

ZERO-DIMENSIONAL SCHEMES WHICH ARE
A SMALL MODIFICATION OF FAT POINTS
AND POSTULATION

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics
University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

Abstract: Here we give some very strong postulation result for general unions of zero-dimensional schemes very near to fat points.

AMS Subject Classification: 14N05

Key Words: fat point, zero-dimensional scheme, postulation

1. The Statements

For any n -dimensional integral variety X , any $P \in X_{reg}$ and any integer $k > 0$ let kP denote the infinitesimal neighborhood of order $k - 1$ of P in X , i.e. the closed subscheme of X with $(\mathcal{I}_{P,X})^k$ as its ideal sheaf. Thus $(kP)_{red} = \{P\}$ and $\text{length}(kP) = \binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$. We will say that kP is a k -point. Let m_P be the maximal ideal of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$. Since X is smooth at P , m_P^k/m_P^{k+1} is a vector space of dimension $\binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$ over the algebraically closed base field \mathbb{K} . For any linear subspace E of m_P^k/m_P^{k+1} there is a unique zero-dimensional subscheme, say (kP, E) of X such that $kP \subseteq (kP, E) \subseteq (k+1)P$ and $\text{length}((kP, E)) = \text{length}(kP) + \dim(E)$. We are only interested in the case $\dim(E) = 1$ and in this case we will denote any such scheme by the symbol $(k, 1)P$ and call them $(k, 1)$ -points supported by P . Identify the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,P}$ with the formal power series ring $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$. We see

that not all $(k, 1)$ -points supported by P are equivalent under a formal change of coordinates. We will say that the $(k, 1)$ -point is special if E can be represented by a k -power, i.e., if after a formal change of coordinates, we may take E represented by x_1^{k+1} ; any two such vector spaces can be made equivalent using a change of formal coordinates. Now assume $X = \mathbf{P}^n$. In this case any special $(k, 1)$ -point is uniquely determined by a line D such that $P \in D$; the line D is the unique line such that the intersection with this scheme has length $k + 1$; all the other lines through P have length k intersection. Conversely, any pair (P, D) with $P \in D \subseteq \mathbf{P}^n$ determines a special $(k, 1)$ -point with P as support. Notice that the set of all k -points, the set of all $(k, 1)$ -points and the set of all special $(k, 1)$ -points is irreducible. Hence it make sense the following sentence: the general union of x k -points, y special $(k, 1)$ -points and z $(k, 1)$ -point has a certain property. For any integral projective variety, any zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subset X$, any $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$, any linear subspace $V \subseteq H^0(X, L)$ and any linear system M of Cartier divisors on X , set $V(-Z) := V \cap H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes L)$ and $M(-Z) := \{D \in M : Z \subseteq D\}$. Thus $\dim(V) - \text{length}(Z) \leq \dim(V(-Z)) \leq \dim(V)$. Z is said to impose independent conditions to V (or to the associated linear system) if $\dim(V(-Z)) = \dim(V) - \text{length}(Z)$. We will say that Z imposes the expected number of conditions to V (or to the associated linear system) if $\dim(V(-Z)) = \max\{\dim(V) - \text{length}(Z), 0\}$. Here we will prove the following results.

Theorem 1. *Fix integers $n \geq 2$, $x \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$ and $d > 0$. A general union Z of x 2-points and y special $(2, 1)$ -points imposes the expected number of conditions to $H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d))$ except at most in the following cases:*

- (a) $d = 2$ and $x + y \geq 2$ and $x + 2y \leq n$;
- (b) $n = 2$, $d = 4$, $x = 5$ and $y = 0$;
- (c) $n = 3$, $d = 4$, $x = 9$ and $y = 0$;
- (d) $n = 4$, $d = 3$, $x = 7$ and $y = 0$;
- (e) $n = 4$, $d = 4$, $x = 14$ and $y = 0$.

In all these cases Z fails to give the expected number of conditions to $H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d))$.

Theorem 2. *Fix integers $x \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$. Let X be an integral projective variety and M a linear system (even a non-complete one) such that a general union of $x + y$ k -points of X imposes independent conditions to M . Then a*

general union of x k -points and y special $(k, 1)$ -points of X imposes the expected number of conditions to M .

We work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} with $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$.

2. The Proofs

Remark 1. Let X be an integral projective variety and M a linear system on X . It is easy to check using [3], Proposition 2.3, that if $M(-kP) \neq \emptyset$ for a general $P \in X$, then $\dim(M(-A)) = \dim(M(-B)) - 1$, where A is a general $(k, 1)$ -point of X and B is a general k -point of X . Since for any $Q \in X_{reg}$ the ideal m_Q^{k+1} is spanned as a \mathbb{K} -vector space by m_Q^k and the union of all special $(k, 1)$ -points of X supported by Q , we see that $\dim(M(-A)) = \dim(M(-B)) - 1$ even if we take as A a general element of the variety of all special $(k, 1)$ -points of X . In particular to prove Theorem 2 it is sufficient to prove the same assertion for the union of x general k -points and y general $(k, 1)$ -points.

Remark 2. Let X be an integral projective variety and M a linear system on X . Fix a general $P \in X$ and call A a general special $(k, 1)$ -point supported by P . Assume that a general k -point of X gives the expected number of conditions to M . If this is the case because $V(-kP) = \emptyset$, then obviously $V(-A) = \emptyset$ and hence a general special $(k, 1)$ -point of X gives the expected number of conditions to M . Now assume $M(-kP) \neq \emptyset$. By Remark 1 we have $\dim(M(-A)) = \dim(M(-B)) - 1$, where A is a general element of the set of all special $(k, 1)$ -points. Hence A gives the expected number of conditions to M . More is true. Assume now that kP does not give the expected number of conditions to M . Thus $M \neq \emptyset$. If M consists just of a divisor, then $M(-A) = \emptyset$ by Remark 1. If $\dim(M) > 0$, then Remark 1 implies that $\dim(M(-A)) = \dim(M(-kP)) - 1$ and hence the amounts of failure with respect to M of kP and of A are the same.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that the result fails. Among the failing data with respect to M with $x' + y' \leq x + y$, choose the one with minimal y' and among the ones with this y' choose the one with a minimal x' . Call B a general union of $x' + 1$ k -points of X and $y' - 1$ special $((k, 1)$ -points of X . By the inductive assumption B imposes the expected number of conditions to V . By Remark 2 the same is true for a general union of x' k -points of X and y' special $((k, 1)$ -points of X , contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Use the list the list of all triples (n, d, x) such that the general union of x double points of \mathbf{P}^n does not give the expected number

of conditions to $H^0(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(d))$ (see e.g. [2], Theorem at p. 982; the result is due to J. Alexander and A. Hirschowitz (see [1])). We obtain exactly all cases with $y = 0$. Furthermore, in all cases with $d \geq 3$ the amount of failure for the pair $(x, 0)$ is exactly one and the corresponding linear system $M(-A)$ is zero-dimensional. Hence by Remark 2 none of these cases gives an exceptional case for some $y > 0$. We leave the details of the case by case checking for $d = 2$ to the interested reader. Apply Theorem 2 to conclude in the non exceptional cases. \square

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

- [1] J. Alexander, A. Hirschowitz, Polynomial interpolation in several variables, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **4** (1995), 201–222.
- [2] M.V. Catalisano, A.V. Geramita, A. Gimigliano, On the secant varieties to the tangential varieties of a Veronesean, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **130** (2002), 975–985.
- [3] L. Chiantini, C. Ciliberto, Weakly defective varieties, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **354** (2002), 151–178.