

RING-THEORETIC INTERPOLATION  
FOR POLYNOMIALS

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics  
University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

**Abstract:** Here we study the interpolation of multi-homogeneous polynomial defined over a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14N05, 13F20

**Key Words:** interpolation for polynomials, interpolation over a commutative ring

\*

Fix integers  $s > 0$ ,  $n_i > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $d_i > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , a subring  $R$  of the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$  containing 1 and subsets  $\Gamma_{i,j} \subseteq R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ , such that  $\sharp(\Gamma_{i,j}) \geq d_i + 1$  for all  $i, j$ . Use the coordinates  $x_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ , on the affine space  $\mathbb{A}^{n_1+\dots+n_s}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Set  $\mathbb{A}_R^{n_1+\dots+n_s} := \{P \in: \mathbb{A}^{n_1+\dots+n_s} : x_{i,j}(P) \in R \text{ for all } i, j\}$  and  $\Gamma := \{P \in: \mathbb{A}^{n_1+\dots+n_s} : x_{i,j}(P) \in \Gamma_{i,j} \text{ for all } i, j\} = \prod_{i=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma_{i,j}$ . Let  $R\langle d_1, \dots, d_s \rangle$  denote the  $R$ -module of all polynomials in the variables  $x_{i,j}$  with coefficients in  $R$  and such that their total degree with respect to each set of variables  $x_{i,j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ , is at most  $d_i$ . Hence  $R\langle d_1, \dots, d_s \rangle$  is a free  $R$ -module with rank  $\prod_{i=1}^s \binom{n_i+d_i}{n_i}$ . Our main interest is when  $R$  is the ring of integers of a number field. We believe that our set-up (and in particular the stress on the sets  $\Gamma_{i,j}$ ) is quite useful to obtain by reduction modulo  $p$  explicit solutions for interpolation problems over finite

fields. For the case of multi-homogeneous polynomials over  $\mathbb{R}$ , their application and the terminology used in applied mathematics, see [2] and references therein.

**Remark 1.** Since  $\sharp(\Gamma_{i,j}) \geq d_i$  for all  $i, j$  the restriction map  $\rho_\Gamma$  defined by  $f \mapsto f|_\Gamma$  from  $R\langle d_1, \dots, d_s \rangle$  into the interpolation space  $R^\Gamma$  is injective. If this  $R$ -linear map  $\rho_\Gamma$  is surjective, then  $n_i = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $\sharp(\Gamma_{i,j}) = d_i + 1$  for all  $i, j$ . The converse is true when  $R$  is a field, but not in general as the following example shows. Take  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $d_1 = 1$  and  $\Gamma_{1,1} = \{0, 2\}$ : every polynomial with integer coefficients vanishing at 0 has even value at 2. This is not due to the bad choice of the set  $\{0, 2\}$  (in general), but to a fundamental problem when  $R$  is not a field. Indeed, it is quite common that we are forced to take denominators. Take for instance  $s = n = 1$ , arbitrary  $d_1$  and  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . There is no basis of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of all integer valued polynomial functions in one-variable of degree at most  $d \geq 2$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  given by polynomials with integer coefficients: one is forced to use integer valued polynomial functions induced by suitable binomial coefficients. For any integer  $\gamma > 0$  we will write  $R_\gamma$  for the ring of fractions of  $R$  obtained inverting  $\gamma$ , i.e. obtained inverting every prime dividing  $\gamma$ . Call  $\rho_{\Gamma, \gamma}$  the restriction map from  $R_\gamma\langle d_1, \dots, d_s \rangle$  into the interpolation space  $R_\gamma^\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Fix integers  $s, n_i > 0, d_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq s$ , and the ring  $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the products of all primes  $p \leq \prod_{i=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \binom{n_i+d_i}{n_i}$ . Then there exist  $\Gamma_{i,j} \subset R$  such that  $\Gamma_{i,j} = d_i + 1$  and for every integer  $t \leq \prod_{i=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \binom{n_i+d_i}{n_i}$  there exists  $S \subset \Gamma$  such that  $\sharp(S) = t$  and the restriction map  $\rho_S : R\langle d_1, \dots, d_s \rangle \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(d_1, \dots, d_s))$  is injective. Now assume  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and set  $\Gamma_{i,j} := \{a \in \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq a \leq d_i + 1\}$ . Then there is  $S$  as above such that  $\rho_{S, \gamma}$  is injective and with free cokernel as  $\mathbb{Z}_\gamma$ -module.*

*Proof.* Taking a suitable  $A_i \subset \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma_{i,j}$  we easily reduce to the case  $s = 1$ . Hence we will assume  $s = 1$ . For the first assertion, just use the first part of Remark 1. For the second part use the last sentence of Remark 1.  $\square$

Let  $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$  be a zero-dimensional scheme. For every  $s$ -ple of integers  $(t_1, \dots, t_s)$  let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{Z; n_1, \dots, n_s; t_1, \dots, t_s} : H^0(\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}}(t_1, \dots, t_s)) \\ \rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(t_1, \dots, t_s)) \end{aligned}$$

be the restriction map. We will say that  $Z$  is a *dot* if it is connected and  $\text{length}(Z) = 2$ . Now see  $\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$  as a compactification of  $\mathbb{A}^{n_1 + \dots + n_s}$ . A dot will be called an *R-dot* (for this fixed choice of the compactification) if  $Z_{red} \in R^{n_1 + \dots + n_s}$  and the line  $\langle Z \rangle$  of  $R^{n_1 + \dots + n_s}$  spanned by  $Z$  is defined over  $R$ .

An  $R$ -dot will be called a *vertical  $R$ -dot* if the following very strong condition is satisfied; let  $x_{i,j}(P) \in R$  be the coordinates of the point  $P := Z_{red}$ ; then there is an integer  $i_0$  such that every  $Q \in \langle Z \rangle$  is contained in the product of  $\mathbb{A}^{n_{i_0}}$  has coordinates  $x_{i,j}(Q) = x_{i,j}(P)$  for all  $i \neq i_0$ . Since  $R$  is infinite, the proof of [1], Theorem 1.1, gives easily the first assertion of the following result. The second assertion easily follows from the omitted proof. However, we do not know if the bounds  $\sharp(\Gamma_{i,j}) \geq t_1 + \dots + t_s + 1$  for all  $i, j$  is sharp; we conjecture that it is sufficient to assume  $\sharp(\Gamma_{i,j}) \geq 1 + \max\{t_1, \dots, t_s\}$  for all  $i, j$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Fix integers  $s > 0$ ,  $n_i \geq 2$ ,  $t_i > 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , and  $z$  such that  $2z \leq \prod_{i=1}^s \prod j = 1^{n_i} \binom{n_i+t_i}{n_i}$ . Then there is a union  $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}$  of  $z$  vertical  $R$ -dots such that  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{P}^{n_s}, \mathcal{I}_Z(t_1, \dots, t_s)) = 0$ . Furthermore, for every  $\Gamma_{i,j} \subset R$  such that  $\sharp(\Gamma_{i,j}) \geq t_1 + \dots + t_s + 1$  for all  $i, j$  there is  $Z$  as above such that  $Z_{red} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^s \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma_{i,j}$ .*

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

- [1] E. Ballico, Interpolation of homogeneous polynomials over a finite field, *Preprint*.
- [2] R.A. Lorentz, *Multivariate Birkhoff Interpolation*, Lect. Notes in Math., **1516**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1992).

