

TWISTED ENDOMORPHISMS AND  
STABLE HOLOMORPHIC TRIPLES

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

**Abstract:** Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve,  $E$  a vector bundle on  $X$ ,  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . Here we study the  $\sigma$ -stability of the holomorphic triple  $f : E \rightarrow E \otimes L$  when  $f$  is general in  $H^0(X, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, L^{\oplus r}))$ .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 14H60

**Key Words:** holomorphic triples on curves, vector bundles on curves, stable vector bundles

1. Twisted Triples of Vector Bundles

Let  $X$  be a smooth and connected projective curve of genus  $g \geq 0$ . A holomorphic triple on  $X$  is a triple  $T = (F, E, \phi)$  such that  $E$  and  $F$  are vector bundles on  $X$  and  $\phi : E \rightarrow F$ . A subtriple of  $T$  is a triple  $(E', F', \phi')$  on  $X$  such that there are inclusions (as sheaves)  $i : E' \rightarrow E$ ,  $j : F' \rightarrow F$  and  $\phi \circ i = j \circ \phi'$ . If we drop the assumption that  $i$  and  $j$  are injective, we get the definition of morphism between two triples. Set  $\text{rank}(T) := \text{rank}(E) + \text{rank}(F)$ . Fix any  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Define the  $\sigma$ -degree of  $T$  by the formula  $\text{deg}_\sigma(T) := \text{deg}(E) + \text{deg}(F) + \sigma \cdot \text{rank}(E)$  and the  $\sigma$ -slope of  $T$  by the formula  $\mu_\sigma(T) := \text{deg}_\sigma(T) / \text{rank}(T)$ . The  $\sigma$ -slope allows us to define the notions of  $\sigma$ -semistability and  $\sigma$ -stability for triples on  $X$ . For the theory of holomorphic triples, see [1], [2] and [3]). Warning: in the terminology of [2] we are using the  $\sigma$ -stability, not the  $\tau$ -stability. As clear from [2], Proposition 3.18, the cases  $\text{rank}(E) = \text{rank}(F)$  and  $\text{rank}(E) \neq \text{rank}(F)$  are very different. Here we fix  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$  and take  $F := E \otimes L$ . Hence  $\text{rank}(E) = \text{rank}(F)$ . By [2], Proposition 3.17, to have  $\sigma$ -stability for at least

one  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  we need to require  $t := \deg(L) \geq 0$ . Similarly, to have  $\sigma$ -stability for at least one  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  we need to require  $t \geq 0$ . From now on, we always assume  $t \geq 0$ . We will write  $(E, f, L)$  for any triple  $f : E \rightarrow E \otimes L$ . If  $d := \deg(E)$ ,  $r := \text{rank}(E)$  and  $t := \deg(L)$ , then:

$$\mu(E, f, L) = \mu(E) + t/2 = d/r + t/2, \tag{1}$$

$$\mu_\sigma(E, f, L) = \mu(E) + t/2 + \sigma/2 = d/r + t/2 + \sigma/2. \tag{2}$$

First, we will consider one example:  $E \cong \mathcal{O}_X^{\otimes r}$  (see Theorem 1 and Proposition 1).

**Theorem 1.** *Fix any integer  $r \geq 2$  and fix any  $L \in \text{Pic}^t(X)$  such that  $h^0(X, L) \geq 2$ . Take a general  $f : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow L^{\oplus r} \cong H^0(X, L)^{r^2}$ . Then the twisted triple  $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, f, L)$  is  $\sigma$ -stable for all  $\sigma > t$ .*

Then we will adapt the proof of Proposition 1 to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Assume  $g > 0$  and fix any rank  $r \geq 2$  stable vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  and any  $L \in \text{Pic}^t(X)$  such that  $h^0(X, L) \geq 2$ . Take a general  $f : H^0(X, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, L^{\oplus r})) \cong H^0(X, L)^{r^2}$ . Then the twisted triple  $(E, f, L)$  is  $\sigma$ -stable for all  $\sigma > t$ .*

Notice that by [2], Proposition 3.17, the assumption  $\sigma > t$  in the statements of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 is sharp.

**Proposition 1.** *Fix an integer  $r \geq 2$  and take any  $L \in \text{Pic}^t(X)$  such that  $h^0(X, L) \neq 0$ . Fix any injective  $f : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow L^{\oplus r}$  (as a map of sheaves). Then the twisted triple  $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, f, L)$  is  $\sigma$ -semistable for all  $\sigma \geq t$ . Furthermore, if  $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, f, L)$  is not  $\sigma$ -stable for some  $\sigma > t$ , then any destabilizing proper subtriple is of the form  $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus s}, L^{\oplus s}, *)$  for some integer  $s$  with  $1 \leq s < r$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\sigma$  and assume the existence of an integer  $s$  such that  $1 \leq s < r$ , a rank  $s$  subsheaf  $F$  of  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ , a subsheaf  $G$  of  $L^{\oplus r}$  such that  $f(F) \subseteq G$  and  $\mu_\sigma(G, F, f|_F) > \mu_\sigma(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, f, L) = t/2 + \sigma/2$ . Since  $L^{\oplus r}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$  are semistable, we have  $\mu(G) \leq t$  and  $\mu(F) \leq 0$ . Hence the result is obvious if  $\text{rank}(G) = s$ . Since  $f$  is injective, we have  $\text{rank}(f) \geq s$ . Assume  $y := \text{rank}(f) > s$ . Hence  $\mu_\sigma(G, F, f|_F) \leq yt/(s+y) + s\sigma/(s+y)$ . Consider the function  $\psi : [s, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by the formula  $\psi(x) = xt/(s+x) + s\sigma/(s+x)$ . Hence  $\psi'(x) = s(t - \sigma)/(s+x)^2$ . Thus  $\psi' \equiv 0$  if  $\sigma = t$  and  $\psi'(x) < 0$  for all  $x \in (s, +\infty)$  if  $\sigma > t$ . Hence  $\psi(y) \leq \psi(s)$  and we have strict inequality if  $y > s$  and  $\sigma > t$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.** *Assume  $h^0(X, L) \neq 0$ . Then a general  $f : E \rightarrow E \otimes L$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Fix  $s \in H^0(X, L) \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $D \subset X$  be its zero-locus. Fix  $Q \in X \setminus D_{red}$ . Let  $h : E \rightarrow E \otimes L$  be the map induced twisting the map  $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$  with  $E$ . Notice that  $h$  has rank  $\text{rank}(E)$  at  $Q$ . By semicontinuity the same is true for a general  $f : E \rightarrow E \otimes L$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* By Lemma 1 the map  $f$  is injective. Since  $h^0(X, L) \geq 2$ , it is easy to show that none of the exceptional cases listed in the statement of Proposition 1 may occur.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* With the set-up of the proof of Proposition 1 we have  $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$  and  $\mu(G) \leq \mu(E \otimes L)$  with equality if and only if  $G = E \otimes L$ . Hence the proof of Proposition 1 works.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Fix an integer  $r \geq 2$  and take any  $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$  such that  $h^0(X, L) \neq 0$ . There are many non-injective  $f : \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r} \rightarrow L^{\oplus r}$  such that for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  the twisted triple  $(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, f, L)$  is not  $\sigma$ -semistable.

### Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

### References

- [1] S.B. Bradlow, G. Daskalopoulos, O. Garcia-Prada, R. Wentworth, Stable augmented bundles over Riemann surfaces, In: *Vector Bundles in Algebraic Geometry* (Ed-s: N.J. Hitchin, P.E. Newstead, W.M. Oxbury), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995), 15-77.
- [2] S.B. Bradlow, O. Garcia-Prada, Stable triples, equivariant bundles and dimensional reduction, *Math. Ann.*, **304**, No. 2 (1996), 225-252.
- [3] D. Hyeon, Direct images of stable triples, *Internat. J. Math.*, **11**, No. 9 (2000), 1231-1243.

