

ON gW -CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS INDUCED BY GENERALIZED w -OPEN SETS IN w -SPACES

Won Keun Min

Department of Mathematics
Kangwon National University
Chuncheon, 200-701, KOREA

Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notions of gW -continuous, gW^* -continuous, gW -irresolute, and gW^* -irresolute functions induced by gw -open sets in w -spaces, and to study characterizations and the relationships among them.

AMS Subject Classification: 54A05, 54B10, 54C10, 54D30

Key Words: w -space, generalized w -open, W -continuous, W^* -continuous, gW -continuous, gW^* -continuous, gW -irresolute, gW^* -irresolute

1. Introduction

Siwiec [16] introduced the notions of weak neighborhoods and weak base in a topological space. We introduced the weak neighborhood systems defined by using the notion of weak neighborhoods in [11]. The weak neighborhood system induces a weak neighborhood space which is independent of neighborhood spaces [4] and general topological spaces [2]. The notions of weak structure, w -space, W -continuity and W^* -continuity were investigated in [12].

Levine [5] introduced the notion of g -closed subsets in topological spaces. In fact, the set of all g -closed subsets is a kind of weak structure. In the same way, we introduced the notion of generalized w -closed set (simply, gw -closed set) [14] in weak spaces, and investigated some basic properties of such notions.

Received: November 15, 2016

Revised: December 25, 2016

Published: February 1, 2017

© 2017 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: www.acadpubl.eu

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notions of gW -continuous, gW^* -continuous, gW -irresolute, and gW^* -irresolute functions induced by gw -open sets in w -spaces, and to study characterizations and the relationships among such notions, W -continuity and W^* -continuity in w -spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a nonempty set. A subfamily w_X of the power set $P(X)$ is called a *weak structure* on X if it satisfies the following:

- (1) $\emptyset \in w_X$ and $X \in w_X$.
- (2) For $U_1, U_2 \in w_X$, $U_1 \cap U_2 \in w_X$.

Then the pair (X, w_X) is called a *w-space* on X . Then $V \in w_X$ is called a *w-open* set and the complement of a *w-open* set is a *w-closed* set. The collection of all *w-open* sets (resp., *w-closed* sets) in a *w-space* X will be denoted by $W(X)$ (resp., $WC(X)$). We set $W(x) = \{U \in W(X) : x \in U\}$.

Let S be a subset of a topological space X . The closure (resp., interior) of S will be denoted by clS (resp., $intS$). A subset S of X is called a *preopen* set [9] (resp., α -open set [15], *semi-open* [6]) if $S \subset int(cl(S))$ (resp., $S \subset int(cl(int(S)))$, $S \subset cl(int(S))$). The complement of a preopen set (resp., α -open set, *semi-open*) is called a *preclosed* set (resp., α -closed set, *semi-closed*). The family of all preopen sets (resp., α -open sets, semi-open sets) in X will be denoted by $PO(X)$ (resp., $\alpha(X)$, $SO(X)$). We know the family $\alpha(X)$ is a topology finer than the given topology on X . And a subset A of X is said to be *g-closed* [5] (resp., *gp-closed* [7], *gs-closed* [1, 3]) if $cl(A)$ (resp., $pCl(A)$, $sCl(A)$) $\subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is open in X .

Then the family τ , $GO(X)$, $g\alpha O(X)$, and $g\alpha^*O(X)$, are all weak structures on X . But $PO(X)$, $GPO(X)$ and $SO(X)$ are not weak structures on X . A subfamily m_X of the power set $P(X)$ of a nonempty set X is called a *minimal structure* on X [8] if $\emptyset \in m_X$ and $X \in m_X$. Thus clearly every weak structure is a minimal structure.

For a subset A of X , the *w-closure* of A and the *w-interior* of A are defined as follows:

- (1) $wC(A) = \cap\{F : A \subseteq F, X - F \in w_X\}$.
- (2) $wI(A) = \cup\{U : U \subseteq A, U \in w_X\}$.

Theorem 2.1 ([12]). *Let (X, w_X) be a w-space and $A \subseteq X$.*

- (1) $x \in wI(A)$ if and only if there exists an element $U \in W(x)$ such that $U \subseteq A$.
- (2) $x \in wC(A)$ if and only if $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in W(x)$.

- (3) If $A \subseteq B$, then $wI(A) \subseteq wI(B)$; $wC(A) \subseteq wC(B)$.
- (4) $wC(X - A) = X - wI(A)$; $wI(X - A) = X - wC(A)$.
- (5) If A is w -closed (resp., w -open), then $wC(A) = A$ (resp., $wI(A) = A$).

Let (X, w_X) be a w -space and $A \subseteq X$. Then A is called a *generalized w -closed set* (simply, *gw-closed set*) [14] if $wC(A) \subseteq U$, whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is w -open. Then the union of two *gw-closed sets* is a *gw-closed set*, but the intersection of two *gw-closed sets* is not always *gw-closed*. The family of all w -closed sets (resp., *gw-closed sets*, *gw-open sets*) in X will be denoted by $WC(X)$ (resp., $gWC(X)$, $gWO(X)$). We set $gW(x) = \{U \in gWO(X) : x \in U\}$. And A is called a *generalized w -open set* (simply, *gw-open set*) if $X - A$ is w -closed. Then A is *gw-open* if and only if $F \subseteq wI(A)$ whenever $F \subseteq A$ and F is w -closed. For a subset A of X , *gw-closure* of A and *gw-interior* [14] of A are defined as the following:

- (1) $gwC(A) = \cap\{F : A \subseteq F, F \text{ is gw-closed}\}$.
- (2) $gwI(A) = \cup\{U : U \subseteq A, U \text{ is gw-open}\}$.

Theorem 2.2 ([14]). *Let (X, w_X) be a w -space and $A \subseteq X$.*

- (1) *If A is gw-open (gw-closed), then $gwI(A) = A$ ($gwC(A) = A$).*
- (2) *If $A \subseteq B$, then $gwI(A) \subseteq gwI(B)$; $gwC(A) \subseteq gwC(B)$.*
- (3) *$gwC(X - A) = X - gwI(A)$; $gwI(X - A) = X - gwC(A)$.*
- (4) *$x \in gwI(A)$ iff there exists a gw-open set U containing x such that $U \subseteq A$.*
- (5) *$x \in gwC(A)$ iff $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all gw-open set V containing x .*

3. Main Results

Definition 3.1. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function in w -spaces. Then f is said to be

- (1) *gW -continuous* if for $x \in X$ and for each w -open set V containing $f(x)$, there is a *gw-open set* U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$;
- (2) *gW^* -continuous* if for every w -open set V in Y , $f^{-1}(V)$ is a *gw-open set* in X .

Obviously we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.2. *Every gW^* -continuous function is gW -continuous.*

The following example supports that the converse of the above theorem is not true in general.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and a w -structure $w = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, X\}$ in X . Then for the power set $P(X)$ of X , $GW O(X) = P(X) - \{\{b, c, d\}, \{b, d\}\}$ is the set of all gw -open sets. Consider a function $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (X, w)$ defined by $f(a) = b; f(b) = a; f(c) = d; f(d) = c$. Then f is gW -continuous. For a w -open set $\{a, c\}$, $f^{-1}(\{a, c\}) = \{b, d\}$ is not gw -open, and so f is not gW^* -continuous.

We recall that: Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function on w -spaces. Then f is said to be

- (1) W -continuous [12] if for $x \in X$ and for each w -open set V containing $f(x)$, there is a w -open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$;
- (2) W^* -continuous [12] if for every w -open set V in Y , $f^{-1}(V)$ is a w -open set in X .

Obviously, the following things are obtained:

- Theorem 3.4.** (1) Every W -continuous function is gW -continuous.
 (2) Every W^* -continuous function is gW^* -continuous.

Proof. Since every w -open set is gw -open, the things are obvious. □

The following example supports that the converses of the above theorem are not true in general.

Example 3.5. In Example 3.3: (1) For $d \in X$ and for a w -open set $V = \{b\}$ containing $f(d)$, there is no any w -open set U containing d such that $f(U) \subseteq V$. So, the gW -continuous function f is not W -continuous.

(2) Consider a function $g : (X, w) \rightarrow (X, w)$ defined by $g(a) = b; g(b) = c; g(c) = a; g(d) = d$. Then g is gW^* -continuous but not W^* -continuous.

Definition 3.6. Let $f : (X, w_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, w_\mu)$ be a function in two associated w -spaces with τ and μ . Then f is said to be

- (1) gW -irresolute if for $x \in X$ and for each gw -open set V containing $f(x)$, there is gw -open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$;
- (2) gW^* -irresolute if for every gw -open set V in Y , $f^{-1}(V)$ is gw -open in X .

- Theorem 3.7.** (1) Every gW^* -irresolute function is gW -irresolute.
 (2) Every gW -irresolute is gW -continuous.
 (3) Every gW^* -irresolute function is gW^* -continuous.

Proof. (1) Obvious.

(2) and (3) Since every w -open set is gw -open, they are obtained. □

The following example supports that the converses of the above theorem are not true in general.

Example 3.8. 1) In Example 3.3, (i) consider a function $f : (X, w) \rightarrow (X, w)$ defined by $f(a) = a; f(b) = f(c) = f(d) = b$. Then f is gW -irresolute but not gW^* -irresolute;

(ii) consider the function $g : (X, w) \rightarrow (X, w)$ defined by $g(a) = b; g(b) = c; g(c) = a; g(d) = d$. Then g is gW^* -continuous but not gW^* -irresolute.

2) Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $w_X = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ be a w -structure in X . Note that:

$$WC(X) = \{\emptyset, \{b, c, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{c\}, X\};$$

$$GWC(X) = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\};$$

$$GWO(X) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}.$$

Consider a function $h : (X, w) \rightarrow (X, w)$ defined by $h(a) = a; h(b) = c; h(c) = b; h(d) = d$. Then h is gW -continuous. But for a gw -open set $\{b\}$, $h^{-1}(\{b\}) = \{c\}$ is not gw -open, so h is not gW -irresolute.

Remark 3.9. For a function from a w -space to a w -space, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W^*\text{-continuity} & \Rightarrow & W\text{-continuity} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ gW^*\text{-continuity} & \Rightarrow & gW\text{-continuity} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ gW^*\text{-irresolute} & \Rightarrow & gW\text{-irresolute} \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.10. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function in w -spaces. Then f is gW^* -continuous if and only if for every w -closed set F in Y , $f^{-1}(F)$ is gw -closed in X .

Proof. It is obvious. □

Theorem 3.11. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function in w -spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is gW -continuous.
- (2) $f(gwC(A)) \subseteq wC(f(A))$ for $A \subseteq X$.
- (3) $gwC(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(wC(V))$ for $V \subseteq Y$.
- (4) $f^{-1}(wI(V)) \subseteq gwI(f^{-1}(V))$ for $V \subseteq Y$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let $x \in gwC(A)$. Suppose that $f(x)$ is not in $wC(f(A))$; then there exists a w -open set V containing $f(x)$ such that $V \cap f(A) = \emptyset$. By gW -continuity, there is a gW -open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$, and so $f(U) \cap f(A) = \emptyset$. Hence $U \cap A = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction to $x \in gwC(A)$. So, $f(gwC(A)) \subseteq wC(f(A))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Let $A = f^{-1}(B)$ for $B \subseteq Y$. By hypothesis, $f(gwC(A)) \subseteq wC(f(A)) = wC(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq wC(B)$. Hence, $gwC(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(wC(B))$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, it is obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let V be any w -open set containing $f(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Then by hypothesis, $x \in f^{-1}(wI(V)) \subseteq gwI(f^{-1}(V))$. So, there exists a gW -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq gwI(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. It implies that f is gW -continuous. □

Corollary 3.12. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function on w -spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is gW -continuous.
- (2) $f^{-1}(V) = gwI(f^{-1}(V))$ for every w -open set $V \in Y$.
- (3) $f^{-1}(B) = gwC(f^{-1}(B))$ for every w -closed set $B \subseteq Y$.

Proof. From (1) of Theorem 2.2, it is obvious. □

Theorem 3.13. *Let $f : (X, w_\tau) \rightarrow (Y, w_\mu)$ be a function in two associated w -spaces with τ and μ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is gW -irresolute.
- (2) $f(gwC(A)) \subseteq gwC(f(A))$ for $A \subseteq X$.
- (3) $gwC(f^{-1}(V)) \subseteq f^{-1}(gwC(V))$ for $V \subseteq Y$.
- (4) $f^{-1}(gwI(V)) \subseteq gwI(f^{-1}(V))$ for $V \subseteq Y$

Proof. Since the family of all gW -open sets is a weak structure in X , it is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.11. □

Let (X, w) be a w -space. Let $gW(x)$ (resp., $W(x)$) denote the set of all gW -open (resp., w -open) set containing x in X . A collection \mathcal{H} of subsets of X is called an m -family [10] on X if $\cap \mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$. Let \mathcal{H} be an m -family on X . Then we say that an m -family \mathcal{H} gW -converges (resp., w -converges) to $x \in X$ if \mathcal{H} is finer than $gW(x)$ (resp., $W(x)$) i.e., $gW(x) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ (resp., $W(x) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function; then it is obvious $f(\mathcal{H}) = \{f(F) : F \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is an m -family on Y .

Theorem 3.14. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function in w -spaces. If f is gW -continuous, then for an m -family \mathcal{H} gw -converging to $x \in X$, an m -family $\langle f(\mathcal{H}) \rangle = \{F : H \subseteq F \text{ for } H \in f(\mathcal{H})\}$ w -converges to $f(x)$.*

Proof. Let f be gW -continuous and let \mathcal{H} be an m -family gw -converging to $x \in X$. By gW -continuity, for a w -open set V containing $f(x)$, there exists a gw -open set U containing x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$. Since $f(gW(x)) \subseteq f(\mathcal{H})$, $V \in \langle f(\mathcal{H}) \rangle$, and so $W(f(x)) \subseteq \langle f(\mathcal{H}) \rangle$. Hence the m -family $\langle f(\mathcal{H}) \rangle$ w -converges to $f(x)$. \square

Corollary 3.15. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function on w -spaces. Then if f is gW^* -continuous, then for an m -family \mathcal{H} gw -converging to $x \in X$, the m -family $\langle f(\mathcal{H}) \rangle$ w -converges to $f(x)$.*

Proof. Since every gW^* -continuous function is gW -continuous, it is obtained obviously. \square

Corollary 3.16. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function on w -spaces. Then if f is W^* -continuous, then for an m -family \mathcal{H} w -converging to $x \in X$, the m -family $\langle f(\mathcal{H}) \rangle$ w -converges to $f(x)$.*

Proof. Since every W^* -continuous function is gW^* -continuous, from the above corollary, it is obtained obviously. \square

Theorem 3.17. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective function on w -spaces. Then f is gW^* -continuous iff for an m -family \mathcal{H} gw -converging to $x \in X$, the m -family $f(\mathcal{H})$ w -converges to $f(x)$.*

Proof. Suppose f is gW^* -continuous and \mathcal{H} is an m -family gw -converging to $x \in X$. By hypothesis and surjectivity, $W(f(x)) \subseteq f(gW(x)) \subseteq f(\mathcal{H})$, and so the m -family $f(\mathcal{H})$ w -converges to $f(x)$.

For the converse, let $U \in W(f(x))$ for $U \subseteq Y$. Since the family $gW(x)$ clearly gw -converges to x , by hypothesis, we get $W(f(x)) \subseteq f(gW(x))$ for $x \in X$. Since f is injectivity, $f^{-1}(U) \in gW(x)$. \square

Corollary 3.18. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a bijective function on w -spaces. Then f is W^* -continuous iff for an m -family \mathcal{H} w -converging to $x \in X$, the m -family $f(\mathcal{H})$ w -converges to $f(x)$.*

4. Acknowledgments

This study was supported by 2016 Research Grant from Kangwon National University (No. D1000625-01-01).

References

- [1] P. Bhattacharyya and B. K. Lahiri; Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, *Indian J. Math.*, 29(3) (1987), 375-382.
- [2] Á. Császár; Generalized Topology, Generalized Continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 96 (2002), 351-357.
- [3] J. Dontchev and H. Maki; On sg-closed sets and semi- λ -closed sets, Q & A in General Topology, 15(1997), 259-266.
- [4] D. C. Kent and W. K. Min; Neighborhood Spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 32(7) (2002), 387-399.
- [5] N. Levine; Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Cir. Mat. Palermo*, 19(1970), 89-96.
- [6] N. Levine; Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *Ams. Math. Monthly*, 70(1963), 36-41.
- [7] H. Maki, J. Umehara and T. Noiri; Every topological space is pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A*, 17(1996), 33-42.
- [8] H. Maki; On generalizing semi-open and preopen sets, Report for Meeting on Topological Spaces Theory and its Applications, August 1996, Yatsushiro College of Technology, 13-18.
- [9] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb; On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, *Proc. Math. and Phys. Soc. Egypt*, 53 (1982), 47-53.
- [10] W. K. Min; Some Results on Generalized Topological Spaces and Generalized Systems, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 108 (1-2) (2005), 171-181.
- [11] W. K. Min; On Weak Neighborhood Systems and Spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 121(3) (2008), 283-292.
- [12] W. K. Min and Y. K. Kim; On Weak Structures and w -spaces, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 97(5) (2015), 549-561.
- [13] W. K. Min and Y. K. Kim; On Product Weak Structures and Product w -Spaces, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 9(35) (2015), 1715-1721.
- [14] W. K. Min and Y. K. Kim; On generalized w -closed sets in w -spaces, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 110(2) (2016), 327-335.
- [15] O. Njastad; On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 15(3)(1964), 961-970.
- [16] F. Siwiec; On Defining a Space by a Weak Base, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 52(1) (1974), 351-357.