

***L*-FUZZY  $(K, E)$ -SOFT PRE-PROXIMITIES  
INDUCED BY *L*-FUZZY  $(K, E)$ -SOFT  
QUASI-UNIFORM SPACES**

Ju-Mok Oh<sup>1</sup>, A.A.Ramadan<sup>2</sup>, Yong Chan Kim<sup>3 §</sup>

<sup>1,3</sup>Department of Mathematics

Gangneung-Wonju University

Gangneung, Gangwondo 25457, KOREA

<sup>2</sup>Mathematics Department

Faculty of Science

Beni-Suef University

Beni-Suef, EGYPT

---

**Abstract:** In a strictly two-sided commutative quantale having an order reversing involution, we introduce the notion of *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity spaces and *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniform spaces. We investigate their properties. In particular, an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniformity induces an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity. We give their examples.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 03E72, 06A15, 06F07, 54A05.

**Key Words:** Strictly two-sided commutative quantale, *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniform spaces,  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity spaces.

---

## 1. Introduction

In 1999 Molodtsov [14] initiated the theory of soft sets as a new mathematical tool to deal with uncertainties while modeling problems in engineering physics, computer science, economics, social sciences and medical sciences. In [15], Molodtsov applied successfully in directions such as, smoothness of func-

---

Received: January 20, 2017

Revised: March 3, 2017

Published: March 28, 2017

© 2017 Academic Publications, Ltd.

url: [www.acadpubl.eu](http://www.acadpubl.eu)

§Correspondence author

tions, game theory, operations research, Riemann-integration, Perron integration, probability and theory of measurement.

Maji et al. [12,13] gave the first practical application of soft sets in decision making problems. In 2003, Maji et al. [13] defined and studied several basic notions of soft set theory. Many researchers have contributed towards the algebraic structure of soft set theory [1-3,7]. In 2011, Shabir and Naz [19] initiated the study of soft topological spaces. They defined soft topology on the collection of soft sets over  $X$  and established their several properties. Aygünoglu et.al [4] introduced the concept of soft topology in the sense of Šostak [10]. Cetkin et.al [5] studied soft proximities and discuss their properties.

Hájek [8] introduced a complete residuated lattice which is an algebraic structure for many valued logic and decision rules in complete residuated lattices. Höhle [9,10] introduced  $L$ -fuzzy topologies with algebraic structure  $L$ ( $cqm$ , quantales,  $MV$ -algebra). It has developed in many directions [17-19].

The notion of an  $L$ -fuzzy pre-proximity spaces,  $L$  is a strictly two sided commutative quantale lattice having a strong negation was introduced by Kim et al. [11]. Lather, Ramadan et al. [17,18] define the the concept of  $L$ - fuzzy soft topogenous orders,  $L$ - fuzzy soft uniform spaces,  $L$ - fuzzy soft topological spaces in strictly two sided commutative quantales and investigated the relation between them.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the notion of  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity spaces and  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniform spaces. We investigate their properties. In particular, an  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniformity induces an  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity. We give their examples.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$  be a completely distributive lattice with the least element 0 and the greatest element 1 in  $L$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [9-11] A complete lattice  $(L, \leq, \odot)$  is called a strictly two-sided commutative quantale (stsc-quantale, for short) iff it satisfies the following properties.

(L1)  $(L, \odot)$  is a commutative semigroup,

(L2)  $x = x \odot 1$ , for each  $x \in L$  and 1 is the universal upper bound,

(L3)  $\odot$  is distributive over arbitrary joins, i.e.  $(\bigvee_i x_i) \odot y = \bigvee_i (x_i \odot y)$ .

There exists a further binary operation  $\rightarrow$  (called the implication operator or residuated) satisfying the following condition

$$x \rightarrow y = \bigvee \{z \in L \mid x \odot z \leq y\}.$$

Then it satisfies Galois correspondence; i.e,  $(x \odot z) \leq y$  iff  $z \leq (x \rightarrow y)$ .

In this paper, we always assume that  $(L, \leq, \odot, \rightarrow, \oplus, *)$  is a stsc-quantales with an order reversing involution  $*$  which is defined by

$$x \oplus y = (x^* \odot y^*)^*, \quad x^* = x \rightarrow 0$$

unless otherwise specified.

**Remark 2.2.** Every completely distributive lattice  $(L, \leq, \wedge, \vee, *)$  with order reversing involution  $*$  is a stsc-quantale  $(L, \leq, \odot, \oplus, *)$  with a strong negation  $*$  where  $\odot = \wedge$  and  $\oplus = \vee$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [9-11] For each  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, w \in L$ , we have the following properties.

- (1)  $1 \rightarrow x = x, 0 \odot x = 0,$
- (2) If  $y \leq z$ , then  $x \odot y \leq x \odot z, x \oplus y \leq x \oplus z, x \rightarrow y \leq x \rightarrow z$  and  $z \rightarrow x \leq y \rightarrow x,$
- (3)  $x \leq y$  iff  $x \rightarrow y = 1.$
- (4)  $(\bigwedge_i y_i)^* = \bigvee_i y_i^*, (\bigvee_i y_i)^* = \bigwedge_i y_i^*,$
- (5)  $x \rightarrow (\bigwedge_i y_i) = \bigwedge_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$
- (6)  $(\bigvee_i x_i) \rightarrow y = \bigwedge_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$
- (7)  $x \rightarrow (\bigvee_i y_i) \geq \bigvee_i (x \rightarrow y_i),$
- (8)  $(\bigwedge_i x_i) \rightarrow y \geq \bigvee_i (x_i \rightarrow y),$
- (9)  $(x \odot y) \rightarrow z = x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$
- (10)  $x \odot y = (x \rightarrow y^*)^*$  and  $x \oplus y = x^* \rightarrow y,$
- (11)  $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot w),$
- (12)  $x \rightarrow y \leq (x \odot z) \rightarrow (y \odot z)$  and  $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (y \rightarrow z) \leq x \rightarrow z,$
- (13)  $(x \rightarrow y) \odot (z \rightarrow w) \leq (x \oplus z) \rightarrow (y \oplus w).$
- (14)  $x \rightarrow y = y^* \rightarrow x^*.$
- (15)  $(x \vee y) \odot (z \vee w) \leq (x \vee z) \vee (y \odot w) \leq (x \oplus z) \vee (y \odot w).$
- (16)  $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i)$  and  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i \rightarrow \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i \geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \rightarrow y_i),$
- (17)  $(x \odot y) \odot (z \oplus w) \leq (x \odot z) \oplus (y \odot w).$

Throughout this paper,  $X$  refers to an initial universe,  $E$  and  $K$  are the sets of all parameters for  $X$ , and  $L^X$  is the set of all  $L$ -fuzzy sets on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.4.** [4] A map  $f$  is called an  $L$ - fuzzy soft set on  $X$ , where  $f$  is a mapping from  $E$  into  $L^X$ , i.e.,  $f_e := f(e)$  is an  $L$ - fuzzy set on  $X$ , for each  $e \in E$ . The family of all  $L$ - fuzzy soft sets on  $X$  is denoted by  $(L^X)^E$ . Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two  $L$ - fuzzy soft sets on  $X$ .

(1)  $f$  is an  $L$ -fuzzy soft subset of  $g$  and we write  $f \sqsubseteq g$  if  $f_e \leq g_e$ , for each  $e \in E$ .  $f$  and  $g$  are equal if  $f \sqsubseteq g$  and  $g \sqsubseteq f$ .

(2) The intersection of  $f$  and  $g$  is an  $L$ - fuzzy soft set  $h = f \sqcap g$ , where  $h_e = f_e \wedge g_e$ , for each  $e \in E$ .

(3) The union of  $f$  and  $g$  is an  $L$ - fuzzy soft set  $h = f \sqcup g$ , where  $h_e = f_e \vee g_e$ , for each  $e \in E$ .

(4) An  $L$ - fuzzy soft set  $h = f \odot g$  is defined as  $h_e = f_e \odot g_e$ , for each  $e \in E$ .

(5) An  $L$ - fuzzy soft set  $h = f \oplus g$  is defined as  $h_e = f_e \oplus g_e$ , for each  $e \in E$ .

(6) The complement of an  $L$ - fuzzy soft sets on  $X$  is denoted by  $f^*$ , where  $f^* : E \rightarrow L^X$  is a mapping given by  $f_e^* = (f_e)^*$ , for each  $e \in E$ .

(7)  $f$  is called a null  $L$ - fuzzy soft set and is denoted by  $0_X$  , if  $f_e(x) = 0$ , for each  $e \in E$  ,  $x \in X$ .

(8)  $f$  is called an absolute  $L$ - fuzzy soft set and is denoted by  $1_X$  , if  $f_e(x) = 1$ , for each  $e \in E$  ,  $x \in X$  and  $(1_x)_e(x) = 1$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [4] Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\psi : E \rightarrow K$  be two mappings, where  $E$  and  $K$  are parameters sets for the crisp sets  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Then  $\varphi_\psi : (X, E) \rightarrow (Y, K)$  is called a fuzzy soft mapping. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two fuzzy soft sets over  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively and let  $\varphi_\psi$  be a fuzzy soft mapping from  $(X, E)$  into  $(Y, K)$ .

(1) The image of  $f$  under the fuzzy soft mapping  $\varphi_\psi$ , denoted by  $\varphi_\psi(f)$  is the fuzzy soft set on  $Y$  defined by

$$\varphi(f)_k(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{\varphi(x)=y} \left( \bigvee_{\psi(e)=k} f_e(x) \right), & \text{if } x \in \varphi^{-1}(y) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$\forall k \in K, \forall y \in Y$ .

(2) The pre-image of  $g$  under the fuzzy soft mapping  $\varphi_\psi$ , denoted by  $\varphi_\psi^{-1}(g)$  is the fuzzy soft set on  $X$  defined by

$$\varphi_\psi^{-1}(g)_e(x) = g_{\psi(e)}(\varphi(x)), \forall e \in E, \forall x \in X.$$

**Definition 2.6.** [16] An  $L$ - fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniformity is a mapping  $\mathcal{U} : K \rightarrow L^{(L^{X \times X})^E}$  which satisfies the following conditions .

(SU1) There exists  $u \in (L^{X \times X})^E$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_k(u) = 1$ .

(SU2) If  $v \sqsubseteq u$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_k(v) \leq \mathcal{U}_k(u)$ .

(SU3) For every  $u, v \in (L^{X \times X})^E$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_k(u \odot v) \geq \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot \mathcal{U}_k(v)$ .

(SU4) If  $\mathcal{U}_k(u) \neq 0$  then  $\top_\Delta \sqsubseteq u$  where, for each  $e \in E$ ,

$$(\top_\Delta)_e(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

The pair  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is called an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniform space.

An *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniformity is an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft quasi-uniformity if

$$(UQ) \quad \mathcal{U}_k(u) \leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \mathcal{U}_k(w) \mid v \circ w \sqsubseteq u \}, \text{ where}$$

$$v \circ w_e(x, z) = \bigvee_{y \in X} v_e(x, y) \odot w_e(y, z),$$

An *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft quasi-uniform space  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is said to be an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft uniform space if

$$(U) \quad \mathcal{U}_k(u) \leq \mathcal{U}_k(u^{-1}), \text{ where } (u^{-1})_e(x, y) = u_e(y, x) \text{ for each } k \in K \text{ and } u \in (L^{X \times X})^E.$$

Let  $(X, \mathcal{U}^1)$  be an *L*-fuzzy  $(K_1, E_1)$ -soft pre-uniform space and  $(Y, \mathcal{U}^2)$  be an *L*-fuzzy  $(K_2, E_2)$ -soft pre-uniform space. Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and  $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  be mappings. Then  $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}$  from  $(X, \mathcal{U}^1)$  into  $(Y, \mathcal{U}^2)$  is called *L*-fuzzy soft uniformly continuous if

$$\mathcal{U}_{\eta(k)}^2(v) \leq \mathcal{U}_k^1((\varphi \times \varphi)_{\psi}^{-1}(v)) \quad \forall v \in (L^{Y \times Y})^{E_2}, k \in K_1.$$

**Remark 2.7.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft uniform space.

(1) By (SU1) and (SU2), we have  $\mathcal{U}_k(1_{X \times X}) = 1$  because  $u \sqsubseteq 1_{X \times X}$  for all  $u \in (L^{X \times X})^E$ .

(2) Since  $\mathcal{U}_k(u) \leq \mathcal{U}_k(u^{-1}) \leq \mathcal{U}_k((u^{-1})^{-1}) = \mathcal{U}_k(u)$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_k(u) = \mathcal{U}_k(u^{-1})$ .

### 3. *L*-fuzzy $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximities induced by *L*-fuzzy $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniformities

**Definition 3.1.** A mapping  $\delta : K \rightarrow L^{(L^X)^E \times (L^X)^E}$  ( $\delta_k = \delta(k) : (L^X)^E \times (L^X)^E \rightarrow L$ ) is called an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity on  $X$  if it satisfies the following axioms.

$$(SP1) \quad \delta_k(0_X, 1_X) = \delta_k(1_X, 0_X) = 0.$$

$$(SP2) \quad \text{If } \delta_k(f, g) \neq 1, \text{ then } f \sqsubseteq g^*.$$

$$(SP3) \quad \text{If } f_1 \sqsubseteq f_2 \text{ and } g_1 \sqsubseteq g_2, \text{ then } \delta_k(f_1, g_1) \leq \delta_k(f_2, g_2).$$

$$(SP4) \quad \delta_k(f_1 \odot f_2, g_1 \oplus g_2) \leq \delta_k(f_1, g_1) \oplus \delta_k(f_2, g_2).$$

The pair  $(X, \delta)$  is called an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity space.

An *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity is called an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft quasi-proximity on  $X$  if

$$(PQ) \quad \delta_k(f, g) \geq \bigwedge_h \{ \delta_k(f, h) \oplus \delta_k(h^*, g) \}.$$

An  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft quasi-proximity is called an  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft proximity on  $X$  if

$$(SP) \quad \delta_k(f, g) = \delta_k(g, f).$$

Let  $(X, \delta^1)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K_1, E_1)$ -soft quasi proximity space and  $(Y, \delta^2)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K_2, E_2)$ -soft pre-proximity space. Let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and  $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  be mappings. Then  $\varphi_{\psi, \eta}$  from  $(X, \delta^1)$  into  $(Y, \delta^2)$  is called  $L$ -fuzzy soft proximally continuous if

$$\delta_k^1(f, g) \leq \delta_{\eta(k)}^2(\varphi_{\psi}(f), \varphi_{\psi}(g)) \quad \forall f, g \in (L^X)^{E_1}, k \in K_1.$$

or equivalently, 
$$\delta_k^1(\varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(f), \varphi_{\psi}^{-1}(g)) \leq \delta_{\eta(k)}^2(f, g) \quad \forall f, g \in (L^Y)^{E_2}, k \in K_1.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-uniform space. Define a mapping  $\delta^{\mathcal{U}} : K \rightarrow L^{(L^X)^E \times (L^X)^E}$  by

$$\delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f, g) = \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(u) \mid u[f] \sqsubseteq g^* \},$$

where  $u_e[f_e](x) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (f_e(y) \odot u_e(y, x))$ ,  $\forall x \in X, \forall e \in E, \forall u \in (L^{X \times X})^E$  and  $f \in (L^X)^E$ . Then we have the following properties.

(1)  $\delta^{\mathcal{U}}$  is an  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity space.

(2) If  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  is an  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft quasi-uniform space, then  $\delta^{\mathcal{U}}$  is  $L$ -fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft quasi-proximity space.

**Proof.** (1) (SP1) Since  $u[0_X] = 0_X$  and  $u[1_X] = 1_X$  for  $\mathcal{U}_k(u) = 1$ , we have  $\delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(0_X, 1_X) = 0$  and  $\delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(0_X, 1_X) = 0$ .

(SP2) Let  $f \not\sqsubseteq g^*$  be given. Since  $f \sqsubseteq u[f]$  for all  $\mathcal{U}_k(u) > 0$ , we have  $u[f] \not\sqsubseteq g^*$ . By the definition of  $\delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}$ , we have  $\delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f, g) = 1$ .

(SP3) Easily proved.

(SP4) First we show that  $(u \odot v)[f \odot g] \sqsubseteq u[f] \odot v[g]$ , from:

$$\begin{aligned} & u_e[f_e](x) \odot v_e[g_e](x) \\ &= \left( \bigvee_{y \in X} (f_e(y) \odot u_e(y, x)) \right) \odot \left( \bigvee_{z \in X} (g_e(z) \odot v_e(z, x)) \right) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{y \in X} \left( f_e(y) \odot u_e(y, x) \right) \odot \left( g_e(y) \odot v_e(y, x) \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in X} \left( (f_e \odot g_e)(y) \odot (u_e \odot v_e)(y, x) \right) \\ &= (u_e \odot v_e)[f_e \odot g_e](x). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f_1, g_1) \oplus \delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f_2, g_2) \\
 &= \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(u) \mid u[f_1] \sqsubseteq g_1^* \} \oplus \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(v) \mid v[f_2] \sqsubseteq g_2^* \} \\
 &= \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(u) \oplus \mathcal{U}_k^*(v) \mid u[f_1] \sqsubseteq g_1^*, v[f_2] \sqsubseteq g_2^* \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(u) \oplus \mathcal{U}_k^*(v) \mid u[f_1] \odot v[f_2] \sqsubseteq g_1^* \odot g_2^* \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(u \odot v) \mid (u \odot v)[f_1 \odot f_2] \sqsubseteq (g_1 \oplus g_2)^* \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(w) \mid w[f_1 \odot f_2] \sqsubseteq (g_1 \oplus g_2)^* \} \\
 &= \delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f_1 \odot f_2, g_1 \oplus g_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\delta^{\mathcal{U}}$  is an *L*-fuzzy  $(K, E)$ -soft pre-proximity space.

(2) (PQ) For each  $u \in (L^{X \times X})^E$  such that  $u[f] \sqsubseteq g^*$ , by (UQ), we have

$$\mathcal{U}_k(u) \leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}_k(v) \odot \mathcal{U}_k(w) \mid v \circ w \sqsubseteq u \}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f, g) &= \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(u) \mid u[f] \sqsubseteq g^* \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(v) \oplus \mathcal{U}_k^*(w) \mid v[w[f]] \sqsubseteq g^* \} \\
 &= \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(v) \oplus \mathcal{U}_k^*(w) \mid w[f] = (w[f]^*)^*, v[w[f]] \sqsubseteq g^* \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{h \in L^X} \{ \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(v) \oplus \mathcal{U}_k^*(w) \mid w[f] \sqsubseteq h^*, v[h^*] \sqsubseteq g^* \} \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge_{h \in L^X} \{ \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(w) \mid w[f] \sqsubseteq h^* \} \oplus \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(v) \mid v[h^*] \sqsubseteq g^* \} \} \\
 &= \bigwedge_{h \in L^X} (\delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f, h) \oplus \delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(h^*, g)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f, g) = \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(u) \mid u[f] \sqsubseteq g^* \} \geq \bigwedge_{h \in L^X} (\delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(f, h) \oplus \delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(h^*, g))$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  be an *L*-fuzzy  $(K_1, E_1)$ -soft quasi-uniform space and  $(Y, \mathcal{V})$  be an *L*-fuzzy  $(K_2, E_2)$ -soft quasi-uniform space,  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  and  $\eta : K_1 \rightarrow K_2$  are functions. If  $\phi_{\psi, \eta}$  from  $(X, \mathcal{U})$  into  $(X, \mathcal{V})$  is *L*-fuzzy soft uniformly continuous, then  $\phi_{\psi, \eta} : (X, \delta^{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, \delta^{\mathcal{V}})$  is *L*-fuzzy soft proximally continuous.

**Proof.** Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\phi \times \phi)_{\psi}^{-1}(v)_e [(\phi_{\psi}^{-1}(f))_e](x) \\
 &= \bigvee_{z \in X} \phi_{\psi}^{-1}(f)_e(z) \odot (\phi \times \phi)_{\psi}^{-1}(v_e)(z, x) \\
 &= \bigvee_{z \in X} f_{\psi(e)}(\phi(z)) \odot v_{\psi(e)}(\phi(z), \phi(x)) \\
 &\leq \bigvee_{y \in Y} f_{\psi(e)}(y) \odot v_{\psi(e)}(y, \phi(x)) \\
 &= \phi_{\psi}^{-1}(v_{\psi(e)}[f_{\psi(e)}])(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta_{\eta(k)}^{\mathcal{V}}(f, h) &= \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{V}_{\eta(k)}^*(v) \mid v[f] \leq h^* \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*((\phi \times \phi)_{\psi}^{-1}(v)) \mid \phi_{\psi}^{-1}(v)[f] \leq \phi_{\psi}^{-1}(h)^* \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*((\phi \times \phi)_{\psi}^{-1}(v)) \mid (\phi \times \phi)_{\psi}^{-1}(v)[\phi_{\psi}^{-1}(f)] \leq \phi_{\psi}^{-1}(h)^* \} \\
 &\geq \bigwedge \{ \mathcal{U}_k^*(u) \mid u[\phi_{\psi}^{-1}(f)] \leq \phi_{\psi}^{-1}(h)^* \} \\
 &= \delta_k^{\mathcal{U}}(\phi_{\psi}^{-1}(f), \phi_{\psi}^{-1}(h)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\phi_{\psi, \eta} : (X, \delta^{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, \delta^{\mathcal{V}})$  is  $L$ -fuzzy soft proximally continuous.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = \{h_i \mid i = \{1, 2, 3\}\}$  with  $h_i$ =house and  $E = \{e, b\}$  with  $e$ =expensive,  $b$ = beautiful. Define a binary operation  $\odot$  on  $[0, 1]$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \odot y &= \max\{0, x + y - 1\}, \quad x \rightarrow y = \min\{1 - x + y, 1\} \\
 x \oplus y &= \min\{1, x + y\}, \quad x^* = 1 - x
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $([0, 1], \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  is a stsc-quantale.

(1) Put  $v, v \odot v, w \in ([0, 1]^{X \times X})^E$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_e &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.6 & 0.5 \\ 0.3 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & v_b &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\ 0.7 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.6 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 (v \odot v)_e &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & (v \odot v)_b &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0 \\ 0.2 & 0.2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 w_e &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.4 & 0.5 \\ 0.4 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & v_b &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.5 & 0.3 \\ 0.3 & 1 & 0.5 \\ 0.2 & 0.3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

We define  $\mathcal{U} : E \rightarrow [0, 1]^{([0, 1]^{X \times X})^E}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{U}_e(u) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = 1_{Y \times Y} \\ 0.6, & \text{if } v \sqsubseteq u \neq 1_{Y \times Y}, \\ 0.3, & \text{if } v \odot v \sqsubseteq u \not\sqsubseteq v, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
 \mathcal{U}_b(u) &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = 1_{Y \times Y} \\ 0.5, & \text{if } w \sqsubseteq u \neq 1_{Y \times Y}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$



Since  $v \circ v = v$ ,  $w \circ w = w$  and  $(v \odot v) \circ (v \odot v) = (v \odot v)$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$  is not a  $[0, 1]$ -fuzzy  $(E, E)$ -soft quasi-uniformity on  $X$  because

$$0.6 = \mathcal{U}_k(v) \not\leq \bigvee \{ \mathcal{U}_k(u) \odot \mathcal{U}_k(w) \mid u \circ w \sqsubseteq v \} = 0.2.$$

From Theorem 3.2, we obtain a  $[0, 1]$ -fuzzy  $(E, E)$ -soft pre-proximity  $\delta^{\mathcal{U}} : E \rightarrow [0, 1]^{([0,1]^X)^E \times ([0,1]^X)^E}$  as follows

$$\delta_e^{\mathcal{U}}(f, g) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } [1_{X \times X}](f) \sqsubseteq g^* \not\supseteq v[f], \\ 0.4, & \text{if } v[f] \sqsubseteq g^* \not\supseteq (v \odot v)[f], \\ 0.7, & \text{if } (v \odot v)[f] \sqsubseteq g^* \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_b^{\mathcal{U}}(f, g) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } [1_{X \times X}](f) \sqsubseteq g^* \not\supseteq w[f], \\ 0.5, & \text{if } w[f] \sqsubseteq g^*, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

But it is not a  $[0, 1]$ -fuzzy  $(E, E)$  soft quasi-proximity because, for each  $0_X \neq f \in ([0, 1]^X)^E$  with  $w[f] \leq g^* \neq 1_X$ ,  $\delta_b^{\mathcal{U}}(f, h) \neq 0$  and  $\delta_b^{\mathcal{U}}(h^*, g) \neq 0$  imply

$$0.5 = \delta_b^{\mathcal{U}}(f, g) \not\leq \bigwedge_{h \in ([0,1]^X)^E} (\delta_b^{\mathcal{U}}(f, h) \oplus \delta_b^{\mathcal{U}}(h^*, g)).$$

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Research Fund of Gangneung-Wonju National University in 2016.

### References

- [1] H. Aktas, N. Cagman, Soft sets and soft groups, *Information Sciences*, **177** (2007) 2726-2735.
- [2] M.I. Ali, F. Feng, X.Y. Liu, W.K. Min, M. Shabir, On some new operations in soft set theory, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **57** (2009) 1547-2553.
- [3] A. Aygünoglu, H. Aygün, Introduction to fuzzy soft groups, *Computers and Mathematics with Appl.*, **58**(2009) 1279-1286.
- [4] A. Aygünoglu, V. Cetkin, H. Aygün, An introduction to fuzzy soft topological spaces, *Hacettepe Journal of Math. and Stat.*, **43**(2)(2014), 193-204

- [5] V. Cetkin, Alexander P. Šostak, H. Aygün, An Approach to the Concept of Soft fuzzy Proximity, *Abstract and Applied Analysis Stat.*, (2014), **doi:** 10.1155/2014/782583.
- [6] V. Cetkin, H. Aygün, On fuzzy Soft topogenous structure, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **27**, 1 (2014) 247-255, **doi:** 10.3233/IFS-130993.
- [7] F. Feng, Y.B. Jun, X. Liu, L. Li, An adjustable approach to fuzzy soft set based decision making, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **234** (2010) 10-20.
- [8] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1998) **doi:** 10.1007/978-94-011-5300-3.
- [9] U. Höhle, E.P. Klement, *Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, (1995)
- [10] U. Höhle, S.E. Rodabaugh, *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory*, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, (1999) **doi:** 10.1007/978-1-4615-5079-2.
- [11] Y.C. Kim and K.C. Min, *L-fuzzy proximities and L-fuzzy topologies*, *Information Sciences*, **173** (2005) 93-113.
- [12] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, R. Roy, An application of soft sets in a decision making problem, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **44** (2002) 1077-1083.
- [13] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, R. Roy, Soft set theory, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **45** (2003) 555-562.
- [14] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory first results, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **37** (1999) 19-31.
- [15] D. Molodtsov, V.Y. Leonov, D.V. Kovkov, Soft sets technique and its application, *Nechetkie Sistemy i Myagkie Vychisleniya*, 1 (1) (2006) 8-19.
- [16] Ju-Mok Oh, Y.C.Kim, A.A. Ramadan, *L- fuzzy (K, E)-soft quasi uniform spaces and L-fuzzy (K, E)-soft topogenous spaces*, *Int. Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* , **108**(4) (2016) 831-847, **doi:** 10.12732/ijpam.v108i4.9. .
- [17] A.A. Ramadan, Y.C.Kim and M.K.El-Gayyar, On fuzzy preproximity spaces, *The Journal of Fuzzy mathematics*, **11**(2) (2003) 355-378.
- [18] A.A. Ramadan, E.H. Elkordy, Y.C. Kim , Perfect L-fuzzy topogenous space, L-fuzzy quasi-proximities and L-fuzzy quasi-uniform spaces, *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, **28**(6) (2015) 2591-2604.
- [19] M. Shabir, M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, *Computers and Mathematics with Appl.*, **61** (2011) 1786-1799, **doi:**10.1016/j.camwa.2011.02.006.