

ON RINGS WITH NEAR IDEMPOTENT ELEMENTS

A. Badawi<sup>1</sup> §, A.Y.M. Chin<sup>2</sup>, H.V. Chen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Mathematics  
Birzeit University

P.O. Box 14, Birzeit, West Bank, Palestine via ISRAEL  
e-mail: abring@birzeit.edu

<sup>2,3</sup>Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
Faculty of Science

University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, MALAYSIA  
<sup>2</sup>e-mail: acym@mmt.math.um.edu.my

**Abstract:** Let  $R$  be an associative ring with unit. An element  $e \in R$  is said to be a *near idempotent* if  $e^n$  is an idempotent for some positive integer  $n$ . In this paper conditions on  $R$  which are equivalent to the condition that  $R$  has near idempotents as all its elements are obtained.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 16E50, 16U99

**Key Words:** idempotent, strongly  $\pi$ -regular, strongly regular,  $(s, 2)$ -ring

1. Introduction

All rings considered in this paper are associative with unit. Given a ring  $R$ , an element  $e \in R$  is said to be a *near idempotent* if  $e^n$  is an idempotent for some positive integer  $n$ . Clearly, every idempotent is a near idempotent. We say that  $R$  is *Euler* if every element of  $R$  is a near idempotent. If there exists

a fixed positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n$  is an idempotent for every  $x \in R$ , then  $R$  is said to be *exact-Euler*. It is clear that an exact-Euler ring is Euler.

An element  $x \in R$  is said to be *strongly  $\pi$ -regular* if there exist  $y \in R$  and a positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n = x^{n+1}y$  and  $xy = yx$  (see [1]). In the case where  $n = 1$ ,  $x$  is said to be strongly regular.  $R$  is said to be a *strongly  $\pi$ -regular* ring if all its elements are strongly  $\pi$ -regular.

For a ring  $R$  we shall use  $Id(R)$  and  $U(R)$  to denote the set of idempotents and the set of units of  $R$ , respectively. The set of all nilpotent elements of  $R$  will be denoted by  $Nil(R)$ . In this paper we show that  $R$  is Euler iff  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $U(R)$  is a torsion group. We also show that  $R$  is exact-Euler iff  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $Nil(R)$ ,  $U(R)$  are of bounded index. As a matter of interest we also give some results related to  $(s, 2)$ -rings.

## 2. Some Preliminaries

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring. Then for each  $x \in R$ , there exist a positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n = eu = ue$  for some  $e \in Id(R)$  and some  $u \in U(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in R$ . Since  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular, it follows that there exist a positive integer  $n$  and an element  $y \in R$  such that  $x^n = x^{n+1}y$  and  $xy = yx$ . Then

$$x^n = x^{n+1}y = x^{n+2}y^2 = \dots = x^{2n}y^n = x^n y^n x^n.$$

Let  $e = x^n y^n$ . Then  $e^2 = (x^n y^n x^n) y^n = x^n y^n = e$  and  $e$  commutes with  $x$  and  $y$ . Note that

$$xye = xy(x^n y^n) = (x^{n+1}y)y^n = x^n y^n = e \quad (1)$$

and

$$x^n e = x^n (x^n y^n) = x^n. \quad (2)$$

Let  $f = e + x(1 - e)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} f^n &= [e + x(1 - e)]^n = e^n + x^n(1 - e)^n \\ &= e + x^n(1 - e) = e \quad (\text{by (2)}), \end{aligned}$$

then  $f$  is a near idempotent. Let  $v = xe + (1 - e)$  and  $w = ye + (1 - e)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} vw &= vw = [xe + (1 - e)][ye + (1 - e)] \\ &= xye + (1 - e) = e + (1 - e) \quad (\text{by (1)}) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $v$  is a unit. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} fv = vf &= [xe + (1 - e)][e + x(1 - e)] \\ &= xe + x(1 - e) = x. \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $f^n = e$ , it follows that  $x^n = eu = ue$ , where  $u = v^n$  is a unit. □

In the case where  $n = 1$  in the proof of Theorem 2.1 (that is,  $x$  is strongly regular), then  $f = e$  and we have the following:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. If  $x$  is a strongly regular element of  $R$ , then  $x = eu = ue$  for some  $e \in Id(R)$  and some  $u \in U(R)$ .*

We also note the following necessary condition for Euler rings.

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $R$  is an Euler ring, then  $U(R)$  is a torsion group.*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in U(R)$ . Since every element of  $R$  is a near idempotent, there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $u^n$  is an idempotent. Then  $u^{2n} = u^n$  and hence,

$$u^n = u^{2n-n} = u^{2n}u^{-n} = u^n u^{-n} = 1.$$

Since  $u$  is arbitrary in  $U(R)$ , it follows that  $U(R)$  is a torsion group. □

### 3. Euler Rings

The main result in this section is as follows:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is Euler if and only if  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $U(R)$  is a torsion group.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is Euler. By Proposition 2.3, it follows readily that  $U(R)$  is a torsion group. Now let  $x \in R$  and let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $x^n$  is an idempotent. Let  $y = x^n$ . Then  $x^{2n}y = x^n$  and  $xy = yx$ . Hence  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular.

Conversely, suppose that  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $U(R)$  is a torsion group. Let  $x \in R$ . By Theorem 2.1, there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that

$$x^n = eu = ue$$

for some idempotent  $e \in Id(R)$  and some unit  $u \in U(R)$ . Since  $U(R)$  is a torsion group, there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $u^m = 1$ . Then  $x^{nm} = e^m u^m = e$  is an idempotent of  $R$ . Since  $x$  is arbitrary in  $R$ , it follows that every element of  $R$  is a near idempotent.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1 we have the following:

**Corollary 3.2.** *A subring of an Euler ring is also Euler.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be an Euler ring and  $S$  a subring of  $R$ . For any  $x \in S \leq R$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n \in Id(R)$ . But  $x^n \in S$  since  $S$  is a subring of  $R$ . Hence,  $x^n \in Id(S)$  and it follows that  $S$  is also Euler.  $\square$

It is known that a subring of a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring  $R$  is not necessarily strongly  $\pi$ -regular. However, if in addition  $U(R)$  is torsion, then we have the following:

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $R$  be a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring with  $U(R)$  torsion. Then any subring of  $R$  is also strongly  $\pi$ -regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a subring of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is Euler (by Theorem 3.1), it follows from Corollary 3.2 that  $S$  is also Euler. Hence,  $S$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular by Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is said to be *periodic* if for each  $x \in R$  there are integers  $m, n \geq 1$  such that  $m \neq n$  and  $x^m = x^n$ . If  $R$  is an Euler ring it is easy to see that  $R$  is periodic. The converse is also true as has been shown in (Lemma 1, [2]). In view of this and Theorem 3.1 we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.4.** *For a ring  $R$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R$  is Euler;
- (b)  $R$  is periodic;
- (c)  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $U(R)$  is a torsion group.

### 4. Exact-Euler Rings

We obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a ring to be exact-Euler as follows:

**Theorem 4.1.** *A ring  $R$  is exact-Euler if and only if  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $\text{Nil}(R), U(R)$  are of bounded index.*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $R$  is exact-Euler. Then  $R$  is Euler and it follows readily from Theorem 3.1 that  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. Let  $u \in U(R)$  and  $x \in \text{Nil}(R)$ . Since  $R$  is exact-Euler, there is a fixed positive integer  $n$  such that  $u^n, x^n \in \text{Id}(R)$ . Then  $u^n = u^{2n-n} = u^{2n}u^{-n} = u^n u^{-n} = 1$ . Since  $u$  is arbitrary in  $U(R)$ , it follows that  $U(R)$  is of bounded index. Let  $m$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $x^m = 0$ . Since  $x^{kn} = x^n$  for any positive integer  $k \geq 1$ , then  $m \leq n$ . Hence,  $\text{Nil}(R)$  is of bounded index.

Conversely, suppose that  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular and  $\text{Nil}(R), U(R)$  are of bounded index  $w, m$ , respectively. Let  $x \in R$ . Then there exist a positive integer  $n$  and an element  $y \in R$  which commutes with  $x$  such that  $x^n = x^{n+1}y$ ; thus  $x^n = x^{2n}y^n$ . Then since

$$\begin{aligned} x^{n+k} &= x^{2n+k}y^n = x^{n+k}(x^{n+1}y)y^n = x^{n+k+1}(x^{n+1}y)y^{n+1} \\ &= x^{2n+k+2}y^{n+2} = \dots = x^{2(n+k)}y^{n+k} = x^{n+k+1}(x^{n+k-1}y^{n+k}) \end{aligned}$$

for any positive integer  $k$ , we may assume that  $n > w$ . Now since  $(x^n y^n)^2 = x^{2n} y^{2n} = x^n y^n$ , we have  $x^n y^n \in \text{Id}(R)$  and hence, so is  $1 - x^n y^n$ . Note that  $[x(1 - x^n y^n)]^n = x^n(1 - x^n y^n) = 0$ . Thus,  $[x(1 - x^n y^n)]^w = 0$  which gives us  $x^w(1 - x^n y^n) = 0$ . It follows that  $x^w = x^{n+w}y^n = x^{2w}(x^{n-w}y^n)$ ; that is,  $x^w$  is strongly regular. By Proposition 2.2,  $x^w = eu = ue$  for some  $e \in \text{Id}(R)$  and some  $u \in U(R)$ . Thus,  $x^{wm} = e^m u^m = e \in \text{Id}(R)$ . Since  $x$  is arbitrary in  $R$ , this shows that  $R$  is exact-Euler. □

From the proof of Theorem 4.1 we have the following:

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring and  $\text{Nil}(R), U(R)$  are bounded above by  $w, m \geq 1$  respectively. Then  $x^{wm} \in \text{Id}(R)$  for each  $x \in R$ .*

As a consequence of Proposition 4.2 we have an algebraic proof of the following number-theoretic result:

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $m = p_1^{\alpha_1} \dots p_n^{\alpha_n} \geq 2$ , where the  $p_i$  are distinct primes and  $\alpha_i \geq 1$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Let  $k = \max \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  and let  $\phi$  denote Euler's phi-function. Then  $x^{k\phi(m)} \in Id(\mathbb{Z}_m)$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ .*

*Proof.* It is well-known that  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  is a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring. Clearly,  $\text{Nil}(\mathbb{Z}_m)$  is bounded above by  $k$  and  $U(\mathbb{Z}_m)$  - by  $\phi(m)$ . Then, the result follows by applying Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

## 5. Some Related Results

A ring  $R$  is said to be *unit regular* if for every  $x \in R$ , there exists a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $xux = x$ . In [3], Ehrlich showed that if  $R$  is unit regular and 2 is a unit of  $R$ , then every element of  $R$  is a sum of two units of  $R$ . A ring  $R$  in which every element of  $R$  is a sum of two units of  $R$  is said to be an  $(s, 2)$ -ring [5] (see also [4]).

We say that  $R$  is an  $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring if for each element  $x \in R$  there is an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $x^n$  is a sum of two units of  $R$ . We also say that  $R$  is an *exact- $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring* if there is a fixed integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $x^n$  is a sum of two units of  $R$  for every  $x \in R$ . Clearly, an exact- $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring is  $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ .

We obtain the following result:

**Theorem 5.1.**

- (a) Let  $R$  be a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring. Then  $R$  is an  $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring if and only if every element in  $Id(R)$  is a sum of two units of  $R$ . In particular, if  $2 \in U(R)$ , then  $R$  is an  $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring.
- (b) Let  $R$  be an exact-Euler ring. Then  $R$  is an exact- $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring if and only if every element in  $Id(R)$  is a sum of two units of  $R$ . In particular, if  $2 \in U(R)$ , then  $R$  is an exact- $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $x \in R$ . Then by Theorem 2.1, there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n = eu = ue$  for some  $e \in Id(R)$  and some  $u \in U(R)$ . Thus,  $R$  is an  $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring if and only if each  $e \in Id(R)$  is a sum of two units of  $R$ . Now suppose that  $2 \in U(R)$ . Since  $2e - 1 \in U(R)$  for each  $e \in Id(R)$  and  $x^n = eu$ , we have  $x^n = 2^{-1}(1 + (2e - 1))u$  is a sum of two units of  $R$ .

(b) Since an exact-Euler ring is strongly  $\pi$ -regular (by Theorem 4.1) and an exact- $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ -ring is  $(s, 2)$ - $\pi$ , the necessity part follows readily from part (a). For the sufficiency part, we only need to observe that there is a fixed positive integer  $n$  such that  $x^n = e \in Id(R)$  for each  $x \in R$ . The final assertion in part (b) can be obtained by applying part (a) and the first assertion in part (b).

**References**

- [1] G. Azumaya, Strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings, *J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ.*, **13** (1954), 34–39.
- [2] H.E. Bell, A commutativity study for periodic rings, *Pac. J. Math.*, **70** (1977), 29–36.
- [3] G. Ehrlich, Unit regular rings, *Portugal. Math.*, **27** (1968), 209–212.
- [4] J.W. Fisher and R.L. Snider, Rings generated by their units, *J. Algebra*, **42** (1976), 363–368.
- [5] M. Henriksen, Two classes of rings that are generated by their units, *J. Algebra*, **31** (1974), 182–193.

