

SOME PROPERTIES OF CONTINUOUS
t-NORMS AND s-NORMS

M. Amini¹, R. Saadati² §

¹Department of Mathematics
Tarbiat Modarres University
P.O. Box 14115-175, Tehran, IRAN
e-mail: mamini@modares.ac.ir

²Department of Mathematics
Amol Azad University
1, 4th Fajr, Amol, 46176-54553, IRAN
e-mail: rezas720@yahoo.com

Abstract: In this paper we study some properties of continuous t-norms and s-norms and their relations. We define semi-distributivity of a t-norm with respect to s-norms and vice versa, then we give conditions for defining real powers.

AMS Subject Classification: 03B52

Key Words: continuous t-norms, continuous s-norms, semi-distributivity, real powers

1. Introduction

The concept of triangular norm (t-norm) was introduced by Karl Menger [2] in order to generalize the triangular inequality of a metric. The correct notion of a t-norm and its dual operation, i.e. s-norm is due B. Schweizer and A. Sklar. Both of these operation also can be used as a generalization of the Boolean logic connectives to multi-valued logic. Also t-norms are central items of study in fuzzy set theory. We state some definitions and lemmas from [5], [1], and [3].

Definition 1.1. A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is a t-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $a * 1 = a$,
- (ii) $a * b = b * a$,
- (iii) $a * b \leq c * d$, whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$,
- (iv) $a * (b * c) = (a * b) * c$,

for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$. We usually write $a * b = t(a, b)$. If $([0, 1], *)$ is topological monoid then $*$ is called a continuous t-norm.

Example 1.1. Here are some typical examples of t-norms:

- (i) Dombi t-norm,

$$a * b = t_\lambda(a, b) = \frac{1}{1 + [(\frac{1}{a} - 1)^\lambda + (\frac{1}{b} - 1)^\lambda]^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

- (ii) $a * b = \min(a, b) = t_m(a, b)$.

- (iii) Drastic t-norm,

$$a * b = t_{dp}(a, b) = \begin{cases} a, & b = 1, \\ b, & a = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that *Drastic* t-norm is not continuous but t_m and *Dombi* t-norm are continuous.

Lemma 1.2. Let $t_\lambda(a, b)$ be *Drastic* t-norm then,

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} t_\lambda(a, b) = \min(a, b)$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} t_\lambda = t_{dp}(a, b).$$

Definition 1.3. A binary operation \star : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ is an s-norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $a \star 0 = a$,
- (ii) $a \star b = b \star a$,
- (iii) $a \star b \leq c \star d$, whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$,
- (iv) $a \star (b \star c) = (a \star b) \star c$,

for each $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$. We usually write $a \star b = s(a, b)$. If $([0, 1], \star)$ is a topological monoid then \star is called a continuous s-norm.

Example 1.2. Some typical s-norms are as follows:

(i) *Dombi* s-norm,

$$a \star b = s_\lambda(a, b) = \frac{1}{1 + \left[\left(\frac{1}{a} - 1 \right)^{-\lambda} + \left(\frac{1}{b} - 1 \right)^{-\lambda} \right]^{\frac{-1}{\lambda}}} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

(ii) $a \star b = \max(a, b) = s_m(a, b)$.

(iii) *Drastic* s-norm,

$$a \star b = s_{dp}(a, b) = \begin{cases} a, & b = 0, \\ b, & a = 0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that the *Drastic* s-norm is not continuous but the *Dombi* s-norm s_λ and s_m are continuous.

Lemma 1.4. Let s_λ be the *Dombi* s-norm, then

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} s_\lambda(a, b) = \max(a, b).$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} s_\lambda(a, b) = s_{dp}.$$

Remark 1.5. For every t-norm $*$ and its dual \star we have

$$a * b = 1 - [(1 - a) \star (1 - b)],$$

and

$$a \star b = 1 - [(1 - a) * (1 - b)].$$

Lemma 1.6. (see [5]) If $*$ is a continuous t-norm and $r_i \in (0, 1)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, then:

(i) If $r_1 > r_2$, there is $0 < r_4 < 1$ such that $r_1 * r_4 \geq r_2$.

(ii) There is $0 < r_5 < 1$ such that $r_5 * r_5 \geq r_1$.

Lemma 1.7. If \star is a continuous s-norm and $r_i \in (0, 1)$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, then:

(i) If $r_1 > r_2$, there is $0 < r_4 < 1$ such that $r_1 \geq r_2 \star r_4$.

(ii) There is $0 < r_5 < 1$ such that $r_1 \geq r_5 \star r_5$.

2. Semi-Distributivity and Real Powers

Definition 2.1. Let $*$ be a t-norm and \star be its dual. We say that $*$ is *semi-distributive* on \star if for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ we have:

- (i) $(x * y) \star z \geq (x \star z) * (y \star z)$,
- (ii) $(x \star y) * z \leq (x * z) \star (y * z)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Dombi t-norm is semi-distributive on its dual.*

Proof. Let $* = t_\lambda$ and $\star = s_\lambda$. We need to show that:

- (i) $s_\lambda(t_\lambda(x, y), z) \geq t_\lambda(s_\lambda(x, z), s_\lambda(y, z))$ and
- (ii) $t_\lambda(s_\lambda(x, y), z) \leq s_\lambda(t_\lambda(x, z), t_\lambda(y, z))$,

for each $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$. First note that

$$\begin{aligned} t_\lambda(s_\lambda(x, z), s_\lambda(y, z)) &= \frac{1}{1 + [(\frac{1}{s_\lambda(x, z)} - 1)^\lambda + (\frac{1}{s_\lambda(y, z)} - 1)^\lambda]^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + [[(\frac{1}{x} - 1)^{-\lambda} + (\frac{1}{z} - 1)^{-\lambda}]^{-1} + [(\frac{1}{y} - 1)^{-\lambda} + (\frac{1}{z} - 1)^{-\lambda}]^{-1}]^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda(t_\lambda(x, y), z) &= \frac{1}{1 + [(\frac{1}{t_\lambda(x, y)} - 1)^{-\lambda} + (\frac{1}{z} - 1)^{-\lambda}]^{\frac{-1}{\lambda}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + [[(\frac{1}{x} - 1)^\lambda + (\frac{1}{y} - 1)^\lambda]^{-1} + (\frac{1}{z} - 1)^\lambda]^{\frac{-1}{\lambda}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Put $a = (\frac{1}{x} - 1)^{-\lambda}$, $b = (\frac{1}{y} - 1)^{-\lambda}$, and $c = (\frac{1}{z} - 1)^{-\lambda}$. We have to show that

$$\frac{1}{1 + [(a + c)^{-1} + (b + c)^{-1}]^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}} \leq \frac{1}{1 + [(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b})^{-1} + c]^{\frac{-1}{\lambda}}},$$

or equivalently

$$[(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b})^{-1} + c]^{\frac{-1}{\lambda}} \leq [(a + c)^{-1} + (b + c)^{-1}]^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$$

that is

$$\frac{1}{(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b})^{-1} + c} \leq \frac{1}{a + c} + \frac{1}{b + c}.$$

But the last inequality is true because $2abc + ac^2 + bc^2 \geq 0$, for any $a, b, c > 0$. This proves (i). Now (ii) is proved similarly. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Drastic t -norm and t_m are semi-distributive on their dual.*

Proof. These norms and their dual norms are the limits of t_λ and s_λ when $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, respectively. \square

Lemma 2.4. *The t -norm $t_p(a, b) = ab$ is semi-distributive on its dual $s_p(a, b) = a + b - ab$.*

Proof. To prove the first property of semi-distributivity, let $* = t_p$ and $\star = s_p$. Since $(1 - x)(1 - y)(1 - z) > 0$ for every $x, y, z \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$1 > x + y + z - xy - xz - yz + xyz,$$

$$z > xz + yz + z^2 - xyz - xz^2 - yz^2 + xyz^2,$$

and

$$xy + z - xyz > xy + xz - xyz + zy + z^2 - yz^2 - xyz - xz^2 + xyz^2.$$

Hence

$$(x * y) \star z > (x \star z) * (y \star z),$$

and we get equality if at least one of x, y , or z is zero. The second property is proved similarly. \square

Remark 2.5. The Łukasiewicz t -norm $t_L(a, b) = \max(a + b - 1, 0)$ and its dual $s_L(a, b) = \min(a + b, 1)$ have not semi-distributivity property. Indeed for $x = 0.4$, $y = 0.5$, and $z = 0.2$, both properties (i) and (ii) fail.

Notation 2.1. Let $x \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We put:

$$(i) \quad x^{(n)} = \overbrace{x * \cdots * x}^n,$$

$$(ii) \quad x^{[n]} = \overbrace{x \star \cdots \star x}^n.$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let $*$ and its dual, i.e. \star be continuous and semi-distributive.*

Then we have:

$$(i) \quad (x \star y)^{(n)} \leq x \star y^{(n)},$$

$$(ii) \quad (x \star y)^{[n]} \leq x^{(n)} \star y,$$

$$(iii) \quad (x * y)^{[n]} \geq x * y^{[n]},$$

$$(iv) \quad (x * y)^{[n]} \geq x^{[n]} * y,$$

$$(v) \quad (x_1 * \cdots * x_m)^{(n)} = x_1^{(n)} * \cdots * x_m^{(n)},$$

$$(vi) \quad (x_1 \star \cdots \star x_m)^{[n]} = x_1^{[n]} \star \cdots \star x_m^{[n]},$$

for each $x, y, x_1, \dots, x_m \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. We only prove part (i). The proof for other parts is similar. For (i), we use induction on n . The statement is clear for $n = 1$. Let for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have been $(x \star y)^{(k)} \leq x \star y^{(k)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x \star y)^{(k+1)} &= (x \star y)^{(k)} \star (x \star y) \leq (x \star y^{(k)}) \star (x \star y) \\ &\leq x \star (y^{(k)} \star y) = x \star y^{(k+1)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.7. *Let \star and its dual, i.e. \star be continuous and semi-distributive. Then for every $x \in [0, 1]$ there exists $y \in [0, 1]$ such that $y^{(n)} = x$.*

Proof. If $\star = \min$ the statement is trivial, therefore we assume that $\star \neq \min$. Let us consider

$$E = \{t : t \in (0, 1), t^{(n)} < x\}.$$

If $t = \frac{x}{1+x}$ then $t^{(n)} \leq t < x$, therefore $E \neq \emptyset$. Also E is clearly bounded. Put $y = \sup(E)$. If $x = 1$ or 0 , then $y = 1$ or 0 , respectively. Now let $x \in (0, 1)$. First we show that $y^{(n)} \geq x$. Indeed if $y^{(n)} < x$, then there exists $h \in (0, 1)$ such that $y^{(n)} \star h < x$, so $(y \star h)^{(n)} < x$, that is $y \star h \in E$. Since $y < y \star h$, this is a contradiction. Next we show that $y^{(n)} \leq x$. Again if $y^{(n)} > x$, then there exists $h^{(n)} \in (0, 1)$ such that $y^{(n)} \star h^{(n)} > x$. Hence $(y \star h)^{(n)} > x$, i.e. $y \star h$ is an upper bound for E . Since $y > y \star h$, this is again a contradiction. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let \star and its dual, i.e. \star be continuous and semi-distributive. Then for every $x \in [0, 1]$ there exists $y \in [0, 1]$ such that $y^{[n]} = x$.*

Proof. If $\star = \max$ the statement is trivial, therefore we assume that $\star \neq \max$. Put

$$E = \{t : t \in [0, 1], t^{[n]} > x\}.$$

If $x = 1$ or 0 , then $y = 1$ or 0 , respectively. Let $0 < x < 1$, then if $t = \frac{x+1}{2}$, we have $t^{[n]} \geq t > x$, i.e. $E \neq \emptyset$. Clearly E is also bounded. Put $y = \inf(E)$. First we show that $y^{[n]} \geq x$. Let $y^{[n]} < x$, then there exists $h^{[n]} \in (0, 1)$ such that $y^{[n]} \star h^{[n]} < x$. Hence $(y \star h)^{[n]} < x$, that is $y \star h$ is not in E . Therefore $y \star h$ is a lower bound for E , and since $y < y \star h$, this is a contradiction. Next we show that $y^{[n]} \leq x$. Let $y^{[n]} > x$, then there exists $h \in (0, 1)$ such that $y^{[n]} \star h > x$. Hence $(y \star h)^{[n]} > x$, that is $y \star h \in E$, but $y > y \star h$ and this is a contradiction. \square

Note that, based on the above theorems, $x^{\frac{1}{(n)}}$ and $x^{\frac{1}{[n]}}$ are defined. In fact $(x^{\frac{1}{(n)}})^n = x$ and $(x^{\frac{1}{[n]}})^{[n]} = x$.

Corollary 2.9. *Let \star and \star be continuous and semi-distributive. Then for every $s \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, and $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{km}{kn} =: r$, we have:*

- (i) $(x^{\frac{1}{n}})^{(m)} = (x^{\frac{1}{kn}})^{(km)} = x^{\frac{m}{n}}$,
- (ii) $(x^{\frac{1}{n}})^{[m]} = (x^{\frac{1}{kn}})^{[km]} = x^{\frac{m}{n}}$,
- (iii) $x^{(r)} * x^{(s)} = x^{(r+s)}$,
- (iv) $x^{[r]} \star x^{[s]} = x^{[r+s]}$.

Proof. For (i) and (ii) see ([4], page 3). For (iii), let $s = \frac{p}{q}$ which $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^{(r+s)} &= x^{\left(\frac{m}{n} + \frac{p}{q}\right)} \\
 &= x^{\left(\frac{mq+pn}{nq}\right)} \\
 &= \left(x^{\left(\frac{1}{nq}\right)}\right)^{(mq)} * \left(x^{\left(\frac{1}{nq}\right)}\right)^{(pn)} \\
 &= \left(x^{\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}\right)^{(m)} * \left(x^{\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}\right)^{(p)} \\
 &= x^{(r)} * x^{(s)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (iv) is similar to (iii). □

Corollary 2.10. *Let $*$ and \star be continuous and semi-distributive and $x \in [0, 1]$ and $v, u \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then, if we define $x^{(v)} = \sup\{x^{(t)} : t \in \mathbb{Q}^+, t \geq v\}$ and $x^{[v]} = \sup\{x^{[t]} : t \in \mathbb{Q}^+, t \geq v\}$, we have $x^{(v)} * x^{(u)} = x^{(v+u)}$ and $x^{[v]} \star x^{[u]} = x^{[u+v]}$.*

Proof. If $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $x^{(r)} = \sup\{x^{(t)} : t \in \mathbb{Q}^+, t \geq r\}$, because if put $\beta = \sup\{x^{(t)} : t \in \mathbb{Q}^+, t \geq r\}$, then $x^{(r)} \leq \beta$ and so $x^{(s)} \leq x^{(r)}$ whenever $r \leq s$ therefore $\beta \leq x^{(r)}$. Hence the definition $x^{(v)} = \sup\{x^{(t)} : t \in \mathbb{Q}^+, t \geq v\}$, is defined for every $v \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The continue of proof is trivial with consider to sup-properties. □

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank to Professor Michal Baczyński for supplying useful materials during the preparation of this paper. He also read the first draft of this paper and gave us many useful comments to improve the paper.

References

- [1] E.P. Kelement, R. Mesiar, E. Pap, Triangular norms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **143** (2004), 5-26.
- [2] K. Menger, Stistical metrics, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **8** (1942), 535-537.

- [3] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **10** (1960), 314-334.
- [4] C.L. Walker, E.A. Walker, Powers of t-norms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **129** (2002), 1-18.
- [5] Deng Zi-Ke, Fuzzy pseudo metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **89** (1982), 74-95.