

GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM STABILITY FOR
A MIXED ADDITIVE-QUADRATIC-CUBIC-QUARTIC
(AQCQ) FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN
QUASI-BANACH SPACES

K. Balamurugan¹, M. Arunkumar², P. Ravindiran³

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics
Govt. Arts College

Tiruvannamalai, 606 603, TamilNadu, INDIA

³Department of Mathematics
A.A. Govt. Arts College

Villupuram, 605 602, Tamilnadu, INDIA

Abstract: In this paper we establish the general solution and investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following additive-quadratic-cubic-quartic functional equation

$$\begin{aligned} & f(3x + 2y + z) + f(3x + 2y - z) + f(3x - 2y + z) + f(3x - 2y - z) \\ &= 48[f(x + y) + f(x - y)] + 24[f(-x + y) + f(-x - y)] \\ &+ 12[f(x + z) + f(x - z)] + 6[f(-x + z) + f(-x - z)] \\ &+ 4[\tilde{f}(y + z) + \tilde{f}(y - z)] + 20f(2x) + 4f(-2x) - 160f(x) \\ &- 80f(-x) + 2\tilde{f}(2y) - 80\tilde{f}(y) - 24\tilde{f}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

in quasi-Banach spaces where $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) + f(-x)$.

AMS Subject Classification: 39B52, 39B72, 39B82

Key Words: Hyers-Ulam stability, additive-quadratic-cubic-quartic mapping, mixed type functional equation, quasi - Banach space, p - Banach space

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Ulam [37] gave a talk before the Mathematics Club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of unsolved problems. A very famous question concerning the stability of homomorphisms: *Let $(\mathcal{G}_1, *)$ be a group and let $(\mathcal{G}_2, \odot, d)$ be a metric group with metric $d(., .)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ satisfies the inequality*

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \odot h(y)) < \delta$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H : \mathcal{G}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}_1$? Hyers [16] solved this problem for Banach spaces. Aoki [2] solved this problem for additive mappings. Th.M. Rassias [27] provided a generalization of Hyers' theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*. A generalization of all the above stability results was obtained by Găvruta [15] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function $\psi(x, y)$. The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \quad (2)$$

is called a *quadratic functional equation* and every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a *quadratic mapping*. A generalized Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [35] for mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is a normed space and Y is a Banach space. Cholewa [9] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain X is replaced by an Abelian group. Czerwik [10] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation. K. Jun and H. Kim [18] considered the following functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 2f(x + y) + 2f(x - y) + 12f(x) \quad (3)$$

which is called a *cubic functional equation* and every solution of the cubic functional equation is said to be a *cubic mapping*. In [21], Lee et al. considered the following functional equation

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y) \quad (4)$$

which is called a *quartic functional equation* and every solution of the quartic functional equation is said to be a *quartic mapping*. Balamurugan et al. ([3], [4], [5],[6]) introduced the following two mixed type of additive-cubic and quadratic-quartic functional equations

$$f(3x + 2y + z) + f(3x + 2y - z) + f(3x - 2y + z) + f(3x - 2y - z)$$

$$= 24[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 6[f(x+z) + f(x-z)] + 16f(2x) - 80f(x) \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(3x+2y+z) + f(3x+2y-z) + f(3x-2y+z) + f(3x-2y-z) \\ &= 72[f(x+y) + f(x-y)] + 18[f(x+z) + f(x-z)] + 8f(y+z) \\ & \quad + 8f(y-z) + 24f(2x) + 4f(2y) - 240f(x) - 160f(y) - 48f(z) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

and they investigated the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for the above two functional equations. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [1], [8], [11], [12], [13], [14], [17], [19], [20], [22], [23], [25], [26], [28], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [36], [38], [39], [40]). It is easy to see that the mapping $f(x) = ax^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 + dx$ is a solution of the functional equation (1). The main purpose of this paper is to establish the general solution of Eq. (1) and investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for Eq. (1). We recall some basic facts concerning quasi-Banach spaces and some preliminary results.

Definition 1. (See [7],[34]). Let \mathcal{X} be a real linear space. A quasi-norm on \mathcal{X} is a real-valued function on \mathcal{X} satisfying the following:

- (i) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.
- (ii) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda|\|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.
- (iii) There is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $\|x+y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$.

The pair $(\mathcal{X}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called *quasi-normed* space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi-norm on \mathcal{X} . The smallest possible K is called the *modulus of concavity* of $\|\cdot\|$. A *quasi-Banach* space is a complete quasi-normed space. A quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a *p-norm* ($0 < p \leq 1$) if

$$\|x+y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a *p-Banach* space. By the Aoki-Rolewicz theorem [34] (see also [7]), each quasi-norm is equivalent to some *p-norm*. Since it is much easier to work with *p-norms* than quasi-norms, henceforth we restrict our attention mainly to *p-norms*.

2. General Solutions of (1)

Throughout this section, \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} will be real vector spaces.

Lemma 2. [3] *If a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the functional equation (5) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, then the mapping $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ defined by $g(x) = f(2x) - 8f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is additive.*

Lemma 3. [3] *If a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the functional equation (5) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, then the mapping $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ defined by $h(x) = f(2x) - 2f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is cubic.*

Lemma 4. [5] *If a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the functional equation (6) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, then the mapping $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ defined by $g(x) = f(2x) - 16f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is quadratic.*

Lemma 5. [5] *If a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the functional equation (6) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, then the mapping $h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ defined by $h(x) = f(2x) - 4f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is quartic.*

Theorem 6. *If an odd mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the functional equation (1) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, then the mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a cubic-additive mapping.*

Proof. If f satisfies (1), then it satisfies (5) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ by the oddness of f . Hence, by Lemma 2, the mapping f_a defined by $f_a = f(2x) - 8f(x)$ is additive and by Lemma 9, f_c defined by $f_c = f(2x) - 2f(x)$ is cubic. So, $f(x) = \frac{1}{6}f_c(x) - \frac{1}{6}f_a(x)$ and therefore the Theorem follows. \square

Theorem 7. *If an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the functional equation (1) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, then the mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a quartic-quadratic mapping.*

Proof. If f satisfies (1), then it satisfies (6) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ by the evenness of f . Hence, by Lemma 3 the mapping f_b defined by $f_b(x) = f(2x) - 16f(x)$ is quadratic and by Lemma 5, f_d defined by $f_d(x) = f(2x) - 4f(x)$ is quartic. So, $f(x) = \frac{1}{12}f_d(x) - \frac{1}{12}f_b(x)$ and therefore the Theorem follows. \square

Theorem 8. *A mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the functional equation (1) if and only if there exist a unique symmetric multi-additive mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a unique mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a unique symmetric bi-additive mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $f(x) = \mathcal{D}(x, x, x, x) + \mathcal{C}(x, x, x) + \mathcal{B}(x, x) + \mathcal{A}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where*

the mapping \mathcal{C} is symmetric for each fixed one variable and is additive for fixed two variables.

Proof. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ satisfies (1). We decompose f into the even part and odd part by putting $f_e(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x))$ and $f_o(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. It is clear that $f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. It is easy to show that the mappings f_e and f_o satisfy (1). By Theorems 6 and 7, f_e and f_o are quartic-quadratic and cubic-additive respectively. Thus there exist a unique symmetric multi-additive mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique symmetric bi-additive mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $f_e(x) = \mathcal{D}(x, x, x, x) + \mathcal{B}(x, x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and also there exist a unique mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $f_o(x) = \mathcal{C}(x, x, x, x) + \mathcal{A}(x, x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where the mapping \mathcal{C} is symmetric for each fixed one variable and is additive for fixed two variables. Hence, we get $f(x) = \mathcal{D}(x, x, x, x) + \mathcal{C}(x, x, x, x) + \mathcal{B}(x, x) + \mathcal{A}(x, x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. The proof of the converse is trivial. \square

3. Stability of (1): Odd Case

Throughout this section, assume that \mathcal{X} is a quasi-normed space with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ and that \mathcal{Y} is a p -Banach space with p -norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Let K be the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$. In this section, using an idea of Găvruta [15] we prove the stability of functional equation (1). For convenience we use the following abbreviation for a given mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$:

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x, y, z) = & f(3x + 2y + z) + f(3x + 2y - z) + f(3x - 2y + z) \\ & + f(3x - 2y - z) - 48f(x + y) - 48f(x - y) \\ & - 24[f(-x + y) + f(-x - y)] - 12[f(x + z) + f(x - z)] \\ & - 6[f(-x + z) + f(-x - z)] - 4[\tilde{f}(y + z) + \tilde{f}(y - z)] \\ & - 20f(2x) - 4f(-2x) + 160f(x) \\ & + 80f(-x) - 2\tilde{f}(2y) + 80\tilde{f}(y) - 24\tilde{f}(z) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$ where $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x) + f(-x)$. we will use the following lemma in this section.

Lemma 9. [24] *Let $0 < p \leq 1$ and let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be non-negative real numbers. Then*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^p \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \right)$$

Theorem 10. Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\psi_a, M_a : \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be mappings such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_a(4^{nj}x, 4^{nj}y, 4^{nj}z)}{4^{nj}} = 0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{and } M_a(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_a^p(4^{ij}x, 4^{ij}y, 4^{ij}z)}{4^{pij}} < \infty \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (8)$$

Suppose that an odd mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_a(x, y, z) \quad (9)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{4} [\tilde{\psi}_a(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_a(x) = & M_a(x, 2x, x) + K^p M_a(x, x, x) + \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} M_a(x, 0, x) \\ & + 6^p K^{2p} M_a(x, 0, 0) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume that $j = 1$. Replacing (x, y, z) by $(x, 2x, x)$, (x, x, x) , $(x, 0, x)$ and $(x, 0, 0)$ in (9), respectively and using oddness of f , we get the following inequalities

$$\|f(8x) + f(6x) - 24f(3x) - 23f(2x) + 104f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_a(x, 2x, x) \quad (11)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

$$\|f(6x) + f(4x) - 45f(2x) + 80f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_a(x, x, x) \quad (12)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

$$\|2f(4x) - 20f(2x) + 32f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_a(x, 0, x) \quad (13)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

$$\|4f(3x) - 16f(2x) + 20f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_a(x, 0, 0) \quad (14)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $g_o, \xi_a : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be mappings defined by $g_o(x) = f(2x) - 8f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and

$$\xi_a(x) = K[\psi_a(x, 2x, x) + K\psi_a(x, x, x) + \left(\frac{7}{2}\right) K^2\psi_a(x, 0, x)]$$

$$+ 6K^2\psi_a(x, 0, 0)] \tag{15}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. It follows from (11) – (15) that

$$\|f(8x) - 8f(4x) - 4f(2x) + 32f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \xi_a(x) \tag{16}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore (16) means

$$\|g_o(4x) - 4g_o(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \xi_a(x) \tag{17}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. By Lemma 9, (7) and (8) we infer that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_a^p(4^i x)}{4^{pi}} < \infty, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_a(4^n x)}{4^n} = 0 \tag{18}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Replacing x by $4^n x$ in (17) and dividing both sides of (17) by 4^{n+1} , we get

$$\left\| \frac{1}{4^{n+1}}g_o(4^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{4^n}g_o(4^n x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{1}{4^{n+1}}\xi_a(4^n x) \tag{19}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and all non-negative integers n . Since \mathcal{Y} is a p -Banach space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{4^{n+1}}g_o(4^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{4^m}g_o(4^m x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^p &\leq \sum_{i=m}^n \left\| \frac{1}{4^{i+1}}g_o(4^{i+1}x) - \frac{1}{4^i}g_o(4^i x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^p} \sum_{i=m}^n \frac{1}{4^{pi}} \xi_a^p(4^i x) \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and all non-negative integers n and m with $n \geq m$. Therefore we conclude from (18) and (20) that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{4^n}g_o(4^n x) \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{Y} for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Since \mathcal{Y} is complete, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{1}{4^n}g_o(4^n x) \right\}$ converges in \mathcal{Y} for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So one can define the mapping $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_o(4^n x)}{4^n} \tag{21}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Letting $m = 0$ and passing the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (20) and applying Lemma 9, we get (10). Now, we show that \mathcal{A} is an additive mapping. It follows from (18),(19) and (21) that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(4x) - 4\mathcal{A}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{4^n}g_o(4^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{4^{n-1}}g_o(4^n x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 4 \left\| \frac{1}{4^{n+1}} g_o(4^{n+1}x) - \frac{1}{4^n} g_o(4^n x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\xi_a(4^n x)}{4^n} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So

$$\mathcal{A}(4x) = 4\mathcal{A}(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand it follows from (7), (9) and (21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|D\mathcal{A}(x, y, z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|Dg_o(4^n x, 4^n y, 4^n z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|Df(4^{n+1}x, 4^{n+1}y, 4^{n+1}z) - 8Df(4^n x, 4^n y, 4^n z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{4^n} \|Df(4^n(2x), 4^n(2y), 4^n(2z))\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + 8\|Df(4^n x, 4^n y, 4^n z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K}{4^n} [\psi_a(4^n(2x), 4^n(2y), 4^n(2z)) + 8\psi_a(4^n x, 4^n y, 4^n z)] = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence the mapping \mathcal{A} satisfies (1). So by Theorem 6, the mapping $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(4x) - 64\mathcal{A}(x)$ is cubic-additive. Therefore (22) implies that the mapping \mathcal{A} is additive. To prove the uniqueness of \mathcal{A} , let $\mathcal{S} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be another additive mapping satisfying (10). It follows from (7) and (8) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{np}} M_a(4^n x, 4^n y, 4^n z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{ip}} \psi_a^p(4^n x, 4^n y, 4^n z) = 0$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{np}} \tilde{\psi}_a(4^n x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So it follows from (10) and (21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{S}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^p &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{np}} \|g_o(4^n x) - \mathcal{S}(4^n x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^p \\
&\leq \frac{K^p}{4^p} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\psi}_a(4^n x) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{S}$. Hence the theorem holds for $j = 1$. For $j = -1$, we can prove the theorem by a similar technique. \square

Corollary 11. *Let ν, r, s and t be non-negative real numbers such that r, s and t are all $\neq 1$. Suppose that an odd mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$*

satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \nu, & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ \nu \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^r, & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ \nu \|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^s, & r = 0, s > 0, t = 0; \\ \nu \|z\|_{\mathcal{X}}^t, & r = 0, s = 0, t > 0; \\ \nu \{ \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^r + \|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^s + \|z\|_{\mathcal{X}}^t \}, & r > 0, s > 0, t > 0; \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \alpha_a, \\ \beta_a(x), & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ \gamma_a(x), & r = 0, s > 0, t = 0; \\ \delta_a(x), & r = 0, s = 0, t > 0; \\ \zeta_a(x), & r > 0, s > 0, t > 0; \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_a &= K\nu \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 6^p K^{2p}}{|4^p - 1|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \beta_a(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^r}{4}\right) \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 6^p K^{2p}}{|4^p - 4^{pr}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^r, \\ \gamma_a(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^s}{4}\right) \left\{ \frac{2^{ps} + K^p}{|4^p - 4^{ps}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^s, \\ \delta_a(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^t}{4}\right) \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^p K^{2p}}{|4^p - 4^{pt}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^t \end{aligned}$$

and $\zeta_a(x) = \{\beta_a^p(x) + \gamma_a^p(x) + \delta_a^p(x)\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Corollary 12. Let $\nu \geq 0$ and r, s and t which are all > 0 be real numbers such that $\lambda = r + s + t \neq 1$. Suppose that an odd mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \nu \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^r \|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^s \|z\|_{\mathcal{X}}^t \\ \nu (\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^r \|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^s \|z\|_{\mathcal{X}}^t + \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda + \|y\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda + \|z\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda) \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \rho_a(x), \\ \tau_a(x) \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\rho_a(x) = K\nu \left(\frac{4^\lambda}{4}\right) \left\{ \frac{2^{ps} + K^p}{|4^p - 4^{p\lambda}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda \quad \text{and}$$

$$\tau_a(x) = K\nu \left(\frac{4^\lambda}{4}\right) \left\{ \frac{2 + 2^{ps} + 2^{p\lambda} + 4K^p + 2\left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 6^p K^{2p}}{|4^p - 4^{p\lambda}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$

Similarly, we can obtain the following. We will omit the proof.

Theorem 13. Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\psi_c, M_c : \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be mappings such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_c(4^{nj}x, 4^{nj}y, 4^{nj}z)}{64^{nj}} = 0 \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X} \tag{27}$$

$$\text{and } M_c(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_c^p(4^{ij}x, 4^{ij}y, 4^{ij}z)}{64^{pij}} < \infty \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}. \tag{28}$$

Suppose that an odd mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_c(x, y, z) \tag{29}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{64} [\tilde{\psi}_c(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{30}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_c(x) = & M_c(x, 2x, x) + K^p M_c(x, x, x) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} M_c(x, 0, x) \\ & + 6^p K^{2p} M_c(x, 0, 0) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 14. Let ν, r, s and t be non-negative real numbers such that r, s and t are all $\neq 3$. Suppose that an odd mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (23) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \alpha_c, & \\ \beta_c(x), & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ \gamma_c(x), & r = 0, s > 0, t = 0; \\ \delta_c(x), & r = 0, s = 0, t > 0; \\ \zeta_c(x), & r > 0, s > 0, t > 0; \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_c &= K\nu \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 6^p K^{2p}}{|64^p - 1|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \beta_c(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^r}{64}\right) \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p}}{|64^p - 4^{pr}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^r, \\ \gamma_c(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^s}{64}\right) \left\{ \frac{2^{ps} + K^p}{|64^p - 4^{ps}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^s, \\ \delta_c(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^t}{64}\right) \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p}}{|64^p - 4^{pt}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^t \\ \text{and } \zeta_c(x) &= \{\beta_c^p(x) + \gamma_c^p(x) + \delta_c^p(x)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 15. Let $\nu \geq 0$ and r, s and t which are all > 0 be real numbers such that $\lambda = r + s + t \neq 3$. Suppose that an odd mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (25) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \rho_c(x), \\ \tau_c(x) \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_c(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^\lambda}{64}\right) \left\{ \frac{2^{ps} + K^p}{|64^p - 4^{p\lambda}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda \quad \text{and} \\ \tau_c(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^\lambda}{64}\right) \left\{ \frac{2 + 2^{ps} + 2^{p\lambda} + 4K^p + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 6^p K^{2p}}{|64^p - 4^{p\lambda}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 16. Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\psi, M_a, M_c : \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be mappings such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(4^{nj}x, 4^{nj}y, 4^{nj}z)}{4^{nj}} = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(4^{nj}x, 4^{nj}y, 4^{nj}z)}{64^{nj}}, \tag{33}$$

$$M_a(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^p(4^{ij}x, 4^{ij}y, 4^{ij}z)}{4^{pij}} < \infty \quad \text{and}$$

$$M_c(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^p(4^{ij}x, 4^{ij}y, 4^{ij}z)}{64^{pij}} < \infty \quad (34)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Suppose that a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi(x, y, z) \quad (35)$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K^2}{384} \left\{ 16[\tilde{\psi}_a(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_c(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \quad (36)$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_a(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_c(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ are defined as in Theorems 10 and 13 respectively.

Proof. Let $j = 1$. By Theorems 10 and 13, there exist an additive mapping $\mathcal{A}_0 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a cubic mapping $\mathcal{C}_0 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 8f(x) - \mathcal{A}_0(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{4} [\tilde{\psi}_a(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|f(2x) - 2f(x) - \mathcal{C}_0(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{64} [\tilde{\psi}_c(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Therefore it follows from the last two inequalities that

$$\left\| f(x) + \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}_0(x) - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{C}_0(x) \right\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K^2}{384} \left\{ [16\tilde{\psi}_a(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_c(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. So we obtain (36) by letting $\mathcal{A}(x) = -\frac{1}{6}\mathcal{A}_0(x)$ and $\mathcal{C}(x) = \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{C}_0(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$. To prove the uniqueness of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{C} , let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{C}_1 : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be another additive and cubic mappings satisfying (36). Let $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}_1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{A}'(x) + \mathcal{C}'(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \leq K[\|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} + \|f(x) - \mathcal{A}_1(x) - \mathcal{C}_1(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}}] \\ & \leq \frac{K^3}{192} \left\{ [16\tilde{\psi}_a(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_c(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{64^{np}} \tilde{\psi}_c(x) = \frac{1}{4^{np}} \tilde{\psi}_a(x) = 0$, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, then (37) implies that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{64^n} \|\mathcal{A}'(4^n x) + \mathcal{C}'(4^n x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} = 0$, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore $\mathcal{C}' = 0$. So, it

follows from (37) that $\|\mathcal{A}'(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{17K^3}{192} [\tilde{\psi}_a(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}' = 0$. For $j = -1$, we can prove a similar stability result. \square

Corollary 17. *Let ν, r, s and t be non-negative real numbers such that r, s and t are all $\neq 1$ and 3 . Suppose that a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (23) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{6} \begin{cases} \alpha_a + \alpha_c, \\ \beta_a(x) + \beta_c(x), & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ \gamma_a(x) + \gamma_c(x), & r = 0, s > 0, t = 0; \\ \delta_a(x) + \delta_c(x), & r = 0, s = 0, t > 0; \\ \zeta_a(x) + \zeta_c(x), & r > 0, s > 0, t > 0; \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\alpha_a, \alpha_c, \beta_a(x), \beta_c(x), \gamma_a(x), \gamma_c(x), \delta_a(x), \delta_c(x), \zeta_a(x)$ and $\zeta_c(x)$ are defined as in Corollaries 11 and 14

Corollary 18. *Let $\nu \geq 0$ and r, s and t which are all > 0 be real numbers such that $\lambda = r + s + t \neq 1$ and 3 . Suppose that a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (25) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{6} \begin{cases} \rho_a(x) + \rho_c(x), \\ \tau_a(x) + \tau_c(x) \end{cases} \tag{38}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\rho_a(x), \rho_c(x), \tau_a(x), \tau_c(x)$ are defined as in Corollaries 12 and 15

4. Stability of (1): Even Case

Theorem 19. *Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\psi_b, M_b : \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be mappings such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_b(4^{nj}x, 4^{nj}y, 4^{nj}z)}{16^{nj}} = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \text{and} \tag{39}$$

$$M_b(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_b^p(4^{ij}x, 4^{ij}y, 4^{ij}z)}{16^{pij}} < \infty, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}. \tag{40}$$

Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_b(x, y, z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}. \tag{41}$$

Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{B}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{16} \left[\tilde{\psi}_b(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (42)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_b(x) = & M_b(x, 2x, x) + K^p M_b(x, x, x) + \left(\frac{11}{2} \right)^p K^{2p} M_b(x, 0, x) \\ & + 20^p K^{2p} M_b(x, 0, 0) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Assume that $j = 1$. Replacing (x, y, z) by $(x, 2x, x)$, (x, x, x) , $(x, 0, x)$ and $(x, 0, 0)$ in (36), respectively and using evenness of f , we get the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(8x) + f(6x) - 4f(4x) - 80f(3x) + 119f(2x) + 202f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \leq \psi_b(x, 2x, x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(6x) + f(4x) - 125f(2x) + 448f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \leq \psi_b(x, x, x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

$$\|2f(4x) - 40f(2x) + 128f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_b(x, 0, x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (45)$$

$$\|4f(3x) - 24f(2x) + 60f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_b(x, 0, 0), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (46)$$

Let $g_e, \xi_b : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be mappings defined by $g_e(x) = f(2x) - 16f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_b(x) = & K[\psi_b(x, 2x, x) + K\psi_b(x, x, x) + \left(\frac{11}{2} \right)^2 K^2\psi_b(x, 0, x) \\ & + 20K^2\psi_b(x, 0, 0)] \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. It follows from (43) – (47) that

$$\|f(8x) - 16f(4x) - 16f(2x) + 256f(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \xi_b(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (48)$$

Therefore (48) means

$$\|g_e(4x) - 16g_e(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \xi_b(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (49)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 13 □

Corollary 20. *Let ν, r, s and t be non-negative real numbers such that r, s and t are all $\neq 2$. Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (23) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{B}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \alpha_b, & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ \beta_b(x), & r = 0, s > 0, t = 0; \\ \gamma_b(x), & r = 0, s = 0, t > 0; \\ \delta_b(x), & r > 0, s > 0, t > 0; \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_b &= K\nu \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{11}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 20^p K^{2p}}{|16^p - 1|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \beta_b(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^r}{16}\right) \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{11}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 20^p K^{2p}}{|16^p - 4^{pr}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^r, \\ \gamma_b(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^s}{16}\right) \left\{ \frac{2^{ps} + K^p}{|16^p - 4^{ps}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^s, \\ \delta_b(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^t}{16}\right) \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{11}{2}\right)^p K^{2p}}{|16^p - 4^{pt}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^t \quad \text{and} \\ \zeta_b(x) &= \{\beta_b^p(x) + \gamma_b^p(x) + \delta_b^p(x)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 21. *Let $\nu \geq 0$ and r, s and t which are all > 0 be real numbers such that $\lambda = r + s + t \neq 2$. Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (25) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x) - \mathcal{B}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \rho_b(x), \\ \tau_b(x) \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_b(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^\lambda}{16}\right) \left\{ \frac{2^{ps} + K^p}{|16^p - 4^{p\lambda}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda \quad \text{and} \\ \tau_b(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^\lambda}{16}\right) \left\{ \frac{2 + 2^{ps} + 2^{p\lambda} + 4K^p + 2\left(\frac{11}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 20^p K^{2p}}{|16^p - 4^{p\lambda}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Similarly, we can obtain the following. We will omit the proof.

Theorem 22. *Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\psi_d, M_d : \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be mappings such that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi_d(4^{nj}x, 4^{nj}y, 4^{nj}z)}{256^{nj}} = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}, \quad \text{and} \quad (52)$$

$$M_d(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi_d^p(4^{ij}x, 4^{ij}y, 4^{ij}z)}{256^{pij}} < \infty, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (53)$$

Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality

$$\|Df(x, y, z)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \psi_d(x, y, z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}. \quad (54)$$

Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{256} [\tilde{\psi}_d(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (55)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}_d(x) = & M_d(x, 2x, x) + K^p M_d(x, x, x) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} M_d(x, 0, x) \\ & + 20^p K^{2p} M_d(x, 0, 0) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 23. *Let ν, r, s and t be non-negative real numbers such that r, s and t are all $\neq 4$. Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (23) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \alpha_d, & \\ \beta_d(x), & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ \gamma_d(x), & r = 0, s > 0, t = 0; \\ \delta_d(x), & r = 0, s = 0, t > 0; \\ \zeta_d(x), & r > 0, s > 0, t > 0; \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_d = & K\nu \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 20^p K^p}{|256^p - 1|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \beta_d(x) = & K\nu \left(\frac{4^r}{256} \right) \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 20^p K^{2p}}{|256^p - 4^{pr}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^r, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_d(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^s}{256} \right) \left\{ \frac{2^{ps} + K^p}{|256^p - 4^{ps}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^s, \\ \delta_d(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^t}{256} \right) \left\{ \frac{1 + K^p + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p}}{|256^p - 4^{pt}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^t \quad \text{and} \\ \zeta_d(x) &= \{\beta_d^p(x) + \gamma_d^p(x) + \delta_d^p(x)\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 24. Let $\nu \geq 0$ and r, s and t which are all > 0 be real numbers such that $\lambda = r + s + t \neq 4$. Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (25) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exists a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(2x) - 4f(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \begin{cases} \rho_d(x), \\ \tau_d(x) \end{cases} \tag{57}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_d(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^\lambda}{256} \right) \left\{ \frac{2^{ps} + K^p}{|256^p - 4^{p\lambda}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda \quad \text{and} \\ \tau_d(x) &= K\nu \left(\frac{4^\lambda}{256} \right) \left\{ \frac{2 + 2^{ps} + 2^{p\lambda} + 4K^p + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p K^{2p} + 20^p K^{2p}}{|256^p - 4^{p\lambda}|} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|x\|_{\mathcal{X}}^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Theorem 25. Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\psi, M_b, M_d : \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be mappings such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(4^{nj}x, 4^{nj}y, 4^{nj}z)}{16^{nj}} = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi(4^{nj}x, 4^{nj}y, 4^{nj}z)}{256^{nj}}, \tag{58}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_b(x, y, z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^p(4^{ij}x, 4^{ij}y, 4^{ij}z)}{16^{pij}} < \infty \quad \text{and} \\ M_d(x, y, z) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi^p(4^{ij}x, 4^{ij}y, 4^{ij}z)}{256^{pij}} < \infty, \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (35) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K^2}{3072} \left\{ 16[\tilde{\psi}_b(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_d(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \tag{60}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\tilde{\psi}_b(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_d(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ are defined as in Theorems 19 and 22 respectively.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of the Theorem 16 □

Corollary 26. *Let ν, r, s and t be non-negative real numbers such that r, s and t are all $\neq 2$ and 4 . Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (23) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{12} \begin{cases} \alpha_b + \alpha_d, \\ \beta_b(x) + \beta_d(x), & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ \gamma_b(x) + \gamma_d(x), & r = 0, s > 0, t = 0; \\ \delta_b(x) + \delta_d(x), & r = 0, s = 0, t > 0; \\ \zeta_b(x) + \zeta_d(x), & r > 0, s > 0, t > 0; \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\alpha_b, \alpha_d, \beta_b(x), \beta_d(x), \gamma_b(x), \gamma_d(x), \delta_b(x), \delta_d(x), \zeta_b(x)$ and $\zeta_d(x)$ are defined as in Corollaries 20 and 23

Corollary 27. *Let $\nu \geq 0$ and r, s and t which are all > 0 be real numbers such that $\lambda = r + s + t \neq 2$ and 4 . Suppose that an even mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (25) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K}{12} \begin{cases} \rho_b(x) + \rho_d(x), \\ \tau_b(x) + \tau_d(x) \end{cases} \tag{61}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\rho_b(x), \rho_d(x), \tau_b(x), \tau_d(x)$ are defined as in Corollaries 12 and 15

Theorem 28. *Let $j \in \{-1, 1\}$ and $\psi, M_a, M_b, M_c, M_d : \mathcal{X}^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be mappings which satisfy (33),(34),(58) and (59). Suppose that a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (35) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{C}(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \leq \frac{K^4}{6144} \left\{ \left(128[\tilde{\psi}_a(x) + \tilde{\psi}_a(-x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_c(x) + \tilde{\psi}_c(-x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \left(16[\tilde{\psi}_b(x) + \tilde{\psi}_b(-x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_d(x) + \tilde{\psi}_d(-x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \Big\}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\tilde{\psi}_a(x)$, $\tilde{\psi}_b(x)$, $\tilde{\psi}_c(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_d(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ are defined as in Theorems 10, 19, 13 and 22 respectively.

Proof. Let $j = 1$. Let $f_e(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) + f(-x)]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $f_e(0) = 0$ and $f_e(-x) = f_e(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and also $\|Df_e(x, y, z)\| \leq \tilde{\psi}(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$, where

$$\tilde{\psi}(x, y, z) = \frac{K}{2}[\psi(x, y, z) + \psi(-x, -y, -z)]$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. So $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies (58) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\tilde{\psi}^p(x, y, z) \leq \frac{K^p}{2^p}[\psi^p(x, y, z) + \psi^p(-x, -y, -z)]$, $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies (59) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. By Theorem 25, there exist a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\|f_e(x) - \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K^2}{3072} \left\{ 16[\tilde{\psi}_b(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_d(x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \tag{62}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\tilde{\psi}_b(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_d(x)$ are getting by replacing ψ by $\tilde{\psi}$ in $\tilde{\psi}_b(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_d(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ respectively and also where $\tilde{\psi}_b(x)$ and $\tilde{\psi}_d(x)$ are defined as in Theorems 19 and 22 respectively. It is clear that

$$\tilde{\psi}_b(x) \leq \frac{K^p}{2^p}[\tilde{\psi}_b(x) + \tilde{\psi}_b(-x)] \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_d(x) \leq \frac{K^p}{2^p}[\tilde{\psi}_d(x) + \tilde{\psi}_d(-x)]$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Therefore it follows from (62) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_e(x) - \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \leq \frac{K^3}{6144} \left\{ 16[\tilde{\psi}_b(x) + \tilde{\psi}_b(-x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_d(x) + \tilde{\psi}_d(-x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Let $f_o(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f(x) - f(-x)]$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$. By using the above method and the Theorem 16, it follows that there exist a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_o(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{C}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ & \leq \frac{K^3}{768} \left\{ 16[\tilde{\psi}_a(x) + \tilde{\psi}_a(-x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} + [\tilde{\psi}_c(x) + \tilde{\psi}_c(-x)]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Hence the Theorem follows from (63) and (64). For $j = -1$, we can prove a similar stability result. □

Corollary 29. *Let ν, r, s and t be non-negative real numbers such that r, s and t are all $\neq 1, 2, 3$ and 4 . Suppose that a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (23) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{C}(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K^2}{12} \begin{cases} 2(\alpha_a + \alpha_c) + \alpha_b + \alpha_d, & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ 2(\beta_a(x) + \beta_c(x)) + \beta_b(x) + \beta_d(x), & r > 0, s = 0, t = 0; \\ 2(\gamma_a(x) + \gamma_c(x)) + \gamma_b(x) + \gamma_d(x), & r = 0, s > 0, t = 0; \\ 2(\delta_a(x) + \delta_c(x)) + \delta_b(x) + \delta_d(x), & r = 0, s = 0, t > 0; \\ 2(\zeta_a(x) + \zeta_c(x)) + \zeta_b(x) + \zeta_d(x), & r > 0, s > 0, t > 0; \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\alpha_a, \alpha_b, \alpha_c, \alpha_d, \beta_a(x), \beta_b(x), \beta_c(x), \beta_d(x), \gamma_a(x), \gamma_b(x), \gamma_c(x), \gamma_d(x), \delta_a(x), \delta_b(x), \delta_c(x), \delta_d(x), \zeta_a(x), \zeta_b(x), \zeta_c(x)$ and $\zeta_d(x)$ are defined as in Corollaries 11,14,20 and 23

Corollary 30. *Let $\nu \geq 0$ and r, s, t which are all > 0 be real numbers such that $\lambda = r + s + t \neq 1, 2, 3$ and 4 . Suppose that a mapping $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ with $f(0) = 0$ satisfies the inequality (23) for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$. Then there exist a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a unique quadratic mapping $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, a unique cubic mapping $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and a unique quartic mapping $\mathcal{D} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that*

$$\|f(x) - \mathcal{A}(x) - \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{C}(x) - \mathcal{D}(x)\|_{\mathcal{Y}} \leq \frac{K^2}{12} \begin{cases} 2(\rho_a(x) + \rho_c(x)) + \rho_b(x) + \rho_d(x), \\ 2(\tau_a(x) + \tau_c(x)) + \tau_b(x) + \tau_d(x) \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\rho_a(x), \rho_b(x), \rho_c(x), \rho_d(x), \tau_a(x), \tau_b(x), \tau_c(x)$, and $\tau_d(x)$ are defined as in Corollaries 12, 15, 21 and 24

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive-quadratic-cubic-quartic functional equation (1) in quasi-Banach spaces.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the anonymous referees and the editors for their valuable comments and suggestions on the improvement of this paper.

References

- [1] J. Aczel and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [2] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [3] K. Balamurugan, M. Arunkumar, P. Ravindiran, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a mixed additive-cubic(AC) Functional Equation in Quasi-Banach Spaces*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Mathematics And its Applications-2014(ICMAA-2014), India, Vol.1(2014), pp. 234-261, ISBN-978-81-923752-6-7.
- [4] K. Balamurugan, M. Arunkumar, P. Ravindiran, *A Fixed Point Approach to the stability of a mixed additive-cubic(AC) Functional Equation in Quasi- β -normed Spaces*, Special issue of the International Conference On Mathematical Methods and Computation, Jamal Academic Research Journal: an Interdisciplinary, (January 2015), pp. 58-73.
- [5] K. Balamurugan, M. Arunkumar, P. Ravindiran, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for a mixed quadratic-quartic(QQ) Functional Equation in Quasi-Banach Spaces*, British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 9(2): 122-140, 2015, Article no.BJMCS.2015.192.
- [6] K. Balamurugan, M. Arunkumar, P. Ravindiran, *A Fixed Point Approach to the stability of a mixed quadratic-quartic(QQ) Functional Equation in Quasi- β -normed Spaces*, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, Vol.20, no.1(2015), pp. 25-40. doi:10.14445/22315373/IJMFTT-V20P504.
- [7] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, vol. 1, Colloq. Publi., vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.
- [8] Y. J. Cho, Th.M. Rassias and R. Saadati, *Stability of Functional Equations in Random Normed Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2013.
- [9] P.W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. 27 (1984), 7686.
- [10] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 62 (1992), 5964.
- [11] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [12] M. Eshaghi Gordji, S. Abbaszadeh, C.Park, *On the stability of a generalized quadratic and quartic type functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, vol. 2009, Article ID 153084, 26 pages.
- [13] M. Eshaghi Gordji, M. Bavand Savadkouhi, C.Park, *Quadratic-Quartic functional equations in RN-spaces*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, vol. 2009, Article ID 868423, 14 pages.

- [14] M. Eshaghi Gordji and H. Khodaie, *Solution and stability of generalized mixed type cubic, quadratic and additive functional equation in quasi- Banach spaces*, arxiv: 0812.2939v1 Math FA, 15 Dec 2008.
- [15] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [16] D.H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc.Nat. Acad.Sci.,U.S.A.,27 (1941) 222-224.
- [17] D.H. Hyers, G. Isac, Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
- [18] K. Jun and H. Kim, *The generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a cubic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 274(2002) 867-878.
- [19] S.M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [20] Pl. Kannappan, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2009.
- [21] S. H. Lee, S. M. Im and I. S. Hwang, *Quartic functional equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 307, (2005), 387-394.
- [22] Y. H. Lee, S. M. Jung and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of the n -dimensional mixed type additive and quadratic functional equation in non Arhimedean normed spaces*, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Vol. 2012, Article ID 401762, 9 pages.
- [23] A. Nataji and G. Z. Eskandani, *Stability of mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 342(2008) 1318-1331.
- [24] A. Nataji and M. B. Moghimi, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive functions in quasi-Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 337(2008) 399-415.
- [25] J.M. Rassias, *On approximately of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, J. Funct. Anal. USA, 46, (1982) 126-130.
- [26] J.M. Rassias, H.M. Kim, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability for general additive functional equations in quasi- δ -normed spaces* J. Math. Anal. Appl. 356 (2009), no. 1, 302-309.
- [27] Th.M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [28] Th.M. Rassias, *Functional Equations, Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston London, 2003.
- [29] Th. M. Rassias, *Handbook of Functional Equations Stability Theory*, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [30] Th. M. Rassias, *Handbook of Functional Equations Functional Inequalities*, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [31] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar, and J. M. Rassias, *Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler-Lagrange type functional equation*, International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, vol. 3, no. A06, pp. 3646, 2008.
- [32] K. Ravi, J.M. Rassias, M. Arunkumar, R. Kodandan, *Stability of a generalized mixed type additive, quadratic, cubic and quartic functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (2009), no. 4, Article 114, 29 pp.

- [33] K. Ravi, J.M. Rassias, R. Kodandan, *Generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of an AQ-functional equation in quasi- β -normed spaces*, Math. Aeterna 1 (2011), no. 3-4, 217-236.
- [34] S. Rolewicz, *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN-Polish Sci. Publ./Reidel, Warszawa/Dordrecht, 1984.
- [35] F. Skof, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano,(1983), 113129.
- [36] J. Tober, *Stability of cauchy functional equation in quasi-Banach spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. 83 (2004), 243-255.
- [37] S.M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1964.
- [38] S.M. Ulam, *Intuitionistic fuzzy stability of a general mixed additive-cubic equation*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 51, no. 6, 21 pages, 2010.
- [39] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias, and W. X. Xu, *A fixed point approach to the stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in quasi fuzzy normed spaces*, New York, 1964.
- [40] T. Z. Xu, J. M. Rassias and W. X. Xu, *Stability of a general mixed additive-cubic functional equation in non-Archimedean fuzzy normed spaces*, Journal of Mathematical Physics, vol. 51, 19 pages, 2010.