

SOLUTION AND STABILITY OF TWO TYPES OF  
 $n$ -DIMENSIONAL QUARTIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION  
IN GENERALIZED 2-NORMED SPACES

S. Murthy<sup>1</sup>, V. Govindhan<sup>2§</sup>, M. Sree Shanmuga Velan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Government Arts and Science College (For Men)  
Krishnagiri, 635 001, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Sri Vidya Mandir Arts and Science College  
Uthangarai, 636 902, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics  
Hosur Institute of Technology and Science  
Krishnagiri, 635 115, Tamil Nadu, INDIA

---

**Abstract:** In this paper, we investigate the general solution and generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of a  $n$ -dimensional quartic functional equation of the form

$$(8-n)f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(-x_j + \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^n x_i\right) = 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (x_i + x_j + x_k) + (-4n + 14) \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^n f(x_i + x_j) + 2 \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^n f(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n f(2x_j) + (2n^2 - 14n + 14) \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i),$$

with  $n \geq 3$  in generalized 2-normed space using two types of different methods.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 39B52, 39B82, 46B99

**Key Words:** Ulam-Hyers stability, 2-normed space, quartic functional equation and fixed point

---

Received: August 31, 2016

Revised: October 7, 2016

Published: December 11, 2016

© 2016 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

### 1. Introduction

In 1940, before the guidance of the mathematics club of the University of Wisconsin S. M. Ulam [37] presented a list of unsolved problems. One of these problem can be considered as the starting point of a new line of investigations. The stability problem, suppose that a group  $G$  and a metric group  $H$  are given. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if a function  $f : G \rightarrow H$  satisfies the inequality  $d(f(xy), f(x)f(y)) < \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G$ , then a homomorphism  $a : G \rightarrow H$  exists with  $d(f(x), a(x)) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in G$ ? These kind of questions form the material of the stability theory [36]. In 1941, D. H. Hyers [15] answered the Ulam's problems for the case of approximately additive functions under the assumption that  $G$  and  $H$  are Banach spaces. Taking this fact into account, the additive functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is said to have Ulam-Hyers stability [14]. For more information see [1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 28, 32, 34, 38].

The U-type stability result for the quartic functional equation

$$f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) + 6f(x) = 4[f(x + y) + f(x - y)] + 24f(y) \tag{1.1}$$

was first invented by J.M. Rassias in [27]. Subsequently, P.K. Sahoo and J.K. Chung [33], S.H. Lee et. al., [20] modified the J. M. Rassias equation (1.1) as

$$f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) = 4f(x + y) + 4f(x - y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y). \tag{1.2}$$

Many other types of quartic functional equations were introduced and investigated in [30, 35].

Motivated by this observation, in this paper the authors investigate the general solution and generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of a  $n$ -dimensional quartic functional equation of the form,

$$\begin{aligned} (8 - n)f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(-x_j + \sum_{i=1; i \neq j}^n x_i\right) \\ = 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (x_i + x_j + x_k) + (-4n + 14) \\ \sum_{i=1; i \neq j} f(x_i + x_j) + 2 \sum_{i=1; i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^n f(2x_j) + (2n^2 - 14n + 14) \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i), \quad (1.3)$$

with  $n \geq 3$  in generalized 2-normed space using two types of different methods.

## 2. Preliminaries of 2-Normed Spaces

Now, we present some basic definitions related to Generalized 2-normed spaces.

**Definition 2.1.** [24]. Let  $X$  be a linear space. A function  $N(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a generalized 2-normed space if it satisfies the following:

(G2N1)  $N(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly independent vectors.

(G2N2)  $N(x, y) = N(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

(G2N3)  $N(\lambda x, y) = |\lambda| N(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  is real or complex field.

(G2N4)  $N(x + y, z) \leq N(x, z) + N(y, z)$ ; if for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

The generalized 2-normed space is denoted by  $(X, N(.,.))$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [24]. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a generalized 2-normed space  $(X, N(.,.))$  is called a Cauchy sequence if there exists two linearly independent elements  $y$  and  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $\{N(x_n, y)\}$  and  $\{N(x_n, z)\}$  are real Cauchy sequences.

**Definition 2.3.** [29, 7]. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a generalized 2-normed space  $(X, N(.,.))$  is called convergent if there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n - x, y) = 0,$$

then for all  $y \in X$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, y) = N(x, y).$$

**Definition 2.4.** [24, 21]. A generalized 2-normed space  $(X, N(.,.))$  is called generalized 2-Banach space if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

### 3. A Solution of quartic functional equation

It is well known [3, 4, 5, 6, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26, 31] that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between a real vector spaces is quadratic if and only if there exists a unique symmetric biadditive function  $B$  such that  $f(x) = B(x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . The biadditive function  $B$  is given by

$$B(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} (f(x + y) - f(x - y)).$$

In this section, we prove that a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between the real vector spaces satisfies the functional equation (1.2) if and only if there exists a symmetric biquadratic function  $F$  such that  $f(x) = F(x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Throughout this section  $X$  and  $Y$  will be real vector spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a Real Vector Space, the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.2), for all  $x, y \in X$  if and only if the mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.3) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f$  satisfies the functional equation (1.2). Putting  $x = y = 0$  in (1.2), we have  $f(0) = 0$ . Putting  $x = 0$  in (1.2)  $f(y) = f(-y)$  for all  $y \in X$ . Putting  $y = 0$  and  $y = x$  in (1.2), we obtain that

$$f(2x) = 16f(x),$$

and for all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$f(3x) = 81f(x),$$

respectively. Actually, we can lead to

$$f(nx) = n^4f(x),$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $x_1 + x_2$  and  $x_1 - x_2$  in (1.2), respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_1 - x_2) + f(2x_1 + 2x_2 - x_1 + x_2) \\ &= 4f(x_1 + x_2 + x_1 - x_2) + 4f(x_1 + x_2 - x_1 + x_2) \\ &\quad + 24f(x_1 + x_2) - 6f(x_1 - x_2)f(3x_1 + x_2) + f(x_1 + 3x_2) \\ &= 4f(2x_1) + 4f(2x_2) + 24f(x_1 + x_2) - 6f(x_1 - x_2)f(3x_1 + x_2) + f(x_1 + 3x_2) \\ &= 64f(x_1) + 64f(x_2) + 24f(x_1 + x_2) - 6f(x_1 - x_2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Replacing  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  by  $x_1 + x_2$  and  $2x_2$  in (1.2), respectively, we arrive to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(2x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_2) + f(2x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_2) \\
 &= 4f(x_1 + x_2 + 2x_2) + 4f(x_1 + x_2 - 2x_2) \\
 &\quad + 24f(x_1 + x_2) - 6f(2x_2)f(2(x_1 + 2x_2)) + f(2x_1) \\
 &= 4f(x_1 + 3x_2) + 4f(x_1 - x_2) + 24f(x_1 + x_2) - 6f(2x_2)16f(x_1 + 2x_2) + 16f(x_1) \\
 &= 4f(x_1 + 3x_2) + 4f(x_1 - x_2) + 24f(x_1 + x_2) - 24f(x_2)4f(x_1 + 2x_2) + 4f(x_1) \\
 &= f(x_1 + 3x_2) + f(x_1 - x_2) + 6f(x_1 + x_2) - 24f(x_2),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Interchanging  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in (3.2), we obtain that

$$4f(x_2 + 2x_1) + 4f(x_2) = f(x_2 + 3x_1) + f(x_2 - x_1) + 6f(x_2 + x_1) - 24f(x_1), \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Adding (3.2) and (3.3) and also using (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 4f(x_1 + 2x_2) + 4f(x_1) + 4f(x_2 + 2x_1) + 4f(x_2) \\
 &= f(x_1 + 3x_2) + f(x_1 - x_2) + 6f(x_1 + x_2) - 24f(x_2) + f(x_2 + 3x_1) \\
 &\quad + f(x_2 - x_1) + 6f(x_2 + x_1) - 24f(x_1)4f(x_1 + 2x_2) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2) \\
 &= f(x_1 + 3x_2) + f(3x_1 + x_2) + 12f(x_1 + x_2) + f(x_1 - x_2) \\
 &\quad + f(x_2 - x_1) - 24f(x_1) - 24f(x_2) - 4f(x_1) - 4f(x_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then using the result (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 4f(x_1 + 2x_2) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2) = 64f(x_1) + 64f(x_2) + 24f(x_1 + x_2) \\
 & - 6f(x_1 - x_2) + 12f(x_1 + x_2) + f(x_1 - x_2) + f(x_2 - x_1) - 28f(x_1) - 28f(x_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using  $f(-x) = f(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 4f(x_1 + 2x_2) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2) &= 36f(x_1) + 36f(x_2) + 36f(x_1 + x_2) - 6f(x_1 - x_2) \\
 &\quad + 2f(x_1 - x_2)f(x_1 + 2x_2) + f(2x_1 + x_2) \\
 &= 9f(x_1) + 9f(x_2) + 9f(x_1 + x_2) - f(x_1 - x_2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . Setting  $x = x_1$ ;  $x_2 = x_3$  in (1.2), we receive

$$f(2x_1 + x_3) + f(2x_1 - x_3) = 24f(x_1) - 6f(x_3) + 4f(x_1 + x_3) + 4f(x_1 - x_3), \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $x_1, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $x_2 = x_3$  in (1.2), we obtain

$$f(2x_2 + x_3) + f(2x_2 - x_3) = 24f(x_2) - 6f(x_3) + 4f(x_2 + x_3) + 4f(x_2 - x_3), \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Adding (3.5) and (3.6), that the resultant equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) \\ &= 36f(x_1 + x_3) + 36f(x_1 - x_3) + 36f(x_2 + x_3) \\ & \quad + 36f(x_2 - x_3) + 216f(x_1) + 216f(x_2) - 108f(x_3) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $x = 2x_1 + x_3$  and  $x_2 = 2x_2 + x_3$  in the equation (3.4), gives that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2x_1 + x_3 + 4x_2 + 2x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3 + x_3) \\ &= 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) + 9f(2x_1 + x_3 + 2x_2 + x_3) \\ & \quad - f(2x_1 + x_3 - 2x_2 - x_3)f(2x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) \\ &= 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) + 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3) \\ & \quad - f(2x_1 - 2x_2)f(2x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) \\ & \quad - 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3) + f(2x_1 - 2x_2) \\ &= 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3)9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) \\ &= f(2x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) \\ & \quad - 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2 + 2x_3) + f(2x_1 - 2x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  by  $2x_1 - x_3$  and  $x_2 = 2x_2 - x_3$  in the equation (3.4), we arrive that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(2x_1 - x_3 + 4x_2 - 2x_3) + f(4x_1 - 2x_3 + 2x_2 - x_3) \\ &= 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - x_3 + 2x_2 - x_3) \\ & \quad - f(2x_2 - x_3 - 2x_2 + x_3)f(2x_1 + 4x_2 - 3x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3) \\ &= 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) + 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3) \\ & \quad - f(2x_1 - 2x_2)f(2x_1 + 4x_2 - 3x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3) \\ & \quad - 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3) + f(2x_1 - 2x_2) \\ &= 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3)9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) \\ &= f(2x_1 + 4x_2 - 3x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3) \\ & \quad - 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2 - 2x_3) + f(2x_1 - 2x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Adding (3.8) and (3.9), and using the result (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) \\ &= 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) + 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3) + 24f(x_1 + 2x_2) \\ & \quad - 6f(3x_3) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3) \\ & \quad + 24f(2x_1 + x_2) - 6f(3x_3) - 144f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\ & \quad - 144f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3) + 32f(x_1 - x_2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . By the equations (3.7) and (3.10), and rearranging the equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 36f(x_1 + x_3) + 36f(x_1 - x_3) + 216f(x_1) + 54f(x_3) + 36f(x_2 + x_3) + 36f(x_2 - x_3) \\ + 216f(x_2) - 54f(x_3) = 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) + 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3) + 24f(x_1 + 2x_2) \\ - 6f(3x_3) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3) + 24f(2x_1 + x_2) \\ - 6f(3x_3) - 144f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) - 144f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3) + 32f(x_1 - x_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  by  $2x_1 + x_3$  and  $x_2 = 2x_2 - x_3$  in the equation (3.4), we arrive that

$$\begin{aligned} f(2x_1 - x_3 + 4x_2 - 2x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_3 + 2x_2 - x_3) \\ = 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) + 9f(2x_1 + x_3 + 2x_2 - x_3) \\ - f(2x_1 + x_3 - 2x_2 + x_3)f(2x_1 + 4x_2 - x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3) \\ = 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) + 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2) - f(2x_1 - 2x_2 + 2x_3) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that,

$$\begin{aligned} 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) = f(2x_1 + 4x_2 - x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3) \\ - 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2) + f(2x_1 - 2x_2 + 2x_3) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $x_1 = 2x_1 - x_3$  and  $x_2 = 2x_2 + x_3$  in the equation (3.4), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} f(2x_1 - x_3 + 4x_2 + 2x_3) + f(4x_1 - 2x_3 + 2x_2 + x_3) \\ = 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - x_3 + 2x_2 + x_3) \\ - f(2x_1 - x_3 - 2x_2 - x_3)f(2x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3) \\ = 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) + 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2) - f(2x_1 - 2x_2 - 2x_3) \end{aligned}$$

which gives that,

$$\begin{aligned} 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) = f(2x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3) \\ - 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2) + f(2x_1 - 2x_2 - 2x_3) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Adding (3.12) and (3.13), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) \\ = f(2x_1 + 4x_2 - x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3) - 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2) + f(2x_1 - 2x_2 + 2x_3) \\ + f(2x_1 + 4x_2 + x_3) + f(4x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3) - 9f(2x_1 + 2x_2) + f(2x_1 - 2x_2 - 2x_3) \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Using the equation (1.2) in the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) \\
&= 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3) + 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3) + 24f(x_1 + 2x_2) - 6f(x_3) \\
&\quad + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 - x_3) + 24f(2x_1 + x_2) - 6f(x_3) \\
&\quad - 288f(x_1 + x_2) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . The above equation implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) \\
&= 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3) + 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 - x_3) + 24f(x_1 + 2x_2) - 6f(x_3) \\
&\quad + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 - x_3) + 24f(2x_1 + x_2) - 6f(x_3) \\
&\quad - 288f(x_1 + x_2) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $x_3$  by  $3x_3$  in the above equation (3.16), we arrive that

$$\begin{aligned}
& 9f(2x_1 + 3x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - 3x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - 3x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + 3x_3) \\
&= 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) + 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3) + 24f(x_1 + 2x_2) - 6f(3x_3) \\
&\quad + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3) + 24f(2x_1 + x_2) - 6f(3x_3) \\
&\quad - 288f(x_1 + x_2) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 - 3x_3)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Using the equation (3.11), from the resultant equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3) + 4f(x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3) + 24f(x_1 + 2x_2) - 6f(3x_3) \\
&\quad + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3) + 4f(2x_1 + x_2 - 3x_3) + 24f(2x_1 + x_2) - 6f(3x_3)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Substitute (3.18) in (3.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 9f(2x_1 + x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + x_3) \\
&= 36f(x_1 + x_3) + 36f(x_1 - x_3) + 216f(x_1) - 54f(x_3) + 36f(x_2 + x_3) \\
&\quad + 36f(x_2 - x_3) + 216f(x_2) - 54f(x_3) + 144f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + 144f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3) \\
&\quad - 32f(x_1 - x_2) - 288f(x_1 + x_2) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 - 3x_3)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$



for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $x_1 = x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3$  and  $x_2 = x_1 - x_2 - 3x_3$  in (3.4), which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(3x_1 - 3x_2 - 3x_3) + f(3x_1 - 3x_2 + 3x_3) \\
 &= 9f(x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3) + 9f(x_1 - x_2 - 3x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - 2x_3) \\
 &\quad - f(6x_3)9f(x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3) + 9f(x_1 - x_2 - 3x_3) \\
 &= f(3x_1 - 3x_2 - 3x_3) + f(3x_1 - 3x_2 + 3x_3) - 9f(2x_1 - 2x_3) \\
 &\quad + f(6x_3)9f(x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3) + 9f(x_1 - x_2 - 3x_3) \\
 &= 81f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3) + 81f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) - 144f(x_1 - x_3) + 1296f(x_3)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Divided by  $(\frac{16}{9})$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 16f(x_1 - x_2 + 3x_3) + 16f(x_1 - x_2 - 3x_3) \\
 &= 144f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3) + 144f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) - 256f(x_1 - x_3) + 2304f(x_3)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . using the equation (3.19), (3.20) and (3.21), we will have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 9f(2x_1 + 3x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - 3x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - 3x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + 3x_3) \\
 &= 36f(x_1 + x_3) + 36f(x_1 - x_3) + 216f(x_1) - 54f(x_3) + 36f(x_2 + x_3) \\
 &\quad + 36f(x_2 - x_3) + 216f(x_2) - 54f(x_3) + 144f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\
 &\quad + 144f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3) - 32f(x_1 - x_2) - 288f(x_1 + x_2) \\
 &\quad + 144f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3) + 144f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) - 256f(x_1 - x_2) + 2304f(x_3)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Setting  $x_1 = 2x_1 + 3x_3$  and  $x_2 = 2x_1 - 3x_3$  in (3.4), which gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(2x_1 + 3x_3 + 4x_1 - 6x_3)f(4x_1 + 6x_3 + 2x_1 - 3x_3) \\
 &= 9f(2x_1 + 3x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - 3x_3) + 9f(2x_1 + 3x_3 + 2x_1 - 3x_3) \\
 &\quad - f(2x_1 + 3x_3 - 2x_1 - 3x_3)f(6x_1 - 3x_3) + f(6x_1 + 3x_3) \\
 &= 9f(2x_1 + 3x_3) - 9f(2x_1 - 3x_3) + 9f(4x_1) - f(6x_3)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Replacing  $x_1 = 2x_2 - 3x_3$  and  $x_2 = 2x_2 + 3x_3$  in (3.4), we arrive that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(6x_2 + 3x_3) + f(6x_2 - 3x_3) \\
 &= 9f(2x_2 - 3x_3) - 9f(2x_2 + 3x_3) + 9f(4x_2) - f(6x_3)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Adding (3.23) and (3.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &9f(2x_1 + 3x_3) + 9f(2x_1 - 3x_3) + 9f(2x_2 + 3x_3) + 9f(2x_2 - 3x_3) \\
 &+ 9f(2x_2 + 3x_3) = 324f(x_1 + x_3) + 324f(x_1 - x_3) + 1944f(x_1) \quad (3.25) \\
 &\quad - 486f(x_3) + 324f(x_2 + x_3) + 324f(x_2 - x_3) + 1944f(x_2) \\
 &\quad - 486f(x_3) - 2304f(x_1) - 2304f(x_2) + 2592f(x_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . From equation (3.22) and (3.25), L. H. S are equal, that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &36f(x_1 + x_3) + 36f(x_1 - x_3) + 216f(x_1) - 54f(x_3) + 36f(x_2 + x_3) + 36f(x_2 - x_3) \\
 &+ 216f(x_2) - 54f(x_3) + 144f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + 144f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3) \\
 &- 32f(x_1 - x_2) - 288f(x_1 + x_2) + 144f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3) + 144f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) \\
 &- 256f(x_1 - x_2) + 2304f(x_3) = 324f(x_1 + x_3) + 324f(x_1 - x_3) \\
 &\quad + 1944f(x_1) - 486f(x_3) + 324f(x_2 + x_3) + 324f(x_2 - x_3) \\
 &\quad + 1944f(x_2) - 486f(x_3) - 2304f(x_1) - 2304f(x_2) + 2592f(x_3) \quad (3.26)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . From the resultant equation (3.26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &144 [f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3) + f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3) + f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3)] \\
 &= 324f(x_1 + x_3) + 324f(x_1 - x_3) + 1944f(x_1) - 486f(x_3) \\
 &\quad + 324f(x_2 + x_3) + 324f(x_2 - x_3) + 1944f(x_2) - 486f(x_3) - 2304f(x_1) \\
 &\quad - 2304f(x_2) + 2592f(x_3) 36f(x_1 + x_3) + 36f(x_1 - x_3) + 216f(x_1) \\
 &\quad - 54f(x_3) + 36f(x_2 + x_3) + 36f(x_2 - x_3) + 216f(x_2) - 54f(x_3) \\
 &\quad - 32f(x_1 - x_2) - 288f(x_1 + x_2) - 256f(x_1 - x_2) + 2304f(x_3)
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3) + f(x_1 - x_2 - x_3) + f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) \\
 &= 2(f(x_1 + x_2) + f(x_1 + x_3) + f(x_2 + x_3)) \\
 &\quad + 2(f(x_1 - x_2) + f(x_1 - x_3) + f(x_2 - x_3)) - 4\{f(x_1) + f(x_2) + f(x_3)\} \quad (3.27)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . From the equation (3.27), rearranging we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3) + f(-x_1 + x_2 - x_3) + f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3) + f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3) \\
 &= \left( 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 3} f(x_i + x_j + x_k) \right) + (-4 \times 3 + 14) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} f(x_i + x_j) \\
 &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} f(x_i - x_j) \sum_{j=1}^3 f(2x_j) + (2 \times 3^2 - 14 \times 3 + 4) \sum_{i=1}^3 f(x_i) \quad (3.28)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Similarly one can easily verify that this result is true for four variables, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4) + f(-x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4) + f(x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4) \\
 & + f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4) = \left( 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 4} f(x_i + x_j + x_k) \right) \\
 & + (-4 \times 4 + 14) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} f(x_i + x_j) \\
 & + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} f(x_i - x_j) \sum_{j=1}^4 f(2x_j) + (2 \times 4^2 - 14 \times 4 + 4) \sum_{i=1}^4 f(x_i)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . Similarly one can easily verify that this result is true for  $n$  variables, Extending this result, for any positive integer  $n$ , we arrive

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(-x_j + \sum_{i=1; i \neq j}^n x_i\right) = 4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (x_i + x_j + x_k) + (-4n + 14) \\
 & \sum_{i=1; i \neq j} f(x_i + x_j) + 2 \sum_{i=1; i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j) + \sum_{j=1}^n f(2x_j) + (2n^2 - 14n + 14) \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ . This completes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Conversely, assume that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (3.29). Now we prove that the function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies the functional equation (1.2). Now replacing  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  by  $(x, x, y)$  in three variables that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (8 - n)f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) + 2f(y) \\
 & = 4f(2x + y) + 2(-4n + 14)f(x + y) + (2.2)f(x - y) \\
 & \quad + 4f(y)(8 - n)f(2x + y) + f(2x - y) + 2f(y) \\
 & = 4f(2x + y) + 2(-4n + 14)f(x + y) + (2.2)f(x - y) \\
 & \quad + (2.2)f(x - y) + (-4n + 14)f(2x) + 2.(2n^2 - 14n + 14)f(x) \\
 & \quad + (2n^2 - 14n + 14)f(y) + (-4n + 14)f(2x) + f(2x) + f(2y)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . And again from the equation (3.29) for four variables, which

gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (8-n)f(2x+y) + f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) + 2f(y) \\
 &= 4f(2x+y) + 2(-4n+14)f(x+y) + (2.4)f(x-y) + (2.2)f(x-y) \\
 & \quad + 2(-4n+14)f(x) + (-4n+14)f(2x) + 2.(2n^2-14n+14)f(x) \quad (3.31) \\
 & \quad + (2n^2-14n+14)f(y) + 2(-4n+14)f(x) + (-4n+14)f(2x) \\
 & \quad + (-4n+14)f(y) + f(2x) + f(2y) + (2.2)f(2x) + 2f(2y)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . And again from the equation (3.29) for five variables, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (8-n)f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) + 2f(2x+y) + 2f(y) \\
 &= 4f(2x+y) + (4.4)f(x+y) + 2(-4n+14)f(x+y) \\
 & \quad + (2.4)f(x-y) + (2.2)f(x-y) + 4(-4n+14)f(x) + (2.4)f(x) \quad (3.32) \\
 & \quad + (1.4)f(y) + (-4n+14)f(2x) + 2.(2n^2-14n+14)f(x) \\
 & \quad + (2n^2-14n+14)f(y) + 4(-4n+14)f(x) + 2(-4n+14)f(y) \\
 & \quad + (-4n+14)f(2x) + f(2x) + f(2y) + (2.4)f(2x) + (2.2)f(2y)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Combining the equations (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) and for  $n$  variables, gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (8-n)f(2x+y) + (n-3)f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) + 2f(y) \\
 &= 4f(2x+y) + 2(n-3)[4f(x+y)] + 2[2f(x-y)] + (-4n+14)[2f(x+y)] \\
 & \quad + 2(n-3)(-4n+14)f(x) + (n-3)(n-4)[4f(x)] + \frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{2}[4f(y)] \\
 & \quad + (-4n+14)f(2x) + 2.(2n^2-14n+4)f(x) + (2n^2-14n+14)f(y) \\
 & \quad + (n-3)(-4n+14)f(y) + 4(n-3)f(2x) + (2.2)f(2y) \\
 & \quad + (4n-12)f(x) + 2nf(y) - 6f(y) + f(2x) + f(2y) + f(2x) \quad (3.33)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Now from the equation (3.33), and rearranging that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 8f(2x+y) + 3f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) + 2f(y) \\
 &= 4f(2x+y) + (2n-6)[4f(x+y)] + 4f(x-y) + (-8n+28)f(x+y) \\
 & \quad + (2n-6)(-4n+14)f(x) + (n^2-7n-12)[4f(x)] \\
 & \quad + (n^2-7n-12)[2f(y)] + (-4n+14)f(2x) + (4n^2-28n+8)f(x) \\
 & \quad + (2n^2-14n+4)f(y) + (n^2-7n-42)f(y) + (4n-12)f(2x) \\
 & \quad + (4n-12)f(x) + 2nf(2y) + -6f(y) + 16f(x) + 16f(y) + 16f(x) \quad (3.34)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Now from the equation (3.34), modified as

$$\begin{aligned}
 5f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) + 2f(y) &= 4f(2x+y) + 8nf(x+y) - 24f(x+y) \\
 &\quad + 4f(x-y) - 8nf(x+y) + 28f(x+y) + \\
 &\quad - 8n^2f(x) + 52f(x) - 84f(x) + 4n^2f(x) \\
 &\quad - 28nf(x) - 48f(x) + 2n^2f(y) - 14nf(y) \\
 &\quad - 24f(y) - 4nf(2x) + 14f(2x) + 4n^2f(x) \\
 &\quad - 28nf(x) - 8f(x) + 2n^2f(y) - 14nf(y) \\
 &\quad + 4f(y) + n^2f(y) - 7nf(y) - 42f(y) + 4nf(2x) \\
 &\quad - 12f(2x) + 4nf(x) - 12f(x) + 2nf(2y) \\
 &\quad + -6f(y) + 16f(x) + 16f(y) + 16f(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 5f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) + 2f(y) \\
 = 4f(2x+y) + 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(x) - 4f(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 5f(2x+y) - 4f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) \\
 = -2f(y) + 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(x) - 4f(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that,

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y) \tag{3.36}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Setting  $y$  by  $-y$  in the equation (3.36), we obtain

$$f(2x-y) + f(2x+y) = 4f(x-y) + 4f(x+y) + 24f(x) - 6f(-y) \tag{3.37}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Using the even function then we have from (3.37), we obtain that

$$f(2x-y) + f(2x+y) = 4f(x-y) + 4f(x+y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y) \tag{3.38}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Adding the equations (3.36) and (3.38), we get

$$2f(2x-y) + 2f(2x+y) = 8f(x-y) + 8f(x+y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y)$$

Dividing the above by 2, we arrive that

$$f(2x+y) + f(2x-y) = 4f(x+y) + 4f(x-y) + 24f(x) - 6f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Which completes the proof of the Theorem.  $\square$

**4. Stability of  $n$ -Dimensional Quartic Functional Equation (1.3)**

In this section, let us consider  $X$  be a generalized 2-normed space and  $Y$  be a generalized 2-Banach space respectively. Define a mapping  $Q_f : X^n \rightarrow Y$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_f(x) = Q_f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) &= (8 - n)f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) + \sum_{j=1}^n f\left(-x_j + \sum_{i=1; i \neq j}^n x_i\right) \\
 -4 \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq n} (x_i + x_j + x_k) &- (-4n + 14) \sum_{i=1; i \neq j} f(x_i + x_j) - 2 \sum_{i=1; i \neq j} f(x_i - x_j) \\
 &- \sum_{j=1}^n f(2x_j) - (2n^2 - 14n + 14) \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \in X^n$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\psi : X^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi((m^{nj}x_1, z), (m^{nj}x_2, z), (m^{nj}x_3, z), \dots, (m^{nj}x_n, z))}{m^{4nj}}$$

converges and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\psi((m^{nj}x_1, z), (m^{nj}x_2, z), (m^{nj}x_3, z), \dots, (m^{nj}x_n, z))}{m^{4nj}} \right\} = 0 \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \in X^n, z \in X$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a function satisfying the inequality

$$N(Q_f(x), z) \leq \psi((x_1, z), (x_2, z), \dots, (x_n, z)) \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \in X^n, z \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quartic function  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \sum_{n=\frac{1-j}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{nj}x, z)}{16^{nj}} \tag{4.3}$$

where  $\varphi(2^{nj}x, z) = \psi(x, 0, 0, \dots, z)$  for all  $x, z \in X$ . The function  $F(x)$  is defined by

$$N(F(x), z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N\left(\frac{f(2^{nj}x)}{16^{nj}}, z\right) \tag{4.4}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$  and  $j = \pm 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $j = 1$ . Replacing  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$  by  $(x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (4.2), we get

$$N \left( (8 - n)f(x) + f(-x) + (n - 1)f(x) - 2[n^2 + 3n + 2]f(x) - (-4n + 14)(n - 1)f(x) - 2(n - 1)f(x) - (2n^2 - 14n + 14)f(x), z \right) \leq \psi(x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

$$N \left( \frac{f(2x)}{16} - f(x), z \right) \leq \frac{\psi(x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)}{16} \tag{4.5}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Letting

$$\varphi(x, z) = \frac{\psi(x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)}{16}$$

in the equation (4.5), we arrive

$$N \left( \frac{f(2x)}{16} - f(x), z \right) \leq \varphi(x, z) \tag{4.6}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Now setting  $x$  by  $2x$  and dividing by 16 in (4.6), we obtain

$$N \left( \frac{f(2^2x)}{16^2} - \frac{f(2x)}{16}, z \right) \leq \varphi(2x, z) \tag{4.7}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Using the inequality (4.6) and (4.7), and the definition of (G2N4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N \left( \frac{f(2^2x)}{16^2} - f(x), z \right) &= N \left( \frac{f(2^2x)}{16^2} - \frac{f(2x)}{16} + \frac{f(2x)}{16} - f(x), z \right) \\ &\leq N \left( \frac{f(2^2x)}{16^2} - \frac{f(2x)}{16}, z \right) + N \left( \frac{f(2x)}{16} - f(x), z \right) \\ &\leq \varphi(x, z) + \frac{\varphi(2x, z)}{16} \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . In general for any positive integer  $m$ , we have

$$N \left( \frac{f(2^m x)}{16^m} - f(x), z \right) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, z)}{16^n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^n x, z)}{16^n} \tag{4.9}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . In order to prove the convergence of the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x, z)}{16^n} \right\}$  replace  $x$  by  $2^l x$  and dividing by  $16^l$  in (4.9), for any  $n, l \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N \left( \frac{f(2^{l+n} x)}{16^{l+n}} - \frac{f(2^l x)}{16^l}, z \right) &\leq \frac{1}{16^l} N \left( \frac{f(2^l \cdot 2^n x)}{16^n} - f(2^l x), z \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{l+n} x, z)}{16^{l+n}} = 0asl \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N \left( \frac{f(2^{l+n} x)}{16^{l+n}} - \frac{f(2^l x)}{16^l}, z_1 \right) &\leq \frac{1}{16^l} N \left( \frac{f(2^l \cdot 2^n x)}{16^n} - f(2^l x), z_1 \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{l+n} x, z_1)}{16^{l+n}} = 0asl \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Hence there exists two linearly independent elements  $z$  and  $z_1$  in  $X$  such that  $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{16^n}, z \right\}$  and  $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{16^n}, z_1 \right\}$  are real Cauchy sequences. Hence the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f(2^n x)}{16^n} \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence, since  $Y$  is complete, there exists a mapping  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$N(F(x), z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N \left( \frac{f(2^n x)}{16^n}, z \right)$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (4.9), we see that (4.4) holds for all  $x, z \in X$ . To prove  $F$  satisfies (4.4), setting  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  by  $(2^n x_1, 2^n x_2, 2^n x_3, \dots, 2^n x_n)$  and dividing by  $16^n$  in (4.2) and allow  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we arrive

$$N(D_F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), z) = 0.$$

Hence  $F$  satisfies (4.3) for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, z \in X$ . To prove  $F$  is unique. Let  $J(x)$  be another quartic mapping satisfying (4.4) and (4.3). Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(F(x) - J(x), z) &= \frac{1}{16^n} N(F(2^n x) - J(2^n x), z) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16^n} \{N(F(2^n x) - f(2^n x), z) + N(f(2^n x) - J(2^n x), z)\} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^{l+n} x, z)}{16^{l+n}} = 0asl \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of the above inequality converges to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $x, z \in X$ . Hence  $F$  is Unique, for  $j = -1$ , we can prove the similar type pf stability result. This completes the proof of the Theorem. □



The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem ?? concerning the stability of (4.4).

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $\delta$  and  $s$  be the non-negative real numbers. If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the inequality*

$$N(Q_f(x), z) \leq \begin{cases} \delta \\ \delta \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k, z\|^s, & s > 4(\text{or}) s < 4 \\ \delta \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k, z\|^{ns} + \prod_{k=1}^n \|x_k, z\|^{ns} \right\}, & s > \frac{4}{n}(\text{or}) s < \frac{4}{n} \end{cases}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, z \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quartic function  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{16\delta}{15}, \\ \frac{16\delta}{|16-2^s|} \|x, z\|^s, \\ \frac{16\delta}{|16-2^{ns}|} \|x, z\|^{ns}, \end{cases}$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $z \in X$ .

### 5. Stability of $n$ -Dimensional Quartic Functional Equation (1.3): Fixed Point Method

The following Theorem provide the stability result of (1.3) in fixed point method. For proving the stability result, we define the following,  $m_i$  is a constant such that

$$m_i = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}i, & \text{if } i = 1 \end{cases}$$

and  $\eta$  is the set such that

$$\eta = \{g/g : X \rightarrow Y; g(0) = 0\}$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping for which there exists a function  $\psi : X^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  with the condition*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi((m_i^n x_1, z), (m_i^n x_2, z)), (m_i^n x_3, z), \dots, (m_i^n x_n, z)}{m_i^{4n}} \tag{5.1}$$

converges and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{\psi((m_i^n x_1, z), (m_i^n x_2, z), (m_i^n x_3, z), \dots, (m_i^n x_n, z))}{m_i^{4n}} \right\} = 0 \tag{5.2}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \in X, z \in X$  satisfying the functional inequality

$$N(Q_f(x), z) \leq \psi((x_1, z), (x_2, z), \dots, (x_n, z)) \tag{5.3}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \in X, z \in X$ . If there exists  $L = L(i) < 1$  such that the function

$$x \rightarrow \varphi(x, z) = 16\varphi\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}, z\right), (0, z), (0, z), \dots, (0, z)\right)$$

has the property, that for all  $x \in X$ ,

$$\frac{\varphi(m_i x, z)}{m_i^4} = L\varphi(x, z) \tag{5.4}$$

Then there exists a unique quartic function  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfying the functional equation (4.3) and for all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\varphi(x, z). \tag{5.5}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be a general metric on  $\eta$  such that

$$d(g, h) = \inf \{k \in (0, \infty) : N(g(x) - h(x), z) \leq k\phi(x, z), x, z \in X\}$$

It is easy to see that  $(\eta, d)$  is complete. Define  $T : \eta \rightarrow \eta$  by

$$T_f(x) = \frac{1}{m_i^4} f(m_i x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . For  $f, h \in \eta$ , we have  $d(f, h) = k$ .

$$N(f(x) - h(x), z) \leq k\varphi(x, z)$$

$$N\left(\frac{f(m_i x)}{m_i^4} - \frac{h(m_i x)}{m_i^4}, z\right) \leq \frac{1}{m_i^4} k\varphi(m_i x, z)$$

which implies that

$$d(T_f(x), T_h(x)) \leq KL.$$

Hence,  $d(Tg, Th) \leq Ld(f, h)$ , for all  $f, h \in \eta$ . Therefore,  $T$  is strictly contractive mapping on  $\eta$  with Lipschitz constant  $L$ . Replacing  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  by  $(x, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  in (5.3) and using the definition of  $\varphi(x, z)$ , we get

$$N(f(2x) - 16f(x), z) \leq \varphi(2x, z) \tag{5.6}$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Applying the equation (5.4) in the above equation for  $i = 0$ , we have

$$N(f(2x) - 16f(x), z) \leq \varphi(2x, z)$$

which implies that

$$N(T_f(x) - f(x)) \leq L\varphi(x, z)$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Hence, we arrive

$$N(T_f(x), f(x)) \leq L = L^{1-i} \tag{5.7}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $\frac{x}{2}$  in (5.6) and using the definition of  $\varphi(x)$  for  $i = 1$ , we have

$$\|f(2x) - 16f(x/2)\|_P \leq \varphi(x)$$

which gives that

$$\|f(2x) - T_f(x)\|_P \leq \varphi(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Hence we arrive that

$$N(T_f(x), f(x)) \leq 1 = L^{1-i} \tag{5.8}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Then from (5.7) and (5.8) we can conclude that

$$N(T_f(x), f(x)) \leq L^{1-i} < \infty$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Now from the fixed point alternative in both cases, it follows that there exists a fixed point  $F$  of  $T$  in  $\eta$  such that

$$N(F(x), z) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} N\left(\frac{(m_i^n x, z)}{m_i^{4n}}, z\right) \tag{5.9}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . To prove  $F$  satisfies the functional equation (4.4), we can use the same idea in Theorem 4.1. Since  $F$  is unique fixed point of  $T$  in the set

$$\zeta = \{f \in \eta : d(f, F)\}$$

therefore,  $F$  is unique function such that for all  $x, z \in X$ , we have

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq K\varphi(x, z). \tag{5.10}$$

Again using the fixed point alternative, we obtain

$$d(f, F) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(f, T_f) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}$$

gives that

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{L^{1-i}}{1-L}\varphi(x, z). \tag{5.11}$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem. □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1 concerning the stability of (4.4).

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping there exists a real numbers  $\Omega$  and  $s$  such that*

$$N(Q_f(x), z) \leq \begin{cases} \Omega, \\ \Omega \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k, z\|^s, & s > 2(\text{or})s < 2 \\ \Omega \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k, z\|^{ns} + \prod_{k=1}^n \|x_k, z\|^{ns} \right\}, & s > \frac{2}{n}(\text{or})s < \frac{2}{n} \end{cases} \tag{5.12}$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, z \in X$ . Then there exists a unique quartic function  $F : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{16|\Omega|}{15}, \\ \frac{16|\Omega|}{|16-2^s|} \|x, z\|^s, \\ \frac{16|\Omega|}{|16-2^{ns}|} \|x, z\|^{ns}, \end{cases} \tag{5.13}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\varphi(x, z)$  as right hand side of the equation (5.12), for all  $x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \in X$ . It is easy to conclude that

$$\frac{1}{m_i^4}\varphi(m_i^4x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus (5.1) holds. But we have

$$\varphi(x, z) = 16\psi\left(\left(\frac{x}{2}, z\right), (0, z), (0, z), \dots, (0, z)\right).$$

Now

$$\frac{1}{m_i^4} \varphi(m_i x, z) = \begin{cases} 16m_i^{-4} \Omega, \\ m_i^{s-4} 2^{4-s} \|x, z\|^s, \\ m_i^{ns-4} 2^{4-ns} \|x, z\|^{ns}, \end{cases} = L\varphi(x)$$

where,  $L = m_i^{-4}$ ,  $L = m_i^{s-4}$  and  $L = m_i^{ns-4}$  for the respective assumption of  $\psi$ . Hence the inequality (5.4) holds. Now, from (5.5), we prove the following cases for conditions. **Case: (i).**  $L = 2^{-4}$ , if  $i = 0$ , we have

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{L^{1-0}}{1-L} \varphi(x, z) = \frac{(2^{-4})^{1-0}}{1-2^{-4}} 16\Omega$$

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{16}{15} \Omega.$$

**Case: (ii).**  $L = 2^{-4}$  and if  $i = 1$

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{L^{1-1}}{1-L} \varphi(x, z) = \frac{\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-4}\right)^{1-1}}{1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-4}} 16\Omega$$

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{-16}{15} \Omega.$$

**Case: (iii).**  $L = 2^{s-4}$  and if  $i = 0$

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{2^{s-4}}{1-2^{s-4}} \Omega 2^{4-s} \|x, z\|^s = \frac{2^{s-4}}{1-2^s \cdot 2^{-4}} \Omega 2^{4-s} \|x, z\|^s$$

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{16}{16-2^s} \Omega \|x, z\|^s$$

**Case: (iv).**  $L = \frac{1}{2^{s-4}}$  and if  $i = 1$

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{L^{1-1}}{1-L} \varphi(x, z) = \frac{\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{s-4}\right)^{1-1}}{1-2^{4-s}} \Omega 2^{4-s} \|x, z\|^s$$

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{-16}{16-2^s} \Omega \|x, z\|^s$$

**Case: (v).**  $L = 2^{ns-4}$  and if  $i = 0$

$$N(f(x) - F(x), z) \leq \frac{L^{1-0}}{1-L} \varphi(x, z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2^{ns-4}}{1-2^{ns-4}} \Omega 2^{4-ns} \|x, z\|^n s \\
&= \frac{2^{ns-4}}{1-2^{ns} \cdot 2^{-4}} \Omega 2^{4-ns} \|x, z\|^{ns} \\
N(f(x) - F(x), z) &\leq \frac{16}{16-2^{ns}} \Omega \|x, z\|^{ns}
\end{aligned}$$

**Case: (vi).**  $L = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{ns-4}$  and if  $i = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
N(f(x) - F(x), z) &\leq \frac{L^{1-1}}{1-L} \varphi(x, z) \\
&= \frac{1}{1-2^{-ns+4}} \Omega 2^{4-ns} \|x, z\|^n s \\
&= \frac{1}{1-2^{-ns} \cdot 2^4} \Omega 2^{4-ns} \|x, z\|^{ns} \\
N(f(x) - F(x), z) &\leq -\frac{16}{16-2^{ns}} \Omega \|x, z\|^{ns}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

### References

- [1] J. Aczel, J. Dhombres, Functional Equations in several variables, Cambridge university press (1989).
- [2] T. Aoki, On the stability of linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [3] M. Arunkumar, S. Murthy, G. Ganapathy, Solution and Stability of  $n$ -dimensional Quadratic functional equation, ICCMSC, 2012 Computer and Information science (CIS), springer verlag-Germany, vol. 238 (2012), 384-394.
- [4] M. Arunkumar, S. Murthy, V. Govindan, General solution and generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of a generalized  $n$ -type additive quadratic functional equation in Banach spaces and Banach Algebra: Using direct and fixed point method, International journal of Advanced Mathematical sciences, 3(1) (2015) 25-64.
- [5] M. Arunkumar, S. Murthy, V. Govindan and T. Namachivayam, General solution and Four types of Ulam-Hyers stability of  $n$ -dimensional additive functional equation in Banach and fuzzy Banach spaces: Hyers direct and Fixed point methods, International Journal of applied Engineering and research, 324-338.
- [6] M. Arunkumar, K. Ravi, M. J. Rassias, Stability of a Quartic and orthogonally Quartic functional equation, Bulltien of Mathematical Analysis and application, 3(3), 2011, 13-24.
- [7] M. Arunkumar, S. Murthy, G. Ganapathy, Stability of a functional equation having  $n$ th order solution in Generalized 2-normed spaces, International Journal of mathematical sciences and Eng. Applications, vol. 5, No. IV, (July 2011) 361-369.

- [8] T. Bag and S. K. Samanta, Finite dimensional fuzzy normed linear spaces, *J. fuzzy Math.* 11 (3) (2003), 687-705.
- [9] D.G. Bourgin, Classes of Transformations and bordering transformations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Calcutta. Soc.* 57, (1951), 223-237.
- [10] S. C. Chang, J. N. Mordeson, Fuzzy linear operator and fuzzy normed linear spaces, *Bull. Calcutta Soc.* 86 (1994), 429-436.
- [11] S. Czerwik, *Fundamental equations and Inequalities in several variables*, World Scientific, River edge, NJ, 2002.
- [12] C. Czerwik, On the stability of the Quadratic mapping in normed spaces, *Abh.Math. Sem. univ. Hamburg*, 62 (1992), 59-64.
- [13] P. Guvruta, A Generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [14] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of linear functional equations, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [15] D. H. Hyers, G. Issac. Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
- [16] S. M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of functional equations*, Mathematical Analysis, Hadronic press, palm harbor, 2001.
- [17] S. S. Jin and Y. H. Lee, Fuzzy stability of a general quadratic functional equation deriving from quadratic and additive mappings, *Abstract Appl. Anal.*, 2011 (2011), Article ID 534120, 15 pages.
- [18] S. S. Jin and Y. H. Lee, Fuzzy stability of a general quadratic functional equation, *Adv. Fuzzy syst.*, 2011 (2011), Article ID 791695, 9 pages.
- [19] S. S. Jin and Y. H. Lee, Fuzzy stability of the Cauchy additive and quadratic type functional equation, *Common korean math. Soc.* 27 (2012), 523-535.
- [20] S. H. Lee, S. M. Im and I. S. Hwang, quadratic functional equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 307 (2005) 387-394.
- [21] S. S. Kim, Y. J. Cho and A. White, Linear operators on linear 2-normed spaces, *G lasnik Math.* 27 (42) (1992), 63-70.
- [22] S. Murthy, M. Arunkumar, G. Ganapathy, Solution and stability of n-dimensional cubic functional equation in F-spaces: Direct and Fixed point methods, *Proceeding of the international conferences of Mathematical Sciences (Elsevier India)*, 81-88.
- [23] S. Murthy, M. Arunkumar, V. Govindhyan, General solution and generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of n-dimensional quadratic functional equation in intuitionistic fuzzy Normed spaces: using direct and fixed point methods, *Global journal of pure and applied Mathematics (Submitted)*.
- [24] Z. Lewandowska, Linear operators on a generalized 2-normed spaces, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci., Math, Roumanie*, 42 (90) No. 4 (1999), 353-368.
- [25] A. Najati, On the stability of quartic functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. App.* 340 (1), (2008), 569-574.
- [26] A. Najati, The generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of a cubic functional equation, *Turk. J. math.*, 31 (2007), 1-14.

- [27] J. M. Rassias, On approximately of approximately linear mappings of linear mappings, *J. Functional Anal.*, USA, 46 (1982), 126-130.
- [28] Th. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 72, (1978), No. 2, 297-300.
- [29] F. Raymond W and C. Goel Je., *Geometry of linear 2-Normed spaces*, Nova Sciences publishers, New York, 2001.
- [30] K. Ravi and M. Arunkumar, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a Quartic functional Equation, *International Journal of Pure and Applied mathematics*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2007, 247-260.
- [31] K. Ravi and M. Arunkumar, On a General solution of a Quartic functional equation, *J. of Combinatorics Information and system sciences*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 3-4 (2008), 373-386.
- [32] A. M. Robert, *A course in P-adic analysis*, springer-verlag, New York (2000).
- [33] P. K. Sahoo and J. K. Chung, On the general solution of a quartic functional equation, *Bull. Korean. Math. Soc.* 40 (4) (2003), 565-576.
- [34] F. Skof, Local properties and approximations of operators, *Rend. Sem. math. Fis. Milano* 53 (1983), 113-129.
- [35] E. Thandapani, K. Ravi, and M. Arunkumar, On the solution of the generalized Quartic functional equation, *Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 24, No. 3, 2006, 297-312.
- [36] S. M. Ulam, *A Collection of Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ., New York, 1960.
- [37] S. M. Ulam, *Problem in Modern mathematics*, Sciences editions, John Wiley and sons, Inc., New York (1969).
- [38] A. White, 2-Banach Spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, 42 (1969), 43-60.