

**STABLE COHERENT SYSTEMS (E, V) WITH
 $\text{rank}(E) = \dim(V)$ ON PROJECTIVE VARIETIES**

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

Abstract: Fix an integer $n \geq 2$, an integral projective variety X , a rank n torsion free sheaf E on X and an ample line bundle H on X . Here we prove the existence of an integer t_0 and a positive real number α_0 (depending only from X , E and H) such that for all integers $t \geq t_0$ there is an n -dimensional linear subspace $W \subseteq H^0(X, E(tH))$ such that the coherent pair $(E(tH), W)$ is not α -stable (with respect to the polarization H) for all real numbers $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$.

AMS Subject Classification: 14J60, 14H60

Key Words: coherent system, stable vector bundle, unstable coherent system

1. Introduction

For the general theory of coherent systems, see [2], [5] and [6]. Here we work over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} . In Section 2 we will prove the following result, which is an extension of [1], Theorem 1, to the case $k = n$.

Theorem 1. *Fix an integer $n \geq 2$, an integral projective variety X , a rank n vector bundle E on X and an ample line bundle H on X . There is an integer t_0 (depending only from n, X, H, E) such that for all integers $t \geq t_0$ a general n -dimensional linear subspace V of $H^0(X, E(tH))$ spans $E(tH)$ and the coherent system $(E(tH), V)$ is α -stable with respect to the polarization H for every $\alpha \gg 0$.*

To state the aim of the last part of Introduction we introduce the following definition.

Definition 1. Let X be an integral projective variety and (E, V) a coherent system on X . A partial subsystem of (E, V) is a coherent system (E, W) on X with W a linear subspace of V .

Question 1. Let X be an integral projective variety and (E, V) a coherent system on X . Study the α -stability (with respect to a certain polarization H on X and for certain $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) of the partial subsystems (E, W) of (E, V) when W varies in the Grassmannian $Gr(x, V)$ of all x -dimensional linear subspaces of V .

To study Question 1 in one case we will use the following result, i.e. [1], Theorem 2.

Proposition 1. Fix integers $k > n \geq 2$, an integral projective variety X , a rank n vector bundle E on X and an ample line bundle H on X . There is an integer t_0 (depending only from k, n, X, H, E) such that for all integers $t \geq t_0$ a general k -dimensional linear subspace V of $E(tH)$ spans $E(tH)$ and the natural map $u_{(E,V)} : \bigwedge^n(V) \rightarrow H^0(X, \det(E)(ntH))$ is injective.

As an immediate corollary of Proposition 1 and of the proof of [1], Theorem 1, we get the following result.

Corollary 1. Fix integers $k \geq n + 2 \geq 2$, an integral projective variety X , a rank n vector bundle E on X and an ample line bundle H on X . There is an integer t_0 and $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ (depending only from n, X, H, E) such that for all integers $t \geq t_0$ a general k dimensional linear subspace $V \subseteq H^0(X, E(tH))$ has the following property: for all integers x such that $n < x \leq k$, all real numbers $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and all x -dimensional linear subspace W of V the coherent system $(E(tH), W)$ is α -stable with respect to the polarization H .

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof of Theorem 1. We divide the proof into two parts, the first one being subdivided several times.

(i) Here we assume $\dim(X) = 1$. There is $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > 0$ such that $\mu(A) \leq \mu(E) + \beta$ for all non-zero subsheaves of H ; here we compute the slope μ with respect to the polarization H . For this choice of the polarization we have $\mu(B) \leq \mu(E(zH)) + \beta$ for every $z \in Z$ and every non-zero subsheaf B of $E(zH)$. Let m be any integer such that mH is very ample. Since $\deg(H) > 0$, it is easy

to see that we may take $m \geq 2p_a(X) + 1$, and hence m depends only from X , not from the choice of H . Since H is ample, there is an integer t_1 (depending only from $p_a(X)$ and the constant β just introduced) such that for all integers $t \geq t_1$ the sheaf $E(tH)$ is spanned by its global sections. Fix any integer $t \geq t_1$. Since $E(tH)$ is spanned, the evaluation map $i_{t,W} : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes W \rightarrow E(tH)$ is an injective map of sheaves when W is a general n -dimensional linear subspace of $H^0(X, E(tH))$. Since $\dim(X) = 1$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes W \rightarrow E(tH) \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0, \tag{1}$$

in which G is a skyscraper sheaf. Since $\dim(X) = 1$ and $\dim(\text{Sing}(X)) = 0$, we may also assume that $i_{t,W}$ is surjective at each point of $\text{Sing}(X)$ at which E is locally free and that $i_{t,W}$ has rank at least $n - 1$ at each point of X_{reg} , i.e. for every $P \in X_{reg} \cap \text{Supp}(G)$ there is a one dimensional linear subspace J_P of W such that J_P is the kernel of the composition of the map $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes W \rightarrow E(tH)$ with the restriction map $H^0(X, E(tH)) \rightarrow H^0(\{P\}, E(tH)|_{\{P\}})$. Hence we obtain a finite family $\{J_P\}_{P \in X_{reg} \cap \text{Supp}(G)}$ of one-dimensional linear subspaces. If $t \geq t_1 + 2m$, we may even assume that $H^0(X, E(tH))$ spans the jet sheaf of E at each point $P \in X_{reg}$. Hence for general W we may also assume $h^0(X, A) = 1$ for every non-zero subsheaf A of G supported by a smooth point of X . Let G_s the part of G supported by the singular points of X . Set $\gamma(t) := h^0(X, G_s)$ for a general n -dimensional linear subspace of $H^0(X, E(tH))$. We just saw that $\gamma(t') = \gamma(t)$ for all $t' \geq t \geq t_1 + 2m$ and that the non-negative integer $\gamma(t)$ is a measure of the non-local freeness of the torsion free sheaf E . Set $\gamma := \gamma(t)$ for any $t \geq t_1 + 2m$. Varying W among the sufficiently general n -dimensional linear subspaces of $H^0(X, E(tH))$ we obtain a group $J(t)$ of permutations of the set $\Sigma := X_{reg} \cap \text{Supp}(G)$. There is an integer $t_2 \geq t_1 + 2m$ (depending only from $p_a(X)$ and E) such that $h^0(X, E(tH)) \geq 6n^2$ and $\deg(E(tH)) \geq 6 + \gamma$ for every $t \geq t_2$. For every $Q \in \text{Sing}(X)$ let $\Delta_Q(E)$ denote the minimal length of the cokernel of an injective map $\mathcal{O}_{X,Q}^{\oplus n} \rightarrow E_Q$ of $\mathcal{O}_{X,Q}$ -modules, where E_Q denotes the germ of E at Q ([3], Definition 2.2.3; for a related invariant, see [4]). Hence $\Delta_Q(E) = 0$ if and only if E is locally free at Q and $\Delta_Q(E(tH)) = \Delta_Q(E)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $\Delta(E) := \sum_{Q \in \text{Sing}(X)} \Delta_Q(E)$. Hence $\Delta(E) = \Delta(E(tH))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First Claim. For $t \geq t_2 + 12m$ the permutation group $J(t)$ is either the full symmetric group of Σ or the alternating group of Σ .

Proof of the First Claim. By the classification of 6-transitive finite permutation groups ([7], Theorem 2.4), it is sufficient to show that $J(t)$ is 6-transitive. For any $P \in X_{reg}$ set $I(t, P) := \{f \in H^0(X, E(tH)) : f|_{\{P\}} = 0\}$. Since

$E(tH)$ is spanned, $I(t, P)$ is a codimension n linear subspace of $H^0(X, E(tH))$. We fix an integer b such that $0 \leq b \leq b+1$ and assume that Σ is b -transitive. To prove First Claim in six steps it is sufficient to prove that $J(t)$ is $(b+1)$ -transitive. Fix $b+1$ general points P_1, \dots, P_b, Q of X_{reg} . Since mH is very ample and $E((t-6m)H)$ is spanned, we see that for general W the $(b+1)$ codimension n linear spaces $I(t, P_1) \cap W, \dots, I(t, P_b) \cap W, I(t, Q) \cap W$ are general in W . Move around Q in X_{reg} and use that X is irreducible. \square

Second Claim. *Use all the notation introduced in the proof of First Claim and fix a general n -dimensional linear subspace W of $H^0(X, E(tH))$ and take the associated $\Sigma := X_{reg} \cap \text{Supp}(G)$. Then for any subset S of Σ the linear span of $\cup_{P \in S} (I(t, P) \cap W)$ has dimension $\min\{n, \sharp(S)\}$.*

Proof of the Second Claim. The last part of the proof of First Claim proved Second Claim when $\sharp(S) \leq 6$. To get the general case it is sufficient to prove Second Claim for all S such that $\sharp(S) \leq n$. To get the general case use that $J(t)$ is at least $(\sharp(\Sigma) - 2)$ -transitive. \square

Third Claim. *Fix any integer $t \geq t_2 + 12m$ and any general n -dimensional linear subspace W of $H^0(X, E(tH))$. Then for any integer x such that $1 \leq x < n$ and any rank x subsheaf F of $E(tH)$ we have $\dim(W \cap H^0(X, F)) \leq x$.*

Proof of Third Claim. Just use the injectivity of the map of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes W \rightarrow E(tH)$. \square

Fourth Claim. *Fix any integer $t \geq t_2 + 12m$ and any general n -dimensional linear subspace W of $H^0(X, E(tH))$. Then for any integer x such that $1 \leq x < n$ and any x -dimensional linear subspace M of W , the saturation in $E(tH)$ of the image $A(M)$ of the evaluation map $u : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes M \rightarrow E(tH)$ has saturation with degree at most $x + \Delta(E)$.*

Proof of Fourth Claim. By Second Claim there are at most x points $P \in X_{reg} \cap \text{Supp}(G)$ such that $J(t, P) \cap W \subseteq M$. For any $Q \in \text{Sing}(X)$ the map u has local degree at most $\Delta_Q(E)$. \square

Fix any integer $t \geq t_2 + 12m$, any integer x such that $1 \leq x < n$ and any general n -dimensional linear subspace W of $H^0(X, E(tH))$. Let (F, M) be a coherent subsystem of $(E(tH), W)$ such that $\text{rank}(F) = x$. By Fourth Claim either $\dim(M) \leq x - 1$ or $\text{deg}(F) \leq x + \gamma$. First, assume $\dim(M) \leq x - 1$. Since $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E(tH)) + \beta$, we have $\mu_\alpha(F, M) < \mu_\alpha(E(tH))$ if $\alpha > (x - 1)\beta/x$. Notice that $(x - 1)\beta/x$ depends only from E , not from t . Now assume $\text{deg}(F) \leq x + \gamma + \Delta(E)$. Since $\mu(E(tH)) = \mu(E) + t\text{deg}(H)$, there is an integer $t_0 \geq t_2 + 12m$, such that $\mu(E(tH)) > 1 + \gamma + \Delta(E)$. By Third Claim we have $\mu_\alpha(F, M) < \mu_\alpha(E(tH))$ for every $\alpha \geq 0$, concluding the proof when X is a curve. \square

(ii) Here we assume $\dim(X) > 1$. By part (i) we may assume that the result is true for all varieties X' such that $1 \leq \dim(X') < \dim(X)$. Since H is ample, there is an integer m such that mH is very ample. Hence X is covered by a family of hypersurfaces $\{Y_c\}$ with $Y_c \in |mH|$. Furthermore, for $t \gg 0$ we may also assume $h^1(X, E(t-m)) = 0$. Hence the restriction map $H^0(X, E(tH)) \rightarrow H^0(Y_c, (E|_{Y_c})(t(H|_{Y_c})))$ is surjective for all Y_c . Take any subcoherent system (F, M) of $(E(tH), W)$ and apply the inductive assumption to its restriction to Y_c with respect to the polarization $H|_{Y_c}$. \square

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

- [1] E. Ballico, Stable coherent systems on integral projective varieties: an asymptotic existence theorem, *Preprint*.
- [2] S.B. Bradlow, O. Garcia-Prada, V. Muñoz, P.E. Newstead, Coherent systems and Brill-Noether theory, *Internat. J. Math.*, **14**, No. 7 (2003), 683-733.
- [3] Ph.R. Cook, *Local and Global Aspects of the Moduli Theory of Singular Curves*, PhD Thesis, Liverpool (1993).
- [4] G.-M. Greuel, G. Pfister, Moduli spaces for torsion free modules on curve singularities I, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **2**, No. 1 (1993), 85-105.
- [5] A. King, P.E. Newstead, Moduli of Brill-Noether pairs on algebraic curves, *Internat. J. Math.*, **6**, No. 5 (1995), 733-748.
- [6] J. Le Potier, Faisceaux semi-stable et systèmes cohérents, In: *Vector bundles in Algebraic Geometry*, Durham 1993 (Ed-s: N.J. Hitchin, P.E. Newstead, W.M. Oxbury), LMS Lecture Notes Series, **208** (1993), 179-239.
- [7] J. Rathmann, The uniform position principle for curves in characteristic p , *Math. Ann.*, **276**, No. 4 (1987), 565-579.

