

INTUITIONISTIC H-FUZZY RELATIONS ON
INTUITIONISTIC H-FUZZY SETS

Kul Hur¹ §, Su Youn Jang², Dae Sig Kim³

^{1,2}Division of Mathematics and Informational Statistics

Institute of Basic Natural Science

Wonkwang University

Iksan, Chonbuk, 570-749, KOREA

¹e-mail: kulhur@wonkwang.ac.kr

²e-mail: suyoun123@yahoo.co.kr

³ Department of Digital Contents

Dongshin University

252 Daehodong Naju, Chonnam, 520-714, KOREA

e-mail: dskim@dus.ac.kr

Abstract: We study intuitionistic H-fuzzy relations on an intuitionistic H-fuzzy sets in a categorical point of view. In particular, we show that the category $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ is (co)topological, cartesian closed and final episinks in $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ are preserved by pullbacks. Also, we show that the subcategory $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ of $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ has the same properties as $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$.

AMS Subject Classification: 04A72, 18B10, 18D15, 03F55

Key Words: intuitionistic H-fuzzy relation on an intuitionistic H-fuzzy set, (co)topological category, Cartesian closed category, topological universe

1. Introduction

Rosenfeld [17] introduced a notion of fuzzy relation on fuzzy sets. This concept has been applied to various areas including fuzzified graphic theory in [2, 3, 18]. Hur [7-9] investigated the categories $\mathbf{Set}(H)$, $\mathbf{Rel}(H)$, $\mathbf{Rel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ and some subcategories of $\mathbf{Rel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ in the sense of a topological universe. Moreover,

Received: June 24, 2005

© 2005, Academic Publications Ltd.

§Correspondence author

Hur and his colleagues [11-14] studied the categorical structures of the categories $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$, $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ and $\mathbf{IRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ and some subcategories of $\mathbf{IRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ in a topological universe view point. In particular, Hur [8] introduced the category $\mathbf{FRel}(H)$ of H -fuzzy relations on a H -fuzzy set and showed that it is (co)topological Cartesian closed and final episinks in $\mathbf{FRel}(H)$ are preserved by pullbacks.

In this paper, we introduce the categories $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ of intuitionistic H -fuzzy relations on an intuitionistic H -fuzzy set and show that it has the same properties as $\mathbf{FRel}(H)$. Also, we study the categorical structures of the subcategory $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ in a topological viewpoint.

It is well-known [11-13] that the category $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ (resp. $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ and $\mathbf{IRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$) is (co)topological over \mathbf{Set} and final episinks in $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ (resp. $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ and $\mathbf{IRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$) are preserved by pullbacks. As a matter of fact, the category $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ will be understand as a reflective and coreflective subcategory of the mixture of $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ and $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$.

For a general background for lattice theory, we refer to [1] and for a general categorical background to [4, 5, 15].

2. Preliminaries

We will list some well-known results, needed in the later sections.

Result 1.A. (see [15, Theorem 2.4; 5, Proposition 36.10 and Proposition 36.11]) *Let \mathbf{A} be a well-powered and co-(well-powered) topological category and let \mathbf{B} be a subcategory of \mathbf{A} . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{B} is epireflective in \mathbf{A} .
- (2) \mathbf{B} is closed under the formation of initial monosources.
- (3) \mathbf{B} is closed under the formation of products and pullbacks in \mathbf{A} .

Result 1.B. (see [15, Theorem 2.5]) *Let \mathbf{A} be a well-powered and co-(well-powered) topological category and let \mathbf{B} be a subcategory of \mathbf{A} . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathbf{B} is bireflective in \mathbf{A} .
- (2) \mathbf{B} is closed under the formation of initial sources.

Result 1.C. (see [15, Theorem 2.6]) *If \mathbf{A} is a (property fibred, resp.) topological category and \mathbf{B} is a bireflective subcategory of \mathbf{A} , then \mathbf{B} is also a (property fibred, resp.) topological category. Moreover, every source in \mathbf{B} which is initial in \mathbf{A} is initial in \mathbf{B} .*

Definition 1.1. (see [4]) A category \mathbf{A} is called *Cartesian closed* provided that the following conditions hold:

(1) For any \mathbf{A} -objects A and B , there exists a product $A \times B$ in \mathbf{A} .

(2) Exponential exist in \mathbf{A} , i.e., for any \mathbf{A} -object A , the functor $A \times - : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ has a right adjoint, i.e., for any \mathbf{A} -object B , there exists an \mathbf{A} -object B^A and a \mathbf{A} -morphism $e_{A,B} : A \times B^A \rightarrow B$ (called the *evaluation*) such that for any \mathbf{A} -object C and any \mathbf{A} -morphism $f : A \times C \rightarrow B$, there exists a unique \mathbf{A} -morphism $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow B^A$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times B^A & \xrightarrow{e_{A,B}} & B \\
 \swarrow \exists!_A \times \bar{f} & & \nearrow f \\
 & A \times C &
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Definition 1.2. (see [16]) A category \mathbf{A} is called a *topological universe over Set* provided that the following conditions hold:

(1) \mathbf{A} is well-structured over **Set**, i.e.: (i) \mathbf{A} is a concrete category; (ii) \mathbf{A} has the fibre-smallness condition; (iii) \mathbf{A} has the terminal separator property.

(2) \mathbf{A} is cotopological over **Set**.

(3) Final episinks in \mathbf{A} are preserved by pullbacks, i.e., for any final episink $(g_\lambda : X \rightarrow Y)_\Lambda$ and any \mathbf{A} -morphism $f : W \rightarrow Y$, the family $(e_\lambda : U_\lambda \rightarrow W)_\Lambda$, obtained by taking the pullback of f and g_λ for each λ , is again a final episink.

Throughout this paper, we use H as a complete Heyting algebra.

Definition 1.3. (see [11]) Let X be a set. A triple (X, μ, ν) is called an *intuitionistic H-fuzzy set* (in short, *IHFS*) on X if the following conditions hold:

(i) $\mu, \nu \in H^X$, i.e., μ and ν are H-fuzzy sets.

(ii) $\mu \leq N(\nu)$, i.e., $\mu(x) \leq N(\nu(x))$ for each $x \in X$, where $N : H \rightarrow H$ is an involutive order reversing operation in (H, \leq) .

Definition 1.4. (see [11]) Let (X, μ_X, ν_X) and (Y, μ_Y, ν_Y) be IHFSs. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *morphism* if $\mu_X \leq \mu_Y \circ f$ and $\nu_X \geq \nu_Y \circ f$.

From Definition 1.3 and Definition 1.4, we can form a concrete category **ISet**(H) consisting of all IHFSs and morphisms between them. In this case, each **ISet**(H)-morphism will be called an **ISet**(H)-*mapping*.

Definition 1.5. (see [12]) Let X be a set. A pair $R = (\mu_R, \nu_R)$ is called an *intuitionistic H-fuzzy relation* (in shot, *IHFR*) on X if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\mu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ and $\nu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ are mappings, where μ_R and ν_R denote the *degree of membership* (namely $\mu_R(x, y)$) and the *degree of nonmembership* (namely $\nu_R(x, y)$) of each $(x, y) \in X \times X$ to R .

(ii) $\mu_R \leq N(\nu_R)$, i.e., $\mu_R(x, y) \leq N(\nu_R(x, y))$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$.

In this case, (X, R) or (X, μ_R, ν_R) is called an *intuitionistic H-fuzzy relational space* (in short, *IHFRS*).

Definition 1.6. (see [12]) Let (X, R_X) and (Y, R_Y) be an IHFRSs. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *relation preserving mapping* if $\mu_{R_X} \leq \mu_{R_Y} \circ f^2$ and $\nu_{R_X} \geq \nu_{R_Y} \circ f^2$, where $f^2 = f \times f$.

From Definition 1.5 and Definition 1.6, we can form a concrete category $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ consisting of all IHFRSs and relation preserving mappings between them. Every $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ -morphism will be called an *$\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ -mapping*. Moreover, it is clear that if $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is an $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ -mapping, then $f : (X, \mu_{R_X}) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_{R_Y})$ is a $\mathbf{Rel}(H)$ -mapping.

3. Intuitionistic H-Fuzzy Relations on Intuitionistic H-Fuzzy Sets

Definition 2.1. Let (X, μ, ν) be any IHFS. Then R is called an *intuitionistic H-fuzzy relation on (X, μ, ν)* if it is an IHFS on $X \times X$ satisfying the following conditions: for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\mu_R(x, y) \leq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y) \text{ and } \nu_R(x, y) \geq \nu(x) \vee \nu(y).$$

In this case, the tetrad (X, μ, ν, R) is called an *intuitionistic H-fuzzy relational space* over (X, μ, ν) .

Definition 2.2. A mapping $f : (X, \mu_X, \nu_X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y, \nu_Y, R_Y)$ is called a *relation preserving mapping* if $f : (X, \mu_X, \nu_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y, \nu_Y)$ is an $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ -mapping and $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is an $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ -mapping.

We denote the category of all intuitionistic H-fuzzy relational spaces over IHFSs and relation preserving mappings between them by $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$, and the mixture of the categories $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ and $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ by $\mathbf{IFRel}(H) \wedge \mathbf{ISet}(H)$ (cf. [6]). Since $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ and $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$ are topological categories over \mathbf{Set} , so is the mixture $\mathbf{ISet}(H) \wedge \mathbf{IRel}(H)$ with natural structures by Proposition 2.2 in [6].

Lemma 2.3. $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ is a bi(co)reflective subcategory of $\mathbf{ISet}(H) \wedge \mathbf{IRel}(H)$.

Proof. Let (X, μ, ν, R) be any object in $\mathbf{ISet}(H) \wedge \mathbf{IRel}(H)$. Define two

mappings $\bar{\mu} : X \rightarrow H$ and $\bar{\nu} : X \rightarrow H$ as follows: for each $x \in X$,

$$\bar{\mu} = \mu(x) \vee \left[\bigvee_{y \in X} \mu_R(x, y) \right]$$

and

$$\bar{\nu} = \nu(x) \wedge \left[\bigwedge_{y \in X} \nu_R(x, y) \right].$$

Then it is easy to check that $1_X : (X, \mu, \nu, R) \rightarrow (X, \bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}, R)$ is an **IFRel**(H)-reflection of (X, μ, ν, R) . Now define two mappings $\mu_{R_X} : X \times X \rightarrow H$ and $\nu_{R_X} : X \times X \rightarrow H$ by

$$\mu_{R_X}(x, y) : \mu_R(x, y) \wedge \mu(x) \wedge \nu(x) \text{ and } \nu_{R_X}(x, y) : \nu_R(x, y) \vee \mu(x) \vee \nu(x).$$

Then $1_X : (X, \mu, \nu, R) \rightarrow (X, \mu, \nu, R)$ is an **IFRel**(H)-coreflection of (X, μ, ν, R) . This completes the proof. \square

By Lemma 2.3, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8 in [6], we have the following result.

- Theorem 2.4.** (1) **IFRel**(H) is topological over **Set**.
 (2) Final episinks in **IFRel**(H) are preserved by pullbacks.

Remark 2.5. (1) Let X be a set and let $(f_\alpha : X \rightarrow (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ any source, where $(X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha) \in \mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Define four mappings $\mu : X \rightarrow H$, $\nu : X \rightarrow H$ and $\mu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$, $\nu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ as follows: for any $x, y \in X$, $\mu(x) = \bigwedge_\Gamma \mu_\alpha \circ f(x)$, $\nu(x) = \bigvee_\Gamma \nu_\alpha \circ f(x)$ and $\mu_R(x, y) = \bigwedge_\Gamma \mu_{R_\alpha} \circ f_\alpha^2(x, y)$, $\nu_R(x, y) = \bigvee_\Gamma \nu_{R_\alpha} \circ f_\alpha^2(x, y)$. Then (X, μ, ν, R) is equipped with the initial structure with respect to $(f_\alpha)_\Gamma$ in **IFRel**(H).

(2) Let X be a set and let $(f_\alpha : (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow X)_\Gamma$ any sink, where $(X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha) \in \mathbf{IFRel}$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Define four mappings $\mu : X \rightarrow H$, $\nu : X \rightarrow H$ and $\mu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$, $\nu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ as follows: for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\mu(x) = \bigvee_\Gamma \bigvee_{x_\alpha \in f_\alpha^{-1}(x)} \mu_\alpha(x_\alpha), \quad \nu(x) = \bigwedge_\Gamma \bigwedge_{x_\alpha \in f_\alpha^{-1}(x)} \nu_\alpha(x_\alpha)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_R(x, y) &= \bigvee_\Gamma \bigvee_{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in f_\alpha^{-1^2}(x, y)} \mu_{R_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha), \\ \nu_R(x, y) &= \bigwedge_\Gamma \bigwedge_{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in f_\alpha^{-1^2}(x, y)} \nu_{R_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Then (X, μ, ν, R) is equipped with the final structure with respect to $(f_\alpha)_\Gamma$ in $\mathbf{IRel}(H)$.

(3) Let $(g_\alpha : (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y, \nu_Y, R_Y))_\Gamma$ be any final episink in $\mathbf{IHRel}(H)$ and let $(f : (W, \mu_W, \nu_W, R_W) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y, \nu_Y, R_Y))$ any $\mathbf{IHRel}(H)$ -mapping. For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, let $U_\alpha = \{(w, x_\alpha) \in W \times X_\alpha : f(w) = g_\alpha(x_\alpha)\}$ and let us four mappings $\mu_{U_\alpha} : U_\alpha \rightarrow H$, $\nu_{U_\alpha} : U_\alpha \rightarrow H$ and $\mu_{R_{U_\alpha}} : U_\alpha \times U_\alpha \rightarrow H$, $\nu_{R_{U_\alpha}} : U_\alpha \times U_\alpha \rightarrow H$ as follows: for any $(w, x_\alpha), (w', x'_\alpha) \in U_\alpha$,

$$\mu_{U_\alpha}(w, x_\alpha) = (\mu_w \times \mu_\alpha)(w, x_\alpha) = \mu_w(x) \wedge \mu_\alpha(x_\alpha),$$

$$\nu_{U_\alpha}(w, x_\alpha) = (\nu_w \times \nu_\alpha)(w, x_\alpha) = \nu_w(x) \vee \nu_\alpha(x_\alpha),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{R_{U_\alpha}}((w, x_\alpha), (w', x'_\alpha)) \\ = \mu_{R_w \times R_\alpha}((w, x_\alpha), (w', x'_\alpha)) = \mu_{R_w}(w, w') \wedge \mu_{R_\alpha}(x_\alpha, x'_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{R_{U_\alpha}}((w, x_\alpha), (w', x'_\alpha)) \\ = \nu_{R_w \times R_\alpha}((w, x_\alpha), (w', x'_\alpha)) = \nu_{R_w}(w, w') \vee \nu_{R_\alpha}(x_\alpha, x'_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Then for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $e_\alpha : (U_\alpha, \mu_{U_\alpha}, \nu_{U_\alpha}, R_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow (W, \mu_W, \nu_W, R_W)$ is the full-back of g_α along f in $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$, where e_α denotes the usual projection of U_α . Moreover, $(e_\alpha : (U_\alpha, \mu_{U_\alpha}, \nu_{U_\alpha}, R_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow (W, \mu_W, \nu_W, R_W))_\Gamma$ is a final episink in $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$.

(4) We note that $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ is not properly fibred, since both IHFS structures and IHFR structures on a singleton set are not unique.

Remark 2.6. (1) $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ is topological over $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$: Let $(X, \mu, \nu) \in \mathbf{ISet}(H)$ and let $((X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be any family of intuitionistic H-fuzzy relational spaces indexed by a class Γ . Let $(f_\alpha : (X, \mu, \nu) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be any source of $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ -mappings. Define two mappings $\mu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ and $\nu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ by for any $x, y \in X$

$$\mu_R(x, y) = \left(\bigwedge_{\Gamma} \mu_{R_\alpha} \circ f^2(x, y) \right) \wedge (\mu(x) \wedge \mu(y))$$

and

$$\nu_R(x, y) = \left(\bigvee_{\Gamma} \nu_{R_\alpha} \circ f^2(x, y) \right) \vee (\nu(x) \vee \nu(y)).$$

Then R is the initial structure on (X, μ, ν) with respect to $(f_\alpha)_\Gamma$.

(2) $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ is cotopological over $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$: Let $(X, \nu, \nu) \in \mathbf{ISet}(H)$ and let $((X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be any family of intuitionistic H-fuzzy relational spaces indexed by a class Γ . Let $(f_\alpha : (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha) \rightarrow (X, \mu, \nu))_\Gamma$ be any sink of $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ -mappings. Define two mappings $\mu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ and $\nu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ by for any $x, y \in X$

$$\mu_R(x, y) = \left(\bigvee_{\Gamma} \bigvee_{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in f_\alpha^{-1^2}(x, y)} \mu_{R_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \right)$$

and

$$\nu_R(x, y) = \left(\bigwedge_{\Gamma} \bigwedge_{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in f_\alpha^{-1^2}(x, y)} \nu_{R_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \right).$$

Then R is the final structure on (X, μ, ν) w.r.t. $(f_\alpha)_\Gamma$.

Theorem 2.7. $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ is Cartesian closed over \mathbf{Set} .

Proof. Since $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ has finite products by Theorem 2.4, it is enough to show that $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ has exponential objects.

For any $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mu_X, \nu_X, R_X)$, $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, \mu_Y, \nu_Y, R_Y) \in \mathbf{IFRel}(H)$, let Y^X be the set of all morphisms from X into Y in $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$. We define four mappings $\mu : Y^X \rightarrow H$, $\nu : Y^X \rightarrow H$ and $\mu_R : Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow H$, $\nu_R : Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow H$ by for any $f, g \in Y^X$

$$\mu(f) = \bigvee \{h \in H : \mu_X(x) \wedge h \leq \mu_Y \circ f(x) \text{ for each } x\},$$

$$\nu(f) = \bigwedge \{h \in H : \nu_X(x) \vee h \geq \nu_Y \circ f(x) \text{ for each } x\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_R(f, g) = & \left(\bigvee \{h \in H : \mu_{R_X}(x, y) \wedge h \leq \mu_{R_Y}(f(x), g(y)) \text{ for any } x, y \in X\} \right) \\ & \wedge (\mu(f) \wedge \mu(g)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_R(f, g) = & \left(\bigwedge \{h \in H : \mu_{R_X}(x, y) \vee h \geq \nu_{R_Y}(f(x), g(y)) \text{ for any } x, y \in X\} \right) \\ & \vee (\nu(f) \vee \nu(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly $(Y^X, \mu, \nu) \in \mathbf{ISet}(H)$ and $(Y^X, \mu, \nu, R) \in \mathbf{IFRel}(H)$. Let $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{X}} = (Y^X, \mu, \nu, R)$. We define a mapping $e_{X, Y} : X \times Y^X \rightarrow Y$ by for any $(x, f) \in X \times Y^X$, $e_{X, Y}(x, f) = f(x)$. Then clearly $e_{X, Y} : (X \times Y^X, \mu_X \times \mu, \nu_X \times \nu) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y, \nu_Y)$ is an $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ -mapping. By the process of the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [8], $\mu_{R_X \times R} \leq \mu_{R_Y} \circ e_{X, Y}^2$. Let $(x, f), (y, g) \in X \times Y^X$. Then

$$\nu_{R_X \times R}((x, f), (y, g)) = \nu_{R_X}(x, y) \vee \nu_R(f, g) \geq \nu_{R_Y}(f(x), g(y))$$

$$= \nu_{R_Y}(e_{X,Y}(x, f), e_{X,Y}(y, g)) = \nu_{R_Y} \circ e_{X,Y}^2((x, f), (y, g)).$$

So, $e_{X,Y} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is an $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ -mapping.

For any $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, \mu_Z, \nu_Z, R_Z)$, let $h : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be any $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ -mapping, where $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z} = (X \times Z, \mu_X \times \mu_Z, \nu_X \times \nu_Z, R_X \times R_Z)$. We define a mapping $\bar{h} : Z \rightarrow Y^X$ by $[\bar{h}(z)](x) = h(x, z)$ for each $z \in Z$ and each $x \in X$. By the process of the proof of Theorem 2.7 in [8], $\bar{h} : (Z, \mu_Z, \nu_Z) \rightarrow (Y^X, \mu, \nu)$ is an $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ -mapping and $\mu_{R_Z} \leq \mu_R \circ \bar{h}^2$. Now let $(x, x') \in X \times X$ and let $(z, z') \in Z \times Z$. Since $h : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is an $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ -mapping,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{R_X \times R_Z}((x, z), (x', z')) &= \nu_{R_X}(x, x') \vee \nu_{R_Z}(z, z') \\ &\geq \nu_{R_Y} \circ h^2((x, z), (x', z')) = \nu_{R_Y}(h(x, z), h(x', z')) \\ &= \nu_{R_Y}([\bar{h}(z)](x), [\bar{h}(z')](x')). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\nu_{R_Z}(z, z') \geq \bigwedge \{h \in H : \nu_{R_X}(x, x') \vee h \geq \nu_{R_Y}([\bar{h}(z)](x), [\bar{h}(z')](x'))\}$ for all $x, x' \in X$. On the other hand, $\nu_{R_Z}(z, z') \geq \nu(z) \vee \nu(z') \geq \nu(\bar{h}(z)) \vee \nu(\bar{h}(z'))$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{R_Z}(z, z') &\geq \left(\bigwedge \{h \in H : \nu_{R_X}(x, x') \vee h \right. \\ &\quad \left. \geq \nu_{R_Y}([\bar{h}(z)](x), [\bar{h}(z')](x')) \text{ for all } x, x' \in X \} \right) \vee \nu(\bar{h}(z)) \vee \nu(\bar{h}(z')). \end{aligned}$$

So $\nu_{R_Z}(z, z') \geq \nu_R(\bar{h}(z), \bar{h}(z'))$ i.e., $\nu_{R_Z} \geq \nu_R \circ \bar{h}^2$ by the definition of R . In all, $\bar{h} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}^{\mathbf{X}}$ is an $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ -mapping. Moreover, \bar{h} is unique and $e_{X,Y} \circ (1_X \times \bar{h}) = h$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.8. Define a mapping $E : \mathbf{ISet}(H) \rightarrow \mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ by $E((X, \mu, \nu)) = (X, \mu, \nu, R)$, where $\mu_R(x, y) = \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$, $\nu_R(x, y) = \nu(x) \vee \nu(y)$ for each $(x, y) \in X \times X$ and $E(f) = f$. Then E is a full and embedding functor. Hence we may consider $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ as a subcategory of $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$. Moreover, it can be shown, by routine work, that $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ is a bireflective subcategory of $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$. In a similar way, we can show that $\mathbf{ISet}(H)$ is a bireflective subcategory of $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ with the functor $G(X, R_X) = (X, 1, 0, R_X)$ and $G(f) = f$.

4. The Category $\mathbf{IFRel}_R(H)$

Definition 3.1. Let R be an IFR on IFS (X, μ, ν) . Then R is said to be *reflexive* if $\mu_R(x, x) = \mu(x)$ and $\nu_R(x, x) = \nu(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

The class of all intuitionistic fuzzy reflexive relational spaces over IFSs and $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ -mappings between them forms a subcategory of $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ and is denote by $\mathbf{IFRel}_R(H)$.

Lemma 3.2. $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ is closed under the formation of initial sources in $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$.

Proof. Let $(f_\alpha : (X, \mu, \nu, R) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be an initial source in $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$ such that $(X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha) \in \mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Let $x \in X$. Since R_α is reflexive on $(X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $\mu_{R_\alpha} \circ f_\alpha^2(x, x) = \mu_\alpha \circ f_\alpha(x)$ and $\nu_{R_\alpha} \circ f_\alpha^2(x, x) = \nu_\alpha \circ f_\alpha(x)$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Thus $\bigwedge_\Gamma \mu_{R_\alpha} \circ f_\alpha^2(x, x) = \bigwedge_\Gamma \mu_\alpha \circ f_\alpha(x)$ and $\bigvee_\Gamma \nu_{R_\alpha} \circ f_\alpha^2(x, x) = \bigvee_\Gamma \nu_\alpha \circ f_\alpha(x)$. So, by the hypothesis, $\mu_R(x, x) = \mu(x)$ and $\nu_R(x, x) = \nu(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Hence $(X, \mu, \nu, R) \in \mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$. This completes the proof. \square

Hence, by Result 1.B and Result 1.C, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. (1) $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ is a bireflective subcategory of $\mathbf{IFRel}(H)$.
 (2) $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ is topological over \mathbf{ISet} .

Remark 3.4. (1) $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ is topological over \mathbf{Set} : Let X be any set and let $(X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha)_\Gamma$ any family of objects of $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ indexed by a class Γ . Let $(f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow X)_\Gamma$ be a sink of mappings. Define four mappings $\mu, \nu : X \rightarrow H$ and $\mu_R, \nu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ as follows: for any $x, y \in X$,

$$\mu(x) = \bigvee_\Gamma \bigvee_{x_\alpha \in f_\alpha^{-1}(x)} \mu_\alpha(x_\alpha), \quad \nu(x) = \bigwedge_\Gamma \bigwedge_{x_\alpha \in f_\alpha^{-1}(x)} \nu_\alpha(x_\alpha)$$

and

$$\mu_R(x, y) = \begin{cases} (\bigvee_\Gamma \bigvee_{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in f_\alpha^{-1^2}(x, y)} \mu_{R_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)), & \text{if } (x, y) \in (X \times X - \Delta_X), \\ \mu(x), & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Delta_X, \end{cases}$$

$$\nu_R(x, y) = \begin{cases} (\bigwedge_\Gamma \bigwedge_{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in f_\alpha^{-1^2}(x, y)} \nu_{R_\alpha}(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)), & \text{if } (x, y) \in (X \times X - \Delta_X), \\ \nu(x), & \text{if } (x, y) \in \Delta_X. \end{cases}$$

Then we can easily see that $(f_\alpha : (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow (X, \mu, \nu, R))_\Gamma$ is a final sink in $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$.

(2) Final episinks in $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ are preserved by pullbacks: Let $(g_\alpha : (X_\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \nu_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y, \nu_Y, R_Y))_\Gamma$ be any final episink in $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ and let $f : (W, \mu_W, \nu_W, R_W) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y, \nu_Y, R_Y)$ and $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ -mapping. For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, let us take $U_\alpha, \mu_{U_\alpha}, \nu_{U_\alpha}, R_{U_\alpha}, e_\alpha$ and p_α as in Remark 2.5(3). Then we can easily check that $(e_\alpha : (U_\alpha, \mu_{U_\alpha}, \nu_{U_\alpha}, R_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow (W, \mu_W, \nu_W, R_W))_\Gamma$ is a final episink in $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$.

(3) $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ is not properly fibred over \mathbf{Set} , since the intuitionistic fuzzy reflexive relational space (X, μ, ν, R) is not unique for any singleton set X .

Hence, by Theorem 3.3(2) and Remark 3.4(2), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. $\mathbf{IFRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$ satisfies all the conditions of a topological universe over \mathbf{Set} except the terminal separator property.

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory Space*, A.M.S. Colloquium Publication, **XXV** (1967).
- [2] U. Cerruti, Graphs and fuzzy graphs, *Fuzzy Information and Decision Process, Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **9** (1983), 79-89.
- [3] M.K. Chakraborty, M. Das, Studies in fuzzy relations over fuzzy subsets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **9** (1983), 79-89.
- [4] H. Herrlich, Cartesian closed topological categories, *Math. Coll. Univ. Cape Town*, **9** (1974), 1-16.
- [5] H. Herrlich, G.E. Strecker, *Category Theory Space*, Allyn and Bacon, Newton, MA (1973).
- [6] S.S. Hong, L.D. Nel, Spectral dualities involving mixed structures, Categorical aspects of topology and analysis, In: *Proc. Ottawa 1980; Lect. Notes in Math.*, **915**, Springer (1982), 198-204.
- [7] K. Hur, A note on the category $\mathbf{Set}(H)$, *Honam Math. J.*, **10** (1988), 89-94.
- [8] K. Hur, On H-fuzzy relations over H-fuzzy sets(1), *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.*, **5**, No. 2 (1990), 1-5.
- [9] K. Hur, H-fuzzy relation II: A topological universe viewpoint, *Fuzzy Set and Systems*, **63** (1994), 73-79.
- [10] K. Hur, H-fuzzy relation I: A topological universe viewpoint, *Fuzzy Set and Systems*, **61** (1994), 239-244.
- [11] K. Hur, H.W. Kang, J.H. Ryou, Intuitionistic H-fuzzy sets, *J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ., Series B: Pure Appl. Math.*, **12**, No. 1 (2005), 33-46.
- [12] K. Hur, S.Y. Jang, H.W. Kang, Intuitionistic H-fuzzy relations, *To Appear*.

- [13] K. Hur, H.W. Kang, J.H. Ryou, Intuitionistic H-fuzzy reflexive relations, *International J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **20**, No. 1 (2005), 41-52.
- [14] K. Hur, H.W. Kang, J.H. Ryou, Some subcategories of the category $\mathbf{IRel}_{\mathbf{R}}(H)$, *To Appear*.
- [15] C.Y. Kim, S.S. Hong, Y.H. Hong, P.H. Park, *Algebras in Cartesian Closed Topological Categories*, Lecture Note Series 1, Seminars in Math., Yon Sei Univ (1979).
- [16] L.D. Nel, Topological universes and smooth Gelfand-Naimark duality, mathematical applications of category theory, *Proc. AMS Spec. Session Denver*, 1983, Contemporary Mathematics, **30** (1984), 224-276.
- [17] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy graphs, In: *Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications to Cognitive and Decision Process*, Academic Press, New York (1975), 77-96.
- [18] R.T. Yeh, S.Y. Bang, Fuzzy relations fuzzy graphs and their application to clustering analysis, In: *Fuzzy Sets and Their Application to Cognitive and Decision Process*, Academic Press, New York (1975), 125-149.

