

MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS AND CARLESON
MEASURES ON NONHOMOGENEOUS SPACES

Yasuo Komori

School of High Technology for Human Welfare

Tokai University

317 Nishino Numazu-City, Shizuoka, 410-0395, JAPAN

e-mail: komori@wing.ncc.u-tokai.ac.jp

Abstract: Ruiz and Torrea showed the boundedness of some maximal operators of Fefferman and Stein type with respect to Carleson measure on R_+^{n+1} . In this paper we consider similar results on nonhomogeneous spaces.

AMS Subject Classification: 42B25

Key Words: maximal function, Carleson measure, non-doubling measure

1. Introduction

Let M be the maximal operator such that

$$Mf(x, t) = \sup_Q \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy \quad (x \in R^n, t \geq 0),$$

where the supremum is taken over the balls Q centered at x and whose radii are greater than t . Carleson [2] proved the following:

$$\int_{R_+^{n+1}} Mf(x, t)^p d\mu(x, t) \leq C \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p dx \quad (1 < p < \infty), \quad (1)$$

where μ is a Carleson measure (for the precise definition, see Section 2).

Ruiz and Torrea [4], [5], [7], [6], [8] generalized this result to several directions. They studied fractional maximal operator M_α , generalized Carleson measure of order δ and weighted norm inequalities. They also considered (1) for doubling measure $d\sigma(x)$ in place of the Lebesgue measure dx .

However, some recent results on Calderón-Zygmund operators and Hardy spaces (see for example [3] and [10]) show that it should be possible to dispense with the doubling condition for most of the classical theory. In this paper we shall show a version of the inequality (1) on nonhomogeneous space. As a corollary of our results (see Section 4), we obtain a generalization of Carleson's Theorem:

$$\int_{R_+^{n+1}} |P_t * f(x)|^p d\mu(x, t) \leq C \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p dx \quad (1 < p < \infty),$$

where μ is a Carleson measure and $P_t * f(x)$ is a Poisson integral.

2. Definitions and Theorems

The following notation is used: We denote a ball radius r centered at x by $Q(x, r) = \{y \in R^n; |y - x| < r\}$ and $\text{rad}(Q)$ is the radius of a ball Q . For a ball $Q = Q(x, r)$, we write $kQ = Q(x, kr)$. $R_+^{n+1} = R^n \times [0, \infty)$.

Throughout this paper we fix a positive Radon measure σ on R^n .

First we define the modified centered fractional maximal operator which is main object of this paper.

Definition 1. Let $k > 1$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. We define

$$M_\alpha^k f(x, t) = \sup_{r \geq t} \frac{1}{\sigma(Q(x, kr))^\alpha} \int_{Q(x, r)} |f(y)| d\sigma(y).$$

Nazarov, Treil and Volberg considered modified maximal operator in [3]. Next we define Carleson measure.

Definition 2. Given a ball Q in R^n , we define the cylindrical set

$$\widehat{Q} = \{(x, t) \in R_+^{n+1}; x \in Q, 0 \leq t < 2\text{rad}(Q)\}.$$

We may denote $\widehat{Q} = \widehat{Q}$.

Definition 3. Let $\beta > 0$. We say a positive measure μ on R_+^{n+1} is a Carleson measure of order β (with respect to σ) if μ satisfies

$$\mu(\widehat{Q}) \leq A_1 \sigma(Q)^\beta$$

for all balls Q in R^n , where A_1 is a constant independent of Q .

Furthermore we shall define generalized Carleson measure (see Ruiz and Torrea [6]).

Definition 4. Let μ be a positive measure on R_+^{n+1} and w be a positive σ -measurable function in R^n . Given $k > 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1, 1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$. We say that the pair (μ, w) satisfies the condition $C_{q,p,\alpha,k}$ (or $(\mu, w) \in C_{q,p,\alpha,k}$) if for any ball Q in R^n ,

$$\frac{\mu(\widehat{kQ})^{1/q}}{\sigma(kQ)^\alpha} \left(\int_Q w(x)^{-p'/p} d\sigma(x) \right)^{1/p'} \leq A_2,$$

where $p' = p/(p - 1)$.

We say that the pair (μ, w) satisfies the condition $C_{q,1,\alpha,k}$ (or $(\mu, w) \in C_{q,1,\alpha,k}$) if

$$\sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{\mu(\widehat{kQ})^{1/q}}{\sigma(kQ)^\alpha} \leq A_3 w(x) \quad \text{a.e. } x.$$

We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $k > 1, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. If $(\mu, w) \in C_{q,p,\alpha,k}$, then

$$\mu(\{(x, t) \in R_+^{n+1}; M_\alpha^k f(x, t) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{A_{n,p,q,k}}{\lambda^q} \left(\int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) d\sigma(x) \right)^{q/p},$$

where $A_{n,p,q,k}$ is a constant depending only on n, p, q, k, A_2 and A_3 .

Following corollaries are easily deduced from our theorem.

Corollary 1. Let $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$. If μ is a Carleson measure of order β , then

$$\mu(\{(x, t) \in R_+^{n+1}; M_\alpha^k f(x, t) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{A_{n,k}}{\lambda^{\beta/\alpha}} \left(\int_{R^n} |f(x)| d\sigma(x) \right)^{\beta/\alpha}.$$

Corollary 2. If μ is a Carleson measure of order 1 then

$$\mu(\{(x, t) \in R_+^{n+1}; M_1^k f(x, t) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{A_{n,k}}{\lambda} \int_{R^n} |f(x)| d\sigma(x).$$

By using interpolation theorem we obtain the next corollary.

Corollary 3. If μ is a Carleson measure of order 1 then

$$\int_{R_+^{n+1}} (M_1^k f(x, t))^p d\mu(x, t) \leq A_{n,p,k} \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p d\sigma(x) \quad (1 < p < \infty).$$

3. Proof

To prove our theorem, the following Covering Lemma due to Sawano [9] is essential.

Lemma 1. (Sawano) *For any $k > 1$, there exists an integer $S_{n,k}$, depending only on n and k which satisfies the following:*

Let $\{B(x_\lambda, r_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in L}$ be a family of balls. Suppose $\sup_{\lambda \in L} r_\lambda < \infty$. Then we can take disjoint subfamilies

$$\{b(x_\rho, r_\rho)\}_{\rho \in L_1}, \{B(x_\rho, r_\rho)\}_{\rho \in L_2}, \dots, \{B(x_\rho, r_\rho)\}_{\rho \in L_{S_{n,k}}},$$

such that

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in L} B(x_\lambda, r_\lambda) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{S_{n,k}} \bigcup_{\rho \in L_i} B(x_\rho, kr_\rho),$$

where L_i 's are countable subsets of L , and balls in $\{B(x_\rho, r_\rho)\}_{\rho \in L_i}$ are disjoint for each i .

Now we begin to prove the theorem. We follow the argument in Ruiz and Torrea [6], Section 5. First we shall define restricted maximal operator as follows.

Definition 5. Let $R > 0$, we define

$$M_\alpha^{k,R} f(x, t) = \sup_{t \leq r < R} \frac{1}{\sigma(Q(x, kr))^\alpha} \int_{Q(x,r)} |f(y)| d\sigma(y).$$

Note that if $R < t$, then $M_\alpha^{k,R} f(x, t) = 0$.

Next we define two modified uncentered maximal operators.

Definition 6.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}_\alpha^{k,R} f(x, t) &= \sup_{Q \ni x, t \leq \text{rad}(Q) < R} \frac{1}{\sigma(kQ)^\alpha} \int_Q |f(y)| d\sigma(y), \\ \widetilde{m}_\alpha^{k,R} f(x) &= \sup_{Q \ni x, \text{rad}(Q) < R} \frac{1}{\sigma(kQ)^\alpha} \int_Q |f(y)| d\sigma(y). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda^R &= \{(x, t) \in R_+^{n+1}; M_\alpha^{k,R} f(x, t) > \lambda\}, \\ \widetilde{E}_\lambda^R &= \{(x, t) \in R_+^{n+1}; \widetilde{M}_\alpha^{k,R} f(x, t) > \lambda\}, \\ \widetilde{A}_\lambda^R &= \{x \in R^n; \widetilde{m}_\alpha^{k,R} f(x) > \lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in \tilde{A}_\lambda^R$, we set $t(x, \lambda, R) = \sup\{t; (x, t) \in \tilde{E}_\lambda^R\}$. Throughout our proof we fix λ and R , so we denote $t(x, \lambda, R) = t(x)$ for the simplicity of notation. Then $t(x) \leq R$.

For any $x \in \tilde{A}_\lambda^R$, there exists a ball $Q^*(x) = Q^*(x, \lambda, R)$ such that $x \in Q^*(x)$,

$$\text{rad}(Q^*(x)) > t(x)/2, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(kQ^*(x))^\alpha} \int_{Q^*(x)} |f(y)|d\sigma(y) > \lambda. \tag{3}$$

Note that the center of $Q^*(x)$ may not be x .

By Sawano's Covering Lemma, we can choose a sequence $\{x_j\} \subset \tilde{A}_\lambda^R$ such that

$$\tilde{A}_\lambda^R \subset \bigcup_j kQ^*(x_j), \tag{4}$$

and

$$\text{the family } \{Q^*(x_j)\} \text{ can be distributed in } S_{n,k} \text{ disjoint subfamilies.} \tag{5}$$

To prove our theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.

$$E_\lambda^R \subset \bigcup_j (kQ^*(x_j))^\wedge.$$

Assuming this lemma, we shall continue the proof. It suffices to show that the next inequality

$$\mu(E_\lambda^R) \leq \frac{A_{n,p,q,k}}{\lambda^q} \left(\int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p w(x) d\sigma(x) \right)^{q/p} \tag{6}$$

is satisfied. By Lemma 2 and (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E_\lambda^R) &\leq \sum_j \mu((kQ^*(x_j))^\wedge) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \sum_j \frac{\mu((kQ^*(x_j))^\wedge)}{\sigma(kQ^*(x_j))^{\alpha q}} \left(\int_{Q^*(x_j)} |f(y)|d\sigma(y) \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

When $p = 1$, by the condition $C_{q,1,\alpha,k}$ we have

$$\frac{\mu((kQ^*(x_j))^\wedge)^{1/q}}{\sigma(kQ^*(x_j))^\alpha} \int_{Q^*(x_j)} |f(y)|d\sigma(y) \leq A_3 \int_{Q^*(x_j)} |f(y)|w(y)d\sigma(y).$$

By using (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E_\lambda^R) &\leq \frac{A_3^q}{\lambda^q} \sum_j \left(\int_{Q^*(x_j)} |f(y)|w(y)d\sigma(y) \right)^q \\ &\leq \frac{A_{n,q,k}}{\lambda^q} \left(\int_{R^n} |f(y)|w(y)d\sigma(y) \right)^q. \end{aligned}$$

When $p > 1$, by Hölder’s inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q^*(x_j)} |f(y)|d\sigma(y) &\leq \left(\int_{Q^*(x_j)} |f(y)|^p w(y)d\sigma(y) \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{Q^*(x_j)} w(y)^{-p'/p} d\sigma(y) \right)^{1/p'}, \end{aligned}$$

and by the condition $C_{q,p,\alpha,k}$ we obtain the desired result. □

Finally we shall prove Lemma.

Proof of Lemma. Suppose $(x, t) \in E_\lambda^R$ then $x \in \tilde{A}_\lambda^R$. Therefore there exists x_j such that $x \in kQ^*(x_j)$.

If $(x, t) \notin (kQ^*(x_j))^\wedge$. By the definition of cylindrical set and (2), we have

$$t \geq 2\text{rad}(kQ^*(x_j)) > \frac{2k}{2}t(x_j) > t(x_j). \tag{7}$$

On the contrary, since $(x, t) \in E_\lambda^R$, there exists a ball $Q(x, r)$ centered at x such that $t \leq r < R$ and

$$\frac{1}{\sigma(k(Q(x, r)))^\alpha} \int_{Q(x,r)} |f(y)|d\sigma(y) > \lambda.$$

Since $|x - x_j| \leq (1 + k)\text{rad}(Q^*(x_j)) < 2k\text{rad}(Q^*(x_j)) \leq t \leq r$, we have $x_j \in Q(x, r)$. Therefore $\tilde{M}_\alpha^{k,R} f(x_j, r) > \lambda$. But $r \geq t > t(x_j)$ by (7). This is a contradiction to the definition of $t(x_j)$. □

4. Applications

In the previous sections, we do not assume any conditions on measure σ . In this section we assume some growth condition. Then we can define Poisson-like operator (see [1] and [6]).

Definition 7. Let $d > 0$. We say a measure σ satisfies the growth condition of order d if σ satisfies

$$\sigma(Q(x, r)) \leq A_4 r^d.$$

This condition is natural when we consider non-doubling measure (see [3] and [10]). Next we define Poisson-like operator.

Definition 8. Let $d > 0, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. A function $K(x, y, t)$ defined on $R^n \times R^n \times [0, \infty)$ is called a (d, α, ε) -Poisson-like kernel if K satisfies

$$|K(x, y, t)| \leq \frac{A_5 t^\varepsilon}{(|x - y| + t)^{d\alpha + \varepsilon}}.$$

Definition 9. For a (d, α, ε) -Poisson-like kernel, we shall define (d, α, ε) -Poisson-like operator by

$$Tf(x, t) = \int_{R_+^{n+1}} K(x, y, t) f(y) d\sigma(y).$$

As corollaries of our theorem we obtain the following results.

Corollary 4. Let $d > 0, 0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We assume that σ satisfies the growth condition of order d and let T be a (d, α, ε) -Poisson-like operator. If μ is a Carleson measure of order β then

$$\mu(\{(x, t) \in R_+^{n+1}; |Tf(x, t)| > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{A_{n,k,\varepsilon}}{\lambda^{\beta/\alpha}} \left(\int_{R^n} |f(x)| d\sigma(x) \right)^{\beta/\alpha}.$$

Proof. By the growth condition we have

$$|Tf(x, t)| \leq A_{n,k,\varepsilon} M_\alpha^k f(x, t). \quad \square$$

Corollary 5. We assume that σ satisfies the growth condition of order d , and let T be a $(d, 1, \varepsilon)$ -Poisson-like operator. If μ is a Carleson measure of order 1 then

$$\int_{R_+^{n+1}} |Tf(x, t)|^p d\mu(x, t) \leq A_{n,p,k,\varepsilon} \int_{R^n} |f(x)|^p d\sigma(x) \quad (1 < p < \infty).$$

Proof. Note that $\int_{R^n} t^\varepsilon / (|x| + t)^{(d+\varepsilon)} d\sigma(x) \leq A_{n,k,\varepsilon}$ and use Interpolation Theorem. □

References

- [1] J. Alvarez, M. Milman, Calderón-Zygmund operators and Poisson-like operators, *Colloq. Math.*, **60** (1990), 361-378.
- [2] L. Carleson, Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem, *Ann. Math.*, **76** (1962), 547-559.
- [3] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, A. Volberg, Weak type estimates and Cotlar's inequalities for Calderón-Zygmund operators on nonhomogeneous spaces, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, **9** (1998), 463-487.
- [4] F.J. Ruiz, A unified approach to Carleson measures and A_p weights, *Pacific J. Math.*, **117** (1985), 397-404.
- [5] F.J. Ruiz, J.L. Torrea, A unified approach to Carleson measures and A_p weights II, *Pacific J. Math.*, **120** (1985), 189-197.
- [6] F.J. Ruiz, J.L. Torrea, Weighted and vector-valued inequalities for potential operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **295** (1986), 213-232.
- [7] F.J. Ruiz, J.L. Torrea, Vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund theory and Carleson measures on space of homogeneous nature, *Studia Math.*, **88** (1988), 221-243.
- [8] F.J. Ruiz, J.L. Torrea, Vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund theory applied to tent spaces, *Colloq. Math.*, **62** (1991), 267-277.
- [9] Y. Sawano, A sharp estimate of the modified Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on the nonhomogeneous space via covering lemmas, *Hokkaido Math. J.* (2005), To appear.
- [10] X. Tolsa, BMO , H^1 and Calderón-Zygmund operators for non doubling measures, *Math. Ann.*, **319** (2001), 195-228.