

POINTS OF SMOOTH CURVES LINEARLY
EQUIVALENT TO DIVISORS WITH
PRESCRIBED SUPPORT

E. Ballico

Department of Mathematics

University of Trento

380 50 Povo (Trento) - Via Sommarive, 14, ITALY

e-mail: ballico@science.unitn.it

Abstract: Let X be a smooth genus g curve, $P \in X$ and $R \in \text{Pic}(X)$. Here we study the following problem. Under what assumptions there is $D \in |R|$ and a divisor A on X such that $\text{Supp}(A) \subseteq \text{Supp}(D)$ and $P \notin \text{Supp}(D)$?

AMS Subject Classification: 14H51, 14C22, 14J60, 14R99

Key Words: gonality, affine cone, hyperelliptic curve, vector bundles on an affine cone

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth and connected genus $g \geq 2$ curve defined over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} . For any divisor $D = \sum_i^x m_i P_i$ with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ for all i and $P_i \neq P_j$ for all $i \neq j$ set $\text{Supp}(D) := \{P_1, \dots, P_x\}$. Fix any $R \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $h^0(X, R) \geq 2$. For any linear subspace $V \subseteq H^0(X, R)$ such that $V \neq \{0\}$. Let $|V|$ denote the projective space of all 1-dimensional linear subspaces of V . Set $|R| := |H^0(X, R)|$. For any zero-dimensional scheme $Z \subset X$, set $V(-Z) := V \cap H^0(X, \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes R)$. Motivated by [3], Section 3, we introduce the following definition. A point $P \in X$ is said to be R -good and R is said to be nice to P if there is $D \in |L|$ and a divisor D' such that $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D')$, $\text{Supp}(D') \subseteq \text{Supp}(D)$ and $P \notin \text{Supp}(D)$. Let $w_1(X)$ be the first integer x such that there exists $L \in \text{Pic}^x(X)$ which is nice to all $P \in X$. Let $w_2(X)$ be the first integer x such that every $L \in \text{Pic}^x(X)$ is nice to all $P \in X$. Let $w_3(X)$ be the first integer y such that for all integers $x \geq y$ every $L \in \text{Pic}^x(X)$ is nice to all $P \in X$. Obviously, $w_1(X) \leq w_2(X) \leq w_3(X)$. By [3], §3, $w_3(X) \leq 3g + 1$

for all smooth genus g curves and $w_3(C) \leq 2g + 1$ for every smooth genus g hyperelliptic curve C . Let \tilde{w}_2 (resp. $\widehat{w}_2(X)$, resp. $\bar{w}_2(X)$) be the first integer x such that every spanned (resp. very ample, resp. very ample and projectively normal) $L \in \text{Pic}^x(X)$ is nice to all $P \in X$. Let $w_3(X)$ (resp. $\widehat{w}_3(X)$, resp. $\bar{w}_3(X)$) be the first integer y such that for all integers $x \geq y$ every spanned (resp. very ample, resp. very ample and projectively normal) $L \in \text{Pic}^x(X)$ is nice to all $P \in X$. Notice that every $L \in \text{Pic}^x(X)$ with $x \geq 2g$ (resp. $x \geq 2g + 1$) is spanned (resp. very ample). Fix any $P \in X$. Notice that $\omega_X(P)$ is not spanned at P and that $\omega_X(2P)$ is spanned, but not very ample: if either X is not hyperelliptic or P is not a Weierstrass point of X , then the morphism induced by the linear system $|\omega_X(2P)|$ is separable, injective, étale outside P , and its image in \mathbf{P}^g is a curve of arithmetic genus $g + 1$ with X as its normalization and with an ordinary cusp as its unique singular point. For any $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $h^0(X, L) > 0$, let B_L denote the scheme theoretical base locus of the linear system $|L|$, i.e. the effective divisor (or the \emptyset) such that $\mathcal{I}_{B_L} \otimes L$ is the image of the evaluation map $H^0(X, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$. Notice that P is not L -good if $P \in \text{Supp}(B_L)$. Hence $w_2(X) \geq 2g - 1$. Fix any integer $m \geq 1$. We will say that P is $(R; m)$ -good or that R is nice to mP if there is $D \in |L|$ and a divisor D' such that $\mathcal{O}_X(mP) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D')$, $\text{Supp}(D') \subseteq \text{Supp}(D)$ and $P \notin \text{Supp}(D)$. Hence P is R -good if and only if it is $(R; 1)$ -good. Notice that if P is $(R; m)$ -good, then it is $(R; km)$ -good for all integers $k \geq 1$. Fix any linear subspace $V \subseteq H^0(X, R)$ such that $V \neq \{0\}$. A point $P \in X$ is said to be (R, V) -good and R is said to be nice to P if there is $D \in |V|$ and a divisor D' such that $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D')$, $\text{Supp}(D') \subseteq \text{Supp}(D)$ and $P \notin \text{Supp}(D)$. Similarly, we define the notion of $(R, V; m)$ -goodness and the niceness of (R, V) to mP . Obviously, if P is $(R, V; m)$ -good, then P is not a base point of $|V|$. Hence, even if $\deg(R) \gg g$ for any $P \in X$ there is a codimension one linear subspace of $H^0(X, L)$ such that P is not $(R, V; m)$ -good for any $m > 0$: take as V the inclusion of $H^0(X, R(-P))$ in $H^0(X, R)$ induced by the multiplication by a local equation of P .

To state our results we introduce the following notations.

Notation 1. Fix X, P, R and an integer $n > 0$. Set $G(L, n) := \{V \subseteq H^0(X, R) : \dim(V) = n\}$, $U(R, n, P) := \{V \in G(R, n) : P \text{ is } (R, V)\text{-good}\}$, $B'(R, n, P) := \{V \in B(R, n, P) : P \text{ is not a base point of } |V|\}$, $B''(R, n, P) := B(R, n, P) \setminus B'(R, n, P)$, $U(R, n, P; m) := \{V \in G(R, n) : P \text{ is } (R, V; m)\text{-good}\}$, $B(R, n, P) := G(L, n) \setminus U(R, n, P)$, $B(R, n, P; m) := G(L, n) \setminus U(R, n, P; m)$, $B'(R, n, P; m) := \{V \in B(R, n, P; m) : P \text{ is not a base point of } |V|\}$, and $B''(R, n, P; m) := B(R, n, P; m) \setminus B'(R, n, P; m)$.

Remark 1. Obviously, $G(R, n) = \emptyset$ if $n > h^0(X, L)$, while $\dim(G(R, n)) = n \cdot (h^0(X, R) - n)$ if $0 < n \leq h^0(X, R)$. If P is a base point of $|R|$, then $B(R, n, P, m) = G(R, n)$. If P is not a base point of $|R|$, then the $(h^0(X, R) - 1)$ -dimensional projective space $G(R, h^0(X, R) - 1)$ contains a hyperplane contained in $B(R, h^0(X, R) - 1, P, m)$ for all $m > 0$: the image of $H^0(X, R(-P))$ by the linear map induced by the inclusion $L(-P) \rightarrow L$ obtained taking the multiplication by the local equation of P .

In section 2 we will prove the following results and state and prove a few other results.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a smooth genus g hyperelliptic curve, $P \in X$ and $R \in \text{Pic}^{g+3}(X)$. Assume R very ample and that P is not a Weierstrass point of X . Then P is R -good.*

Theorem 2. *Fix integers g, d, n such that $g \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3g + 1$. Assume either $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ or $\text{char}(\mathbb{K}) > 2g - 2$. Let X be a smooth curve of genus g , $P \in X$, and $R \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$. If $n = d + 1 - g$, then $B(R, n, P) = \emptyset$. If $g + 1 \leq n \leq d - 2g$, then $B(R, n, P)$ has codimension at least $n(g + 2)$ in $U(R, n, P)$. If $g + 1 \leq n \leq d - 2g$, then every $P \in X$ is (R, V) -good for a general $V \in G(n, R)$.*

Theorem 3. *Let X be a smooth genus $g \geq 3$ curve and $P \in X$. Let c_P be the minimal integer t such that there is a divisor A on X such that $P \notin \text{Supp}(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(A)$. Fix any such divisor A and set $B := \text{Supp}(A)$. Fix integers d, n such that $d \geq 2g + c_P - 1$ and either $n = d + 1 - g$ or $c_P + 1 \leq n \leq d + 2 - c_P - g$. Fix $R \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$. If $d = 2g + c_P - 1$, assume $R \not\cong \omega_X(P + B)$. Then $U(n, R; P) \neq \emptyset$.*

Theorem 4. *Let X be a smooth genus $g \geq 3$ curve. Let c be the minimal integer t such that for every $P \in X$ there is a divisor A on X such that $P \notin \text{Supp}(A)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(A)$. Fix a integers d, n such that $d \geq 2g + c$ and either $n = d + 1 - g$ or $c + 1 \leq n \leq d + 2 - c - g$. Fix $R \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$. Then $U(n, R) \neq \emptyset$.*

2. Proofs and Further Results

Remark 2. Fix X, P, R, V . The linear space V induces a 2-dimensional cone C whose vertex O is normal if and only if $V = H^0(X, R)$ and R is projectively normal. The point P corresponds to a line L_P of C through P . If P is (R, V) -good, then any $Q \in L_P \setminus \{O\}$ is a complete intersection (hint: copy the

proof of [3], Lemma 3).

Remark 3. Fix X, P, R, V . The linear space V induces a 2-dimensional cone C whose vertex O is normal if and only if $V = H^0(X, R)$ and R is projectively normal. The point P corresponds to a line L_P of C through P . Assume that P is $(R, V; m)$ -good. As in [3], Lemma 3, we see that any $Q \in L_P \setminus \{O\}$ is a set-theoretically a complete intersection and there are two hypersurfaces of C , one of them an m -power of an affine hyperplane whose intersection is a curvilinear length m zero-dimensional subscheme of C with Q as its support.

Theorem 5. Let X be a hyperelliptic curve, $P \in X$, $R \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and a linear subspace $V \subseteq H^0(X, R)$. Assume that P is not a Weierstrass point of X and call Q , the only point of X such that $|P + Q|$ is the g_2^1 of X . Assume that P is not a base point of $|V|$ and the existence of a Weierstrass point A of X not in the base locus of $|V(-P - Q)|$. Then P is (R, V) -good.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(2A - Q)$. By assumption there is $D \in |V|$ such that $A \in \text{Supp}(D)$, $Q \in \text{Supp}(D)$ and $P \notin \text{Supp}(D)$. \square

Corollary 1. Let X be a hyperelliptic curve, $P \in X$, $R \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and a linear subspace $V \subseteq H^0(X, R)$. Assume that P is not a Weierstrass point of X , that V is very ample and that $\text{length}(E \cap \phi_V(X)) \leq 2$ for every line $E \subset \mathbf{P}(V^*)$ containing $\phi_V(P)$, where $\phi_V : X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(V^*)$ denote the embedding associated to $|V|$. Then P is (R, V) -good.

Theorem 6. Let X be a hyperelliptic curve, $P \in X$, $R \in \text{Pic}(X)$ and a linear subspace $V \subseteq H^0(X, R)$. Assume that P is a Weierstrass point of X , that P is not a base point of $|V|$ and that there is at least one Weierstrass point A of X such that P is not in the base locus $|V(-A)|$. Then P is $(R, V; 2)$ -good.

Proof. We have $\mathcal{O}_X(2P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(2A)$. By assumption there is $D \in |V|$ such that $A \in \text{Supp}(D)$ and $P \notin \text{Supp}(D)$. \square

Remark 4. Let k_P be the first integer t such that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(tP)) \geq 2$. Notice that $1 \leq k_P \leq g + 1$, that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(k_P P)) = 2$ and that $|\mathcal{O}_X(k_P P)|$ has no base point.

Proposition 1. Fix X, P and integers d, n such that $d \geq k_P$ and $2 \leq n \leq \max\{2, d + 1 - g\}$. Then there exists $R \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$ such that $U(R, n, P; k_P) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Take as $R := \mathcal{O}_X(k_P P + A)$, where A is an effective degree $d - k_P$ divisor whose support does not contain P . \square

Proposition 2. *Let X be a hyperelliptic curve, $P \in X$ and $R \in \text{Pic}(X)$. Assume $h^1(X, R) > 0$, $h^0(X, R) \geq 3$, P not a Weierstrass point of X and P not a base point of $|R|$. Then P is R -good.*

Proof. Let $M \in \text{Pic}^2(X)$ denote the hyperelliptic line bundle. By assumption there is an effective divisor A such that $P \notin \text{Supp}(A)$ and $|M^{\otimes 2}| + A \subseteq |R|$. Fix a Weierstrass point Q of X . Let $P' \in X$ be the only point of X such that $|M| = |P + P'|$. By assumption $P \neq P'$ and $P \neq Q$. Use that $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(2Q - P')$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $M \in \text{Pic}^2(X)$ denote the hyperelliptic line bundle. Since X is hyperelliptic and R is very ample, we have $h^1(X, R) = 0$. Since $h^1(X, R) = 0$ and $\text{deg}(R) = g + 3$, we have $h^0(X, R) = 4$. Since R is very ample, it is well-known and easy to check that there is a unique $L \in \text{Pic}^{g+1}(X)$ such that $h^1(X, L) = 0$ (i.e. $h^0(X, L) = 2$), $R \cong M \otimes L$, and L is spanned. Fix a Weierstrass point Q of X . Let $P' \in X$ be the only point of X such that $|M| = |P + P'|$. By assumption $P \neq P'$ and $P \neq Q$. Since $h^0(X, L) = 2$, $\text{deg}(L) > 2$ and L is spanned, we have $h^0(X, L \otimes M^*) = 0$. Thus P is not contained in the support of the only effective divisor of $|L(-P')|$. Use that $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(2Q - P')$. □

Remark 5. Take g, X, R as in the statement either of Proposition 2 or of Theorem 1, but assume that P is a Weierstrass point of X . The same proofs show that P is $(R; 2)$ -good.

Remark 6. Let X be a smooth genus $g \geq 3$ hyperelliptic curve, $P \in X$ and $R \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$. Assume R very ample and that P is not a Weierstrass point of X . Since X is hyperelliptic and R is very ample, we have $h^1(X, R) = h^1(X, R \otimes M^*) = 0$. Let $M \in \text{Pic}^2(X)$ denote the hyperelliptic line bundle and $P' \in X$ the only point such that $\mathcal{O}_X(P + P') \cong M$. $P \neq P'$ because P is not a Weierstrass point. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we see that P is R -good if P is not a base point of $|R \otimes M^*|$. Since $h^1(X, R \otimes M^*) = 0$, P is a base point of $|R \otimes M^*|$ if and only if $h^1(X, R \otimes M^*(-P)) \neq 0$, i.e. if and only if there is an integer t such that $1 \leq t \leq g$ and an effective divisor A such that $R \cong M^{\otimes t}(P + A)$, where $\text{deg}(A) \leq g - 1 - 2t$. Thus the set $\tilde{B}(d, P)$ of all very ample $R \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$ such that P is not R -good has dimension at most $g - 3$. Let $V(d, X)$ denote the set of all non-special very ample line bundles on X . Notice that $V(d, X) = \emptyset$ if $d \leq g + 2$, while $V(d, X)$ is a non-empty g -dimensional integral variety for all $d \geq g + 3$. In summary, we just proved the following result. If Q is a Weierstrass point of X , then we get the same result for $(R; 2)$ -goodness (Remark 5).

Theorem 7. *Let X be a smooth genus $g \geq 3$ hyperelliptic curve. Let $V(d, X)$ denote the set of all non-special very ample line bundles on X . For all integers $d \geq g + 3$ there is a non-empty open subset $U(d, X)$ of the integral g -dimensional variety $V(d, X)$ such that $V(d, X) \setminus U(d, X)$ has codimension at least two in $V(d, X)$ such that every non-Weierstrass point of X is R -good and every Weierstrass point of X is $(R; 2)$ -good for all $R \in U(d, X)$.*

Proof of Theorem 2. Our assumption on $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$ implies that $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(gQ)) = 1$ (i.e. $h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(gQ)) = 0$) for a general $Q \in X$ ([1], [2]). Thus $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(gQ + P)) = 2$ and $|\mathcal{O}_X(gQ + P)|$ has no base point. Hence $|\mathcal{O}_X(gQ + P)|$ induces a degree $g + 1$ morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ with $gQ + P$ as one of its scheme-theoretic fibers. Our assumption on $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$ implies that the classical Riemann-Hurwitz formula applied to h gives the existence of at least another unreduced fiber of h , i.e. there is an effective degree $g + 1$ divisor A such that $\mathcal{O}_X(gQ + P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(A)$, $P \notin \text{Supp}(A)$ and $\#(\mathcal{O}_X(A)) \leq g$. Set $B := \{Q\} \cup \text{Supp}(A)$. Since $d \geq 2g - 1 + \#(B)$, we have $h^0(X, R(-B)) = h^0(X, R) - \#(B)$ and $h^1(X, R(-B)) = 0$. Since $d \geq 3g + 1$, then $h^0(X, R(-B - P)) = h^0(X, R(-B)) - 1$, i.e. $h^1(X, R(-B - P)) = 0$. The set Σ of all $E \in |R|$ such that $B \subseteq \text{Supp}(E)$ is parametrized by the projective space $|R(-B)|$. With suitable identifications the subset Σ' of all $E \in \Sigma$ such that $P \in \text{Supp}(E)$ is parametrized by the hyperplane $|R(-B - P)|$ of $|R(-B)|$. Since $n \geq g + 2$, the projective space associated to every $V \in G(n, R)$ intersects Σ . Take a general $V \in R(n, R)$. By the generality of V we have $|V| \cap \Sigma \not\subseteq \Sigma'$. Hence P is (R, V) -good. Furthermore, P is R -good. Now assume $g + 2 \leq n \leq d - 2g$. In this case $B(n, R, P) \subseteq \Phi := \{V \in G(n, R) : |V| \cap \Sigma \subseteq \Sigma'\}$. Since $n \leq d - 2g$, then $\Phi = G(n, R(-B - P))$ and hence Φ has codimension $n(g + 2)$ in $G(n, R)$. The last assertion follows from the first one and the one-dimensionality of X . \square

Proofs of Theorems 3 and 4. Use the proof of Theorem 2. Indeed, in the first part of the proof of Theorem 2 we just checked that $c_P \leq g + 1$ and $c \leq g + 1$ under our assumptions on $\text{char}(\mathbb{K})$. We also need $h^1(X, R(-B - P)) = 0$. This is true if either $d \geq 2g + c_P$ or $d = 2g + c_P - 1$ and $R \not\cong \omega_X(B + P)$. \square

Remark 7. Let X be a smooth genus g curve. For all $d \geq 2g + 1$, all $L \in \text{Pic}^x(X)$ and all $P \in X$, L is very ample and $h^0(X, L(-2P)) = h^0(X, L(-P)) - 1 = h^0(X, L) - 2$. We easily get $w_3(X) = w_2(X)$ if $w_2(X) \geq 2g + 1$.

Proposition 3. *Fix $P, Q \in X$ and $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$ such that $P \neq Q$ and P is L -good. Then P is $L(Q)$ -good.*

Proof. Fix $D \in |L|$ and D' such that $P \notin \text{Supp}(D)$, $\text{Supp}(D') \subseteq \text{Supp}(D)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(D')$. Since $P \neq Q$, $P \notin \text{Supp}(D + Q)$. Hence the pair

$(D + Q, D')$ shows that P is $L(Q)$ -good. □

Proposition 4. Fix $P \in X$ and $L \in \text{Pic}(X)$.

(i) If $h^0(X, L) > h^0(X, L(-P)) > h^0(X, L(-2P))$, then P is $L^{\otimes 2}(-P)$ -good.

(ii) If $h^0(X, L(P)) > h^0(X, L) > h^0(X, L(-P))$, then P is $L^{\otimes 2}(P)$ -good.

Proof. Assume $h^0(X, L) > h^0(X, L(-P)) > h^0(X, L(-2P))$. By assumption there are $A \in |L(-P)|$ such that $P \notin \text{Supp}(A)$ and $B \in |L|$ such that $P \notin \text{Supp}(B)$. Use that $\mathcal{O}_X(P) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(B - A)$, $A + B \in |L^{\otimes 2}(-P)|$ and $P \notin \text{Supp}(A + B)$. To check part (ii) apply part (i) to the line bundle $\tilde{L} := L(P)$. □

Acknowledgements

The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

References

- [1] D. Laksov, Weierstrass points on curves, In: *Tableaux de Young et Foncteurs de Schur en Algèbre et Géométrie*, Astérisque, **87/88** (1981), 221-247.
- [2] D. Laksov, Wronskians and Plücker formulas for linear systems on curves, *Ann. Scient. École Norm. Sup.*, **17** (1984), 565-579.
- [3] R. Varley, Vector bundles on cones over projective schemes, *Invent. Math.*, **62**, No. 1 (1980), 15-22.

