## SOME NOTES ON GENERALIZED LIE IDEALS

Neşet Aydın<sup>1</sup>, Öznur Gölbaşı<sup>2</sup> §

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Arts and Science
Çanakkale 18 Mart University
Çanakkale, 17020, TURKEY
e-mail: neseta@comu.edu.tr

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics
Cumhuriyet University
Sivas, 58140, TURKEY
e-mail: ogolbasi@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

**Abstract:** In [3], I.N. Herstein has proved if R is a prime ring and T is a Lie ideal of R such that  $[T,T] \subset Z$  then  $T \subset Z$ . In the first part of this note the above theorem is generalized for  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal U of prime ring. In the second part, some results given for one sided  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideals of prime rings.

AMS Subject Classification: 16N60, 16W25, 16U80 Key Words: Lie ideal, generalized Lie ideal, prime ring

## 1. Introduction

Let R be a ring and  $\sigma, \tau$  be two mappings from R into itself. For any two elements  $x, y \in R$ , we denote [x, y] = xy - yx and  $[x, y]_{\sigma,\tau} = x\sigma(y) - \tau(y)x$ . An additive mapping  $d: R \to R$  is called a derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) holds for pairs  $x, y \in R$ . We use the identities [x, yz] = [x, y]z + x[y, z]. A derivation d is inner if there exists an  $a \in R$  such that D(x) = [a, x] holds for all  $x \in R$ . Recall that a ring is prime if  $aRb = \{0\}$  implies that a = 0 or b = 0. For subsets  $A, B \subset R$ , let [A, B] ( $[A, B]_{\sigma,\tau}$ ) be the additive subgroup generated by all [a, b] ( $[a, b]_{\sigma,\tau}$ ) for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ . We recall that a Lie ideal, L is an additive

Received: September 26, 2005

<sup>© 2005,</sup> Academic Publications Ltd.

<sup>§</sup>Correspondence author

subgroup of R such that  $[R, L] \subset L$ . We first introduce the generalized Lie ideal in [5] as following. Let U be an additive subgroup of R,  $\sigma, \tau : R \to R$  two mappings. Then: (i) U is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -right Lie ideal of R if  $[U, R]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset U$ ; (ii) U is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R if  $[R, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset U$ ; (iii) U is both a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -right Lie ideal and  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R then U is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie ideal of R. Every Lie ideal of R is a (1, 1)-left Lie ideal of R, where  $1 : R \to R$  is the identity map. As an example, let I be the set of integers,

$$R = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} x & y \\ z & t \end{array} \right) \mid x,y,z,t \in I \right\}, \quad U = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cc} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{array} \right) \mid x,y \in I \right\} \subset R,$$

and  $\sigma, \tau: R \to R$  the mappings defined by  $\tau(x) = axa$ ,  $\sigma(x) = bxb^{-1}$ , where

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in R$ .

Then U is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$  left Lie ideal but not a Lie ideal of R.

In [3], I. N. Herstein has proved if R is prime ring and T is a Lie ideal of R such that  $[T,T] \subset Z$  then  $T \subset Z$ . This result is generalized for  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Lie ideals [5]. In the first part of this paper we shall generalize the above theorem for  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal U of prime ring. In the second part, some results will be given for one-sided  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -Lie ideals of prime rings.

Throughout the present paper R will be a prime ring of with characteristic not two and  $\sigma, \tau \in AutR$ . We set  $C_{\sigma,\tau} = \{c \in R \mid c\sigma(x) = \tau(x)c, \text{ for all } x \in R\}$  and call  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -center of R. Furthermore we shall use the following indentities:

$$[xy, z]_{\sigma, \tau} = x[y, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [x, \tau(z)]y,$$
 (1)

$$[xy, z]_{\sigma,\tau} = x[y, \sigma(z)] + [x, z]_{\sigma,\tau}y, \qquad (2)$$

$$[x, yz]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(y)[x, z]_{\sigma,\tau} + [x, y]_{\sigma,\tau}\sigma(z).$$
(3)

## 2. Results

**Theorem 1.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two,  $(0) \neq U$ ,  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R and  $a \in R$ . If  $[U,a]_{\sigma,\tau} = 0$  then a = 0 or  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x \in R, u \in U$ , using the identity [1], we have  $[\tau(u)x, u]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(u)[x, u]_{\sigma,\tau} \in U$ . Hence

$$0 = [\tau(u)[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},a]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(u)[[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},a]_{\sigma,\tau} + [\tau(u),\tau(a)][x,u]_{\sigma,\tau}$$

and so

$$\tau([u,a])[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau} = 0, \quad \forall x \in R, u \in U.$$
(4)

Replacing x by  $xy, y \in R$  in [4] and using the identity [1] and the equation [4], we have

$$0 = \tau([u, a])[xy, u]_{\sigma, \tau} = \tau([u, a])x[y, \sigma(u)] + \tau([u, a])[x, u]_{\sigma, \tau}y$$

yields that

$$\tau([u,a])x[y,\sigma(u)] = 0, \quad \forall x \in R, u \in U.$$
 (5)

Since R is prime ring, [5] implies that for all  $u \in U$ ,

$$[u, a] = 0$$
 or  $u \in Z$ .

We set  $K = \{u \in U \mid u \in Z\}$  and  $L = \{u \in U \mid [u, a] = 0\}$ . Clearly each of L and K is additive subgroup of U. Morever, U is the set-theoretic union of L and K. But a group cannot be the set-theoretic union of two proper subgroups, hence K = U or L = U. In the first case  $U \subset Z$  which forces  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$ . In the latter case, [U, a] = 0. By [1, Lemma 6] we get  $a \in Z$  or  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two,  $(0) \neq U$ ,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R. If  $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$  then  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two,  $a \in R$  and d the additive mapping on R defined by  $d(x) = [x, a]_{\sigma, \tau}$ . If  $d^2(R) = 0$  then  $a \in Z$ . Proof. For any  $x, y \in R$ , using the identity (2), we have

$$d(xy) = [xy, a]_{\sigma,\tau} = x[y, \sigma(a)] + [x, a]_{\sigma,\tau}y.$$

That is

$$d(xy) = x[y, \sigma(a)] + d(x)y, \quad \forall x, y \in R.$$
 (6)

By the hypothesis,

$$0 = d^{2}(xy) = d(d(xy)) = d(d(x)y + x[y, \sigma(a)])$$
$$= d^{2}(x)y + 2d(x)[y, \sigma(a)] + x[[y, \sigma(a)], \sigma(a)].$$

Therefore

$$2d(x)[y,\sigma(a)] + x[[y,\sigma(a)],\sigma(a)] =, \quad \forall x,y \in R.$$
 (7)

Taking d(x) instead of x in [7] and using the hypothesis, we obtain

$$d(x)[[y,\sigma(a)],\sigma(a)] = 0, \forall x,y \in R.$$

It follows from [7, Lemma 1(i)] that

$$a \in Z$$
 or  $[[y, \sigma(a)], \sigma(a)] = 0, \forall y \in R$ .

Assume that  $[[y, \sigma(a)], \sigma(a)] = 0$ , for all  $y \in R$ . Let us consider the following map on R.  $I_{\sigma(a)} = [x, \sigma(a)]$  is an inner derivation determined by  $\sigma(a)$ . It is easy to see that  $I_{\sigma(a)}^2(R) = 0$ . By [8, Theorem 1] we have  $I_{\sigma(a)} = 0$ , and so  $a \in Z$ .  $\square$ 

The following result is generalization of Lemma 3 in [3] mentioned in the introduction.

**Theorem 2.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two,  $(0) \neq U$ ,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R. If  $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$  then  $U \subset Z$ .

*Proof.* Since U is  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -left Lie ideal, for any  $x \in R, u \in U, \tau(u)[x, u]_{\sigma, \tau} \in U$ . Using the identity (1), we have

$$[\tau(u)[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},u]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(u)[[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},u]_{\sigma,\tau} \in C_{\sigma,\tau}.$$
 (8)

Since  $[[x, u]_{\sigma,\tau}, u]_{\sigma,\tau} \in C_{\sigma,\tau}$  by [6, Lemma 6], the equation (8) implies that

$$[[x, u]_{\sigma,\tau}, u]_{\sigma,\tau} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad u \in Z, \forall x \in R, u \in U.$$

$$(9)$$

If  $[[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau},u]_{\sigma,\tau}=0$ , for all  $x\in R$ , we define the mapping on R by  $d(x)=[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau}$ . It follows that  $d^2(R)=0$ . Appliying Lemma 1, we have  $u\in Z$ . Therefore [9] implies that  $U\subset Z$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two,  $(0) \neq U$ ,  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -left Lie ideal of  $R,a,b \in R$  and  $f:R \to R$  a map defined by f(x) = xa - bx. If f(U) = 0 then  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$  or f = 0.

Proof. Suppose that a or b in Z. Since f(U)=0 and  $U\neq (0)$ , we have U(a-b)=0 and so by [7, Lemma 1(iii)], we get a=b or  $U\subset Z$ . If a=b then f becomes the inner derivation determined by a. Hence, since f(U)=0, by [1, Lemma 6] we have f=0 or  $\sigma(u)+\tau(u)\in Z$ , for all  $u\in U$ . This complates the proof.

Now assume that neither a nor b in Z. From the definition of U, using the identity (1), we get for any  $x \in R, u \in U, \tau(u)[x,u]_{\sigma,\tau} \in U$ . Appliying the hypothesis

$$0 = \tau(u)[x, u]_{\sigma, \tau} a - b\tau(u)[x, u]_{\sigma, \tau}$$

and so

$$[\tau(u), b][x, u]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0, \quad \forall x \in R, u \in U.$$
(10)

Replacing x by  $xy, y \in R$  in (10) and using the identity (2), the equation (10), one obtains

$$[\tau(u),b]x[y,\sigma(u)]=0, \ \forall x,y\in R, u\in U.$$

Since R is prime ring, it follows either  $u \in Z$  or  $[\tau(u), b] = 0$ , for all  $u \in U$ . By a standart argument one of these must hold for all  $u \in U$ . If  $u \in Z$  then  $[\tau(u), b] = 0$ , for all  $u \in U$ . Therefore we have

$$[U, \tau^{-1}(b)] = 0.$$

Appliying [1, Lemma 6] and nothing that  $b \notin Z$ , one obtains  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two,  $(0) \neq U$ ,  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Lie ideal of  $R,a,b \in R$  and  $f:R \to R$ , f(x)=xa-bx. If f(U)=0 then  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  or f=0.

Proof. If a or b in Z, then from the hypothesis U(a-b)=0. Since  $U\neq (0)$ , it follows from [2, Lemma 3(ii)] that  $U\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  or a=b. If a=b then f becomes the inner derivation determined by a (or b). By [2, Theorem 4] we have f=0 or  $U\subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ . This complates the proof.

Now assume that neither a nor b in Z. Since U is  $(\sigma, \tau)$ — right Lie ideal, for any  $y, z \in R$ ,  $u \in U$ ,  $[u, yz]_{\sigma, \tau} \in U$ . Using the identity (3) and the hypothesis, we obtain

$$0 = [u, yz]_{\sigma,\tau} a - b[u, yz]_{\sigma,\tau} = \tau(y)[u, z]_{\sigma,\tau} a + [u, y]_{\sigma,\tau} \sigma(z) a - b\tau(y)[u, z]_{\sigma,\tau} - b[u, y]_{\sigma,\tau} \sigma(z) ,$$

and so

$$[\tau(y), b][u, z]_{\sigma, \tau} + [u, y]_{\sigma, \tau}[\sigma(z), a] = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in R, u \in U.$$

Substituting z by  $\sigma^{-1}(a)$  in this equation, we get

$$[\tau(y), b][u, \sigma^{-1}(a)]_{\sigma,\tau} = 0, \quad \forall y \in R, u \in U.$$
 (11)

Replacing y by  $yx, x \in R$  in (11) and using this, we get

$$[\tau(x), b]\tau(y)[u, \sigma^{-1}(a)]_{\sigma,\tau} = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in R, u \in U.$$

$$(12)$$

Since R is prime ring and  $b \notin Z$ , (12) implies that

$$[U, \sigma^{-1}(a)]_{\sigma,\tau} = 0.$$

By [2, Lemma 2], we get  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

**Theorem 5.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two,  $(0) \neq U$ ,  $(\sigma,\tau)$ -right Lie ideal of R and  $a \in R$ . If  $[U,a]_{\sigma,\tau} = 0$  then  $a \in Z$  or  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ .

П

Proof. Let us consider the following map on R,  $f(x) = [x, a]_{\sigma,\tau} = x\sigma(a) - \tau(a)x$ . It is easy to see, by hypothesis, f(U) = 0. By Theorem 4, we get f = 0 or  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$ . If f = 0 then use the identity (1), we have

$$0 = f(xy) = [xy, a]_{\sigma,\tau} = x[y, a]_{\sigma,\tau} + [x, \tau(a)]y$$

and so

$$[x, \tau(a)]y = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in R.$$

Since R is prime ring, we obtain that  $a \in Z$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two,  $(0) \neq U$ ,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -right Lie ideal of R and  $a \in R$ . If  $[U, U]_{\sigma, \tau} = 0$  then  $U \subset Z$  or  $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ .

**Theorem 6.** Let R be a prime ring of characteristic not two and f, g be nonzero derivations of R such that uf(x) = g(x)u, for all  $x \in R, u \in U$ .

- (i) If U is  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -left Lie ideal of R then  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$ .
- (ii) If  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -right Lie ideal of R then  $U \subset Z$  or  $U \subset C_{\sigma, \tau}$ .

*Proof.* Taking xy instead of x in the hypothesis, one obtains

$$0 = uf(xy) - g(xy)u = uf(x)y + uxf(y) - g(x)yu - xg(y)u$$

and so

$$g(x)[u,y] + [u,x]f(y) = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in R, u \in U.$$
 (13)

Replacing y by  $yu, u \in U$  in (13) and using (13), we obtain

$$0 = g(x)[u, y]u + [u, x]f(y)u + [u, x]yf(u)$$
  
=  $(g(x)[u, y] + [u, x]f(y))u + [u, x]yf(u)$ ,

and so

$$[u, x]Rf(u) = 0, \quad \forall x \in R, u \in U. \tag{14}$$

Since R is prime ring, (14) implies that

$$u \in Z$$
 or  $f(u) = 0$ .

Now let us define the set  $K = \{u \in U \mid u \in Z\}$  and  $L = \{u \in U \mid f(u) = 0\}$ . Clearly each of L and K is additive subgroup of U. Morever, U is the settheoretic union of L and K. By Brauer's Trick, we must have U = K or U = L.

(i) Let assume that U is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -left Lie ideal. In the former case  $U \subset Z$  and so we have

$$0 = uf(x) - g(x)u = u(f(x) - g(x)).$$

Since R is prime ring,  $U \neq (0)$ , it implies that f(x) = g(x), for all  $x \in R$ . Hence, from the hypothesis we get

$$0 = uf(x) - f(x)u = [u, f(x)], \quad \forall x \in R, u \in U.$$

- By [3, Theorem] we conclude that  $U \subset Z$ , and so  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$ . In the latter case, f(U) = 0 then  $\sigma(u) + \tau(u) \in Z$ , for all  $u \in U$ , by [6, Lemma 3].
- (ii) Let assume that U is a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -right Lie ideal. If U = K then it is obvious that  $U \subset Z$ . If U = L then  $U \subset C_{\sigma,\tau}$  by [9, Lemma 2] or R is commutative. If R is commutative, then  $U \subset Z$ . Thus we complate the proof of the theorem.

## References

- [1] N. Aydın, One sided  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie ideals in prime rings, *Doğa Tr. J. of Math.*, **21** (1997), 1-7.
- [2] N. Aydın, H. Kandamar,  $(\sigma, \tau)$  lie ideals in prime rings, *Doğa Tr. J. of Math.*, **18**, No. 2 (1994), 143-148.
- [3] I.N. Herstein, A note on derivations II, Canad. Math. Bull., 21, No. 3 (1978), 369-370.
- [4] I.N. Herstein, On the Lie structure of an associative ring, *Journal of Algebra*, **14** (1970), 561-571.
- [5] K. Kaya,  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Lie ideals in prime rings, An. Univ. Timisoara Stiinte Math., **30**, No. 2-3 (1992), 251-255.
- [6] K. Kaya, On  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Derivations of prime rings, *Doğa Tr. J. of Math.*, **12**, No. 2 (1988), 42-45.
- [7] K. Kaya, N. Aydın, Some results in generalized Lie ideal, Albasapr Sci. J. Issued by Jordan University for Woman, 3, No. 1 (1999), 53-61.
- [8] E.C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
- [9] M.Ş. Yenigül, Q. Deng, N. Argaç, On prime and semiprime ring with automorphisms and  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations, *Glasnik Matematicki*, **32**, No. 52 (1997), 11-16.