

INCREMENTS OF THE PRINCIPAL VALUE
OF BROWNIAN MOTION LOCAL TIME

Abdelkader Bahram

Laboratoire de Mathématiques

Université Djillali Liabès

B.P. 89, 22000 Sidi Bel Abbès, ALGERIA

e-mail: Abdelkader_bahram@yahoo.fr

Abstract: Let W be a standard Brownian motion and define $Y(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{W(s)}$ as Cauchy's value related to local time. In this paper we prove

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{|Y(t+s) - Y(t)|}{\left(a_T \left(\log \frac{T}{a_T} + \alpha \log \log T + (2 - \alpha) \log \log a_T\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 2, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ under suitable conditions on a_T .

AMS Subject Classification: 60J65

Key Words: local time, large increment, Brownian motion

1. Introduction

Let $\{W(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a one-dimensional Brownian motion with $W(0) = 0$, and let $\{L(t, x); t \geq 0, x \in R\}$ denoted that its local time process. That is, for any Borel function $f \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^t f(W(s))ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)L(t, x)dx, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We are interested in the process

$$Y(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{W(s)}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The integral $\int_0^t \frac{ds}{W(s)}$ should be considered in the sense of Cauchy's principal

value, i.e., $Y(t)$ is defined by

$$Y(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^t \frac{ds}{W(s)} 1_{\{|w(s)| \geq \varepsilon\}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{L(t, x) - L(t, -x)}{x} dx. \tag{1}$$

Since $x \rightarrow L(x, t)$ is Hölder continuous of order v , for any $v < \frac{1}{2}$, the integral on the extreme right in (1) is almost surely absolutely convergent. For a detailed account of various motivations, historical facts and general properties of principal values of local times, we refer to the recent collection of research papers in Yor [5], to Chapter 10 of the lecture notes by Yor [6], and to the survey paper by Yamada [4].

Notation. Throughout this article we use the notation $Lu = \log u = \log(\max(u, 1))$, $L_2u = \log \log u = \log \log(\max(u, e))$, for $u \geq 0$. ε stands for a positive number given arbitrarily, and the letter c with subscripts denotes some finite and positive universal constants. When the constants depend on a parameter, say p , they are denoted by $c(p)$ with subscripts. Denoted by a_T , will be supposed to satisfy the following conditions

$$0 < a_T \leq T. \tag{2}$$

$$T \mapsto a_T \text{ and } T \mapsto T/a_T \text{ are both nondecreasing.} \tag{3}$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L \frac{T}{a_T}}{L_2 T} = \infty. \tag{4}$$

Let us first recall (cf. [3]) the global and local almost sure asymptotic of $Y(\cdot)$:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Y(t)}{\sqrt{tL_2 t}} = \sqrt{8}, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Recently Csáki et al, see [1], investigated the large values of the increments of $Y(\cdot)$ and established the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Under (2), (3) and (4)*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{|Y(t+s) - Y(t)|}{\sqrt{a_T L \frac{T}{a_T}}} = 2, \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{5}$$

Csáki et al [2] proved the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 with a different constant, and assuming only (2) and (3). In particular, under these conditions,

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{|Y(t+s) - Y(t)|}{\sqrt{a_T L \frac{T}{a_T}}} \leq 3.2^{\frac{7}{8}}, \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{6}$$

2. Main Result

In this section our result concerns the large increments of $Y(\cdot)$.

Theorem 2.1. *Under assumptions (2), (3) and (4), we have*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{|Y(t+s) - Y(t)|}{\sqrt{a_T \beta(T, \alpha)}} = 2, \quad \text{a.s.}, \tag{7}$$

where $\beta(T, \alpha) = L \frac{T}{a_T} + \alpha L_2 T + (2 - \alpha) L_2 a_T$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2$.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of our result.

Lemma 2.1. (see [1]) *For $\delta > 0$, $x > 0$ and $T > 0$, $h > 0$,*

$$P \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq h} |Y(t+s) - Y(t)| > x\sqrt{h} \right) \leq C(\delta) \left(\sqrt{\frac{T+h}{h}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{8(1+\delta)}\right) + \frac{T+h}{h} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2(1+\delta)}\right) \right).$$

Lemma 2.2. (see [1]) *For $T \geq 2a > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\delta > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$,*

$$P \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} (Y(t+a_T) - Y(t)) \leq \lambda\sqrt{a} \right) \leq 5 \left(\frac{a}{T} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + \exp \left(-c(\delta) \left(\frac{T}{a} \right)^{\frac{1-\varepsilon}{2}} \exp -\frac{(1+\delta)\lambda^2}{8} \right).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The proof is similar to that of Csáki et al [1], but the change in denominators requires some alterations in the proof and will be given in two steps expressed by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.3. *Under (2), (3), we have*

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{|Y(t+s) - Y(t)|}{\sqrt{a_T \beta(T, \alpha)}} \leq 2, \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{8}$$

Lemma 2.4. *Under (2), (3) and (4), we have*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} \frac{(Y(t+s) - Y(t))}{\sqrt{a_T \beta(T, \alpha)}} \geq 2, \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{9}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let $\delta > 0$ and $a_{T_k} = \theta^k$, $\theta > 1$. Set

$$A(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} |Y(t+s) - Y(t)|.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to

$$x = 2(1 + \delta)\sqrt{\beta(T, \alpha)},$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left(A(T_k) > 2(1 + \delta)\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T, \alpha)}\right) \\ & \leq C(\delta) \left(\sqrt{\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1 + \delta}{2}\beta(T_k, \alpha)\right) + \frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \exp(-2(1 + \delta)\beta(T_k, \alpha)) \right) \\ & = C(\delta) \left(\left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{(LT_k)^\alpha(La_{T_k})^{2-\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{1+2\delta} \left(\frac{1}{(LT_k)^\alpha(La_{T_k})^{2-\alpha}}\right)^{2(1+\delta)} \right) \\ & = C(\delta) \left(\left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{LT_k}{La_{T_k}}\right)^{2-\alpha} \frac{1}{(LT_k)^2}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{1+2\delta} \left(\left(\frac{LT_k}{La_{T_k}}\right)^{2-\alpha} \frac{1}{(LT_k)^2}\right)^{2(1+\delta)} \right) \\ & \leq C(\delta) \left(\left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{LT_k}{La_{T_k}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{(LT_k)^2}\right)^{\frac{1+\delta}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{1+2\delta} \left(\left(\frac{LT_k}{La_{T_k}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{(LT_k)^2}\right)^{2(1+\delta)} \right) \\ & = C(\delta) \left(\left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \frac{1}{(La_{T_k})^{1+\delta}} + \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{1+2\delta} \frac{1}{(La_{T_k})^{4(1+\delta)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_k \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \frac{1}{(La_{T_k})^{1+\delta}} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_k \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{1+2\delta} \frac{1}{(La_{T_k})^{4(1+\delta)}} < \infty.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, almost surely for all large k ,

$$A(T_k) \leq 2(1 + \delta)\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}. \tag{10}$$

Notice that

$$1 \leq \frac{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\beta(T_{k+1}, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}} \leq \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{11}$$

if k is big enough. When $T_k \leq T \leq T_{k+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(T)}{\sqrt{a_T\beta(T, \alpha)}} &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(T_{k+1})}{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(T_{k+1})}{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\beta(T_{k+1}, \alpha)}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\beta(T_{k+1}, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(T_{k+1})}{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\beta(T_{k+1}, \alpha)}} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\beta(T_{k+1}, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}} \leq 2(1 + \delta)\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now choosing θ near enough to one and sending δ to 0 yields the upper bound in Lemma 2.3. □

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Let a_T be a function satisfying (2), (3) and (4). Since $\frac{L \cdot T}{L_2 T} \rightarrow \infty$, we have $T \geq 2a_T$ for all large T , say $T \geq n_0$. Consider

$$B(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T - a_T} (Y(t + a_T) - Y(t)), \quad T > 0.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Define $T = T_k(\delta) = (1 + \delta)^k$. Applying Lemma 2.2, we have: $T = T_k$, $a = a_{T_k}$ and $\lambda = \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}}\sqrt{\beta(T_k, \alpha)}$, hence we see that for $T \geq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(B(T_k) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}}\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}\right) \\ = P\left(B(T_k) \leq \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}}\sqrt{a_{T_k}L\left(\left(\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}}\right)(LT_k)^\alpha(La_{T_k})^{2-\alpha}\right)}\right) \\ \leq 5\left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k}\right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + \exp\left(-c(\delta)\left(\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}}\right)^{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)}{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \times \exp \left(-\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)L}{2} L \left(\left(\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \right) (LT_k)^\alpha (La_{T_k})^{2-\alpha} \right) \right) \\
 = & 5 \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + \exp \left(-c(\delta) \left(\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \left((LT_k)^\alpha (La_{T_k})^{2-\alpha} \right)^{-\frac{(1-2\varepsilon)}{2}} \right). \\
 = & 5 \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + \exp -c(\delta) \left(\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{La_{T_k}}{LT_k} \right)^\alpha \frac{1}{(La_{T_k})^2} \right)^{\frac{1-2\varepsilon}{2}} \\
 = & 5 \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + \exp -c(\delta) \left(\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{La_{T_k}}{LT_k} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{1}{La_{T_k}} \right)^{1-2\varepsilon} \\
 \leq & 5 \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + \exp -c(\delta) \left(\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \left(\left(\frac{La_{T_k}}{LT_k} \right) \frac{1}{La_{T_k}} \right)^{1-2\varepsilon} \\
 = & 5 \left(\frac{a_{T_k}}{T_k} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + \exp -c(\delta) \left(\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{LT_k} \right)^{1-2\varepsilon} := (\star).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, under condition (4) and for all sufficiently large T, we have

$$\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} \geq (LT_k)^{\frac{4}{\varepsilon}},$$

$$(\star) \leq \frac{5}{(LT_k)^2} + \exp -c(\delta)(LT_k)^{(1+2\varepsilon)}.$$

Therefore, $\sum_k \frac{1}{(LT_k)^2} < \infty$ and $\sum_k \exp -c(\delta)(LT_k)^{(1+2\varepsilon)} < \infty$. By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, almost surely for all large k ,

$$B(T_k) > \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}} \sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}.$$

Let

$$C(T) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T-a_T} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq a_T} (Y(t+s) - Y(t)).$$

Clearly $T \rightarrow C(T)$ is non-decreasing, such that $C(T) \geq B(T)$. Therefore, for $T \in [T_k, T_{k+1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{C(T)}{\sqrt{a_T\beta(T, \alpha)}} & \geq \frac{C(T_k)}{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}} \left(L \frac{T_{k+1}}{a_{T_k}} + \alpha L_2 T_{k+1} + (2-\alpha)L_2 a_{T_{k+1}} \right)}} \\
 & > \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}} \left(L \frac{T_{k+1}}{a_{T_k}} + \alpha L_2 T_{k+1} + (2-\alpha)L_2 a_{T_{k+1}} \right)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\left(L\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k}\frac{T_k}{a_{T_k}} + \alpha L_2\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k}T_k + (2-\alpha)L_2\frac{a_{T_{k+1}}}{a_{T_k}}a_{T_k}\right)}} \\
 &= \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\left(\beta(T_k, \alpha) + L\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k} + \alpha L_2\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k} + (2-\alpha)L_2\frac{a_{T_{k+1}}}{a_{T_k}}\right)}} \\
 &\geq \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\left(\beta(T_k, \alpha) + L\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k} + \alpha L_2\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k} + (2-\alpha)L_2\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k}\right)}} \\
 &= \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1+\delta}} \frac{\sqrt{a_{T_k}\beta(T_k, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{a_{T_{k+1}}\left(\beta(T_k, \alpha) + L\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k} + 2L_2\frac{T_{k+1}}{T_k}\right)}} \\
 &= \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{1+\delta} \frac{\sqrt{\beta(T_k, \alpha)}}{\sqrt{(\beta(T_k, \alpha) + L(1+\delta) + 2L_2(1+\delta))}},
 \end{aligned}$$

since $T \rightarrow \frac{T}{a_T}$ is non-decreasing,

$$\frac{a_{T_k}}{a_{T_{k+1}}} \geq \frac{T_k}{T_{k+1}} = \frac{1}{1+\delta}.$$

Since $L(\frac{T}{a_T}) \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{\beta(T_k, \alpha)}{\beta(T_k, \alpha) + L(1+\delta) + 2L_2(1+\delta)} \rightarrow 1, \quad k \rightarrow \infty,$$

we obtain

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(T)}{\sqrt{a_T\left(L\frac{T}{a_T} + \alpha L_2T + (2-\alpha)L_2a_T\right)}} \geq \frac{2\sqrt{1-2\varepsilon}}{1+\delta}, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Sending ε and δ to 0 yields the lower bound in Lemma 2.4. □

References

- [1] E. Csáki, M. Csörgö, A. Földes, P. Révész, S. Zhan, Increment size of the principal value of Brownian local time, *Probability Theory and Rel. Fields*, **117** (2000), 515-531.
- [2] E. Csáki, M. Csörgö, A. Földes, P. Révész, S. Zhan, Path properties of Cauchy's principal values related to local time, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **38** (2001), 149-169.

- [3] Y. Hu, Z. Shi, An iterated law for Cauchy's principal value of Brownian local times, In: *Exponential Functionals and Principal Values Related to Brownian Motion* (Ed. M.Yor), Biblioteca de la revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Madrid (1997), 211-228.
- [4] T. Yamada, Principal values of Brownian local times and their related topics, In: *Itô's Stochastic Calculus and Probability Theory* (Ed-s: N. Ikeda et al), Springer, Tokyo (1996), 413-422.
- [5] M. Yor, Editor, *Exponential Functionals and Principal Values Related to Brownian Motion*, Biblioteca de la Revista Matemática Iberoamericana, Madrid (1997).
- [6] M. Yor, *Some Aspects of Brownian Motion. Part II: Some Recent Martingales Problems*, ETH, Zürich Lectures in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel (1997).