WAVELET BASED ESTIMATION OF THE DERIVATIVES OF A DENSITY WITH ASSOCIATED VARIABLES
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Abstract: We propose a method of estimation of the derivatives of probability density based wavelets methods for a sequence of associated random variables with a common one-dimensional probability density function and obtain an upper bound on $L_p$-losses for the such estimators.
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1. Introduction

Estimation of the derivative of a density is of importance in detecting possible
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bumps in the associated density. As such there has been a lot of interest in nonparametric estimation of these (see Prakasa Rao [15] and Prakasa Rao [16]). The reader may be referred to Härdle et al [9] and Vidakovic [24] for a detailed coverage of wavelet theory in statistics and to Prakasa Rao [18] for a recent comprehensive review and application of these and other methods of nonparametric functional estimation. Antoniadis et al [1] and Masry [12] among others discuss the estimation of regression and density function using the wavelets. Prakasa Rao [16] considered the use of wavelets for estimating the derivatives of a density and investigated further their use for estimating the integrated squared density (see Prakasa Rao [17]). Walter and Ghorai [25] discuss the advantages and disadvantages of wavelet based methods of nonparametric estimation from i.i.d. sequences of random variables. Prakasa Rao [19] echoes the same advantages and disadvantages for the case of associated sequences. Furthermore, he points out that these methods allow one to obtain precise limits on the asymptotic mean squared error for the estimator of density and its derivatives as well as some other functionals of the density (see Prakasa Rao [16] and [17]). Recently, Doosti et al [6] have shown that the results in Prakasa Rao [19] can be extended to the case of negatively associated sequences. Here we study such properties for the derivatives of a density of positively associated sequences along the lines of Prakasa Rao [16]. We recall the definition of association for an arbitrary collection of random variables.

**Definition.** For a finite index set $I$, the random variables $\{X_i, i \in I\}$ are said to be positively associated (PA), or just associated, if for any real-valued coordinate-wise nondecreasing functions $h_1$ and $Hh_2$ defined on $\mathbb{R}^I$,

$$\text{Cov}\{h_1(X_i, i \in I), h_2(X_j, j \in I)\} \geq 0,$$

whenever $\mathbb{E}[h_j^2(X_i, i \in I)] < \infty, j = 1, 2$.

A random process $\{X_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is PA if every finite sub-collection has this property.

The concept of positive association (PA), hereafter called association was introduced by Esary et al [8], who studied it in detail. For a recent review of this concept along with many probabilistic and statistical results, one may refer to the paper by Prakasa Rao and Dewan [20].

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we discuss the preliminaries of the wavelet based estimation of the derivatives of the density along with the necessary underlying setup considered in Prakasa Rao [16]. Then in Section 3, we extend his result to squared integrated error measured in $p-$norm for PA Case.
Let \( \{X_n, n \geq 1\} \) be a sequence of random variables on the probability space \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)\). We suppose that \( X_i \) has a bounded and compactly supported marginal density \( f(.) \), with respect to Lebesgue measure, which does not depend on \( i \). We estimate this density from \( n \) observations \( X_i, i = 1, ..., n \). For any function \( f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \), we can write a formal expansion (see Daubechies [3]):

\[
f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_{j_0,k} \phi_{j_0,k} + \sum_{j \geq j_0} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{j,k} \psi_{j,k} = P_{j_0} f + \sum_{j \geq j_0} D_j f,
\]

where the functions

\[
\phi_{j_0,k}(x) = 2^{j_0/2} \phi(2^{j_0} x - k)
\]

and

\[
\psi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2} \psi(2^j x - k)
\]

constitute an (inhomogeneous) orthonormal basis of \( L_2(\mathbb{R}) \). Here \( \phi(x) \) and \( \psi(x) \) are the scale function and the orthogonal wavelet, respectively. Wavelet coefficients are given by the integrals

\[
\alpha_{j_0,k} = \int f(x) \phi_{j_0,k}(x) dx, \delta_{j,k} = \int f(x) \psi_{j,k} dx.
\]

We suppose that both \( \phi \) and \( \psi \in C^{r+1}, r \in \mathbb{N} \), have compact supports included in \([-\delta, \delta]\). Note that, by Corollary 5.5.2 in Daubechies [2], \( \psi \) is orthogonal to polynomials of degree \( \leq r \), i.e.

\[
\int \psi(x)x^l dx = 0, \quad \forall l = 0, 1, ..., r.
\]

We suppose that \( f \) belongs to the Besov class (see Meyer [13], Section VI.10), \( F_{s,p,q} = \{f \in B^s_{p,q} \| f \|_{B^s_{p,q}} \leq M\} \) for some \( 0 \leq s \leq r + 1, p \geq 1 \) and \( q \geq 1 \), where

\[
\|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}} = \|P_{j_0} f\|_p + \left( \sum_{j \geq j_0} (\|D_j f\|_p 2^{js})^q \right)^{1/q}.
\]

We may also say \( f \in B^s_{p,q} \) if and only if

\[
\|\alpha_{j_0, .}\|_p < \infty, \quad (\sum_{j \geq j_0} (\|\delta_{j, .}\|_p 2^{j(s+1/2-1/p)})^q)^{1/q} < \infty,
\]

(2.1)
where \( \| \gamma_j \|_{l_p} = (\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma^p_{j,k})^{1/p} \). We consider Besov spaces essentially because of their executional expressive power (see Triebel [22] and the discussion in Donoho et al [4]). We construct the density estimator (see Prakasa Rao [19])

\[
\hat{f} = \sum_{k \in K_{j_0}} \hat{a}_{j_0,k} \phi_{j_0,k}, \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{a}_{j_0,k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{j_0,k}(X_i), \quad (2.2)
\]

where \( K_{j_0} \) is the set of \( k \) such that \( \text{supp}(f) \cap \text{supp}(\phi_{j_0,k}) \neq \emptyset \). The fact that \( \phi \) has a compact support implies that \( K_{j_0} \) is finite and \( \text{card}(K_{j_0}) = O(2^{j_0}) \).

Wavelet density estimators aroused much interest in the recent literature, see Donoho et al [5] and Doukhan and Leon [7]. In the case of independent samples the properties of the linear estimator (2.2) have been studied for a variety of error measures and density classes (see Kerkyacharian and Picard [10], Leblanc [11] and Tribouley [23]).

In the setup considered by Prakasa Rao [16], we assume \( \phi \) is a scaling function generating an \( r \)--regular multiresolution analysis and \( f^{(d)} \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \). Furthermore, we assume that there exists \( C_m \geq 0 \) and \( \beta_m \geq 0 \) such that

\[
|f^{(m)}(x)| \leq C_m (1 + |x|)^{-\beta_m}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq d. \quad (2.3)
\]

Prakasa Rao [16] showed that the projection of \( f^{(d)} \) on \( V_{j_0} \) is

\[
f_{n,d}^{(d)}(x) = \sum_k a_{j_0,k} \phi_{j_0,k}(x),
\]

where

\[
a_{j_0,k} = (-1)^d \int \phi_{j_0,k}^{(d)}(x) f_X(x) dx.
\]

So its estimator is

\[
\hat{f}_{n,d}^{(d)}(x) = \sum_k \hat{a}_{j_0,k} \phi_{j_0,k}(x), \quad (2.4)
\]

where

\[
\hat{a}_{j_0,k} = \frac{(-1)^d}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{j_0,k}^{(d)}(X_i).
\]

For the estimator defined by equation (2.4), the sum is considered over \( k \in K_{j_0} \).
3. Main Results

We assume that the following conditions hold.

(A1) The sequence \( \{X_n, n \geq 1\} \) is a sequence of associated random variables with
\[
\frac{\max 1 \leq i \leq n \sum \text{Cov}(X_i, X_j)}{\sup_{i \geq 1} |j - i| \geq n} \leq C n^{-\alpha}
\]
for some \( C > 0 \) and \( \alpha > 0 \).

(A2) The function \( f_{n,d}(x) \in F_{s,p,q} \) with \( s \geq 1/p, p \geq 1, \) and \( q \geq 1 \).

Theorem. Suppose the conditions (A1) and (A2) hold. Let \( \max(2, p) \leq p' < h < \infty \). Define the wavelet linear density estimator \( \hat{f} \) by the relation (2.2). Then, for every \( \epsilon > 0 \), there corresponds a constant \( C > 0 \) such that
\[
\textbf{E}\| \hat{f}_{n,d}(x) - f_{n,d}(x) \|^2_{p'} \leq C 2^{j_0} n^{-2} \left( \frac{n^1 + \epsilon 2^{j_0}(1/2 + \alpha)}{2^{j_0}(3+2d)} \right)^{p'/2} + \left( n h(p' - 1 - \alpha(p' - h))/(h - 2\sqrt{(1 + \epsilon)}) \times 2^{j_0 h(1/2 + \alpha)/(h - 2\sqrt{(1 + \epsilon)})} \right)^2 + 2^{-s' j_0},
\]
where \( s' = s + 1/p' - 1/p \).

Proof. First, we decompose \( \textbf{E}\| \hat{f}_{n,d}(x) - f_{n,d}(x) \|^2_{p'} \) into a bias term and a stochastic term:
\[
\textbf{E}\| \hat{f}_{n,d}(x) - f_{n,d}(x) \|^2_{p'} \leq 2(\| f_{n,d} - P_{j_0} f_{n,d} \|^2_{p'} + \textbf{E}\| \hat{f}_{n,d} - P_{j_0} f_{n,d} \|^2_{p'}) = 2(T_1 + T_2). \quad (3.1)
\]

Now, we want to find upper bounds for \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \). Observe that
\[
\sqrt{T_1} = \| \sum_{j \geq j_0} D_j f_{n,d} \|_{p'} \leq \sum_{j \geq j_0} (\| D_j f_{n,d} \|_{p'} 2^{j s'}) 2^{-j s'}
\]
\[
\leq \left\{ \sum_{j \geq j_0} (\| D_j f_{n,d} \|_{p'} 2^{j s'})^q \right\}^{1/q} \left\{ \sum_{j \geq j_0} 2^{-j s' q} \right\}^{1/q},
\]
by Holder’s inequality with \( 1/q + 1/q = 1 \). From the above inequality, we have
\[
T_1 \leq C \| f_{n,d} \|_{B_{p', q}} 2^{-s' j_0} \leq C \| f_{n,d} \|_{B_{p', q}} 2^{-s' j_0}.
\]
The last inequality holds because of the continuous Sobolev injection (see Triebel [22] and the discussion in Donoho et al [5]). Further, $B^s_{p,q} \subset B^{s'}_{p',q'}$ implies that $\|f(d)\|_{B^{s'}_{p',q'}} \leq \|f(d)\|_{B^s_{p,q}}$. Hence

$$T_1 \leq K 2^{-2s_j0}$$  \hfill (3.2)

for suitable constant $K > 0$. Next, we have

$$T_2 = E\|\hat{f}_n(d) - f_{j0}d\|_{p'}^2 = E\sum_{k \in K_{j0}} (\hat{a}_{j0,k} - a_{j0,k})\phi_{j0,k}(x)\|_{p'}^2.$$

Now the use of Lemma 1 in Leblanc [11], p. 82 (using Meyer [13]) gives

$$T_2 \leq CE\{\|\hat{a}_{j0,k} - a_{j0,k}\|_{p'}^2\}2^{2j0(1/2-1/p')}.$$  

Further, by using Jensen’s inequality the above equation implies,

$$T_2 \leq C2^{2j0(1/2-1/p')}\{\sum_{k \in K_{j0}} E|\hat{a}_{j0,k} - a_{j0,k}|_{p'}^2\}^{2/p'}.$$  \hfill (3.3)

To complete the proof, it is sufficient to estimate $E|\hat{a}_{j0,k} - a_{j0,k}|_{p'}$. We know that

$$\hat{a}_{j0,k} - a_{j0,k} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{\phi_{j0,k}^{(d)}(X_i) - a_{j0,k}\}.$$  

Denote $\xi_i = \eta(X_i) = [\phi_{j0,k}^{(d)}(X_i) - a_{j0,k}]$. Observe that the random variables $\xi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are functions of associated random variables $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. We will now estimate the term

$$E|\hat{a}_{j0,k} - a_{j0,k}|_{p'}$$

by applying a Rosenthal type inequality for functions of associated random variables due to Shao and Yu [21], p. 210. Note that the sequence of random variables $\eta(x), 1 \leq i \leq n$ are identically distributed with mean zero. Furthermore the function $\eta(x)$ is differentiable with

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |\eta'(x)| = \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |\phi_{j0,k}^{(d+1)}(x)| \leq 2^{\jmath_0(3/2+d)} \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |\phi_{j0,k}^{(d+1)}(x)| \leq B_0 2^{\jmath_0(3/2+d)},$$
for some constant $B_0 > 0$ since $\phi \in C^{r+1}$ for some $r \geq d + 1$. In addition, for any $h \geq 0$,

$$E[\eta(X_1)]^h \leq 2^h (E[\phi_{j_0, k}^{(d)}(X_1)]^h + B_1^h) \leq 2^h B_1^h 2^{j_0(1/2+d)h} = B_2 2^{j_0(1/2+d)h},$$

where $B_1$ is a bound on $\phi^{(d)}$ and $B_2$ is a positive constant independent of $j_0$.

Applying Theorem 4.2 in Shao and Yu [21], it follows that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $D_0$ depending only on $\epsilon, h, p'$ and $\alpha$ such that

$$E|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i|^{p'} \leq D_0(n^{1+\epsilon} E|\eta(X_1)|^{p'}) + (n \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \left| Cov(\eta(X_i), \eta(X_l)) \right|)^{p'/2}$$

$$+ n^{(h(p'-1)-p'+\alpha(p'-h))/(h-2)\vee(1+\epsilon)} X_0 \left\| \eta(X_1) \right\|_h^{(h(p'-2)/(h-2)} (B_0 2^{j_0(3+2d)C})(h-p')/(h-2),$$

where $B_0$ is as defined before. Note that the constants $D_0$ and $B_0$ are independent of $k \in K_{j_0}$ and $j_0$. Applying the Newman’s inequality (Newman [14]), we obtain

$$|Cov(\eta(X_i), \eta(X_l))| \leq \left\{ \sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} |\eta(x)| \right\}^2 Cov(X_i, X_l) \leq B_0^2 2^{j_0(3+2d)} Cov(X_i, X_l).$$

Combining the above estimates, we get

$$E|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i|^{p'} \leq D_0(n^{1+\epsilon} 2^{j_0(1/2+d)p'}) B_2$$

$$+ (n \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} Cov(X_i, X_l) B_0 2^{j_0(3+2d)p'})^{p'/2}$$

$$+ n^{(h(p'-1)-p'+\alpha(p'-h))/(h-2)\vee(1+\epsilon)} X_0 \left( B_0 2^{j_0(1/2+d)p'} \right)^{h(p'-2)/(h-2)} (B_0 2^{j_0(3+2d)C})(h-p')/(h-2)).$$

Since the above estimator holds for all $k \in K_{j_0}$ and the cardinality of $K$ is $O(2^{j_0})$, it follows that

$$T_2 \leq C_2 2^{j_0(1/2-1)p'} 2^{j_0/p'} n^{-\gamma/2} D_0(n^{1+\epsilon} 2^{j_0(1/2+d)p'}) B_2$$

$$+ (n \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} Cov(X_i, X_l) B_0 2^{j_0(3+2d)p'})^{p'/2}$$

$$+ n^{(h(p'-1)-p'+\alpha(p'-h))/(h-2)\vee(1+\epsilon)} X_0 \left( B_0 2^{j_0(1/2+d)p'} \right)^{h(p'-2)/(h-2)} (B_0 2^{j_0(3+2d)C})(h-p')/(h-2))^2/p'$$

from (3.3) and (3.4). By substituting (3.2) and (3.5) in (3.1), we obtain the desired result.
Remark. Suppose $1 < p' \leq 2$. One can get upper bounds similar to those as in Theorem 3.1 for the expected loss $E\|f - \hat{f}\|_{p'}^{p'}$ by observing that

$$E\|f^{(d)}_{n,d} - P_{j_0}f^{(d)}_{n,d}\|_{p'}^{p'} \leq 2^{p' - 1}(\|f^{(d)}_{n,d} - P_{j_0}f^{(d)}_{n,d}\|_{p'}^{p'} + E\|f^{(d)}_{n,d} - P_{j_0}f^{(d)}_{n,d}\|_{p'}^{p'}),$$

and

$$\|f^{(d)}_{n,d} - P_{j_0}f^{(d)}_{n,d}\|_{p'}^{p'} \leq C_1 2^{-p's'j_0},$$

for some positive constants $C_1$ and $C_2$.
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