

INFINITELY MANY POSITIVE SOLUTIONS
OF A NONLINEAR THREE-POINT
BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

Jian-Ping Sun^{1 §}, Fu-Chun Xi²

^{1,2}Department of Applied Mathematics
Lanzhou University of Technology
Lanzhou, Gansu, 730050, P.R. CHINA

¹e-mail: jpsun@lut.cn

Abstract: In this paper, existence criteria for infinitely many positive solutions of the nonlinear three-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} u''(t) + a(t)u'(t) + b(t)u(t) + h(t)f(u) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ u(0) = 0, & u(1) = \alpha u(\eta) \end{cases}$$

are established by using the Krasnosel'skii's Fixed Point Theorem for operators on cone.

AMS Subject Classification: 34B15

Key Words: multi-point boundary value problem, positive solution, fixed point, cone

1. Introduction

Recently, the study of the existence of positive solutions for multi-point boundary value problems has evolved rapidly [3], 2–8. In particular, Ma and Wang [9], and Sun et al [10] considered the existence of one or three positive solutions for more general three-point boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + a(t)u'(t) + b(t)u(t) + h(t)f(u) = 0, \quad t \in (0, 1), \quad (1.1)$$

Received: August 3, 2004

© 2004 Academic Publications

[§]Correspondence author

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \alpha u(\eta), \quad 0 < \eta < 1 \quad (1.2)$$

under the suitable conditions, where:

(H1) $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty))$.

(H2) $h \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$ and there exists $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $h(x_0) > 0$.

(H3) $a \in C[0, 1], b \in C([0, 1], (-\infty, 0))$.

(H4) $0 < \alpha \phi_1(\eta) < 1$, here ϕ_1 is the unique solution of the linear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \phi_1''(t) + a(t)\phi_1'(t) + b(t)\phi_1(t) = 0, t \in (0, 1), \\ \phi_1(0) = 0, \phi_1(1) = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Their main tool is Krasnosel'skii's Fixed Point Theorem (see [2, 1]) or Leggett-Williams' Fixed Point Theorem (see [6]).

In this paper we will continue to consider the existence of infinitely many positive solutions for the above boundary value problem and our main tool is the following well-known fixed point theorem, which is due to Krasnosel'skii and Guo.

Theorem 1.1. *Let E be a Banach space, and P be a cone in E . Assume Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of E with $0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let*

$$A : P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow P$$

be a completely continuous operator such that either:

$$(i) \quad \|Au\| \leq \|u\|, \forall u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1 \text{ and } \|Au\| \geq \|u\|, \forall u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2,$$

or

$$(ii) \quad \|Au\| \geq \|u\|, \forall u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_1 \text{ and } \|Au\| \leq \|u\|, \forall u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_2.$$

Then A has a fixed point in $P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

2. Main Results

To state the main result of this paper, we need the following lemma, which was established by Ma and Wang, see [9].

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that (H3) holds. Let ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 be the solutions of equation (1.3) and*

$$\begin{cases} \phi_2''(t) + a(t)\phi_2'(t) + b(t)\phi_2(t) = 0, & t \in (0, 1), \\ \phi_2(0) = 1, \quad \phi_2(1) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

Then:

(i) ϕ_1 is strictly increasing on $[0, 1]$;

(ii) ϕ_2 is strictly decreasing on $[0, 1]$.

In view of (H2), $h \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$ and there exists $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $h(x_0) > 0$, and hence we may assume that $x_0 \in (0, 1)$. Take $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that $x_0 \in (\delta, 1 - \delta)$.

For convenience, we let

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{\phi_1'(0)} \begin{cases} \phi_1(t)\phi_2(s), & s \geq t, \\ \phi_1(s)\phi_2(t), & s \leq t, \end{cases}$$

$D =$

$$\left[\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s)p(s)h(s)ds + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)ds \right]^{-1},$$

and

$$C = [1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)] \left[\int_\delta^{1-\delta} G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)ds \right]^{-1},$$

where $p(t) = \exp\left(\int_0^t a(s)ds\right)$.

For the function $G(t, s)$, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that

$$0 \leq G(t, s) \leq G(s, s), \quad (t, s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$G(t, s) \geq \gamma G(s, s), \quad (t, s) \in [\delta, 1 - \delta] \times [0, 1], \quad (2.3)$$

where $\gamma = \min \{\phi_1(\delta), \phi_2(1 - \delta)\}$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that (H1)-(H4) hold and that there exist two positive sequences $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$a_{k+1} < b_k < a_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then the boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2) has infinitely many positive solutions if the following conditions hold:

$$f(u) \leq Da_k, \quad u \in [0, a_k], k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$f(u) \geq Cb_k, \quad u \in [\gamma b_k, b_k], k = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Let E be the set $C[0, 1]$ of all real continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ endowed with the usual linear structure and the maximum norm. Set

$$P = \left\{ u \in E : u(t) \geq 0, t \in [0, 1], \min_{t \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]} u(t) \geq \gamma \|u\| \right\}.$$

Then it is easily seen that P is a cone in E . For $u \in P$, define

$$\begin{aligned} (Au)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)p(s)h(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha\phi_1(t)}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)f(u(s))ds, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that [9] $A : P \rightarrow P$ is completely continuous and fixed points of A are solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1) and (1.2).

Let

$$\Omega_{a_k} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < a_k\} \text{ and } \Omega_{b_k} = \{u \in E : \|u\| < b_k\}.$$

Fix k and let $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_{a_k}$, then for $s \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$0 \leq u(s) \leq \|u\| = a_k. \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} (Au)(t) &= \int_0^1 G(t, s)p(s)h(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha\phi_1(t)}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)f(u(s))ds \\ &\leq Da_k \left[\int_0^1 G(t, s)p(s)h(s)ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha\phi_1(t)}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)ds \right] \\ &\leq Da_k \max_{t \in [0, 1]} \left[\int_0^1 G(t, s)p(s)h(s)ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha\phi_1(t)}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)ds \right] \\ &\leq Da_k \left[\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 G(t, s)p(s)h(s)ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)ds \right] \\ &= a_k = \|u\|, \quad t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|Au\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_{a_k}. \quad (2.7)$$

Now let $u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_{b_k}$, then for $s \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]$, we have

$$b_k = \|u\| \geq u(s) \geq \min_{s \in [\delta, 1 - \delta]} u(s) \geq \gamma \|u\| = \gamma b_k. \quad (2.8)$$

It follows from (2.8) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Au)(\eta) &= \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_0^1 G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)f(u(s))ds \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_\delta^{1-\delta} G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)f(u(s))ds \\
 &\geq \frac{Cb_k}{1 - \alpha\phi_1(\eta)} \int_\delta^{1-\delta} G(\eta, s)p(s)h(s)ds \\
 &= b_k = \|u\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

And so,

$$\|Au\| \geq \|u\|, \quad u \in P \cap \partial\Omega_{b_k}. \quad (2.9)$$

By (2.7), (2.9) and Theorem 1.1 the operator A has a fixed point $u_k \in P \cap (\overline{\Omega}_{a_k} \setminus \Omega_{b_k})$. Since k is arbitrary, the proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the NSF of Gansu Province of P.R. China (ZS032-B25-032) (ZS022-A25-011).

References

- [1] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1985).
- [2] D. Guo, V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Academic Press, San Diego (1988).
- [3] C.P. Gupta, Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problems for a second order ordinary differential equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **168** (1992), 540-551.

- [4] C.P. Gupta, A sharp condition for the solvability of a three-point second order boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **205** (1997), 579-586.
- [5] C.P. Gupta, S. Trofimchuk, Existence of a solution to a three-point boundary value problem and the spectral radius of a related linear operator, *Nonlinear Analysis TMA*, **34** (1998), 498-507.
- [6] R.W. Leggett, L.R. Williams, Multiple positive fixed points of nonlinear operators on ordered Banach spaces, *Indiana University Math. J.*, **28** (1979), 673-688.
- [7] R. Ma, Existence theorems for a second order three-point boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **212** (1997), 430-442.
- [8] R. Ma, Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, **34** (1999), 1-8.
- [9] R. Ma, H. Wang, Positive solutions of nonlinear three-point boundary value problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **279** (2003), 216-227.
- [10] J.P. Sun, W.T. Li, Y.H. Zhao, Three positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **288** (2003), 708-716.
- [11] J.P. Sun, H.F. Huo, W.T. Li, Positive solutions to singular nonlinear three point boundary value problems, *Dynamic Systems and Applications*, **12** (2003), 275-284.

