (x - \frac{x^3}{3})(x \\ - \frac{x^3}{6}) - (x^2 - \frac{x^4}{2}) &= \frac{x^6}{18} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. □

lemma 2.5 Let

$$\Omega(x) = 30 - 105x^2 + 84x^4 - 24x^6. \quad (2.22)$$

Then there is the real number x_0 on $(0, 1)$ so as to $\Omega(x_0) = 0$, $\Omega(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, x_0)$, and $\Omega(x) < 0$ for $x \in (x_0, 1)$. Further more $1/2 < x_0 < 1/\sqrt{2}$.

Proof. Simple computations lead to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \Omega(x) = 30 > 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} \Omega(x) = -15 < 0, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\Omega(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{69}{8} > 0, \quad \Omega(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) = -\frac{9}{2} < 0, \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\Omega'(x) = -6x[8(1 - x^2)(4 - 3x^2) + 3] < 0 \quad (2.25)$$

for $x \in (0, 1)$. From (2.25) we confirm that $\Omega(x)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, 1)$. It follows from (2.23) and the monotonicity of $\Omega(x)$ that there exists $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\Omega(x_0) = 0$, $\Omega(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, x_0)$, and $\Omega(x) < 0$ for $x \in (x_0, 1)$. From (2.24) we know that $1/2 < x_0 < 1/\sqrt{2}$. □

lemma 2.6 Let $\lambda = 1 - \pi/[4 \log(1 + \sqrt{2})] = 0.108893 \dots$ and

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) = & 2(11\lambda - 3)x^{16} + (35 - 59\lambda)x^{14} + (17\lambda - 91)x^{12} \\ & + 2(97 - 13\lambda)x^{10} + 4(58\lambda - 99)x^8 + 5(115 \\ & - 19\lambda)x^6 - (367\lambda + 491)x^4 + 20(5\lambda \\ & + 11)x^2 + 8(2\lambda - 5). \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Then the inequality

$$F(x) < 0 \quad (2.27)$$

holds for all $x \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$.

Proof. Let $x \in (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$. Making use of the transform $x^2 = 1/t$ ($t \in (2, +\infty)$) for $F(x)$ yields

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{t^8} F_1(t), \quad (2.28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(t) = & 8(2\lambda - 5)t^8 + 20(5\lambda + 11)t^7 - (367\lambda + 491)t^6 \\ & + 5(115 - 19\lambda)t^5 + 4(58\lambda - 99)t^4 + 2(97 \\ & - 13\lambda)t^3 + (17\lambda - 91)t^2 + (35 - 59\lambda)t \\ & + 2(11\lambda - 3). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Simple computations lead to

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 2^+} F_1(t) = -4(1539\lambda + 47) < 0, \quad (2.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'(t) = & 64(2\lambda - 5)t^7 + 140(5\lambda + 11)t^6 - 6(367\lambda \\ & + 491)t^5 + 25(115 - 19\lambda)t^4 + 16(58\lambda - 99)t^3 \\ & + 6(97 - 13\lambda)t^2 + 2(17\lambda - 91)t + (35 - 59\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 2^+} F_1'(t) = -(9759\lambda + 1345) < 0, \quad (2.32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1''(t) = & 2[224(2\lambda - 5)t^6 + 420(5\lambda + 11)t^5 - 15(367\lambda \\ & + 491)t^4 + 50(115 - 19\lambda)t^3 + 24(58\lambda - 99)t^2 \\ & + 6(97 - 13\lambda)t + (17\lambda - 91)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 2^+} F_1''(t) = -2(4111 - 5621\lambda) < 0, \quad (2.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1'''(t) = & 12[224(2\lambda - 5)t^5 + 350(5\lambda + 11)t^4 - 10(367\lambda \\ & + 491)t^3 + 25(115 - 19\lambda)t^2 + 8(58\lambda - 99)t \\ & + (97 - 13\lambda)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 2^+} F_1'''(t) = -252(167 - 571\lambda) < 0, \quad (2.36)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(4)}(t) = & 24[560(2\lambda - 5)t^4 + 700(5\lambda + 11)t^3 - 15(367\lambda \\ & + 491)t^2 + 25(115 - 19\lambda)t + 4(58\lambda - 99)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 2^+} F_1^{(4)}(t) = -48(3653 - 11591\lambda) < 0, \quad (2.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(5)}(t) = & 120[448(2\lambda - 5)t^3 + 420(5\lambda + 11)t^2 \\ & - 6(367\lambda + 491)t + 5(115 - 19\lambda)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 2^+} F_1^{(5)}(t) = -120(4757 - 11069\lambda) < 0, \quad (2.40)$$

$$F_1^{(6)}(t) = \frac{720[224(2\lambda - 5)t^2 + 140(5\lambda + 11)t - (367\lambda + 491)]}{\ln(1 + \sqrt{2})} \quad (2.41)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 2^+} F_1^{(6)}(t) = -720(1891 - 2825\lambda) < 0, \quad (2.42)$$

and

$$F_1^{(7)}(t) = -5040 \left[\frac{\pi}{\ln(1 + \sqrt{2})} (32t + 25) + 64(3x - 5) \right] < 0 \quad (2.43)$$

for $t \in (2, +\infty)$. Therefore, the inequality (2.27) follows from (2.42), (2.40), (2.38), (2.36), (2.34), (2.32), (2.30) and (2.28) together with (2.43). \square

lemma 2.7 Let $\lambda = 1 - \pi/[4 \log(1 + \sqrt{2})] = 0.108893 \dots$ and

$$H(x) = -16\lambda x^{16} + 2(3 - 23\lambda)x^{14} + (71\lambda - 29)x^{12} + 2(33\lambda + 31)x^{10} - 4(10\lambda + 33)x^8 + 8(33 - 47\lambda)x^6 - (271\lambda + 311)x^4 + 4(29\lambda + 45)x^2 + 8(2\lambda - 5), \quad (2.44)$$

Then the inequality

$$H(x) < 0 \quad (2.45)$$

holds for all $x \in (1/2, 1)$.

Proof. Let $x \in (1/2, 1)$. Making use of the transform $x^2 = 1/t$ ($t \in (1, 4)$) for $H(x)$ leads to

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{t^8} H_1(t), \quad (2.46)$$

where

$$H_1(t) = 8(2\lambda - 5)t^8 + 4(29\lambda + 45)t^7 - (271\lambda + 311)t^6 + 8(33 - 47\lambda)t^5 - 4(10\lambda + 33)t^4 + 2(33\lambda + 31)t^3 + (71\lambda - 29)t^2 + 2(3 - 23\lambda)t - 16\lambda. \quad (2.47)$$

Simple computations yield

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} H_1(t) = -480\lambda < 0, \quad (2.48)$$

$$H_1'(t) = 2[32(2\lambda - 5)t^7 + 14(29\lambda + 45)t^6 - 3(271\lambda + 311)t^5 + 20(33 - 47\lambda)t^4 - 8(10\lambda + 33)t^3 + 3(33\lambda + 31)t^2 + (71\lambda - 29)t + (3 - 23\lambda)], \quad (2.49)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} H_1'(t) = -2432\lambda < 0, \quad (2.50)$$

$$H_1''(t) = 2[224(2\lambda - 5)t^6 + 84(29\lambda + 45)t^5 - 15(271\lambda + 311)t^4 + 80(33 - 47\lambda)t^3 - 24(10\lambda + 33)t^2 + 6(33\lambda + 31)t + (71\lambda - 29)], \quad (2.51)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} H_1''(t) = -9824\lambda < 0, \quad (2.52)$$

$$H_1'''(t) = 12[224(2\lambda - 5)t^5 + 70(29\lambda + 45)t^4 - 10(271\lambda + 311)t^3 + 40(33 - 47\lambda)t^2 - 8(10\lambda + 33)t + (33\lambda + 31)], \quad (2.53)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^+} H_1'''(t) = -12(2159\lambda - 7) < 0, \quad (2.54)$$

and

$$H_1^{(4)}(t) = 24[560(2\lambda - 5)t^4 + 140(29\lambda + 45)t^3 - 15(271\lambda + 311)t^2 + 40(33 - 47\lambda)t - 4(10\lambda + 33)]. \quad (2.55)$$

Completing the square for $H_1^{(4)}(t)$ educes that

$$\begin{aligned} H_1^{(4)}(t) &= -24 \left\{ 140(t-1)^3 [4(5-2\lambda)(t-1) + (35 - 61\lambda)] + 15(171 - 989\lambda)(t \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{31 - 665\lambda}{3(171 - 989\lambda)} - 1)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4[171839\lambda - 7(164270\lambda^2 + 593)]}{3(171 - 989\lambda)} \right\} \\ &< 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.56)$$

for $t \in (1, 4)$. Therefore, the inequality (2.45) follows from (2.54), (2.52), (2.50), (2.48) and (2.46) together with (2.56). \square

3. Main Results

Theorem 1. *The double inequality*

$$\alpha G(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)T(a, b) < M(a, b) < \beta G(a, b) + (1 - \beta)T(a, b)$$

holds true for $a, b > 0$ with $a \neq b$ if and only if $\alpha \geq 1/5$ and $\beta \leq 1 - \pi/[4 \log(1 + \sqrt{2})] = 0.108893 \dots$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $a > b > 0$. Let $x = (a - b)/(a + b) \in (0, 1)$ and $\lambda = 1 - \pi/[4 \log(1 + \sqrt{2})] = 0.108893 \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G(a, b)}{A(a, b)} &= \sqrt{1 - x^2}, \quad \frac{M(a, b)}{A(a, b)} = \frac{x}{\sinh^{-1}(x)}, \\ \frac{T(a, b)}{A(a, b)} &= \frac{x}{\arctan x}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Firstly we prove that

$$\frac{1}{5}G(a, b) + \frac{4}{5}T(a, b) < M(a, b), \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\lambda G(a, b) + (1 - \lambda)T(a, b) > M(a, b). \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\frac{1}{5}G(a, b) + \frac{4}{5}T(a, b) - M(a, b)}{A(a, b)} \\ &= \frac{1}{5}\sqrt{1 - x^2} + \frac{4x}{5 \arctan x} - \frac{x}{\sinh^{-1}(x)} \\ &= d(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Equation (3.4) leads to

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} d(x) = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d'(x) &= \frac{4}{5} \left[\frac{1}{\arctan x} - \frac{x}{(1 + x^2)(\arctan x)^2} \right] - \frac{x}{5\sqrt{1 - x^2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}[\sinh^{-1}(x)]^2} - \frac{1}{\sinh^{-1}(x)} \\ &= \frac{4}{5}\varphi(x) - \frac{x}{5}f(x) + \psi(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $f(x)$, $\varphi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ are defined as in Lemma 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, respectively. From the inequalities (2.1), (2.14), (2.20) and the equation (3.6) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} d'(x) &< \frac{4}{5}\left(\frac{2x}{3} - \frac{x^3}{9}\right) - \frac{x}{5}\left(1 + \frac{x^2}{2}\right) + \left(-\frac{x}{3} + \frac{17x^3}{90}\right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, the inequality (3.2) follows easily from (3.4) and (3.5) together with (3.7).

From (3.1) one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\lambda G(a, b) + (1 - \lambda)T(a, b) - M(a, b)}{A(a, b)} \\ &= \lambda\sqrt{1 - x^2} + (1 - \lambda)\frac{x}{\arctan x} - \frac{x}{\sinh^{-1}(x)} \\ &= \frac{x}{2\arctan x \cdot \sinh^{-1}(x)}D(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$D(x) = \frac{2\lambda\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{x}\Delta(x) + 2(1 - \lambda)\sinh^{-1}(x) - 2\arctan x, \quad (3.9)$$

thereinto $\Delta(x)$ is defined as in lemma 2.4. Using the inequality (2.21) for $D(x)$ leads to

$$D(x) > \lambda\sqrt{1 - x^2}(2x - x^3) + 2(1 - \lambda)\sinh^{-1}(x) - 2\arctan x = D_1(x). \quad (3.10)$$

Some tedious, but not difficult, calculations yield

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} D_1(x) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} D_1(x) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

$$D_1'(x) = \frac{\lambda(2 - 7x^2 + 4x^4)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} + \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{\sqrt{1 + x^2}} - \frac{2}{1 + x^2}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} D_1'(x) = 0, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} D_1'(x) = -\infty, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_1''(x) &= -\frac{\lambda(12x - 23x^3 + 12x^5)}{(1 - x^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{2(1 - \lambda)x}{(1 + x^2)^{3/2}} \\ &\quad + \frac{4x}{(1 + x^2)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} D''(x) = 0, \tag{3.17}$$

$$D_1''\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{256}{289} - \frac{32\sqrt{17}}{289}(1 - \lambda) - \frac{679\sqrt{15}}{900}\lambda > 0, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} D_1''(x) = -\infty, \tag{3.19}$$

$$D_1'''(x) = \frac{\lambda(24x^6 - 60x^4 + 45x^2 - 12)}{(1 - x^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{2(1 - \lambda)(1 - 2x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{4(1 - 3x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^3}, \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^{(4)}(x) &= \frac{\lambda x(30 - 105x^2 + 84x^4 - 24x^6)}{(1 - x^2)^{7/2}} + \frac{6(1 - \lambda)x(3 - 2x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^{7/2}} - \frac{48x(1 - x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^4} \\ &= \frac{\lambda x \Omega(x)}{(1 - x^2)^{7/2}} + \frac{6(1 - \lambda)x(3 - 2x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^{7/2}} - \frac{48x(1 - x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^4}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where $\Omega(x)$ is defined as in lemma 2.5.

Next, we distinguish between two cases. In the two cases, the real number x_0 satisfy $\Omega(x_0) = 0$ and $1/2 < x_0 < 1/\sqrt{2}$, which had been proved in lemma 2.5.

Case 1. Let $x \in (0, x_0) \subset (0, 1/\sqrt{2})$. (3.21) is rewritten into

$$D_1^{(4)}(x) = \frac{\lambda x \Omega(x)}{(1 - x^2)^4} g(x) + \frac{6(1 - \lambda)x(3 - 2x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^3} h(x) - \frac{48x(1 - x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^4}, \tag{3.22}$$

where $g(x)$ and $h(x)$ are defined as in lemma 2.1. Based on lemma 2.5, making use of the inequalities (2.2) and (2.3) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^{(4)}(x) &< \frac{\lambda x(30 - 105x^2 + 84x^4 - 24x^6)}{(1 - x^2)^4} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{6(1 - \lambda)x(3 - 2x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^3} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{3}{8}x^4\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{48x(1 - x^2)}{(1 + x^2)^4} \\ &= \frac{3x}{4(1 - x^4)^4} F(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where $F(x)$ had been denoted by (2.26). So the inequality

$$D_1^{(4)}(x) < 0 \quad (3.24)$$

follows from (3.23) and lemma 2.6.

Case 2. Let $x \in [x_0, 1) \subset (1/2, 1)$. (3.21) is rewritten into

$$D_1^{(4)}(x) = \frac{\lambda x \Omega(x)}{(1-x^2)^3} f(x) + \frac{6(1-\lambda)x(3-2x^2)}{(1+x^2)^3} h(x) - \frac{48x(1-x^2)}{(1+x^2)^4}, \quad (3.25)$$

where $f(x)$ and $h(x)$ are defined as in lemma 2.1. Based on lemma 2.5, making use of the inequalities (2.1) and (2.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^{(4)}(x) &< \frac{\lambda x(30-105x^2+84x^4-24x^6)}{(1-x^2)^3} \left(1 + \frac{x^2}{2}\right) \\ &+ \frac{6(1-\lambda)x(3-2x^2)}{(1+x^2)^3} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{3x^4}{8}\right) \\ &- \frac{48x(1-x^2)}{(1+x^2)^4} \\ &= \frac{3x}{4(1-x^2)^3(1+x^2)^4} H(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where $H(x)$ had been denoted by (2.44). Thus the inequality

$$D_1^{(4)}(x) < 0 \quad (3.27)$$

follows from (3.26) and lemma 2.7.

Synthesizing the above two cases we affirm that

$$D_1^{(4)}(x) < 0 \quad (3.28)$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$. (3.28) show that $D_1''(x)$ is strictly concave function in the interval $(0, 1)$. It follows from (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19) together with the concavity of $D_1''(x)$ that there exists $\mu_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $D_1''(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, \mu_1)$ and $D_1''(x) < 0$ for $x \in (\mu_1, 1)$, hence $D_1'(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0, \mu_1)$ and strictly decreasing in $(\mu_1, 1)$. From (3.14) and (3.15) together with the monotonicity of $D_1'(x)$ we know that there exists $\mu_2 \in (\mu_1, 1) \subset (0, 1)$ such that $D_1'(x) > 0$ for $x \in (0, \mu_2)$ and $D_1'(x) < 0$ for $x \in (\mu_2, 1)$, thus $D_1(x)$ is strictly increasing in $(0, \mu_2)$ and strictly decreasing in $(\mu_2, 1)$. It follows from (3.11) and (3.12) together with the monotonicity of $D_1(x)$ that

$$D_1(x) > 0 \quad (3.29)$$

for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, the inequality (3.3) follows easily from (3.8), (3.10) and (3.29).

Finally, we prove that $1/5G(a, b) + 4/5T(a, b)$ is the best possible lower convex combination bound and $\lambda G(a, b) + (1 - \lambda)T(a, b)$ is the best possible upper convex combination bound of the geometric and the second Seiffert mean for the Neuman-Sándor mean.

(3.1) leads to

$$\frac{T(a, b) - M(a, b)}{T(a, b) - G(a, b)} = \frac{x/\arctan x - x/\sinh^{-1}(x)}{x/\arctan x - \sqrt{1-x^2}} = B(x). \quad (3.30)$$

From (3.30) one has

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} B(x) = \frac{1}{5}, \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} B(x) = 1 - \frac{\pi}{4 \log(1 + \sqrt{2})} = \lambda. \quad (3.32)$$

If $\alpha < 1/5$, then (3.30) and (3.31) lead to the conclusion that there exists $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ such that $M(a, b) < \alpha G(a, b) + (1 - \alpha)T(a, b)$ for all $a, b > 0$ with $(a - b)/(a + b) \in (0, \delta_1)$.

If $\beta > \lambda$, then (3.30) and (3.32) lead to the conclusion that there exists $0 < \delta_2 < 1$ such that $M(a, b) > \lambda G(a, b) + (1 - \lambda)T(a, b)$ for all $a, b > 0$ with $(a - b)/(a + b) \in (1 - \delta_2, 1)$. \square

References

- [1] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean, *Math. Pannon.*, **14**, **2** (2003), 253-266.
- [2] E. Neuman and J. Sándor, On the Schwab-Borchardt mean II, *Math. Pannon.*, **17**, **1** (2006), 49-59.
- [3] Y.-M. Li, B.-Y. Long and Y.-M. Chu, Sharp bounds for the Neuman-Sándor mean in terms of generalized logarithmic mean, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **6**, **4** (2012), 567-577. doi:10.7153/jmi-06-54.
- [4] E. Neuman, A note on a certain bivariate mean, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **6**, **4** (2012), 637-643. doi:10.7153/jmi-06-62.

- [5] Y.-M. Chu, T.-H. Zhao and B.-Y. Liu, Optimal bound for Neuman-Sándor mean in terms of the convex combination of logarithmic and quadratic or contra-harmonic means, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **8**, **2** (2014), 201-217. doi:10.7153/jmi-08-13.

