

ON GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF ISHIKAWA
ITERATIVE SCHEME WITH ERRORS OF
MULTI-VALUED MAPPINGS IN NORMED
LINEAR SPACES

Zeqing Liu¹, Shin Min Kang² §

¹Department of Mathematics
Liaoning Normal University
P.O. Box 200, Dalian, Liaoning, 116029, P.R. CHINA
e-mail: zeqingliu@dl.cn

²Department of Mathematics
Research Institute of Natural Sciences
Gyeongsang National University
Chinju, 660-701, KOREA
e-mail: smkang@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr

Abstract: In this paper we establish a few generic theorems of the Ishikawa iterative scheme with errors for a pair of multi-valued mappings in normed linear spaces. The results presented in this paper generalize the corresponding results due to Beg-Azam, Guay-Singh, Hu-Huang-Rhoades, Liu-Kang-Ume and Rashwan-Saddeek.

AMS Subject Classification: 54H25

Key Words: Ishikawa iterative scheme with errors, multi-valued mappings, common fixed point, normed linear space

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Guay-Singh [2] and Rashwan-Saddeek [5] established convergence of the Ishikawa iterative schemes for a pair of single-valued contractive type mappings. Beg-Azam [1] extended the results due to Guay-Singh [2] and Rashwan-Saddeek

Received: October 12, 2004

© 2004, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

[5] to multi-valued mappings. Hu-Huang-Rhoades [3] studied convergence of the Ishikawa iterative scheme for a pair of multi-valued mappings. Recently, Liu-Kang-Ume [4] investigated convergence of the Ishikawa iterative scheme for a pair of multi-valued mappings, which extend the results of Guay-Singh [2], Hu-Huang-Rhoades [3] and Rashwan-Saddeek [6].

The purpose of this paper is to establish a few generic theorems of the Ishikawa iterative scheme with errors for a pair of multi-valued mappings in normed linear spaces. The results presented in this paper generalize the corresponding results due to Beg-Azam [1], Hu-Huang-Rhoades [3], Liu-Kang-Ume [4] and Rashwan-Saddeek [6].

In what follows, we assume that (X, d) is a metric space, $CB(X)$ the collection of nonempty, closed and bounded subsets of X , and $H(A, B)$ is the Hausdorff metric on X . Define $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$ for any $A \subseteq X$ and $x \in X$.

Now we recall the following result and concept.

Lemma 1.1. (see Nadler [5]) *Suppose that A, B are in $CB(X)$ and a is in A . Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq H(A, B) + \epsilon$.*

Definition 1.1. Let K be a nonempty convex subset of a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and let $S, T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be multi-valued mappings. For any $x_0 \in K$, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} y_n &= a'_n x_n + b'_n t_n + c'_n v_n, & t_n &\in Tx_n, n \geq 0, \\ x_{n+1} &= a_n x_n + b_n s_n + c_n u_n, & s_n &\in Sy_n, n \geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

is called the *Ishikawa iterative scheme with errors*, where

$$\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ and } \{v_n\}_{n \geq 0} \text{ are arbitrary bounded sequences in } K, \quad (1.2)$$

$\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{a'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{b'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{c'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are real sequences with

$$0 \leq a_n, b_n, c_n, a'_n, b'_n, c'_n \leq 1, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$a_n + b_n + c_n = a'_n + b'_n + c'_n = 1, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (1.4)$$

It is clear that the Ishikawa iterative schemes can be obtained from the Ishikawa iterative scheme with errors by setting $c_n = c'_n = 0$ for $n \geq 0$. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that there exist $t_n \in Tx_n$ and $s_n \in Sy_n$ such that

$$\|t_n - s_n\| \leq H(Tx_n, Sy_n) + \epsilon_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon_n > 0, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Let R^+ denote the set of nonnegative real numbers and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \{ \phi : \phi : (R^+)^2 \rightarrow R^+ \text{ satisfies (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9)} \}, \\ \Psi &= \{ \psi : \psi : R^+ \rightarrow R^+ \text{ satisfies that } \psi(t) < t \text{ for any } t > 0 \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\phi(x, y) \text{ is nondecreasing in each coordinate variable,} \tag{1.7}$$

$$\phi(0, t) < t, \text{ for each } t > 0, \text{ and} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n, y_n) \leq \phi \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \right), \tag{1.9}$$

for any sequences $\{x_n\}_n \geq 0$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in R^+ .

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. *Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and let $S, T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be multi-valued mappings. Suppose that the Ishikawa iterative scheme with errors (1.1) with $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{c_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{a'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{b'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{c'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.3), (1.4)*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = b > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.2), and $\{s_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, $\{t_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6) converges strongly to a point p . If there exists $\phi \in \Phi$ and a nonnegative sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

such that, for all n sufficiently large, S and T satisfy

$$H(Tx_n, Sy_n) \leq \phi(\|x_n - s_n\|, \|x_n - t_n\|) + r_n \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H(Sp, Tx_n) &\leq \phi(\max\{\|x_n - p\|, d(p, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_n)\}, \\ &\quad \max\{d(p, Sp), d(x_n, Sp)\}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

then p is a fixed point of S . Furthermore, if there exists an $\psi \in \Psi$ such that

$$H(Tp, Sp) \leq \psi(d(p, Tp) + d(p, Sp)), \quad (2.6)$$

then p is a common fixed point of S and T .

Proof. Using (1.1) and (1.4), we know that, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|a_n(x_n - p) + b_n(s_n - p) + c_n(u_n - p)\| \\ &\geq b_n\|s_n - p\| - a_n\|x_n - p\| - c_n\|u_n - p\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$b_n\|s_n - p\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - p\| + a_n\|x_n - p\| + c_n\|u_n - p\|, \quad (2.7)$$

for any $n \geq 0$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$, it follows from (1.2), (1.3), (2.1), (2.2) and (2.7) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n - p\| = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

In the light of (1.5), (1.7) and (2.4), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_n - p\| &\leq \|t_n - s_n\| + \|s_n - p\| \\ &\leq H(Tx_n, Sy_n) + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\| \\ &\leq \phi(\|x_n - s_n\|, \|x_n - t_n\|) + r_n + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\| \\ &\leq \phi(\|x_n - p\| + \|s_n - p\|, \|x_n - p\| + \|t_n - p\|) \\ &\quad + r_n + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for all n sufficiently large. By virtue of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$, (1.6), (1.7), (1.9), (2.3), (2.8) and (2.9), we easily conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n - p\| \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\|x_n - p\| + \|s_n - p\|, \|x_n - p\| + \|t_n - p\|) \\ &\quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (r_n + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\|) \\ &\leq \phi\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\|x_n - p\| + \|s_n - p\|), \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n - p\| + \|t_n - p\|)\right) \\ &\leq \phi\left(0, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n - p\|\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

It follows from (1.8) and (2.10) that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n - p\| = 0$, which means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = p. \quad (2.11)$$

Using (2.5) and $t_n \in Tx_n, s_n \in Sy_n$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(p, Sp) &\leq \|t_n - p\| + d(t_n, Sp) \\
 &\leq \|t_n - p\| + H(Tx_n, Sp) \\
 &\leq \|t_n - p\| + \phi(\max\{\|x_n - p\|, d(p, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_n)\}, \\
 &\quad \max\{d(p, Sp), d(x_n, Sp)\}) \\
 &\leq \|t_n - p\| + \phi(\max\{\|x_n - p\|, \|t_n - p\|, \|x_n - t_n\|\}, \\
 &\quad \|x_n - p\| + d(p, Sp)), \tag{2.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all n sufficiently large. According to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$, (1.7), (1.9), (2.8), (2.11) and (2.12), we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &d(p, Sp) \\
 &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(\max\{\|x_n - p\|, \|t_n - p\|, \|x_n - t_n\|\}, \|x_n - p\| + d(p, Sp)) \\
 &\leq \phi(0, d(p, Sp)). \tag{2.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.8) and (2.13) that $p \in Sp$. In view of (2.6), we have

$$d(p, Tp) \leq H(Tp, Sp) \leq \psi(d(p, Tp) + d(p, Sp)) = \psi(d(p, Tp)),$$

which implies that $p \in Tp$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and let $S, T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be multi-valued mappings. Suppose that the Ishikawa iterative scheme with errors (1.1) with $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{c_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{a'_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{b'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{c'_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.3), (1.4), (2.1), (2.2) and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c'_n = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

$\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.2), and $\{s_n\}_{n \geq 0}, \{t_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.5) and (1.6) converges strongly to a point p . If there exists an $\phi \in \Phi$ and a nonnegative sequence $\{r_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that, for all n sufficiently large, S and T satisfy

$$H(Tx_n, Sy_n) \leq \phi(\|x_n - s_n\|, \max\{\|x_n - t_n\|, \|y_n - t_n\|\}) + r_n, \tag{2.15}$$

(2.3) and (2.5), then p is a fixed point of S . Furthermore, if there exists an $\psi \in \Psi$ such that (2.6) holds, then p is a common fixed point of S and T .

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we conclude that (2.8) holds. It follows from (1.5), (1.7) and (2.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|t_n - p\| \\
& \leq \|t_n - s_n\| + \|s_n - p\| \\
& \leq H(Tx_n, Sy_n) + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\| \\
& \leq \phi(\|x_n - s_n\|, \max\{\|x_n - t_n\|, \|y_n - t_n\|\}) + r_n + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\| \\
& \leq \phi(\|x_n - s_n\|, \max\{\|x_n - p\| + \|t_n - p\|, a'_n\|x_n - t_n\| \\
& \quad + c'_n\|v_n - t_n\|\}) + r_n + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\| \\
& \leq \phi(\|x_n - s_n\|, \max\{\|x_n - p\| + \|t_n - p\|, a'_n\|x_n - p\| \\
& \quad + (a'_n + c'_n)\|t_n - p\| + c'_n\|v_n - p\|\}) + r_n + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\| \\
& \leq \phi(\|x_n - s_n\|, \max\{\|x_n - p\| + \|t_n - p\|, a'_n\|x_n - p\| + \|t_n - p\| \\
& \quad + c'_n\|v_n - p\|\}) + r_n + \epsilon_n + \|s_n - p\|, \tag{2.16}
\end{aligned}$$

for all n sufficiently large. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$, by (1.2), (1.6), (1.7), (1.9), (2.3), (2.8), (2.14) and (2.16), we see that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n - p\| \leq \phi\left(0, \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n - p\|\right),$$

which gives that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n - p\| = 0$. The rest of the proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 2.1. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.1. In case $c_n = c'_n = 0$ for $n \geq 0$, then Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 reduce to two results due to Liu-Kang-Ume [4], in turn, which extend Theorem 1.1 of Guay-Singh [2], Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 of Hu-Huang-Rhoades [3] and Theorem 2.2 of Rashwan-Saddeek [6].

Theorem 2.3. *Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and let $S, T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be multi-valued mappings satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(Tx, Sy) \\
& \leq q \max\{k\|x - y\|, d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy), d(x, Sy) + d(y, Tx)\}, \tag{2.17}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in K$, where $k \geq 0$ and $0 < q < 1$. If there exists a point $x_0 \in K$ such that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.1)-(1.6), (2.1), (2.2), (2.14) and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b'_n = 0 \tag{2.18}$$

converges strongly to a point p , then p is a common fixed point of S and T .

Proof. According to (1.1), (1.4) and (2.17), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &H(Tx_n, Sy_n) \\
 &\leq q \max\{k\|x_n - y_n\|, d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(y_n, Sy_n), d(x_n, Sy_n) + d(y_n, Tx_n)\} \\
 &\quad \leq q \max\{kb'_n\|x_n - t_n\| + kc'_n\|v_n - x_n\|, \|x_n - t_n\| + \|y_n - s_n\|, \\
 &\quad\quad\quad \|x_n - s_n\| + \|y_n - t_n\|\} \\
 &\quad \leq q \max\{kb'_n\|x_n - t_n\| + kc'_n\|v_n - x_n\|, (1 + b'_n)\|x_n - t_n\| \\
 &\quad\quad + c'_n\|v_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - s_n\|, \|x_n - s_n\| + \|y_n - t_n\|\} \\
 &\leq q\|x_n - s_n\| + \max\{qkb'_n, q(1 + b'_n)\} \max\{\|x_n - t_n\|, \|y_n - t_n\|\} \\
 &\quad\quad\quad + \max\{1, k\}qc'_n\|v_n - x_n\|, \quad (2.19)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &H(Tx_n, Sp) \\
 &\leq q \max\{k\|x_n - p\|, d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(p, Sp), d(x_n, Sp) + d(p, Tx_n)\} \\
 &\leq q \max\{k\|x_n - p\|, d(x_n, Tx_n), d(p, Tx_n)\} \\
 &\quad + q \max\{d(p, Sp), d(x_n, Sp)\}, \quad (2.20)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(Tp, Sp) &\leq q \max\{0, d(p, Tp) + d(p, Sp), d(p, Sp) + d(p, Tp)\} \\
 &= q[d(p, Tp) + d(p, Sp)]. \quad (2.21)
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $r_n = \max\{1, k\}qc'_n\|v_n - x_n\|$ for each $n \geq 0$. Since $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ converges strongly to p and $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded, by (2.14), we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$. In view of (2.18), there exists $\beta \in (q, 1)$ satisfying

$$\max\{qkb'_n, q(1 + b'_n)\} < \beta, \quad (2.22)$$

for all n sufficiently large. Set $\phi(x, y) = qx + \beta y$ and $\psi(x) = qx$. It follows from (2.19)-(2.22) that (2.3), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.15) are satisfied. By Theorem 2.2, we know that p is a common fixed point of S and T . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. Theorem 1 of Beg-Azam [1] and Corollary 1 of Hu-Huang-Rhoades [3] are special cases of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. *Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and let $S, T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be multi-valued mappings*

satisfying

$$H(Tx, Sy) \leq \max \left\{ \|x - y\|, \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Tx) + d(y, Sy)], \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Sy) + d(y, Tx)] \right\}, \quad (2.23)$$

for all $x, y \in K$. If there exists a point $x_0 \in K$ such that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.1)-(1.6), (2.1), (2.2), (2.14) and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b'_n < 1 \quad (2.24)$$

converges strongly to a point p , then p is a common fixed point of S and T .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that (2.3), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.15) are fulfilled. It follows from (1.1), (1.4) and (2.23) that

$$\begin{aligned} & H(Tx_n, Sy_n) \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - y_n\|, \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(y_n, Sy_n)], \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, Sy_n) + d(y_n, Tx_n)] \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ b'_n \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\|, \frac{1}{2}[(1 + b'_n)\|x_n - t_n\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - s_n\|], \frac{1}{2}[\|x_n - s_n\| + \|y_n - t_n\|] \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x_n - s_n\| + \frac{1}{2}(1 + b'_n) \max\{\|x_n - t_n\|, \|y_n - t_n\|\} + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & H(Tx_n, Sp) \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - p\|, \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(p, Sp)], \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, Sp) + d(p, Tx_n)] \right\} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - p\|, d(x_n, Tx_n), d(p, Tx_n) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \max\{d(p, Sp), d(x_n, Sp)\}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} H(Tp, Sp) & \leq \max \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{2}[d(p, Tp) + d(p, Sp)], \frac{1}{2}[d(p, Sp) + d(p, Tp)] \right\} \\ & = \frac{1}{2}[d(p, Tp) + d(p, Sp)]. \end{aligned}$$

Set $r_n = c'_n \|v_n - x_n\|$ for all $n \geq 0$. It is easy to verify that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$. (2.24) yields that there exists some $\beta \in (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} b'_n, 1)$ such that $\frac{1}{2}(1 + b'_n) < \beta$ for all n sufficiently large. Put $\phi(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}x + \beta y$ and $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$. Thus (2.3), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.15) are fulfilled. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.5. *Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and let $S, T : K \rightarrow CB(K)$ be multi-valued mappings satisfying*

$$H(Tx, Sy) \leq q \max \left\{ \|x - y\|, \frac{d(y, Sy)[1 + d(x, Tx)]}{1 + \|x - y\|}, \frac{d(x, Sy)[1 + d(x, Tx) + d(y, Tx)]}{2[1 + \|x - y\|]} \right\}, \tag{2.25}$$

for all $x, y \in K$, where $0 < q < 1$. If there exists a point $x_0 \in K$ such that $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.1)-(1.6), (2.1), (2.2), (2.14) and (2.18) converges strongly to a point p , then p is a common fixed point of S and T .

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = p. \tag{2.26}$$

Put $r_n = qc'_n(\|v_n - x_n\| + \|v_n - t_n\|)$. Thus (1.2), (2.14), (2.18), (2.26) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = p$ ensure that there exists some β such that

$$\|x_n - s_n\| < 1, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\max \left\{ 1 + b'_n, \frac{1 + \|x_n - t_n\|}{1 + \|x_n - p\|}, \frac{1 + \|x_n - t_n\| + \|t_n - p\|}{2(1 + \|x_n - p\|)} \right\} < \beta < 1, \tag{2.28}$$

for all n sufficiently large and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0. \tag{2.29}$$

Observe that (1.1), (1.4), (2.25), (2.27) and (2.28) yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(Tx_n, Sy_n) \\
\leq & q \max \left\{ \|x_n - y_n\|, \frac{d(y_n, Sy_n)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n)]}{1 + \|x_n - y_n\|}, \right. \\
& \left. \frac{d(x_n, Sy_n)[1 + d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(y_n, Tx_n)]}{2(1 + \|x_n - y_n\|)} \right\} \\
\leq & q \max \left\{ b'_n \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\|, \right. \\
& \frac{(b'_n \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - s_n\|)(1 + \|x_n - t_n\|)}{1 + b'_n \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\|}, \\
& \left. \frac{\|x_n - s_n\|(1 + \|x_n - t_n\| + a'_n \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - t_n\|)}{2(1 + b'_n \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\|)} \right\} \\
\leq & q \max \{ b'_n \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\|, \\
& (1 + b'_n) \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - s_n\|, \\
& \frac{1}{2} [\|x_n - s_n\| + (1 + a'_n) \|x_n - t_n\| + c'_n \|v_n - t_n\|] \} \\
\leq & q \|x_n - s_n\| + q(1 + b'_n) \|x_n - t_n\| + qc'_n (\|v_n - x_n\| + \|v_n - t_n\|) \\
\leq & q \|x_n - s_n\| + \|x_n - t_n\| + r_n, \tag{2.30}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& H(Tx_n, Sp) \\
\leq & q \max \left\{ \|x_n - p\|, \frac{d(p, Sp)(1 + \|x_n - t_n\|)}{1 + \|x_n - p\|}, \right. \\
& \left. \frac{d(x_n, Sp)[1 + \|x_n - t_n\| + \|p - t_n\|]}{2(1 + \|x_n - p\|)} \right\} \\
\leq & q \|x_n - p\| + q \max \left\{ \frac{1 + \|x_n - t_n\|}{1 + \|x_n - p\|}, \frac{1 + \|x_n - t_n\| + \|t_n - p\|}{2(1 + \|x_n - p\|)} \right\} \\
& \times \max \{ d(p, Sp), d(x_n, Sp) \} \\
\leq & q \|x_n - p\| + \beta \max \{ d(p, Sp), d(x_n, Sp) \}, \tag{2.31}
\end{aligned}$$

for all n sufficiently large. Set $\phi(x, y) = qx + \beta y$. It follows from (2.30) and (2.31) that (2.5) and (2.15) are satisfied. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that p is

a fixed point of S . By virtue of (2.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H(Sp, Tp) \\ & \leq q \max \left\{ 0, d(p, Sp)[1 + d(p, Tp)], \frac{1}{2}d(p, Sp)[1 + d(p, Tp) + d(p, Sp)] \right\} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

That is, (2.6) is fulfilled trivially. Thus p is a common fixed points of S and T by Theorem 2.2. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.3. Theorem 2.5 generalizes Corollary 3 of Hu-Huang-Rhoades [3].

3. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2000-015-DP0013).

References

- [1] I. Beg, A. Azam, On iteration methods for multivalued mappings, *Demonstratio Math.*, **27** (1994), 493-499.
- [2] M. D. Guay, K.L. Singh, Convergence of sequence of iteraties for a pair of mappings, *J. Math. Phys. Sci.*, **18** (1984), 461-470.
- [3] T. Hu, J.C. Huang, B.E. Rhoades, A general principle for Ishikawa iterations for multi-valued mappings, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, **28** (1997), 1091-1098.
- [4] Z. Liu, S.M. Kang, J.S. Ume, General principles for Ishikaw iterative process for multi-valued mappings, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **34** (2003), 157-162.
- [5] S.B. Nadler, Jr., r Multi-valued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **30** (1969), 475-488.
- [6] R.A. Rashwan, A.M. Saddeek, On the Ishikawa iteration process in Hilbert spaces, *Collect. Math.*, **45** (1994), 45-52.

